

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Environmental Clearance under EIA Notification – 2006 Schedule Sl. No. 1 (a) (i): Mining Project

“B1” CATEGORY (Cluster) – MINOR MINERAL – CLUSTER –

PATTA LAND

MUDALIPALAYAM ROUGH STONE AND GRAVEL QUARRY

CLUSTER EXTENT – 5.28.41 Ha

| PROJECT PROPONENTS  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| <b>Thiru. R. Karthick,</b><br>S/o. Rajendran,<br>No.72, Kavilipalayampudhur,<br>Velampalayam,<br>Tiruppur District,<br>Tamil Nadu – 641 652.<br><b>Extent: 1.61.95 Ha</b>   | <b>Tmt. G. Susila,</b><br>W/o. Gunasekaran,<br>No. 1/241, Milk society opposite,<br>Kuppusamynaidupuram,<br>Semmipalayam, Palladam<br>Tiruppur District-641 662.<br><b>Extent: 1.21.46 Ha</b>   |  |
| PROJECT LOCATION  | PROPOSED PRODUCTION   |  |
| S.F.Nos.: 984/2A1(Part)<br>& 986/B1(Part)<br><br>Mudalipalayam Village,<br><br>Kangayam Taluk,<br><br>Tiruppur District.  | <b>Reserves for P1:</b><br>1,89,560 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone,<br>& 24,000 m <sup>3</sup> of Gravel<br><br>Peak Production = 39,600m <sup>3</sup> of<br>Rough Stone &<br>10,560 m <sup>3</sup> of Gravel<br><br>Proposed Depth = <b>37m bgl</b> | <b>Reserves for P2:</b><br>94,511 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone,<br>& 4,176 m <sup>3</sup> of Gravel<br><br>Peak Production = 19,526m <sup>3</sup> of<br>Rough Stone & 4,176 m <sup>3</sup> of Gravel<br><br>Proposed Depth = <b>42m bgl</b> |
| <b>ToR Identification</b>   | <b>TO24B0108TN5280988N Dated: 24/05/2024 – P1</b><br><b>TO24B0108TN5642030N Dated: 07/09/2024 – P2</b>  |  |
| <b>Environmental Consultant</b><br><b>GEO EXPLORATION AND MINING SOLUTIONS</b><br>Old No. 260-B, New No. 17,<br>Advaitha Ashram Road, Alagapuram,<br>Salem – 636 004, Tamil Nadu, India<br><b>Accredited for sector 1 Cat ‘A’, sector 31 &amp; 38 Cat<br/>‘B’</b><br><b>Certificate No : NABET/EIA/2225/RA 0276</b><br>Phone: 0427-2431989,<br>Email: infogeoexploration@gmail.com<br><b>Web: www.gemssalem.com</b> |    | <b>Laboratory</b><br><b>EHS 360 LABS PRIVATE LIMITED,</b><br>Approved by ISO:9001:2015, NABL, FSSAI,<br>Experts in QHSE<br><br>10/2 Ground floor, 50 <sup>th</sup> street, 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue,<br>Ashok Nagar, Chennai – 600 083.        |
| <b>Baseline Monitoring Period</b>   |   |  |
| <b>MARCH TO MAY 2024</b>  |   |  |
| <b>AUGUST 2024</b>  |   |  |

\* Calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269(E) Dated: 01.07.2016

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rough Stone quarry are the major requirements for construction industry. This EIA report is prepared by considering Cumulative load of all proposed & existing quarries of Mudalipalayam Rough Stone and Gravel Quarries Cluster consisting of 2 Proposed and 1 Existing quarries with total extent of Cluster of 5.28.41Ha in Mudalipalayam Village, Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu State, cluster area calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 2269(E) Dated 1st July 2016.

The proponent has obtained necessary statutory clearances from the Department of Geology and Mining, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu (Statutory Clearance Documents are enclosed along with Mining plan as Annexure No III). The total Extent of the quarries within the radius of 500m from this proposal is > 5Ha, hence the proposal falls under “B1” Category project as per the EIA notification, 2006 (As amended timely).

Proponent applied for Environmental Clearance to SEIAA, Tamil Nadu and obtained

| CODE | Name of the proponent | Extent (Ha) | Terms of Reference (ToR)              |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| P1   | Thiru. R. Karthick    | 1.61.95 ha  | TO24B0108TN5280988N Dated: 24/05/2024 |
| P2   | Tmt. G. Susila        | 1.21.46 ha  | TO24B0108TN5642030N Dated: 07/09/2024 |

for carrying out EIA and EMP studies for the rough stone quarry.

To carry out the EIA studies and to prepare EIA and EMP studies the proposed & existing quarries of Mudalipalayam Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster Quarries have engaged a consultant M/s. Geo Exploration and Mining Solutions, Salem, Tamil Nadu. The Baseline Monitoring study has been carried out during Post Monsoon season (Mar 2024 – May 2024) considering the provisions of MoEF & CC Office Memorandum Dated: 29.08.2017 and MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 996 (E) Dated: 10.04.2015.

