#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

For

POTHUVAI & PAZHAVALAM BLACK GRANITE & QUARTZO-FELDSPATHIC GNEISS QUARRY OVER AN EXTENT OF 40.13.05 Ha

At Survey No: 58/1 (Pothuvai village) & 135/1 (Pazhavalam village)

> Villages: Pothuvai & Pazhavalam Taluk: Gingee District: Villupuram State: Tamil Nadu

> > By



M/s. Tamil Nadu Minerals Limited (Project termed under Schedule 1(a) Mining of Minerals `B1' category as per EIA Notification 2006 and its Amendments and O.M

EIA Consultant

HUBERT ENVIRO CARE SYSTEMS (P) LTD, CHENNAI

**April 2023** 

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

#### 1.1 **PROJECT DETAILS:**

1

The proposed **"Pothuvai & Pazhavalam black granite & Quartzo-Feldspathic gneiss quarry"** over an extent of 40.13.05Ha is located at S.F.No.58/1& 135/1, Pothuvai & Pazhavalam villages, Gingee taluk, Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu State.

The project falls under B1 Category, Schedule 1(a) Mining of Minerals as per MoEF&CC Notification 14.09.2006 and 14.08.2018. The land use classification of the project site is government Poramboke land. TAMIN obtained lease vide G.O. (3D) No.66, Industries (MME.1) Department, dated: 05.12.2011.

| Survey No.  | S.F.No.58/1 & 135/1   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Village   | Pothuvai & Pazhavalam Villages  |  |  |
| Taluk and District                                  | Gingee Taluk, Villupuram District   |  |  |
| State   | Tamil Nadu  |  |  |
| Toposheet No.                                       | D44T4 & D44T8   |  |  |
| Latitude  | 79°15'39.40"E to 79°16'08.11"E  |  |  |
| Longitude   | 12°08'15.47"N to 12°08'45'2.41"N  |  |  |
| Current Quarry Status                               | Now not in operation  |  |  |
| Extent Area   | 40.13.05 Ha   |  |  |
| Lease Period  | 30 years  |  |  |
| Estimated Geological<br>Reserves (ROM) m3           | Black Granite-4,79,221 M <sup>3</sup> & Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss-1,08,13,395 M <sup>3</sup> |  |  |
| Estimated Mineable<br>Reserves (ROM) M <sup>3</sup> | Black Granite-3,37,609 M <sup>3</sup> & Quartzo feldspathic Gneiss-77,39,961 M <sup>3</sup>   |  |  |
| Black Granite production per annum M <sup>3</sup>   | Black Granite-1811 $M^3$ & Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss-7,52,124 $M^3$                          |  |  |
| Depth of Mining                                     | 30m from the surface level and the top surface of the granite body                            |  |  |
| Method of Mining                                    | Open cast semi mechanized method  |  |  |

#### **Table - 1 Salient Features of the Project Site**

### 2. PROJECT PROCESS DESCRIPTION

### 2.1. Method of Quarrying

An open cast quarrying by semi-mechanized method will be adopted to operate the quarry. Annual production of Black Granite-1811 M<sup>3</sup> & Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss are estimated as 7,52,124 M<sup>3</sup>.

### **Conceptual Quarry Plan**

### **Black Granite:-**

The Geological reserves of Black granite have been computed based on the Geological Plan & Sections up to the economically workable average depth of 40m from the top of the hill works out to 4,79,221 M<sup>3</sup>. By applying 10% recovery the effective Geological reserves works out 47,922 M<sup>3</sup>

Mineable Reserves have been computed as 3,37,609 M<sup>3</sup> after deleting the reserves locked up in safety barrier and benches based on the Conceptual Plan and sections, the effective(Saleable) Mineable Reserves have been worked out as 33,761 M<sup>3</sup> by applying the recovery factor 10%. The annual peak production per year would be 18,108 M<sup>3</sup> of ROM. The five year Modified Mining Plan is given below.

| S.<br>No | Year      | ROM (M <sup>3</sup> ) | Recovery@1<br>0% (M <sup>3</sup> ) | Granite Waste @<br>90 % (M <sup>3</sup> ) | Over Burden<br>(M <sup>3</sup> ) |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 1        | 2022-2023 | 18,108                | 1,811                              | 17,588                                    | 1,291                            |
| 2        | 2023-2024 | 18,049                | 1,805                              | 16,244                                    |                                  |
| 3        | 2024-2025 | 18,029                | 1,803                              | 17,773                                    | 1,547                            |
| 4        | 2025-2026 | 18,104                | 1,810                              | 17,293                                    | 999                              |
| 5        | 2026-2027 | 18,008                | 1,801                              | 18,402                                    | 2,195                            |
|          | Total     | 90,298                | 9,030                              | 87,300                                    | 6,032                            |

 Table - 2 Black Granite Recovery as per Modified Mining Plan

#### **Quartzo-Feldspathic Gneiss:-**

The Geological reserves of Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss have been computed based on the Geological Plan & Sections up to the economically workable average depth of 30m from the top of the hill works out to 1,08,13,395 M<sup>3</sup>. By applying 100% recovery the effective Geological reserves works out 1,08,13,395<sup>3</sup>.

