

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PANAMARATHUPATTI ROUGH STONE QUARRY

“B1” CATEGORY – MINOR MINERAL – CLUSTER – NON-FOREST LAND

NAME OF PROPOSED PROJECT PROPONENTS APPLYING IN CLUSTER

Sl. No.	Name	Village	Extent of Project site
1	M/s.Royal Stones	Panamarathupatti	1.00.0ha
2	Thiru. P. Siva Kumar	Panamarathupatti	1.00.0ha

CLUSTER EXTENT = 6.90.0 ha (2 Proposed + 1 Existing Quarries)

* Calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269(E) Dated: 01.07.2016

at

Panamarathupatti Village, Salem Taluk, Salem District

Complied as per ToR obtained

P1 - Lr No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.7887/SEAC/ToR-868/2020 Dated: 12.03.2021

ToR Amendment:

File No. 11175, ToR Identification: TO24B0108TN5326611A

P2 - Lr No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9500/SEAC/ToR-1308/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022

Environmental Consultant

GEO EXPLORATION AND MINING SOLUTIONS



Old No. 260-B, New No. 17,
Advaitha Ashram Road, Alagapuram,
Salem – 636 004, Tamil Nadu, India
Accredited for sector 1, Category A, 31 & 38 Category ‘B’
Certificate No : NABET/EIA/2225/RA0276



Phone: 0427-2431989,
Email: ifthiahmed@gmail.com, geothagam@gmail.com
Web: www.gemssalem.com

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB

EHS 360 LABS PRIVATE LIMITED.

10/2 Ground floor, 50th street,
7th Avenue,
Ashok Nagar,
Chennai – 600 083

Baseline Monitoring Season – March to May 2024

DECEMBER 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

Rough Stone is the major requirements for construction industry. This EIA report is prepared by considering Cumulative load of all proposed & existing quarries of Panamarathupatti Rough Stone Quarry Cluster consisting of 2 Proposed and 1 Existing Quarries with total extent of Cluster of 6.90.0 ha in Panamarathupatti Village, Salem Taluk, Salem District and Tamil Nadu State, cluster area calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 2269(E) Dated 1st July 2016.

Proponent applied for Environmental Clearance to SEIAA, Tamil Nadu and obtained ToR vide

- letter SEIAA-TN/F.No.7887/SEAC/ToR-868/2020 Dated: 12.03.2021
ToR Amendment: File No. 11175, ToR Identification: TO24B0108TN5326611A-P1
- letter SEIAA-TN/F.No.9500/SEAC/ToR-1308/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022 for carrying out EIA and EMP studies for the Rough Stone Quarry

The Baseline Monitoring study has been carried out during the period of March to May 2024 and this EIA and EMP report is prepared for considering cumulative impacts arising out of these projects, the Cumulative Environmental Impact Assessment study is undertaken, which is followed by preparation of a detailed Environmental Management Plan (EMP) individually to minimize those adverse impacts.

“Draft EIA report prepared on the basis of ToR Issued & Standard ToR for carrying out Public Hearing for the Grant of Environmental Clearance from SEIAA, - Tamil Nadu”

1.1 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT

PROPOSAL ‘P1’	
Name of the Project	M/s. Royal Stones Rough Stone Quarry
S.F. No.	1/7 (Part-7)
Extent & Classification	1.00.0 ha –Government Land
Village Taluk and District	Panamarathupatti Village, Salem Taluk, Salem District.
PROPOSAL ‘P2’	
Name of the Project	Thiru.P. Sivakumar Rough Stone Quarry
S.F. No.	1/7 (Part-11)
Extent & Classification	1.00.0 ha –Government Land
Village Taluk and District	Panamarathupatti Village, Salem Taluk, Salem District.

1.2 QUARRY DETAILS WITHIN 500m RADIUS

PROPOSED QUARRIES				
CODE	Name of the Proponent and Address	S.F. Nos	Extent	Status
P1	M/s. Royal Stones, 1.Thiru.M.Bharanitharan (Partner) 2.Thiru.P.Dharmalingam (Partner) 207A, Chinnammal Building, No.102-A, Peramanur Main Road, Four Roads, Salem - 636 007	1/7 (Part-7)	1.00.0 ha	Received for ToR Vide Lr.No.SEIAA- TN/F.No.7887/SEAC/ ToR-868//2020 Dated:12.03.2021 ToR Amendment: File No. 11175, ToR Identification: TO24B0108TN5326611A
P2	Thiru. P. Siva Kumar, S/o. S.Panneerselvam, No.268/8, 2nd Cross Street, Kattur, Alagapuram, Salem District – 636 016.	1/7 (Part-11)	1.00.0 ha	Received for ToR Vide letter SEIAA- TN/F.No.9500/SEAC/ToR- 1308/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022
TOTAL			2.00.0 ha	
EXISTING QUARRY				
CODE	Name of the Proponent and Address	S.F. Nos	Extent	Lease Period

E1	Thiru. Arjunan, S/o, Kuppusamy, 11, Poonga Nagar, 3 rd East street, Sothupakkam, Melmaruvathur Post, Cheyyar taluk, Kanchipuram District	1/7 (Part-9)	4.90.0 ha	21.10.2018 To 20.10.2028
TOTAL			4.90.0 ha	
ABANDONED QUARRIES / LEASE EXPIRED QUARRIES				
CODE	Name of the Proponent and Address	S.F.Nos	Extent	Lease Period
A1	Thiru.M.Gopi, S/o, K. Manickam, 9/145, Erumapalayam main road, Seelanaickenpatty Post, Salem-636021	1/7 (Part-1)	0.81.0	23.04.2012 to 22.04.2017
A2	Thiru.S.Karthikeyan, S/o, Subramaniagounder, 2/169, Santhiyur,Parapatti Post, Malur, Salem	1/7 (Part-3)	1.00.0	23.04.2012 To 22.04.2017
A3	Thiru.K.Devaraj, S/o, Kathavarayan, 1/41, Arumuga Pillaiyar koil Street, Gugai, Salem	1/7 (Part-4)	0.81.0	05.05.2011 To 04.05.2016
A4	Thiru.J.Mallika, W/o.R.Jayavel, Vattakadu, Karuppur Via, Omalur Taluk	1/7 (Part-5)	1.00.0	23.04.2012 To 22.04.2017
TOTAL			3.18.0 ha	
TOTAL CLUSTER EXTENT			6.90.0 ha	