### **“Draft EIA report prepared on the basis of ToR Issued for carrying out public hearing for the grant of Environmental Clearance from SEIAA, Tamil Nadu”**

#### 1.1 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT

| Project                       | P1  | P2   |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Name of the Project Proponent | Thiru.R. Karthick   | Tmt.G. Susila  |
| Address                       | S/o. Rajendran,<br>No.72, Kavilipalayampudhur,<br>Velampalayam,<br>Tiruppur District,<br>Tamil Nadu State – 641 652 | W/o. Gunasekaran,<br>No.1/241, milk Society opposite,<br>Kuppusamynaidupuram,<br>Semmipalayam, Palladam,<br>Tiruppur District,<br>Tamil Nadu State – 641 662 |
| Mobile                        | +91 98430 17407   | +91 85086 77996  |
| Email                         | <a href="mailto:yuvaanraja@gmail.com">yuvaanraja@gmail.com</a>  | <a href="mailto:sritirupathybluemetals@gmail.com">sritirupathybluemetals@gmail.com</a>   |
| Status                        | Individual  | Individual   |

**1.2 QUARRY DETAILS WITHIN 500 M RADIUS**

| <b>PROPOSED QUARRIES</b>    |  |                |                 |                     |   |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|
| <b>CODE</b>                 | <b>Name of the Owner</b>   | <b>Village</b> | <b>S.F. Nos</b> | <b>Extent in Ha</b> | <b>Status</b>   |
| <b>P1</b>                   | <b>Thiru.R. Karthick,</b><br>S/o. Rajendran,<br>No.72,<br>Kavilipalayampudhur,<br>Velampalayam,<br>Tiruppur District,<br>Tamil Nadu – 641 652                      | Mudalipalayam  | 984/2A1(Part)   | 1.61.95<br>Ha       | ToR Identification:<br>T024B0108TN5280988<br>N Dated: 24/05/2024. |
| <b>P2</b>                   | <b>Tmt. G. Susila,</b><br>W/o. Gunasekaran,<br>No. 1/241, Milk society<br>opposite,<br>Kuppusamynaidupuram,<br>Semmipalayam, Palladam<br>Tiruppur District-641 662 | Mudalipalayam  | 986/B1(Part)    | 1.21.46<br>Ha       | ToR Identification:<br>T024B0108TN5642030<br>N Dated: 07/06/2024. |
| <b>TOTAL EXTENT</b>         |  |                |                 | <b>2.83.41</b>      |   |
| <b>EXISTING QUARRIES</b>    |  |                |                 |                     |   |
| <b>CODE</b>                 | <b>Name of the Owner</b>   | <b>Village</b> | <b>S.F. Nos</b> | <b>Extent in Ha</b> | <b>Status</b>   |
| E-1                         | M/s. Sri Muthukumar<br>Blue Metals,<br>No. 94-C, Kundadam,<br>Uthiyur Road,<br>Kolumanguli Village,<br>Dharapuram Taluk,<br>Tiruppur District – 638<br>703.        | Mudalipalayam  | 986/B2A (P)     | 2.45.0              | 22.01.2024 to<br>21.01.20234                                      |
| <b>TOTAL EXTENT</b>         |  |                |                 | <b>2.45.0</b>       |   |
| <b>TOTAL CLUSTER EXTENT</b> |  |                |                 | <b>5.28.41</b>      |   |

Cluster area is calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269 (E) Dated: 01.07.2016

**TABLE 1.3 SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROPOSAL - P1**

|                             |  |                       |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Name of the Project         | Thiru. R. Karthick Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry   |                       |
| S.F. No.                    | 984/2A1 (Part)   |                       |
| Extent                      | 1.61.95 ha   |                       |
| Village, Taluk and District | Mudalipalayam Village, Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District.  |                       |
| Land Type                   | It is a Patta Land, registered in the name of Thiru. B. Maheskumar vide patta No. 1035. The Applicant registered lease deed with the pattadhar |                       |
| Toposheet No                | 58-F/09  |                       |
| Latitude between            | 10° 52' 29.32"N to 10° 52' 33.27"N   |                       |
| Longitude between           | 77° 31' 10.08"N to 77° 31' 15.88"E   |                       |
| Elevation of the area       | 295m AMSL  |                       |
| Lease period                | 5 Years  |                       |
| Mining Plan period          | 5 years  |                       |
| Proposed Depth of Mining    | 37m bgl<br>(2m Gravel + 35m Rough stone)   |                       |
| Geological Resources        | Rough Stone in m <sup>3</sup>  | Gravel m <sup>3</sup> |
|                             | 5,63,570   | 32,204                |
| Mineable Reserves           | 1,89,560   | 24,000                |

|                                     |   |           |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Year wise Production for Five years | 1,89,560  | 24,000    |
| Peak Production                     | 39,660  | 10,560    |
| Ultimate Pit Dimension              | 150m (L) x 80m (W) x 37m(D) bgl   |           |
| Water Level in the region           | 58-62 m bgl   |           |
| Method of Mining                    | Opencast Mechanized Mining Method involving drilling and Controlled blasting using Slurry Explosives  |           |
| Topography                          | The lease applied area is exhibits plain terrain. The area has gentle sloping towards Eastern side and altitude of the area is 295m above from Mean Sea level. The area is covered by 2m thickness of Gravel and followed by Massive Charnockite which is clearly inferred from the surface outcrops & nearby existing quarry pit situated on the eastern side. |           |
| Machinery proposed                  | Jack Hammer   | 6 Nos     |
|                                     | Compressor  | 2 Nos     |
|                                     | Excavator with Bucket and Rock Breaker  | 1 No      |
|                                     | Tippers   | 3 Nos     |
|                                     | Water Sprinkling Tanker   | 1 No      |
| Blasting Method                     | Controlled Blasting Method by shot hole drilling and small dia of 25mm slurry explosive are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone.   |           |
| Proposed Manpower Deployment        | 27 Nos  |           |
| Project Cost                        | Rs. 46,01,000/-   |           |
| EMP Cost                            | Rs. 3,80,000/-  |           |
| Total Project cost                  | Rs. 49,81,000/-   |           |
| CER Cost                            | Rs. 5,00,000/-  |           |
| Nearby Water Bodies                 | Varatukarai Odai  | 1.06Km_SE |
|                                     | Odai  | 6.1Km_N   |
|                                     | Amaravathi River  | 8.1Km_SE  |
| Greenbelt Development Plan          | Proposed to plant 810 Nos of trees considering 500 Nos of trees/ Ha criteria<br>The plantation will be developed around the project site and nearby village roads   |           |
| Proposed Water Requirement          | 2.0 KLD   |           |
| Nearest Habitation                  | 750m – South West   |           |
| Nearest Reserve Forest              | Uthiyur R.F – 705.48 m – North (Source - TNGIS)   |           |
| Nearest Wild Life Sanctuary         | Vellode Birds Sanctuary – 43 Km – NE  |           |