Mineable Reserves have been computed as 77,39,961 M<sup>3</sup> after deleting the reserves locked up in safety barrier and benches based on the Conceptual Plan and sections, the effective(Saleable) Mineable Reserves have been worked out as

77,39,961 M<sup>3</sup> by applying the recovery factor 100%. The annual peak production per year would be 7,52,124m<sup>3</sup> of ROM . The five year Modified Mining plan period at the rate of 100% recovery is given below.

| S. No | Year      | ROM (M <sup>3</sup> ) | Recovery@100%<br>(M <sup>3</sup> ) |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1     | 2022-2023 | 6,52,162              | 6,52,162                           |
| 2     | 2023-2024 | 7,41,536              | 7,41,536                           |
| 3     | 2024-2025 | 7,25,299              | 7,25,299                           |
| 4     | 2025-2026 | 7,24,900              | 7,24,900                           |
| 5     | 2026-2027 | 7,52,124              | 7,52,124                           |
|       | Total     | 35,96,021             | 35,96,021                          |

Table - 3 Quartzo Feldspathic Gneiss Recovery as per Mining Plan

#### **3. IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

#### **Impacts due to Mining Activity:**

Various environmental impacts, which have been identified due to the mining operations proposed project, are discussed in the following sections. The environmental parameters most commonly affected by mining activities are:

#### 3.1. Impacts- Soil Contamination

Potential impacts on land environment are envisaged due to hazardous and nonhazardous wastes generated due to various operations in the project site like municipal waste from domestic use and waste diesel oil from quarry machineries. Poor management of such materials/wastes from the operations is a potential risk of soil contamination.

#### 3.1.1.Soil – Mitigation Measures

Good housekeeping and best practices of waste handling shall be adopted to eliminate/minimize the risks of soil contamination. The wastes generated will be stored in temporary storage facility and transferred to nearby municipal disposal bins. Waste oil generated from quarry machineries and the same is disposed through TNPCB Authorized dealers.

#### 3.2. Land Environment

#### 3.2.1. Land Degradation

The impact on land pattern in the area has been and will be due to the following:

- Land degradation due to disposal of large volume of waste materials.
- Creation of infrastructural facilities like office, rest shelter, first-aid centre and other service facilities.
- Exposure of topsoil to wind and water erosion.

## 3.2.2. Mitigation Measures

- > Dust suppression on exposed areas using water tankers.
- > Contour overburden dump to minimize erosion
- Plantation around service building, along road, in and around safety zone using native plant sapling.

## 3.3. Impact on Air Environment

The main source of air pollution is from open cast mining activities is dust generation from excavation of granite, movement of vehicles for transportation of product to consumers, drilling, loading and unloading operation and wind erosion of dumps and also gaseous emission due to operation of diesel driven mining equipment. The sources of air emission are detailed below in Table

 Table - 4 Sources of air pollution at quarry

| S. No | Source of emission                   | Pollutant        |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1.    | Excavation of Granite                | PM               |
| 2.    | Operation of diesel driven equipment | Gaseous emission |
| 3.    | Transportation of product            | PM               |

The major air pollution sources from the mining operations are DG sets, mining activities like drilling, and transportation. The DG sets are provided with stacks of adequate height to disperse the emanating flue gases containing suspended particulate matter, oxides of Sulphur and nitrogen without affecting the ground level concentrations.

The emissions mainly generated from the mining activities are Blasting, Drilling, Excavation, Loading, Unloading, and transportation etc. Machinery like compressors and jack hammers are used for Drilling.

## 3.3.1. Mitigation measures

- > Use of dust aprons on drilling equipment and adopting wet drilling methods.
- > Delay blasting under unfavorable wind and atmospheric conditions

- The production of blast fumes containing noxious gases will be reduced by the following methods:
  - Use of adequate booster/primer.
  - Proper stemming of the blast hole.
  - Development of greenbelt.