Source: 1.AD Letter – Rc.No.179/2020/Mines- A Dated: 26.08.2020
2. AD Letter – Rc.No.180/2020 (Mines) Dated: 20.01.2021

Note: -

- Cluster area is calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269 (E) Dated: 01.07.2016

1.3 SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROPOSAL

Proposal – P1		
Name of the Mine	M/s. Royal Stones Rough Stone Quarry	
Toposheet No	58-I/02	
Latitude Between	11°34'25.18"N to 11°34'29.25"N	
Longitude Between	78°09'09.10"E to 78°09'13.95"E	
Highest Elevation	320m to 365m AMSL	
Proposed Depth of Mining	56 m (55m Rough Stone + 1m Topsoil)	
Water Level in the surrounds area	56 – 60m bgl	
Method of Mining	Opencast Mechanized Mining Method involving drilling and blasting	
Topography	The lease applied area is a hillock covered by topsoil formation of 1 m average thickness and massive Rough Stone Charnockite formation is notice clearly visible right from the surface as the entire area is covered by Rough Stone and Ground Level is 320m to 365m AMSL	
Machinery Proposed	Tractor mounted compressor with Jack Hammer	6
	Excavator bucket & Rock breaker attached	1
	Tippers	2
Proposed Blasting Method	Controlled Blasting Method by shot hole drilling and small dia of 25mm slurry explosive are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling is proposed.	
Manpower Proposed	20 Nos	
Mining Plan Period / Lease Period	5 Years/10 Years	
Proposed Pit Dimension	95m (L) x 80m (W) x 56m (D) (46m agl + 10m bgl)	
Nearby Water Bodies	Tank Near Veedanur	9.3km South West
	Kumaragiri Lake	9km North East

	Minnakkal Lake	8.4km South West
	Tank near Bairoji	8km South West
	Tank near Attavanaipulaveri	4.4km North West
	Thirumanimutharu River	6.3km North West
	Tank near Sandaipet	5km North West
	Panamarathupatti Lake	2.5km North East
	Tank Near Mookuthipalayam	3.3km South West
	Tank Near Gajallnayakkanpatti	2.1km North West
	Tank near Nathamedu	600m South East
500 m Radius Quarries	Proposed Quarry – 2 Nos (2.00.0 ha) Existing Quarry – 1 No (4.90.0 ha)	
Project Cost	Rs. 63,76,000/-	
CER Cost	Rs 5,00,000	
Greenbelt Development Plan	Proposed to plant 600 trees in Approach Road and nearby periphery of the village Road after consulting the local Panchayat authority and Agriculture Experts area 7.5 m & 10m Safety Zone	
Nearest Reserve Forest	Jarugumalai RF – 1.27km – Northeast	
Proposed Water Requirement	6.12 KLD	
Nearest Habitation	450m Southeast	
	Rough Stone	Topsoil
Geological Resources in m ³	5,75,950 m ³	10,000 m ³
Mineable Reserves in m ³	3,68,700 m ³	10,000 m ³
Topsoil Conservation	The above topsoil shall be excavated and dumped separately at Safety barrier Zone and subsequently will be utilized in spreading over reclaimed areas for plantation during mine closure stage. Precautions will be taken to limit the height of the topsoil dump from 3 to 4 meters in order to preserve its fertility and shelf life. It will be suitably protected from soil erosion and infertility by constructing a retaining wall at the foot wall side and by planting fodder grass and leguminous plants during temporary storage.	
Proposal -P2		
Name of the Mine	P.Sivakumar Rough Stone Quarry	
Toposheet No	58-I/02	
Latitude Between	11°34'20.22"N to 11°34'24.83"N	
Longitude Between	78°09'16.04"E to 78°09'21.73"E	
Highest Elevation	305m to 350m AMSL	
Proposed Depth of Mining	66 m (65m Rough stone+ 1m Topsoil)	
Water Level in the surrounds area	56 – 60m bgl	
Method of Mining	Opencast Mechanized Mining Method involving drilling and blasting	
Topography	The lease applied area is a hillock covered by topsoil formation of 1 m average thickness and massive Rough Stone Charnockite formation is notice clearly visible right from the surface as the entire area is covered by Rough Stone and Ground Level is 305m to 350m AMSL	
Machinery Proposed	Tractor mounted compressor with Jack Hammer	7
	Excavator bucket & Rock breaker attached	1
	Tipplers	2
Proposed Blasting Method	Controlled Blasting Method by shot hole drilling and small dia of 25mm slurry explosive are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling is proposed.	
Manpower Proposed	21Nos	
Mining Plan Period / Lease Period	5 Years/10 Years	
Proposed Pit Dimension	121m (L) x 60m (W) x 66m (D) (46m agl + 20m bgl)	
Nearby Water Bodies	Tank near Nathamedu	350m SE
	Panamarathupatti Lake	2.5km NE
	Tank Near Gajallnayakkanpatti	2.5km NW
	Tank Near Mookuthipalayam	3.5km SW
	Tank near Attavanaipulaveri	4.5km NW
	Tank near Sandaipet	5.5km NW