Source: Approved Mining & Land Documents.

**TABLE 1.4 SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROPOSAL – P2**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Name of the Project         | Tmt. G. Susila Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry   |
| S.F. No.                    | 986/B1 (Part)  |
| Extent                      | 1.21.46 ha   |
| Village, Taluk and District | Mudalipalayam Village, Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District.                                  |
| Land Type                   | It is a Patta Land, registered in the name of applicant (Tmt.G.Susila) vide patta No.1026. |
| Toposheet No                | 58-F/09  |
| Latitude between            | 10° 52' 23.36"N to 10° 52' 27.95"N   |
| Longitude between           | 77° 31' 03.24"N to 77° 31' 06.43"E   |
| Elevation of the area       | 279m AMSL  |
| Lease period                | 5 Years  |
| Mining Plan period          | 5 years  |

|                                     |   |                       |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Proposed Depth of Mining            | 42m bgl<br>(2m Gravel + 40m Rough stone)  |                       |
| Geological Resources                | Rough Stone in m <sup>3</sup>   | Gravel m <sup>3</sup> |
|                                     | 3,68,926  | 8,396                 |
| Mineable Reserves                   | 94,511  | 4,176                 |
| Year wise Production for Five years | 94,511  | 4,176                 |
| Peak Production                     | 19,526  | 4,176                 |
| Existing Pit Dimension              | 128m (L) x 84m (W) x 18m(D) bgl   |                       |
| Ultimate Pit Dimension              | 128m (L) x 84m (W) x 42m(D) bgl   |                       |
| Water Level in the region           | 58-62 m bgl   |                       |
| Method of Mining                    | Opencast Mechanized Mining Method involving drilling and Controlled blasting using Slurry Explosives  |                       |
| Previous History                    | The lease was previously operated by the Thiru.T.Gunasekaran vide proceeding's No. 384/Mines/2016, Dated: 16.04.2018 of the period of 16.04.2018 – 15.04.2023 with EC: Lr.No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.5898/1(a)/EC.No.3900/2016, Dated: 18.11.2016   |                       |
| Topography                          | The lease applied area is exhibits plain terrain. The area has gentle sloping towards Southeast side and altitude of the area is 279m above from Mean Sea level. The area is covered by 2m thickness of Gravel and followed by Massive Charnockite which is clearly inferred from the surface outcrops & nearby existing quarry pit situated on the eastern side. |                       |
| Machinery proposed                  | Jack Hammer   | 3 Nos                 |
|                                     | Compressor  | 1 Nos                 |
|                                     | Excavator with Bucket and Rock Breaker  | 1 No                  |
|                                     | Tipplers  | 2 Nos                 |
|                                     | Water Sprinkling Tanker   | 1 No                  |
| Blasting Method                     | Controlled Blasting Method by shot hole drilling and small dia of 25mm slurry explosive are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone.   |                       |
| Proposed Manpower Deployment        | 20 Nos  |                       |
| Project Cost                        | Rs. 37,17,000/-   |                       |
| EMP Cost                            | Rs. 3,80,000/-  |                       |
| Total Project cost                  | Rs. 40,97,000/-   |                       |
| CER Cost                            | Rs. 5,00,000/-  |                       |
| Nearby Water Bodies                 | Varatukarai Odai  | 1.07Km_SE             |
|                                     | Odai  | 6.2Km_N               |
|                                     | Amaravathi River  | 8.5Km_SE              |
| Greenbelt Development Plan          | Proposed to plant 600 Nos of trees considering 500 Nos of trees/ Ha criteria<br>The plantation will be developed around the project site and nearby village roads   |                       |
| Proposed Water Requirement          | 1.0 KLD   |                       |
| Nearest Habitation                  | 500m – South West   |                       |
| Nearest Reserve Forest              | Uthiyur R.F – 705.48 m – North (Source - TNGIS)   |                       |
| Nearest Wild Life Sanctuary         | Vellode Birds Sanctuary – 43 Km – NE  |                       |

Source: Approved Mining & Land Documents.