## Table – 5 Fugitive dust control in mine

| S.<br>No | Activities     | Best practices  |  |  |
|----------|----------------|---|--|--|
| 1        | Drilling       | Drills should be provided with dust extractors (dry     |  |  |
|          |                | or wet system)  |  |  |
| 2        | Blasting       | <ul> <li>Water spray before blasting</li> </ul>         |  |  |
|          |                | Water spray on blasted material prior to transportation |  |  |
|          |                | Use of control blasting technique                       |  |  |
| 3        | Transportation | Covering of the trucks/dumpers to avoid spillage        |  |  |
|          | of mined       | Compacted haul road                                     |  |  |
|          | material       | Speed control on vehicles                               |  |  |
|          |                | Development of a green belt of suitable width on both   |  |  |
|          |                | sides of road, which acts as wind break and traps       |  |  |
|          |                | fugitive dust   |  |  |

## Table – 6 Dust control measures in quarry

| S. | Operation or    | Control options  |  |  |
|----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No | source          |  |  |  |
| 1  | Drilling        | <ul> <li>Liquid injection (water or water plus a wetting agent)</li> <li>Capturing and venting emissions to a control device.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| 2  | Blasting        | Water spray before blasting  |  |  |
|    |                 | Water spray on blasted material prior to   |  |  |
|    |                 | transportation   |  |  |
|    |                 | Use of control blasting technique  |  |  |
| 3  | Loading         | > Water spray  |  |  |
| 4  | Hauling         | Water spray, treatment with surface agents, soil   |  |  |
|    | (emissions from | stabilization, paving, traffic control.  |  |  |
|    | roads)          |  |  |  |

## 4.1.3.1 Emission dispersion models Table – 7 Total maximum GLCs from emissions

| Pollutant         | Max. Base Line<br>Conc. (µg/m³) | Estimated<br>Incremental<br>Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Total<br>Conc.<br>(µg/m³) | NAAQ<br>standard | % contribution<br>of concentration<br>above Base line |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------|---|
| TSPM              | 171.35                          | 39.28  | 210.63                    | 500              | 22.92   |
| PM <sub>10</sub>  | 68.54                           | 7.86   | 76.4                      | 100              | 11.47   |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 39.27                           | 4.74   | 44.01                     | 60               | 12.07   |
| SO <sub>2</sub>   | 17.26                           | 0.07   | 17.33                     | 80               | 0.41  |
| NO <sub>X</sub>   | 30.35                           | 1.66   | 32.01                     | 80               | 5.47  |

The maximum ground level concentration observed due to mining activities and traffic movement through Air Modelling for TSPM,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  are  $173\mu g/m^3$ ,  $69\mu g/m^3$ ,  $39\mu g/m^3 17\mu g/m^3$ , and  $35\mu g/m^3$  respectively.

# **3.4. Impacts due to Transportation**

The Granite is transported to consumer directly as per buyer's requirement. The granite will be transported through existing road by tippers and approx. no. of trips required is 2 times per week. This minimum trip does not create impact on existing transportation. The vehicular movement for the proposed project is given in **Table - 12**.

Table – 8 Traffic Volume after Implementation of the Project

| For the Road            | Volume of<br>Traffic | Volume<br>(V) | Road<br>Capacity (C) | V/C<br>Ratio | LOS<br>Category* | Traffic<br>Classification |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Existing                | 252                  | 457.85        | 1500                 | 0.31         | "A″              | Free Flow Traffic         |
| After<br>implementation | 272                  | 505.8         | 1500                 | 0.34         | "A″              | Free Flow Traffic         |

\*LOS (Level of Service) categories are A-Free Flow, B- Reasonably Free Flow, C-Stable Flow, D-Approaching unstable flow, E- Unstable flow, F- Forced or breakdown flow

Due to propose project there will be slight increment in the vehicle movement but the level of service (LOS) anticipated will be Free Flow.

## 3.4.1. Mitigation Measures

- > Regular water sprinkling on haul and access roads.
- > Watering of haul roads and other roads at regular intervals
- > Provision of green belt by vegetation for trapping dust.
- Greenbelt development along the haul roads, dumps and along the boundaries of the lease area.
- Utmost care will be taken to prevent spillage of sand and stone from the trucks.

# 3.5. Wastewater Generation

There is no process effluent generation. The domestic sewage of 1.27 KLD will be disposed through septic tank followed by soak pit.

## 3.5.1. Mitigation Measures

## 3.5.1.1. Surface Water Pollution Control Measures

A safety distance of 50m has been provided in the Southern side of the applied area and running through Patta lands of the Pothuvai & Pazhavalam village.

- Construction of garland drains of suitable size around mine area and dumps to prevent rain water descent into active mine areas.
- During monsoon season, the rain water will be collected by natural slope of area to water fed tank of the mine and it will be utilized for dust suppression and greenbelt development.
- The dump tops will be provided with inner slopes to control water flow to prevent erosion washouts. The dumps tops and slopes of in active areas will be covered with grasses, shrubs, mulching, etc, to prevent erosion, till final backfilling of dumps into mined out areas.
- Retaining walls of adequate dimensions will be provided at the top of dumps and the unstable OB benches within the mine to prevent wash off from dumps and sliding of material from benches. This will help in preventing silting of water drains/channels
- The water channels/drains carrying the rain water from the mine will be provided with baffles and settling pits to arrest the suspended solids, if any, present in this water
- The worked out slopes will be stabilized by planting appropriate shrub/grass species on the slopes.