	Thirumanimutharu River	6.5km NW
	Tank near Bairoji	8.5km SW
	Minnakkal Lake	8.8km SW
	Kumaragiri Lake	9.km NE
	Tank Near Veedanur	9.5km SW
500 m Radius Quarries	Proposed Quarry – 2 Nos (2.00.0 ha) Existing Quarry – 1 No (4.90.0 ha)	
Project Cost	Rs. 61,75,000/-	
CER Cost	Rs 5,00,000	
Greenbelt Development Plan	Proposed to plant 600 trees in Approach Road and nearby periphery of the village Road after consulting the local Panchayat authority and Agriculture Experts area 7.5 m & 10m Safety Zone	
Nearest Reserve Forest	Jarugumalai RF – 1.17km – Northeast	
Proposed Water Requirement	2.0 KLD	
Nearest Habitation	400m Southeast	
	Rough Stone	Topsoil
Geological Resources in m ³	4,52,495 m ³	10,020 m ³
Mineable Reserves in m ³	3,20,595 m ³	10,020 m ³
Topsoil Conservation	The above topsoil shall be excavated and dumped separately at Safety barrier Zone and subsequently will be utilized in spreading over reclaimed areas for plantation during mine closure stage. Precautions will be taken to limit the height of the topsoil dump from 3 to 4 meters in order to preserve its fertility and shelf life. It will be suitably protected from soil erosion and infertility by constructing a retaining wall at the foot wall side and by planting fodder grass and leguminous plants during temporary storage.	

Source: Approved Mining Plan

1.4 STATUTORY DETAILS

Screening – P1

- The proponent applied for Rough Stone Quarry Lease Dated :06.02.2020
- Precise Area Communication Letter was issued by the District Collector Rc.No.179/2020/Kanimam/A Dated:03.06.2020
- The Mining Plan was prepared and got approved by Assistant Director, Geology and Mining Rc.No.179/2020/ Mines/ A Dated:26.08.2020
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vide online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/56965/2020 Dated:24.09.2020

Scoping – P1

- The proposal was placed in 197th SEAC meeting held on 03.02.2021 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 427rd SEIAA meeting held on 01.03.2021 and issued ToR vide Lr.No.SEIAA-TN/F.NO.7887/SEAC/ToR-868/2020 Dated:12.03.2021
ToR Amendment: File No. 11175, ToR Identification: TO24B0108TN5326611A

Screening – P2

- The proponent applied for Rough Stone Quarry Lease Dated :06.02.2020
- Precise Area Communication Letter was issued by the District Collector Rc.No.180/2020/ Mines- A Dated:22.06.2020
- The Mining Plan was prepared and got approved by Assistant Geologist/Assistant Director(I/c), Dept.of Geology and Mining, collectorate, Salem Rc.No.180/2020/ Mines- A Dated:20.01.2021
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vides online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/402134/2020 Dated:10.10.2022
-

Scoping – P2

- The proposal was placed in 331th SEAC meeting held on 24.11.2022 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 576 SEIAA meeting held on 07.12.2022 and issued ToR vide Lr.No.SEIAA-TN/F.NO.9500/SEAC/ToR-1308/2022 Dated:07.12.2022

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed projects are site specific and there is no additional area required for this project. There is no effluent generation/discharge from the proposed quarries.

Method is mining is common for all the proposed quarries in the cluster. Rough Stone is proposed to be excavated by opencast mechanized method involving splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass by jackhammer drilling and blasting, hydraulic excavators are used for loading the Rough Stone from pithead to the needy crushers and rock breakers to avoid secondary blasting.

2.1 SITE CONNECTIVITY TO THE PROJECT AREA

Nearest Roadway	(NH-7) Salem – Karur – 1Km – NW (SH-86A) Salem – Tiruchengode Road – 7Km – NW
Nearest Village/Habitation	Panamarathupatti – 2Km – SE
Nearest Town	Salem – 10Km –North
Nearest Railway	Salem – 10Km –North
Nearest Airport	Salem Airport – 25Km – NW
Seaport	Kochi – 275 km – SW

2.2 LAND USE PATTERN OF THE LEASE APPLIED AREA

Proposed – P1		
Description	Present area in (ha)	Area at the end of life of quarry (ha)
Area under quarry	Nil	0.76.0
Infrastructure	Nil	Nil
Roads	Nil	Nil
Green Belt	Nil	Nil
Un – utilized area	1.00.0	0.24.0
Grand Total	1.00.0	1.00.0
Proposed – P2		
Description	Present area in (ha)	Area at the end of life of quarry (ha)
Area under quarry	Nil	0.72.6
Infrastructure	Nil	Nil
Roads	Nil	Nil
Green Belt	Nil	Nil
Un – utilized area	1.00.0	0.27.4
Grand Total	1.00.0	1.00.0

Source: Approved Mining Plan

2.3 OPERATIONAL DETAILS OF LEASE APPLIED AREA

PARTICULARS	DETAILS-P1	
	Rough Stone (5Year Plan Period)	Topsoil (1 Year Plan Period)
Geological Resources in m ³	5,75,950	10,000
Mineable Reserves in m ³	3,68,700	7,600
Production for five-year plan period in m ³	1,79,775	7,600
Mining Plan Period	5Years	
Number of Working Days	300 Days	

Production per day in m ³	120	25
No of Lorry loads (6m ³ per load)	20 Nos	Will be preserved in safety barrier
Total Depth of Mining	56m (46m agl + 10m bgl)	
PARTICULARS	DETAILS-P2	
	Rough Stone (5Year Plan Period)	Topsoil (1 Year Plan Period)
Geological Resources in m ³	4,52,495	10,020
Mineable Reserves in m ³	3,20,595	7,600
Production for five-year plan period in m ³	1,89,025	7,260
Mining Plan Period	5Years	
Number of Working Days	300 Days	
Production per day in m ³	126	25
No of Lorry loads (6m ³ per load)	21Nos	Will be preserved in safety barrier
Total Depth of Mining	66m (46m agl + 20m bgl)	