## 1.5 STATUTORY DETAILS

### Project – P1

- Proponent applied for Rough Stone and Gravel quarry lease on 20.03.2023
- Precise area communication letter was issued by the District Collector vide RC. No. 110/Kanimam/2023 Dated 24.01.2024.
- The Mining Plan was prepared by Recognized Qualified Person and approved by Assistant Director, Geology and Mining, Tiruppur District, vide RC. No. 110/Mines/2023 Dated 15.02.2024
- The proposed project falls under “B1” Category as per Order Dated: 04.09.2018 & 13.09.2018 passed by Hon'ble National Green tribunal, New Delhi in O.A. No. 173 of 2018 & O.A. No, 186 of 2016 and MoEF & CC Office Memorandum F. No. L-11011/175/2018-IA-II (M) Dated: 12.12.2018
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vide online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/465628/2024. dated: 11.03.2024
- The proposal was placed in 457<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 03.04.2024 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 716<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 03.05.2024 and issued Terms of Reference Identification: T024B0108TN5280988N Dated 24.05.2024

### Project – P2

- Proponent applied for Rough Stone and Gravel quarry lease on 21.03.2023
- Precise area communication letter was issued by the District Collector vide RC. No. 112/Kanimam/2023 Dated 12.02.2024.
- The Mining Plan was prepared by Recognized Qualified Person and approved by Assistant Director, Geology and Mining, Tiruppur District, vide RC. No. 112/Mines/2023 Dated 14.03.2024
- The proposed project falls under “B1” Category as per Order Dated: 04.09.2018 & 13.09.2018 passed by Hon'ble National Green tribunal, New Delhi in O.A. No. 173 of 2018 & O.A. No, 186 of 2016 and MoEF & CC Office Memorandum F. No. L-11011/175/2018-IA-II (M) Dated: 12.12.2018
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vide online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/469431/2024. dated: 16.04.2024
- The proposal was placed in 467<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 16.05.2024 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 726<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 03.06.2024 and issued Terms of Reference Identification: T024B0108TN5642030N Dated 07.06.2024

## 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is site specific and there is no additional area required for this project. There is no effluent generation/discharge from the proposed quarries. Rough Stone is proposed to be excavated by opencast mechanized method involving splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass by jackhammer drilling and blasting, hydraulic excavators are used for loading the Rough Stone from pit head to the needy crushers and rock breakers to avoid secondary blasting.

## 2.1 SITE CONNECTIVITY TO THE PROJECT AREA

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| Nearest Roadway         | NH – 381 – Tiruppur – Oddanchatram -5.8 km – South West<br>SH – 83A – Kangeyam – Dharapuram -1.0km – North East |
| Nearest Village         | Karukkampalayam – 1.0Km – South East  |
| Nearest Town            | Kangayam – 15.2 km – Northeast  |
| Nearest Railway Station | Uttukuli –31.9Km – North West   |
| Nearest Airport         | Coimbatore – 55km – North West  |
| Seaport                 | Thoothukudi - 244km – South West  |

## 2.2 LAND USE PATTERN OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

### LAND USE PATTERN-P1

| Description          | Present area (Ha) | Area required during the first five year (Ha) | Area at the end of this quarrying period (Ha) |
|----------------------|-------------------|---|---|
| Area under quarrying | Nil               | 1.23.30                                       | 0.74.8  |
| Infrastructure       | Nil               | 0.01.00                                       | 0.01.0  |
| Roads                | Nil               | 0.02.00                                       | 0.02.0  |
| Green Belt           | Nil               | 0.24.00                                       | 0.08.2  |
| Unutilized Area      | 1.61.95           | 0.11.65                                       | 0.05.0  |
| <b>Grand Total</b>   | <b>1.61.95</b>    | <b>1.61.95</b>                                | <b>1.61.95</b>                                |

### LAND USE PATTERN-P2

| Description          | Present area (Ha) | Area at the end of this quarrying period (Ha) |
|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| Area under quarrying | 0.75.7            | 1.00.6  |
| Infrastructure       | Nil               | 0.01.0  |
| Roads                | 0.01.0            | 0.02.0  |
| Green Belt           | Nil               | 0.13.9  |
| Unutilized Area      | 0.44.7            | 0.03.9  |
| <b>Grand Total</b>   | <b>1.21.4</b>     | <b>1.21.4</b>                                 |

## 2.3 OPERATIONAL DETAILS FOR PROPOSED PROJECTS

### RESOURCES AND RESERVES-P1

| PARTICULARS                                   | DETAILS  |                          |
|---|--|--------------------------|
|   | Rough Stone in m <sup>3</sup>                        | Gravel in m <sup>3</sup> |
| Geological Resources                          | 5,63,570   | 33,204                   |
| Mineable Reserves                             | 1,89,560   | 24,000                   |
| Production for five-year plan period          | 1,89,560   | 24,000                   |
| Peak Production                               | 39,660   | 10,560                   |
| Mining Plan Period / Lease Applied Period     | 5 Years  |                          |
| Number of Working Days                        | 300 Days   |                          |
| Production per day                            | 133  | 36                       |
| No of Lorry loads (12m <sup>3</sup> per load) | 12   | 3                        |
| Total Depth of Mining                         | 37m (2m Gravel +35m Rough stone) below ground level. |                          |

Source: Approved Mining Plan

**RESOURCES AND RESERVES-P2**

| PARTICULARS                                   | DETAILS  |                          |
|---|--|--------------------------|
|   | Rough Stone in m <sup>3</sup>                        | Gravel in m <sup>3</sup> |
| Geological Resources                          | 3,68,926   | 8,396                    |
| Mineable Reserves                             | 94,511   | 4,176                    |
| Production for five-year plan period          | 94,511   | 4,176                    |
| Peak Production                               | 19,526   | 4,176                    |
| Mining Plan Period / Lease Applied Period     | 5 Years  |                          |
| Number of Working Days                        | 300 Days   |                          |
| Production per day                            | 66   | 14                       |
| No of Lorry loads (12m <sup>3</sup> per load) | 6  | 1                        |
| Total Depth of Mining                         | 42m (2m Gravel +40m Rough stone) below ground level. |                          |

Source: Approved mining plan.