## 3.5.1.2. Ground Water Pollution Control Measures

- > The domestic sewage from the toilets will be routed to septic tanks.
- Regular monitoring of water levels and quality in the existing open wells and bore well in the vicinity will be carried out.

## 3.5.1.3. Rain Water Harvesting

- The rainwater will be diverted towards the middle of the mine to prevent water entering the mine working. The rainwater flows will also contain fines both from surface and waste dumps during seasonal flows. As such, it is proposed to have structures in such a way to act as settling pond and also for rainwater harvesting.
- > Divert the water to de-silting cum rainwater harvesting pond in the mine area.
- Provide necessary overflow arrangement to maintain the natural drainage system.

## **3.5.1.4.** Drainage pattern and Hydrogeology

Catchment area inside the mine will be affected.

### 3.5.1.5. Mitigation measures

The study has recommended new alignment in line with upstream drainage slope of the area to facilitate smooth entry of water into the diversion channel and ultimate discharge of water into the original stream. No reduction in surface run-off is envisaged.

## **3.6.** Impact of Noise / Vibrations & Mitigation Measures

## 3.6.1. Impact of Noise on Working Environment

The main sources of noise in the mine are as follows:

- Transportation vehicles
  - Loading & unloading of minerals.
  - Drilling

## 3.6.2. Noise due to Drilling, Excavation and Transportation

The noise levels in the working environment will be maintained within the standards prescribed by Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). These standards were established with the emphasis on reducing the hearing loss.

## 3.6.3. Noise Due to Blasting

Blasting activities are involved in this Quarry as green belt will be developed around the mine which restricts the propagation of noise. The main source of noise in quarrying is due to usage of machinery like excavators, mining tippers and compressors and diesel generators.

Following mitigation measures should be taken to control noise pollution:

- Wherever the noise levels exceed 85 dB (A), workers should be provided with earmuffs, ear plugs etc.
- All vehicles and machinery will be properly lubricated and maintained regularly.
- Speed of the Vehicles entering and leaving the quarrying lease will be limited to 25 kmph.

> Unnecessary use of horns by the drivers of the vehicles shall be avoided.

## **3.6.3.1.** Mitigate Measures

- Controlled blasting with proper spacing, burden and stemming will be maintained
- Minimum quantity of detonating fuse will be consumed by using alternatively Excel non-electrical initiation system.
- > The blasting will be carried out during favorable atmospheric condition and less human activity timings.
- > The prime movers/diesel engines will be properly maintained.
- Provision of sound insulated chambers for the workers deployed on machines.

## 3.7. Impact of Vibration

Blasting activities are involved in Granite Quarry operations. The vibration during the moment of machinery will be minimal for a short span that will be well within the prescribed limits. Proposed Peripheral green belt will be developed in 7.5m safety zone around the quarry. This will mitigate the Vibration.

## 3.7.1.1. Mitigation Measures

- Proper quantity of explosive, suitable stemming materials and appropriate delay system are to be adopted for safe blasting.
- > Safe blasting zones are kept around the periphery of the quarry.
- > Overcharging will be avoided. The charge per delay will be minimized and preferably more number of delays will be used per blasts.

## 3.8. Impact on Human Settlement

There are no monuments or places of worships in mine area. Ground vibration and noise pollution is maintained minimal and confined to the mine area. The quality of water both surface and ground water is good and all parameters of drinking water are as per IS standards. Water quality analysis will be carried out at periodical intervals during post project monitoring.

The PM, NOx and SO<sub>2</sub> have been observed to be below the prescribed limit. Noise levels have also been found to be below the permissible limits at all the locations. Further, the noise generated in the lease area will get attenuated due to plantation and green belt all around the lease area. As preventive measures, greenbelt development around the mine lease area will be further strengthening for control of air emission to environment.

All the employees when inducted will be medically examined. Further, they will also be medically examined at periodical interval.