Source: Respective Approved Mining Plans

2.4 YEAR-WISE PRODUCTION PLAN

PROPOSAL-P1		
YEAR	ROUGH STONE (m³)	Topsoil (m³)
I	36,700	7,600
II	35,675	-
III	36,525	-
IV	38,000	-
V	32,875	-
TOTAL	1,79,775	7,600
PROPOSAL-P2		
YEAR	ROUGH STONE (m³)	Topsoil (m³)
I	37,395	7,260
II	38,645	-
III	37,460	-
IV	36,330	-
V	39,195	-
TOTAL	1,89,025	7,260

Source: Approved Mining Plan

FIGURE – 1: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING APPLIED QUARRY LEASE AREA -P1



FIGURE – 1A : GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING APPLIED QUARRY LEASE AREA -P2



FIGURE – 2: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING CLUSTER (500m QUARRIES)





FIGURE – 3: TOPOSHEET MAP COVERING 10 KM RADIUS



2.5 METHOD OF MINING

The method of mining is Opencast Mechanized Mining Method is being proposed by formation of 5.0 meter height bench with a bench width not less than the bench height.

The Rough Stone is a batholith formation and the splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass will be carried out by deploying jackhammer drilling and Slurry Explosives will be used for blasting. Hydraulic Excavators attached with Rock Breakers unit will be deployed for breaking large boulders to required fragmented sizes to avoid secondary blasting and hydraulic excavators attached with bucket unit will be deployed for loading the Rough Stone into the tippers and then the stone is transported from pithead to the nearby crushers.

2.6 PROPOSED MACHINERY DEPLOYMENT

Proposal- P1				
S.NO.	TYPE	NOS	SIZE/CAPACITY	MOTIVE POWER
1	Tractor Mounted Compressor	1	40 HP	Diesel Drive
2	Jack Hammer	4	32 mm dia	Compressed air
3	Excavator with Bucket / Rock Breaker Unit	1	0.90 m ³ Bucket Capacity	Diesel Drive
4	Tippers / Dumpers	2	5/10 Tonnes	Diesel Drive
Proposal- P2				
S.NO.	TYPE	NOS	SIZE/CAPACITY	MOTIVE POWER
1	Tractor Mounted Compressor	2	40 HP	Diesel Drive
2	Jack Hammer	5	32 mm dia	Compressed air
3	Excavator with Bucket / Rock Breaker Unit	1	0.90 m ³ Bucket Capacity	Diesel Drive
4	Tippers / Dumpers	2	5/10 Tonnes	Diesel Drive

Source: Approved Mining Plan

2.7 CONCEPTUAL MINING PLAN/ FINAL MINE CLOSURE PLAN

- ✚ At the end of life of mine, the excavated mine pit / void will act as artificial reservoir for collecting rain water and helps to meet out the demand or crises during drought season.
- ✚ After mine closure the greenbelt developed along the safety barrier and top benches and temporary water reservoir will enhance the ecosystem
- ✚ Mine Closure is a process of returning a disturbed site to its natural state or which prepares it for other productive uses that prevents or minimizes any adverse effects on the environment or threats to human health and safety.
- ✚ The principle closure objectives are for rehabilitated mines to be physically safe to humans and animals, geo-technically stable, geo-chemically non-polluting/ non-contaminating, and capable of sustaining an agreed post-mining land use.

2.8 ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION

Pit	Length (Max) (m)	Width (Max) (m)	Depth (Max) (m)
I	95	80	56m (D) (46m agl + 10m bgl) (1m Topsoil + 55m Rough stone)
Pit	Length (Max) (m)	Width (Max) (m)	Depth (Max) (m)
I	167	60	66m (D) (46m agl + 20m bgl) (1m Topsoil + 65m Rough stone)

Source: Approved Mining Plan

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

Field monitoring studies to evaluate the base line status of the project site were carried out during March to May 2024 as per CPCB guidelines. Environmental Monitoring data has been collected with reference to proposed mine by EHS 360 Labs Private Limited – An ISO 9001: 2015, 14001: 2015 & 45001:2015 Certified & MoEF Recognised Laboratory, Accredited by ISO/IEC-17025:2017 (NABL) & UPPCB.

3.1 ENVIRONMENT MONITORING ATTRIBUTES

Attribute	Parameters	Frequency of Monitoring	No. of Locations	Protocol
Land-use Land cover	Land-use Pattern within 10 km radius of the study area	Data from census handbook 2011 and from the satellite imagery	Study Area	Satellite Imagery Primary Survey
Soil	Physio-Chemical Characteristics	Once during the study period	6 (1 core & 5 buffer zone)	IS 2720 Agriculture Handbook - Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi
Water Quality	Physical, Chemical and Bacteriological Parameters	Once during the study period	6 (2 surface water & 4 ground water)	IS 10500& CPCB Standards
Meteorology	Wind Speed Wind Direction Temperature Cloud cover Dry bulb temperature Rainfall	1 Hourly Continuous Mechanical/Automatic Weather Station	1	Site specific primary data & Secondary Data from IMD Station
Ambient Air Quality	PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO ₂ NO _x Fugitive Dust	24 hourly twice a week (3 Months)	8 (2 core & 6 buffer)	IS 5182 Part 1-23 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, CPCB
Noise Levels	Ambient Noise	Hourly observation for 24 Hours per location	8 (2 core & 6 buffer zone)	IS 9989 As per CPCB Guidelines
Ecology	Existing Flora and Fauna	Through field visit during the study period	Study Area	Primary Survey by Quadrate & Transect Study Secondary Data – Forest Working Plan
Socio Economic Aspects	Socio-Economic Characteristics, Population Statistics and Existing Infrastructure in the study area	Site Visit & Census Handbook, 2011	Study Area	Primary Survey, census handbook & need based assessments.

Source: Onsite Monitoring Data/Sampling by EHS 360 Labs Private Limited Laboratories

The data has been collected as per the requirement of the ToR issued by SEIAA – TN.