**FIGURE – 1: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING PROJECT AREA-P1-P2**




**SATELLITE IMAGERY OF P1**






SATELLITE IMAGERY OF P2


**FIGURE – 2: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING CLUSTER (500 m QUARRIES)**




**FIGURE – 3: TOPOSHEET MAP COVERING 10 KM RADIUS**



**FIGURE 4: QUARRY LEASE PLAN / SURFACE PLAN P1**



**FIGURE 5: QUARRY LEASE PLAN / SURFACE PLAN P2**



## 2.4 METHOD OF MINING

Opencast Mechanized Mining Method is being proposed by formation of 5.0-meter height bench with a bench width not less than the bench height. However, as far as the quarrying of Rough Stone is concerned, observance of the provisions of Regulation 106 (2) (b) as above is seldom possible due to various inherent petro genetic factors coupled with mining difficulties. Hence it is proposed to obtain relaxation to the provisions of the above regulation from the Director of Mines Safety for which necessary provision is available with the Regulation 106 (2) (b) of MMR-1961, under Mine Act – 1952.

The top layer of Topsoil will be Excavate directly by Hydraulic Excavators and preserved all along the safety barrier to facilitate greenbelt development during Mine Closure Stage. The Rough Stone is a batholith formation and the splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass will be carried out by deploying jackhammer drilling and Slurry Explosives will be used for blasting. Hydraulic Excavators attached with Rock Breakers unit will be deployed for breaking large boulders to required fragmented sizes to avoid secondary blasting and hydraulic excavators attached with bucket unit will be deployed for loading the Rough Stone into the tippers and then the stone is transported from pithead to the nearby crushers.

## 2.5 PROPOSED MACHINERY DEPLOYMENT

### PROPONENT – P1

| S.NO. | TYPE                                   | NOS | SIZE/CAPACITY | MOTIVE POWER   |
|-------|--|-----|---------------|----------------|
| 1     | Jack hammers                           | 6   | 1.2m to 2.0m  | Compressed air |
| 2     | Compressor                             | 2   | 400psi        | Diesel Drive   |
| 3     | Excavator with Bucket and Rock Breaker | 2   | 300 HP        | Diesel Drive   |
| 4     | Tippers                                | 3   | 20 Tonnes     | Diesel Drive   |
| 5     | Water Sprinkling Tanker                | 1   | 10000 litres  | Diesel Drive   |

Source: Approved Mining Plan.

### PROPONENT – P2

| S.NO. | TYPE                                   | NOS | SIZE/CAPACITY | MOTIVE POWER   |
|-------|--|-----|---------------|----------------|
| 1     | Jack hammers                           | 3   | 1.2m to 2.0m  | Compressed air |
| 2     | Compressor                             | 1   | 400psi        | Diesel Drive   |
| 3     | Excavator with Bucket and Rock Breaker | 1   | 300 HP        | Diesel Drive   |
| 4     | Tippers                                | 2   | 20 Tonnes     | Diesel Drive   |
| 5     | Water Sprinkling Tanker                | 1   | 10000 litres  | Diesel Drive   |

## 2.6 CONCEPTUAL MINING PLAN/ FINAL MINE CLOSURE PLAN

The ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practical parameters such as economical depth of mining, safety zones, permissible area, etc.,

### 2.7 ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION-P1

| Length (Max) (m) | Width (Max) (m) | Depth (Max) |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 150              | 80              | 37m bgl     |

Source: Approved Mining Plan

### ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION-P2

| Length (Max) (m) | Width (Max) (m) | Depth (Max) |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 128              | 84              | 42m bgl     |

Source: Approved Mining Plan

### 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

The baseline status of the project environment is described section wise for better understanding of the broad-spectrum conditions. The baseline environment quality represents the background environmental scenario of various environmental components such as Land, Water, Air, Noise, Biological and Socio-economic status of the study area. Field monitoring studies to evaluate the baseline status of the project site were carried out covering Mar– May 2024 as per CPCB & MoEF & CC guidelines.

#### 3.1 ENVIRONMENT MONITORING ATTRIBUTES

| Attribute              | Parameters  | Frequency of Monitoring  | No. of Locations                     | Protocol  |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Land-use<br>Land cover | Land-use Pattern within 10 km radius of the study area  | Data from census handbook 2011 and from the satellite imagery  | Study Area                           | Satellite Imagery Primary Survey  |
| *Soil                  | Physio-Chemical Characteristics   | Once during the study period                                   | 6 (2 core & 4 buffer zone)           | IS 2720<br>Agriculture Handbook - Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi |
| *Water Quality         | Physical, Chemical and Bacteriological Parameters   | Once during the study period                                   | 6 (1 surface water & 5 ground water) | IS 10500& CPCB Standards  |
| Meteorology            | Wind Speed<br>Wind Direction<br>Temperature<br>Cloud cover<br>Dry bulb temperature<br>Rainfall      | 1 Hourly<br>Continuous<br>Mechanical/Automatic Weather Station | 1                                    | Site specific primary data & Secondary Data from IMD Station                        |
| *Ambient Air Quality   | PM10<br>PM2.5<br>SO2<br>NOX<br>Fugitive Dust  | 24 hourly twice a week (March to May 2024)                     | 7 (2 core & 5 buffer)                | IS 5182 Part 1-23<br>National Ambient Air Quality Standards, CPCB                   |
| *Noise Levels          | Ambient Noise   | Hourly observation for 24 Hours per location                   | 7 (2 core & 5 buffer zone)           | IS 9989<br>As per CPCB Guidelines   |
| Ecology                | Existing Flora and Fauna  | Through field visit during the study period                    | Study Area                           | Primary Survey by Quadrant & Transect Study<br>Secondary Data – Forest Working Plan |
| Socio Economic Aspects | Socio-Economic Characteristics, Population Statistics and Existing Infrastructure in the study area | Site Visit & Census Handbook, 2011                             | Study Area                           | Primary Survey, census handbook & need based assessments.                           |

Source: On-site monitoring/sampling by EHS 360 labs Pvt Ltd in association with GEMS

\* All monitoring and testing have been carried out as per the Guidelines of CPCB and MoEF & CC.