## 3.9. Biological Environment

## 3.9.1. Mining activities and their impact on biodiversity

| Table - | 9 | Im | pacts | on | <b>Biodiversity</b> |
|---------|---|----|-------|----|---------------------|
|---------|---|----|-------|----|---------------------|

| S.<br>No | Activity                      | Examples of<br>aspects                        | Examples of biodiversity impact   |
|----------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 1        | Extraction                    | Land clearing                                 | Loss of habitat, introduction of plant diseases, Siltation of water courses                             |
| 2        | Blasting, Digging and hauling | Dust, noise<br>,vibration, water<br>pollution | Disruption of water courses , impacts<br>on aquatic ecosystems due to<br>changes in hydrology and water |

| 3  | Waste dumping                        | Clearing, water and soil pollution                              | Loss of habitat, soil and water contamination, sedimentation.                                   |
|----|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 4  | Air emissions                        | Air pollution   | Loss of habitat or species  |
| 5  | Waste disposal                       | Oil and water pollution   | Encouragement of pests,<br>disease transfer, contamination of<br>groundwater and soil           |
| 6  | Building power lines                 | Land clearing   | Loss or fragmentation of habitat  |
| 7  | Provision of accommodation           | Land clearing, soil<br>and water pollution,<br>waste generation | Loss of habitat, sewage disposal and disease impacts  |
| 8  | Access roads                         | Land clearing   | Habitat loss or fragmentation, water<br>logging upslope and drainage<br>shadows down slope      |
| 9  | Population growth                    | Land clearing or<br>increased hunting                           | Loss of habitat or species, stress on local and regional resources, pest introduction, clearing |
| 10 | Water supply (potable or industrial) | Water abstraction or mine dewatering                            | Loss or changes in habitat or species composition   |

## 3.9.1.1. Mitigate Measures

To reduce the adverse effects on flora/fauna status that are found in project area due to deposition of dust generating from mining operations, water sprinkling and water spraying systems will be ensured in all dust prone areas to arrest dust generation.

## **3.10.** Impacts on Occupational Health due to project operations

Anticipated occupational illness sequel to mining activities involved in the project. Occupational health problems due to dust & noise and Occupational illness by quarry activities are as follows;

- > Dust related pneumonia
- > Tuberculosis
- Rheumatic arthritis
- Segmental vibration

## 3.10.1.1. Mitigate Measures for Occupational Health

- Adoption of dust suppression measures like spraying water, use of drill with dust collection system or wet drills etc.
- > Use of personal protective equipment. Compliance with DGMS circulars.
- Emergency response plan that includes installation of emergency response equipment to combat events such as fire.

On-site first aid facilities will be provided and employees will be extended to the local community in emergencies.

| S.<br>No | Activity                           | Mitigation measures   |  |  |  |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1        | Excavation                         | Planned excavation, avoid haphazard mining  |  |  |  |
| 2        | Drilling and<br>blasting           | In addition, the operators and other workers should be provided with masks, helmets, gloves and earplugs.   |  |  |  |
| 3        | Safety zone                        | <ul> <li>Provisions for a buffer zone between the local habitation<br/>and the mine lease in the form of a green belt of suitable<br/>width.</li> <li>Restricted entry, use of sirens and cordoning of the<br/>lasting area are some of the good practices to avoid<br/>accidents.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| 4        | Overburden<br>stabilization        | <ul> <li>Accidents are known to happen due to overburden collapse.</li> <li>Therefore, slope stabilization and dump stability are critical issues for safety and environment. Proper measures will be taken care.</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| 5        | Worker's<br>health<br>surveillance | <ul> <li>Health survey program for workers and local community.</li> <li>Regular training and awareness of employees to be<br/>conducted to meet health and safety objectives.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

# Table – 10 Mitigation for occupational health and safety

## **3.10.1.2.** Mitigate Measures for Safety Aspects

- To reduce pollution emanation from quarry operations, carry out splitting of sheet rock by diamond wire saw which largely reduces the dust and noise generation.
- > Water sprinkling on haul roads and dumping yards, etc.
- Green belt creation wherever possible to arrest dust and reduce noise propagation.
- All staff and workers will be provided with PPE to guard against excess noise levels
- Provision of safety Helmets, goggles, safety boots, ear muffs, gas masks, etc.