3.2 LAND ENVIRONMENT

S.No	CLASSIFICATION	AREA_ha	AREA_%
BUILTUP			
1	Builtup-Urban	2301.34	6.93
2	Builtup-Rural	1015.03	3.05
3	Mining Area	317.541	0.95
AGRICULTURAL LAND			
4	Agricultural Land	1359.67	4.09
5	Crop Land	14547.8	43.8
6	Fallow Land	1731.96	5.21
BARREN/WASTE LANDS			
7	Scrub Land	2779.56	8.37
8	Barren Rocky	569.334	1.71
WATER BODIES			
9	Water Bodies	660.801	1.99
10	River	68.8003	0.2
FOREST			
11	Evergreen Forest	1836.44	5.53
12	Deciduous Forest	4979.55	14.9
13	Scrub Forest	965.018	2.9
14	Forest Plantation	66.5891	0.2
Total		33199.4334	100

From the above Land Use Map, Pie Diagram and land Use Table; it is inferred that the majority of the land in the study area is Agriculture land (includes, crop land, fallow land) 53.1 % followed by Built-up area (Rural & Urban) 9.98%, Mining area 0.95%, Barren & scrub 10.8% and water bodies (Rivers Stream Canals) is around 2.19 %. The total built up mining area within the study area is 317.541 ha i.e. 0.95 %. The cluster area of 6.90.0 ha contributes about 2.17 % of the total mining area within the study area and this proposed project area of 1.00.0 ha contributes about 0.62 % of the total mining area within the study area. This small percentage of Mining Activities shall not have any significant impact on the environment.

3.3 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

Interpretation & Conclusion

- Variation in pH of the soil in the study area was found to be 7.36 to 8.46
- Mostly the soils collected from different location in the study area are Sandy clay loam in texture.
- The bulk density of the soil in the study area ranged between 0.82 – 1.23 g/cc.
- Organic carbon of the soil in the study area ranged between 0.78 - 1.53 %.
- Available Nitrogen, available phosphorous and potassium content is low.

3.4 WATER ENVIRONMENT

Surface Water

Ph:

The pH varied from 7.65 – 8.12 while turbidity found within the standards (Optimal pH range for sustainable aquatic life is 6.5 to 8.5 pH).

Total Dissolved Solids:

Total Dissolved Solids varied from 372 – 410 mg/l, the TDS mainly composed of carbonates, bicarbonates, Chlorides, phosphates and nitrates of calcium, magnesium, sodium and other organic matter.

Other parameters:

Chloride is 72.2 – 90.9 mg/l. Nitrates is 12.3 – 14.2 mg/l, while sulphates is 25.6 – 29.3 mg/l.

Whereas, the micronutrient iron (Fe) ranges from 0.28 – 29.3 mg/kg and whereas the values of zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) are in Below Detection Limit (BDL).

Ground Water

The pH of the water samples collected ranged from 6.87 to 7.82 and within the acceptable limit of 6.5 to 8.5. pH, Sulphates and Chlorides of water samples from all the sources are within the limits as per the Standard. On Turbidity, the water samples meet the requirement. The Total Dissolved Solids were found in the range of 312 to 448 mg/l in all samples. The Total hardness varied between 146.5 to 261.2 mg/l for all samples.

On Microbiological parameters, the water samples from all the locations meet the requirement. The parameters thus analysed were compared with IS 10500:2012 and are well within the prescribed limits.

3.5 AIR ENVIRONMENT

The baseline studies on air environment include identification of specific air pollution parameters and their existing levels in ambient air. The ambient air quality with respect to the study zone of 10 km radius around the proposed quarry forms the baseline information.


FIGURE – 4: WIND ROSE DIAGRAM

FIGURE – 5: SUMMARY OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY DATA

The results of ambient air quality monitoring for the period (March 2024 to May 2024) are presented in the report. Data has been compiled for three months.

As per monitoring data, PM₁₀ ranges from 41.2 µg/m³ to 46.7 µg/m³, PM_{2.5} data ranges from 21.2 µg/m³ to 28.4 µg/m³, SO₂ ranges from 6.1 µg/m³ to 10.8 µg/m³ and NO₂ data ranges from 21.2 µg/m³ to 27.4 µg/m³. The concentration levels of the above criteria pollutants were observed to be well within the limits of NAAQS prescribed by CPCB.

3.6 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

- Ambient noise levels were measured at 8 (eight) locations around the proposed project area.
- Noise levels recorded in core zone during day time were from 41.9 – 42.4 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 38.0 – 39.3 dB (A) Leq.
- Noise levels recorded in buffer zone during day time were from 39.8 – 41.1 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 37.6 – 39.5 dB (A) Leq.

3.7 ECOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

The study involved in the collection of primary data by conducting a survey in the field, examination of floral and faunal records in previously published reports and records. Analysis of the information is the view of the possible alteration in the environment of the project site. For the survey of fauna, both direct and indirect observation methods were used.

There is no schedule I species of animals observed within study area as per Wildlife Protection Act 1972 as well as no species is in vulnerable, endangered or threatened category as per IUCN. There is no endangered red list species found in the study area. Hence this small operation over short period of time will not have any significant impact on the surrounding flora and fauna.

3.8 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

It includes demographic structure of the area, provision of basic amenities viz., housing, education, health and medical services, occupation, water supply, sanitation, communication, transportation, prevailing diseases pattern as well as feature like temples, historical monuments etc., at the baseline level. This will help in visualizing and predicting the possible impact depending upon the nature and magnitude of the project.

The socio economic study of surveyed villages gives a clear picture of its population, average household size, literacy rate and sex ratio etc. It is also found that a part of population is suffering from lack of permanent job to run their day to day life. Their expectation is to earn some income for their sustainability on a long-term basis.

The proposed projects will aim to provide preferential employment to the local people there by improving the employment opportunity in the area and in turn the social standards will improve.

4. ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES – IN COMMON

In order to maintain the environmental commensuration with the mining operation, it is essential to undertake studies on the existing environmental scenario and assess the impact on different environmental components. This would help in formulating suitable management plans sustainable resource extraction.

4.1 LAND ENVIRONMENT:

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- Permanent or temporary change on land use and land cover.
- Change in Topography: Topography of the ML area will change at the end of the life of the mine.
- Movement of heavy vehicles sometimes cause problems to agricultural land, human habitations due to dust, noise and it also causes traffic hazards.
- Due to degradation of land by pitting the aesthetic environment of the core zone may be affected.
- Earthworks during the rainy season increase the potential for soil erosion and sediment laden water entering the water ways.
- If no due care is taken wash off from the exposed working area may choke the water course & can also causes the siltation of water course.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- The mining activity will be gradual confined in blocks and excavation will be undertaken progressively along with other mitigative measures like phase wise development of greenbelt etc.
- Construction of garland drains all around the quarry pits and construction of check dam at strategic location in lower elevations to prevent soil erosion due to surface runoff during rainfall and also to collect the storm water for various uses within the proposed area
- Green belt development along the boundary within safety zone. The small quantity of water stored in the mined out pit will be used for greenbelt
- Thick plantation will be carried out on unutilized area, top benches of mined out pits, on safety barrier, etc.,
- At conceptual stage, the land use pattern of the quarry will be changed into Greenbelt area and temporary reservoir
- In terms of aesthetics, natural vegetation surrounding the quarry will be retained (such as in a buffer area i.e., 7.5 m safety barrier and other safety provided) so as to help minimise dust emissions.
- Proper fencing will be carried out at the conceptual stage, Security will be posted round the clock, to prevent inherent entry of the public and cattle.

4.2 WATER ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- The major sources of water pollution normally associated due to mining and allied operations are:
 - Generation of waste water from vehicle washing.
 - Washouts from surface exposure or working areas
 - Domestic sewage
 - Disturbance to drainage course in the project area
 - Mine Pit water discharge
- Increase in sediment load during monsoon in downstream of lease area
- This being a mining project, there will be no process effluent. Waste from washing of machinery may result in discharge of Oil & grease, suspended solids.
- The sewage from soak pit may percolate to the ground water table and contaminate it.
- Surface drainage may be affected due to Mining
- Abstraction of water may lead to depletion of water table.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Garland drains, settling tank will be constructed along the individual mining leases. The Garland drains of the individual leases will be connected to settling tank and after settling the water will be discharged out to the natural drainage
- Rainwater will be collected in sump in the mining pits and will be allowed to store and pumped out to surface setting tank of 15 m x 10m x 3m to remove suspended solids if any. This collected water will be judiciously used for dust suppression onwards and such sites where dust likely to be generated and for developing green belt. The proponent will collect and judiciously utilize the rainwater as part of rainwater harvesting
- Providing benches with inner slopes and through a system of drains and channels, allowing rain water to descent into surrounding drains, so as to minimize the effects of erosion & water logging arising out of uncontrolled descent of water.
- Reuse the water collected during storm for dust suppression and greenbelt development within the mines
- Installing interceptor traps/oil separators to remove oils and greases. Water from the tipper wash-down facility and machinery maintenance yard will pass through interceptor traps/oil separators prior to its reuse;
- Using flocculating or coagulating agents to assist in the settling of suspended solids during monsoon seasons;
- Periodic analysis of quarry pit water and ground water quality in nearby villages
- Domestic sewage from site office & urinals/latrines provided in ML is discharged in septic tank followed by soak pits
- Waste water discharge from mine will be treated in settling tanks before using for dust suppression and tree plantation purposes
- De-silting will be carried out before and immediately after the monsoon season
- Regular monitoring and analysing the quality of water in open well, bore wells and surface water

4.3 AIR ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- During mining, at various stages activities such as excavation, drilling, blasting, and transportation of materials, particular matter (PM), gases such as Sulphur dioxide, oxides of Nitrogen from vehicular exhaust are the main air pollutants.
- Emissions of noxious gases due to incomplete detonation of explosive may sometimes pollute the air.
- The fugitive dust released from the mining operations may cause effect on the mine workers who are directly exposed to the fugitive dust.

- Simultaneously, the air-borne dust may travel to longer distances and settle in the villages located near the mine lease area.

MITIGATION MEASURES

Drilling – To control dust at source, wet drilling will be practiced. Where there is a scarcity of water, suitably designed dust extractor will be provided for dry drilling along with dust hood at the mouth of the drill-hole collar.

Advantages of Wet Drilling: -

- In this system dust gets suppressed close to its formation. Dust suppression become very effective and the work environment will be improved from the point of occupational comfort and health.
- Due to dust free atmosphere, the life of engine, compressor etc., will be increased.
- The life of drill bit will be increased.
- The rate of penetration of drill will be increased.
- Due to the dust free atmosphere visibility will be improved resulting in safer working conditions.

Blasting –

- Establish time of blasting to suit the local conditions and water sprinkling on blasting face
- Avoid blasting i.e., when temperature inversion is likely to occur and strong wind blows towards residential areas
- Controlled blasting includes Adoption of suitable explosive charge and short delay detonators, adequate stemming of holes at collar zone and restricting blasting to a particular time of the day i.e. at the time lunch hours, controlled charge per hole as well as charge per round of hole
- Before loading of material water will be sprayed on blasted material
- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored

Haul Road & Transportation –

- Water will be sprinkled on haul roads twice a day to avoid dust generation during transportation
- Transportation of material will be carried out during day time and material will be covered with tarpaulin
- The speed of tippers plying on the haul road will be limited below 20 km/hr to avoid generation of dust.
- Water sprinkling on haul roads & loading points will be carried out twice a day
- Main source of gaseous pollution will be from vehicle used for transportation of mineral; therefore, weekly maintenance of machines improves combustion process & makes reduction in the pollution.
- The un-metalled haul roads will be compacted weekly before being put into use.
- Over loading of tippers will be avoided to prevent spillage.
- It will be ensured that all transportation vehicles carry a valid PUC certificate
- Grading of haul roads and service roads to clear accumulation of loose materials.