### 3.2 LAND ENVIRONMENT

To study the land use pattern of the core as well as a buffer zone, land use/land cover details have been identified/ maps have been prepared in accordance with the Standard ToR point. A visual interpretation technique has been adopted for land use supervised classification based on training site by Level III classification with 1:50,000 scale for the preparation of land use mapping. Land use pattern of the area was studied through **LISSIII, Bhuvan, NRSC**. The 10 km radius map of study area was taken for analysis of *Land use/Landcover*.

TABLE 3.1: LAND USE / LAND COVER TABLE 10 KM RADIUS

| S.No                          | CLASSIFICATION         | AREA_HA  | AREA_% |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------|
| <b>BUILTUP</b>                |                        |          |        |
| 1                             | Rural                  | 172.12   | 0.53   |
| 2                             | Mining                 | 57.53    | 0.18   |
| <b>AGRICULTURAL LAND</b>      |                        |          |        |
| 3                             | Crop Land              | 16115.85 | 49.38  |
| 4                             | Agriculture Plantation | 1642.17  | 5.03   |
| 5                             | Fallow Land            | 12815.58 | 39.27  |
| <b>BARREN/WASTE LANDS</b>     |                        |          |        |
| 6                             | Barren Rocky           | 71.68    | 0.22   |
| 7                             | Scrub Land             | 304.48   | 0.93   |
| <b>FOREST</b>                 |                        |          |        |
| 8                             | Forest Plantation      | 363.67   | 1.11   |
| 9                             | Scrub Forest           | 490.56   | 1.50   |
| <b>WETLANDS/ WATER BODIES</b> |                        |          |        |
| 10                            | Waterbodies            | 602.24   | 1.85   |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                  |                        | 32635.89 | 100.00 |

From the above table, pie diagram and land use map it is inferred that the majority of the land in the study area is Agriculture and fallow land (includes crop land) 93.68% followed by Built-up Lands – 0.71%, Scrub land – 1.50%, and Water bodies 1.85%.

The total mining area within the study area is 57.53 ha i.e., 0.18%. The cluster area of 5.28.41 ha contributes about 0.18 % of the total mining area within the study area. This small percentage of Mining Activities shall not have any significant impact on the environment.

### 3.3 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

The samples were analysed as per the standard methods prescribed in “Soil Chemical Analysis (M.L. Jackson, 1967) & Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India”. The important properties analysed for soil are bulk density, porosity, infiltration rate, pH and Organic matter, kjeldahi Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium

#### Physical Characteristics –

The physical properties of the soil samples were examined for texture, bulk density, porosity and water holding capacity. The soil texture found in the study area is Clay (34.3 % to 36.7 %) to Sandy Loam Soil and Bulk Density of Soils in the study area varied between 0.81 – 1.09 g/cc. The Water Holding Capacity and Porosity of the soil samples is found to be medium i.e., ranging from 40.9 – 46.9 %.



**Chemical Characteristics –**

- The nature of soil is slightly alkaline to strongly alkaline with pH range 6.78 to 7.65
- The available Nitrogen content range between 320.8 to 415.4 mg/kg
- The available Phosphorus content range between 1.09 to 2.84 mg/kg
- The available Potassium range between 28.7 mg/kg to 38 mg/kg

**3.4 WATER ENVIRONMENT**

The study area is studded with few tanks that serve as the source of drinking water and also their surplus feeds adjoining tanks. The rainfall over the area is moderate, the rainwater storage in open wells and trenches are in practice over the area and the stored water acts as source of freshwater for couple of months after rainy season.

**Surface Water****Ph:**

The pH varied from 8.51 to 8.71 while turbidity found within the standards (Optimal pH range for sustainable aquatic life is 6.5 to 8.5 pH).

**Total Dissolved Solids:**

Total Dissolved Solids varied from 1342 to 1397mg/l, the TDS mainly composed of carbonates, bicarbonates, Chlorides, phosphates and nitrates of calcium, magnesium, sodium and other organic matter.

**Other parameters:**

Chloride content is 113.8 to 139.5mg/l and sulphates varied from 53 to 77.6 mg/l.


**Ground Water**

The pH of the water samples collected ranged from 7.24 to 7.80 and within the acceptable limit of 6.5 to 8.5. pH, Sulphates and Chlorides of water samples from all the sources are within the limits as per the Standard. On Turbidity, the water samples meet the requirement. Total Dissolved Solids were found in the range of 826 to 1135mg/l in all samples. Total hardness varied between 356 to 452mg/l for all samples

On Microbiological parameters, the water samples from all the locations meet the requirement. The parameters thus analysed were compared with IS 10500:2012 and are well within the prescribed limits.

**3.5 AIR ENVIRONMENT**

The baseline studies on air environment include identification of specific air pollution parameters and their existing levels in ambient air. The ambient air quality with respect to the study zone of 10 km radius around the proposed quarry forms the baseline information.