## 4. PROECT COST & ESTIMATED TIM EOF COMPLETION:

# 4.1. Project Cost:

The estimated project cost is given below

|                        | Table – 11 Project cost                  |                           |  |  |  |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| S. No                  | Description of the Cost                  | Amount in Rs.             |  |  |  |
| Α.                     | Fixed Cost                               |                           |  |  |  |
| 1                      | Land Cost                                | Nil. Because Govt. land   |  |  |  |
| 2                      | Labour shed                              | 50,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 3                      | Sanitary facilities                      | 50,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 4                      | Fencing Cost                             | 1,25,000/-                |  |  |  |
|                        | Total                                    | 2,25,000/-                |  |  |  |
| В.                     | Operational Cost                         |                           |  |  |  |
| 1                      | Jack Hammers                             | 1,98,000/-                |  |  |  |
| 2                      | Compressor                               | 19,82,000/-               |  |  |  |
| 3                      | Diamond wire saw                         | 4,87,000/-                |  |  |  |
| 4                      | Diesel General                           | 4,00,000/-                |  |  |  |
| 5                      | Excavators                               | 6,00,000/-                |  |  |  |
| 6                      | Tippers                                  | 58,00,000/-               |  |  |  |
| 7                      | Drinking water facilities for the labors | 50,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 8                      | Safety kits                              | 50,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| Total Operational Cost |  | 95,67,000/-               |  |  |  |
| C.                     | EMP Cost                                 |                           |  |  |  |
| 1                      | Afforestation                            | 30,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 2                      | Water Sprinkling                         | 50,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 3                      | Water Quality test                       | 25,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 4                      | Air Quality test                         | 25,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 5                      | Noise/Vibration test                     | 25,000/-                  |  |  |  |
| 6                      | CSR activities                           | 50,000/-                  |  |  |  |
|                        | Total EMP Cost                           | 2,05,000/-                |  |  |  |
|                        | Total Cost of the Project (A+B+C)        | 99,97,000/- (Say 1 Crore) |  |  |  |

## 4.3.

**4.3. Proposed schedule for approval and implementation** The time schedule for the completion of the proposed mining project is given in the below as,

| Table – | 12 | Project | Schedule |
|---------|----|---------|----------|
|---------|----|---------|----------|

| Particulars                                     | Time Schedule            |
|---|--------------------------|
| Preparation of PFR, FORM – I and obtaining ToR  | December 2022            |
| ToR obtained                                    | 09.02.2023               |
| Submission of DRAFT EIA/EMP                     | May 2023                 |
| Conduciting Public Hearing and submitting final | June 2023                |
| EIA/EMP and PoD                                 |                          |
| Presentation before SEAC and Obtaining EC       | July 2023                |
| Commencing of Mining affecter getting EC & CTO  | Jyly2023                 |
| Completion of Mining Activity                   | As per Mining Plan & G.O |

### 5. MINING CLOSURE PLAN

#### 6.1. Progressive Mine Closure Plan

The various schedules for mining activities regarding mining of granite block, waste disposal, proposed land use pattern, environmental preservation measures, disaster management plan, etc. have been fully covered in the EIA/EMP report. Pit boundaries shall be safely fenced and used for agriculture purpose then the pit is filled with underground seepage or rain water. Afforestation and green belt development will be maintained in all the boundaries, till the trees attained the stabilized level.

## 8. REHANILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT

There will be no Rehabilitation and Resettlement in this proposed project.

### 9. SITE ANALYSIS

Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features are given below.

## 9.1. Environmentally/Ecologically Sensitive areas

This section details with the environmentally sensitive areas present within the project site and surrounding environs. It included national parks, state forest, essential habitats etc. The environmental sensitive areas covering an aerial distance of 15 km from the project boundary is given in below table.

| S.No | Monuments   | Distance<br>(~km) | Direction |
|------|---|-------------------|-----------|
| 1.   | Talagiriswara Temple and Cave containing<br>an image of Durga and Pallava inscriptions<br>togrther with adjacent land | 12.65             | ESE       |

#### Table - 13List of Monuments

### Table – 14 Lists of Water Bodies

| S.No | Water bodies    | Distance<br>(~km)   | Direction |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1.   | Pattuvoy Lake   | Adjacent to<br>Site | Ν         |
| 2.   | Palavalam Lake  | 0.06                | E         |
| 3.   | Vettavalam Lake | 1.94                | SSW       |
| 4.   | Nandan Kalva    | 4.11                | NNW       |
| 5.   | Canal Near      | 4.12                | NNW       |

|     | Nallampillaipetral  |       |     |
|-----|---------------------|-------|-----|
| 6.  | Nandan Kava         | 5.18  | E   |
| 7.  | Varaha Nadi         | 6.16  | N   |
| 8.  | Adukkam Lake        | 7.24  | SSE |
| 9.  | Pillaiyarkovil Odai | 8.22  | SSE |
| 10. | Chunnambu Odai      | 8.28  | NE  |
| 11. | Nari Ar             | 10.68 | ENE |
| 12. | Karungalikuppam     | 11.37 | NNW |
| 13. | Panamalai Eri       | 11.50 | ESE |
| 14. | Turinjal Ar         | 11.71 | W   |
| 15. | Pambai Ar           | 12.34 | SSE |