Green Belt –

- Planting of trees all along main mine haul roads and regular grading of haul roads will be practiced to prevent the generation of dust due to movement of dumpers/trucks
- Green belt of adequate width will be developed around the project areas

Occupational Health –

- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored
- Annual medical check-ups, trainings and campaigns will be arranged to ensure awareness about importance of wearing dust masks among all mine workers & tipper drivers
- Ambient Air Quality Monitoring will be conducted six months once to assess effectiveness of mitigation measures proposed

\

4.4 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

Noise pollution poses a major health risk to the mine workers. Following are the sources of noise in the existing open cast mine project are being observed such as Drilling, & Blasting, Loading and during movement of vehicles.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Usage of sharp drill bits while drilling which will help in reducing noise;
- Secondary blasting will be totally avoided and hydraulic rock breaker will be used for breaking boulders;
- Controlled blasting with proper spacing, burden, stemming and optimum charge/delay will be maintained;
- The blasting will be carried out during favourable atmospheric condition and less human activity timings by using nonelectrical initiation system;
- Proper maintenance, oiling and greasing of machines will be done every week to reduce generation of noise;
- Provision of sound insulated chambers for the workers working on machines (HEMM) producing higher levels of noise;
- Silencers / mufflers will be installed in all machineries;
- Green Belt/Plantation will be developed around the project area and along the haul roads. The plantation minimizes propagation of noise;
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) like ear muffs/ear plugs will be provided to the operators of HEMM and persons working near HEMM and their use will be ensured through training and awareness.
- Regular medical check-up and proper training to personnel to create awareness about adverse noise level effects.

4.5 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

There are no National Park and Archaeological monuments within project area. There are no migratory corridors, migratory avian-fauna, rare endemic and endangered species. There are no wild animals in the area. No breeding and nesting site were identified in project site. No National Park and Wildlife Sanctuary found within 10km radius. The dumps / bunds around the mine itself act as a good barrier for entry of stray animals. In the post mining stage, barbed wire fencing is proposed all around the mined-out void to prevent fall of animals in the mine pits.

MITIGATION MEASURES

To reduce the adverse effects on natural flora/fauna status of the area due to deposition of dust generated from mining operations, water sprinkling and water spraying systems will be ensured in all dust prone areas to arrest dust generation. Methodical and well-planned plantation scheme will be carried out.

4.5.1 GREENBELT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Proposal- P1					
Year	No. of trees proposed to be planted	Survival %	Area to be covered sq.m	Name of the species	No. of trees expected to be grown
I	600	80%	Approach Road and nearby periphery of the village Road after consulting the local Panchayat authority and Agriculture Experts	Neem, Pongamia Pinnata, Naval Trees etc.,	500
Proposal- P2					
Year	No. of trees	Survival	Area to be covered sq.m	Name of the	No. of trees

	proposed to be planted	%		species	expected to be grown
I	600	80%	Approach Road and nearby periphery of the village Road after consulting the local Panchayat authority and Agriculture Experts	Neem, Pongamia Pinnata, Naval Trees etc.,	500

4.6 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT ANTICIPATED IMPACT

Employment generation due to the project will provide direct employment for about 41 persons.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Good maintenance practices will be adopted for plant machinery and equipment, which will help to avert potential noise problems.
- Green belt will be developed in and around the project site as per Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) guidelines.
- Appropriate air pollution control measure will be taken to minimize the environmental impact within the core zone.
- For the safety of workers, personal protective appliances like hand gloves, helmets, safety shoes, goggles, aprons, nose masks and ear protecting devices will be provided as per mines act and rules.
- Benefit to the State and the Central governments through financial revenues by way of royalty, tax, DMF, NMET etc, from this project directly and indirectly.

5. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES (TECHNOLOGY AND SITE)

The site has been selected based on geological investigation and exploration as below:

- Occurrence of minerals at the specific site.
- Transportation facility for materials & manpower.
- Overall impact on environment and mitigation feasibility
- Socio – economic background.

The mineral deposits are site specific in nature; hence question of seeking alternate site does not arise for this project.


6. ENVIRONMENT MONITORING PROGRAM

Usually an impact assessment study is carried over short period of time and the data cannot bring out all variations induced by natural or human activities. Hence regular monitoring program of Environmental parameters is essential to take into account the changes in the Environment.

The Objective of Monitoring -

- ✚ To check or assess the efficiency of the controlling measures;
- ✚ To establish a data base for future impact assessment studies.

6.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING CELL



6.2 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING SCHEDULE

S. No.	Environment Attributes	Location	Monitoring		Parameters
			Duration	Frequency	
1	Air Quality	2 Locations (1 Core & 1 Buffer)	24 hours	Once in 6 months	Fugitive Dust, PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , SO ₂ and NO _x .
2	Meteorology	At mine site before start of Air Quality Monitoring & IMD Secondary Data	Hourly / Daily	Continuous online monitoring	Wind speed, Wind direction, Temperature, Relative humidity and Rainfall
3	Water Quality Monitoring	2 Locations (1SW & 1 GW)	-	Once in 6 months	Parameters specified under IS:10500, 1993 & CPCB Norms
4	Hydrology	Water level in open wells in buffer zone around 1 km at specific wells	-	Once in 6 months	Depth in bgl
5	Noise	2 Locations (1 Core & 1 Buffer)	Hourly – 1 Day	Once in 6 months	Leq, Lmax, Lmin, Leq Day & Leq Night
6	Vibration	At the nearest habitation (in case of reporting)	-	During blasting Operation	Peak Particle Velocity
7	Soil	2 Locations (1 Core & 1 Buffer)	-	Once in six months	Physical and Chemical Characteristics
8	Greenbelt	Within the Project Area	Daily	Monthly	Maintenance

7. ADDITIONAL STUDIES

7.1 RISK ASSESSMENT

The methodology for the risk assessment has been based on the specific risk assessment guidance issued by the Directorate General of Mine Safety (DGMS), Dhanbad, vide Circular No.13 of 2002, dated 31st December, 2002. The DGMS risk assessment process is intended to identify existing and probable hazards in the work environment and all operations and assess the risk levels of those hazards in order to prioritize those that need immediate attention. Further, mechanisms responsible for these hazards are identified and their control measures, set to timetable are recorded along with pinpointed responsibilities.