Table – 15 Lists of Reserve Forests

| S.No | <b>Reserve Forests</b> | Distance(km) | Direction |
|------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| 1.   | Pakkammalai RF         | 0.80         | E         |
| 2.   | Pulanji Malai RF       | 2.88         | SSE       |
| 3.   | Gengavaram RF          | 3.22         | SE        |
| 4.   | Adukkam RF             | 4.90         | S         |
| 5.   | Turinjikadu RF         | 5.71         | SSE       |
| 6.   | Tandavasamudram<br>RF  | 8.54         | Е         |
| 7.   | Padippallam RF         | 9.20         | ENE       |
| 8.   | Odaiyanattam RF        | 9.47         | SE        |
| 9.   | Nayanur RF             | 11.63        | SSW       |
| 10.  | Muttakadu RF           | 13.16        | NE        |
| 11.  | Tippakkadu RF          | 13.31        | W         |
| 12.  | Siruvadi RF            | 14.46        | NE        |
| 13.  | Karai RF               | 14.61        | ENE       |
| 14.  | Attippakkam RF         | 14.82        | SW        |

## **10. BASELINE STUDY**

## 10.1. Study Period

The baseline environmental surveys were carried out during (mid January 2023 -

mid April 2023) within the study area.

## 10.2. Summary of Baseline Studies:

- Site has an undulating terrain with level 156 291m Above MSL.
- The project site falls under Zone- III (Low Risk Zone) as per IS 1893 (Part-I).
- The predominant wind direction is South East during study period.
- Max Temperature: 38<sup>o</sup>CMin Temperature: 21<sup>o</sup>C&Avg Temperature: 28.32<sup>o</sup>C
- Average Relative Humidity: 74.44 %
- Average Wind Speed : 3.01 m/s

## 10.3. Ambient Air Quality

To evaluate the baseline air quality of the study area, Eight (08) monitoring locations have been identified as per annual wind predominance of Cuddalore from IMD data (1991-2020). The wind predominance during study period (mid of January 2023 to mid of April 2023) is from South East.

| S.No | Parameters<br>(µg/m³) | Minimum | Maximum | NAAQ<br>Standards |
|------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| 1.   | PM10 (μg/m³)          | 50.37   | 57.68   | 100               |
| 2.   | PM2.5 (µg/m³)         | 28.94   | 33.55   | 60                |
| 3.   | SO2 (µg/m³)           | 11.32   | 14.52   | 80                |
| 4.   | NO2 (µg/m³)           | 21.03   | 26.64   | 80                |

Table – 16 Summary of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

### 10.4. Noise Environment

Ambient noise levels were monitored using precision noise level meter in and around the project site at 10 km radius at 8 locations during study period.

| S.No | Noise level in dB( | A) Leq  | СРСВ      | Environmental |
|------|--------------------|---------|-----------|---------------|
| 1.   | Minimum            | Maximum | Standards | Setting       |
| 2.   | 50.1               | 50.1    | 75 dB(A)  |               |
|      |                    |         | Day       | Industrial    |
| 3.   | 47.6               | 47.6    | 70 dB(A)  |               |
|      |                    |         | Night     |               |
| 4.   | 51.6               | 53.1    | 55 dB(A)  |               |
|      |                    |         | Day       | Residential   |
| 5.   | 39.8               | 43.2    | 45 dB(A)  |               |
|      |                    |         | Night     |               |

Table – 17 Summary of Noise Monitoring

#### 10.5. Water Environment

The prevailing status of water quality at 08 locations for surface water and 8 locations for ground water have been assessed during the study period. The standard methods prescribed in IS were followed for sample collection, preservation and analysis in the laboratory for various physiochemical parameters.

## 10.5.1. Surface water quality

| S.No | Parameters | Minimum | Maximum | IS<br>2296:1992<br>Standards |
|------|------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| 1.   | pН         | 6.98    | 7.63    | 6.5 - 8.5                    |
| 2.   | TDS (mg/l) | 317     | 441     | 500                          |
| 3.   | COD (mg/l) | 24      | 63      | -                            |
| 4.   | BOD (mg/l) | 2.8     | 9.4     | 2                            |

## 10.5.2. Ground Water Quality

| S.<br>No | Downstein                        | Minimum | Maria   | IS 10500: 20        | )500: 2012 Standards |  |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
|          | Farameters                       | Minimum | Maximum | Acceptable<br>Limit | Permissible<br>Limit |  |
| 1.       | рН                               | 6.98    | 8.12    | 6.5 - 8.5           | NR                   |  |
| 2.       | Total Dissolved Solids<br>(mg/l) | 821     | 1353    | 500                 | 2000                 |  |
| 3.       | Total Hardness (mg/l)            | 345     | 726     | 200                 | 600                  |  |
| 4.       | Sulphate (mg/l)                  | 111     | 236     | 200                 | 400                  |  |
| 5.       | Chloride (mg/l)                  | 372     | 512     | 250                 | 1000                 |  |

• It is observed that all the collected ground water samples meets the drinking water standards (IS 10500:2012) and can be used for drinking.

## **10.6.** Land Environment

Assessment of soil characteristics is of paramount importance since the vegetation growth, agricultural practices and production is directly related to the soil fertility and quality. Soil sampling was carried out at eight (08) locations in the study area.

| S.No | Parameters (µg/m³)                   | Minimum | Maximum |
|------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 1.   | рН                                   | 6.69    | 7.54    |
| 2.   | Electrical conductivity<br>(µmho/cm) | 254     | 354     |
| 3.   | Nitrogen (mg/kg)                     | 219     | 491     |
| 4.   | Phosphorus (mg/kg)                   | 12.5    | 34.6    |
| 5.   | Potassium (mg/kg)                    | 46.7    | 98      |

| Table – | 20 Summary | of Soil | Oualitv | Monitorina                            |
|---------|------------|---------|---------|---------------------------------------|
|         |            |         | 2       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

## **11. HAZARD WASTE HANDLING**

## 11.1. Solid Waste Management

The municipal solid waste generation and management details are given in Error!

Reference source not found..

| Table – 21 Municipal Solid Waste generation & Management |           |                 |                                    |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| S. No  | Туре      | Quantity Kg/day | Disposal method                    |  |  |  |
| 1  | Organic   | 8.1             | Municipal bin including food waste |  |  |  |
| 2  | Inorganic | 5.4             | TNPCB authorized recyclers         |  |  |  |
|  | Total     | 13.5            |                                    |  |  |  |

## Table – 21 Municipal Solid Waste generation & Management

As per CPHEEO guidelines: MSW per capita/day =0.45

## 11.2. Hazardous waste Management

The type of hazardous waste and the quantity generated are detailed in Table- 15.2

| Table – 22 | Hazardous | Waste | Management |
|------------|-----------|-------|------------|
|------------|-----------|-------|------------|

| Waste<br>Category No | Description | Quantity<br>(L/Year) | Mode of Disposal  |  |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 5.1                  | Waste Oil   | 3.0                  | Will be Collected in leak proof containers<br>and disposed to TNPCB Authorized<br>Agencies for Reprocessing/Recycling |  |

# **12. POST PROJECT MONITORING**

## 12.1. Post Project Environmental Monitoring

It is imperative that the Project Authorities set up regular monitoring stations to assess the quality of the neighboring environment of the project. An environmental monitoring programme is important as it provides useful information and helps to:

| S.<br>No | Area of<br>Monitoring  | Number of<br>Sampling<br>Stations                     | Frequency<br>of<br>Sampling            | Parameters to be<br>Analyzed   |
|----------|------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1.       | Meteorology            | One   | Hourly and<br>Daily basis.             | Wind speed and direction,<br>Temperature, Relative<br>Humidity, Atmospheric<br>pressure, Rainfall. |
| 2.       | Ambient Air<br>Quality | 2 Stations (In<br>downwind)                           | Twice a<br>week:24<br>hourly<br>period | $PM_{10}$ , $PM_{2.5}$ , $SO_2$ , and $NO_2$   |
| 3.       | Noise                  | 2 (two within core<br>area and two in<br>buffer area) | Once every season                      | Ambient Equivalent<br>continuous Sound Pressure<br>Levels (Leq) at day and<br>Night time.          |

 Table – 23 Post Project Environmental Monitoring Program

| 4 | Exhaust<br>from DG set                 | Stack of DG set                             | Quarterly                             | PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> & CO |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 5 | Vehicular<br>Emissions                 | Parking area                                | Periodic<br>monitoring<br>of vehicles | Air emission and noise, PCU                                 |
| 6 | Soil                                   | Two Locations<br>within the Project<br>Site | Yearly Once                           | Physico chemical<br>properties, Nutrients,<br>Heavy metals  |
| 7 | Terrestrial<br>Ecology                 | Within 10km,<br>around the<br>project       | Once in<br>three years                | Symptoms of injuries on plants                              |
| 8 | Surface/<br>Ground<br>water<br>quality | Two Locations<br>Within Project<br>Site     | Yearly Once                           | As per ISO 10500 Standard parameters                        |

### 13. CONCLUSION

The proposed **"Pothuvai & Pazhavalam black granite & quartzo-feldspathic gneiss quarry"** will be beneficial for the development of the nearby villages. Some environmental aspects like dust emission, noise, siltation due to surface run-off, etc. will have to be controlled within the permissible limit to avoid impacts on the surrounding environment.

Necessary pollution control equipment like water sprinkling, plantation, personal protective equipments, etc., will form regular practice in the project. Additional pollution control measures and environmental conservation measures will be adopted to control/minimize impacts on the environment and socio-economic environment of the area. Measures like development of green belt and plantation along with transport road, and river banks will be implemented.

The CSR measures proposed to be adopted by the quarry management will improve the social, economic status of the nearby villages.

The overall impacts of the quarry will be positive and will result in overall socio- economic growth of nearby villages.