The whole quarry operation will be carried out under the direction of a Qualified Competent Mine Manager holding certificate of competency to manage a metalliferous mine granted by the DGMS, Dhanbad. Risk Assessment is all about prevention of accidents and to take necessary steps to prevent it from happening.

7.2 DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Disaster Management Plan is aimed to ensure safety of life, protection of environment, protection of installation, restoration of production and salvage operations in this same order of priorities.

The objective of the Disaster Management Plan is to make use of the combined resources of the mine and the outside services to achieve the following:

- ✚ Rescue and medical treatment of casualties;
- ✚ Safeguard other people;
- ✚ Minimize damage to property and the environment;
- ✚ Initially contain and ultimately bring the incident under control;
- ✚ Secure the safe rehabilitation of affected area; and
- ✚ Preserve relevant records and equipment for the subsequent inquiry into the cause and circumstances of the emergency.

7.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACT STUDY

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF ROUGH STONE

Quarry	Proposed 5 Year Mining Plan Period Reserves in m ³	Avg. Per Year Production m ³	Per Day Production m ³	Number of Lorry Load Per Day
P1	1,79,775	35,951	120	20
P2	1,89,025	37,805	126	21
E1	13,29,355	2,65,871	886	147
TOTAL	16,98,155	3,39,627	1,132	188

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF TOPSOIL

Quarry	Proposed 5 Year Mining Plan Period Reserves in m ³	Avg. Per Year Production m ³	Per Day Production m ³	Internal Trips – Lorry Load Per Day
P1	7,600	1,520	6	1
P2	7,260	7,260	8	2
E1	47,038	9,400	31	6
TOTAL	61,898	18,180	45	9

PREDICTED NOISE INCREMENTAL VALUES IN 500 M RADIUS QUARRIES

Location ID	Background Value (Day) dB(A)	Incremental Value dB(A)	Total Predicted dB(A)	Residential Area Standards dB(A)
Habitation Near P1-450m	48.2	47	50.7	55
Habitation Near P1-450m	48.2	47	50.7	
Habitation Near E1	44.6	46.4	48.6	

Source: Lab Monitoring Data

ANTICIPATED GROUND VIBRATIONS IN CLUSTER

Location ID	Maximum Charge in kgs	Nearest Habitation in m	PPV in mm/s
P1	32	450 South East	0.455
P2	48	400 South East	0.456
E1	56	410 South West	0.612

SOCIO ECONOMIC BENEFITS FROM CLUSTER

Location ID	Project Cost	CER
P1	Rs. 63,76,000/-	Rs 5,00,000/-
P2	Rs. 57,95,000/-	Rs 5,00,000/-
E1	Rs. 3,06,61,000/-	Rs 6,12,000/-
Total	Rs. 4,28,32,000 /-	16,12,000 /-

EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Location ID	Direct Employment	Indirect Employment
P1	20 Nos	10 Nos
P2	21 Nos	10 Nos
E1	25 Nos	10 Nos
Total	66 Nos	30 Nos

GREENBELT DEVELOPMENT BENEFITS FROM CLUSTER

Code	No of Trees proposed to be planted	Survival %	Area Covered Sq.m	Name of the Species	No. of Trees expected to be grown
P1	600	80%	Approach Road and nearby periphery of the village Road after consulting the local Panchayat authority and Agriculture Experts	Neem, Pungam, Naval, Vannimaram, Panai, Puvarasu, etc.,	500
P2	600	80%			500
Total	1200	80%			-

8. PROJECT BENEFITS

Two Proposed Projects for Quarrying Rough Stone at Panamarathupatti Village aims to produce cumulatively 3,68,800 m³ Rough Stone & 14,860 m³ of Topsoil over a period of 5 Years. This will enhance the socio-economic activities in the adjoining areas and will result in the following benefits

- ✚ Increase in Employment Potential
- ✚ Improvement in Socio-Economic Welfare
- ✚ Improvement in Physical Infrastructure
- ✚ Improvement in Social infrastructure

9. ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Environment Monitoring Cell formed by the mine management will ensure effective implementation of environment management plan and to ensure compliance of environmental statutory guidelines through Mine Management Level.

The said team will be responsible for:

- ✚ Monitoring of the water/ waste water quality, air quality and solid waste generated
- ✚ Analysis of the water and air samples collected through external laboratory
- ✚ Implementation and monitoring of the pollution control and protective measures/ devices which shall include financial estimation, ordering, installation of air pollution control equipment, waste water treatment plant, etc.
- ✚ Co-ordination of the environment related activities within the project as well as with outside agencies
- ✚ Collection of health statistics of the workers and population of the surrounding villages

- ✚ Green belt development
- ✚ Monitoring the progress of implementation of the environmental monitoring programme
- ✚ Compliance to statutory provisions, norms of State Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment and Forests and the conditions of the environmental clearance as well as the consents to establish and consents to operate.

10. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from overall assessment of the impacts, in terms of positive and negative effects on various environmental components, that the mining activities will not have any adverse effect on the surrounding environment.

To mitigate any impacts due to the mining activities, a well-planned EMP and a detailed post project monitoring system is provided for regular monitoring and immediate rectification at site. Due to the cluster quarrying activities, socio economic conditions in and around the project site will be improved substantially. Hence, the Prior Environmental Clearance shall be granted at the earliest.