**FIGURE – 6: WIND ROSE DIAGRAM**

### 3.6 SUMMARY OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

As per monitoring data, PM<sub>10</sub> ranges from 36.4 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 44.7 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> data ranges from 17.0/m<sup>3</sup> to 21.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, SO<sub>2</sub> ranges from 4.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 7.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub> data ranges from 16.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 23.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The concentration levels of the above criteria pollutants were observed to be well within the limits of NAAQS prescribed by CPCB.

### 3.7 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

Ambient noise levels were measured at 7 (Seven) locations around the proposed project area. Noise levels recorded in core zone during day time were from 40.7 – 43.1 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 35.2 – 35.3 dB (A) Leq. Noise levels recorded in buffer zone during day time were from 39.1 to 37.3 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 34.2 to 36.5 dB (A) Leq. Thus, the noise level for Industrial and Residential area meets the requirements of CPCB.

### 3.8 ECOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

The study involved in the collection of primary data by conducting a survey in the field, examination of floral and faunal records in previously published reports and records. Analysis of the information is the view of the possible alteration in the environment of the project site. For the survey of fauna, both direct and indirect observation methods were used.

There is no schedule I species of animals observed within study area as per Wildlife Protection Act 1972 as well as no species is in vulnerable, endangered or threatened category as per IUCN. There is no endangered red list species found in the study area. Hence this small operation over short period of time will not have any significant impact on the surrounding flora and fauna.

### 3.9 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

It includes demographic structure of the area, provision of basic amenities viz., housing, education, health and medical services, occupation, water supply, sanitation, communication, transportation, prevailing diseases pattern as well as feature like temples, historical monuments etc.,

at the baseline level. This will help in visualizing and predicting the possible impact depending upon the nature and magnitude of the project.

The socio-economic study of surveyed villages gives a clear picture of its population, average household size, literacy rate and sex ratio etc. It is also found that a part of population is suffering from lack of permanent job to run their day-to-day life. Their expectation is to earn some income for their sustainability on a long-term basis.

The proposed projects will aim to provide preferential 72 persons to the local people there by improving the indirect employment opportunity for 100 persons and in turn the social standards will improve.

#### **4. ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES**

In order to maintain the environmental commensuration with the mining operation, it is essential to undertake studies on the existing environmental scenario and assess the impact on different environmental components. This would help in formulating suitable management plans sustainable resource extraction.

##### **4.1 LAND ENVIRONMENT:**

###### **ANTICIPATED IMPACT**

- Permanent or temporary change on land use and land cover.
- Change in Topography: Topography of the ML area will change at the end of the life of the mine.
- Movement of heavy vehicles sometimes cause problems to agricultural land, human habitations due to dust, noise and it also causes traffic hazards.
- Due to degradation of land by pitting the aesthetic environment of the core zone may be affected.
- Earthworks during the rainy season increase the potential for soil erosion and sediment laden water entering the water ways.
- If no due care is taken wash off from the exposed working area may choke the water course & can also causes the siltation of water course.

###### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

- The mining activity will be gradual confined in blocks and excavation will be undertaken progressively along with other mitigative measures like phase wise development of greenbelt etc.,
- Construction of garland drains all around the quarry pits and construction of check dam at strategic location in lower elevations to prevent erosion due to surface runoff during rainfall and also to collect the storm water for various uses within the proposed area
- Green belt development along the boundary within safety zone. The small quantity of water stored in the mined-out pit will be used for greenbelt.

- Thick plantation will be carried out on unutilized area, top benches of mined out pits, on safety barrier, etc.,
- At conceptual stage, the land use pattern of the quarry will be changed into Greenbelt area and temporary reservoir.
- In terms of aesthetics, natural vegetation surrounding the quarry will be retained (such as in a buffer area i.e., 7.5 m safety barrier and other safety provided) so as to help minimise dust emissions.
- Proper fencing will be carried out at the conceptual stage, Security will be posted round the clock, to prevent inherent entry of the public and cattle.

## 4.2 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

### IMPACT ON SOIL ENVIRONMENT

**Erosion and Sedimentation** (Removal of protective vegetation cover; Exposure of underlying soil horizons that may be less pervious, or more erodible than the surface layers; Reduced capacity of soils to absorb rainfall; Increased energy in storm-water runoff due to concentration and velocity; and Exposure of subsurface materials which are unsuitable for vegetation establishment).

### MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SOIL CONSERVATION

- Run-off diversion – Garland drains will be constructed all around the project boundary to prevent surface flows from entering the quarry works areas. And will be discharged into vegetated natural drainage lines, or as distributed flow across an area stabilised against erosion.
- Sedimentation ponds - Run-off from working areas will be routed towards sedimentation ponds. These trap sediment and reduce suspended sediment loads before runoff is discharged from the quarry site. Sedimentation ponds should be designed based on runoff, retention times, and soil characteristics. There may be a need to provide a series of sedimentation ponds to achieve the desired outcome.
- Retain vegetation – Retain existing or re-plant the vegetation at the site wherever possible.
- Monitoring and maintenance – Weekly monitoring and daily maintenance of erosion control systems so that they perform as specified specially during rainy season.

## 4.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT

### ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- The major sources of water pollution normally associated due to mining and allied operations are:
  - Generation of waste water from vehicle washing.
  - Washouts from surface exposure or working areas
  - Domestic sewage
  - Disturbance to drainage course in the project area
  - Mine Pit water discharge

- Increase in sediment load during monsoon in downstream of lease area.
- This being a mining project, there will be no process effluent. Waste from washing of machinery may result in discharge of Oil & grease, suspended solids.
- The sewage from soak pit may percolate to the ground water table and contaminate it.
- Surface drainage may be affected due to Mining.
- Abstraction of water may lead to depletion of water table.

#### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

- Garland drain, settling tank will be constructed along the project area. The Garland drain will be connected to settling tank and sediments will be trapped in the settling traps and only clear water will be discharged out to the natural drainage.
- Rainwater will be collected in sump in the mining pits and will be allowed to store and pumped out to surface settling tank of 15 m x 10m x 3m to remove suspended solids if any. This collected water will be judiciously used for dust suppression and such sites where dust likely to be generated and for developing green belt. The proponent will collect and judiciously utilize the rainwater as part of rainwater harvesting system.
- Providing benches with inner slopes and through a system of drains and channels, allowing rain water to descent into surrounding drains, so as to minimize the effects of erosion & water logging arising out of uncontrolled descent of water.
- Reuse the water collected during storm for dust suppression and greenbelt development within the mines
- Installing interceptor traps/oil separators to remove oils and greases. Water from the tipper wash-down facility and machinery maintenance yard will pass through interceptor traps/oil separators prior to its reuse;
- Using flocculating or coagulating agents to assist in the settling of suspended solids during monsoon seasons;
- Periodic (every 6 month once) analysis of quarry pit water and ground water quality in nearby villages
- Domestic sewage from site office & urinals/latrines provided in ML is discharged in septic tank followed by soak pits
- Waste water discharge from mine will be treated in settling tanks before using for dust suppression and tree plantation purposes
- De-silting will be carried out before and immediately after the monsoon season
- Regular monitoring (every 6 month once) and analysing the quality of water in open well, bore wells and surface water.

## 4.4 AIR ENVIRONMENT

### ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- During mining, at various stages activities such as excavation, drilling, blasting, and transportation of materials, particular matter (PM), gases such as Sulphur dioxide, oxides of Nitrogen from vehicular exhaust are the main air pollutants.
- Emissions of noxious gases due to incomplete detonation of explosive may sometimes pollute the air.
- The fugitive dust released from the mining operations may cause effect on the mine workers who are directly exposed to the fugitive dust.
- Simultaneously, the air-borne dust may travel to longer distances and settle in the villages located near the mine lease area.

### MITIGATION MEASURES

**Drilling** – To control dust at source, wet drilling will be practiced. Where there is a scarcity of water, suitably designed dust extractor will be provided for dry drilling along with dust hood at the mouth of the drill-hole collar.

#### **Advantages of Wet Drilling:-**

- In this system dust gets suppressed close to its formation. Dust suppression become very effective and the work environment will be improved from the point of occupational comfort and health.
- Due to dust free atmosphere, the life of engine, compressor etc., will be increased.
- The life of drill bit will be increased.
- The rate of penetration of drill will be increased.
- Due to the dust free atmosphere visibility will be improved resulting in safer working conditions.

#### **Blasting**

- Establish time of blasting to suit the local conditions and water sprinkling on blasting face
- Avoid blasting i.e., when temperature inversion is likely to occur and strong wind blows towards residential areas
- Controlled blasting includes Adoption of suitable explosive charge and short delay detonators, adequate stemming of holes at collar zone and restricting blasting to a particular time of the day i.e., at the time lunch hours, controlled charge per hole as well as charge per round of hole
- Before loading of material water will be sprayed on blasted material
- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored.

#### **Haul Road & Transportation**

- Water will be sprinkled on haul roads twice a day to avoid dust generation during transportation.

- Transportation of material will be carried out during day time and material will be covered with tarpaulin.
- The speed of tippers plying on the haul road will be limited below 20 km/hr to avoid generation of dust.
- Water sprinkling on haul roads & loading points will be carried out twice a day.
- Main source of gaseous pollution will be from vehicle used for transportation of mineral; therefore, weekly maintenance of machines improves combustion process & makes reduction in the pollution.
- The un-metalled haul roads will be compacted weekly before being put into use.
- Over loading of tippers will be avoided to prevent spillage.
- It will be ensured that all transportation vehicles carry a valid PUC certificate.
- Grading of haul roads and service roads to clear accumulation of loose materials.

### **Green Belt**

- Planting of trees all along main mine haul roads and regular grading of haul roads will be practiced to prevent the generation of dust due to movement of dumpers/trucks.
- Green belt of adequate width will be developed around the project areas.

### **Occupational Health**

- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored
- Annual medical check-ups, trainings and campaigns will be arranged to ensure awareness about importance of wearing dust masks among all mine workers & tipper drivers
- Ambient Air Quality Monitoring will be conducted six months once to assess effectiveness of mitigation measures proposed.

## **4.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT**

### **ANTICIPATED IMPACT**

Noise pollution poses a major health risk to the mine workers. Following are the sources of noise in the existing open cast mine project are being observed such as Drilling, & Blasting, Loading and during movement of vehicles.

### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

- Usage of sharp drill bits while drilling which will help in reducing noise;
- Secondary blasting will be totally avoided and hydraulic rock breaker will be used for breaking boulders;
- Controlled blasting with proper spacing, burden, stemming and optimum charge/delay will be maintained;
- The blasting will be carried out during favourable atmospheric condition and less human activity timings by using nonelectrical initiation system;

- Proper maintenance, oiling and greasing of machines will be done every week to reduce generation of noise;
- Provision of sound insulated chambers for the workers working on machines (HEMM) producing higher levels of noise;
- Silencers / mufflers will be installed in all machineries;
- Green Belt/Plantation will be developed around the project area and along the haul roads. The plantation minimizes propagation of noise;
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) like ear muffs/ear plugs will be provided to the operators of HEMM and persons working near HEMM and their use will be ensured through training and awareness.
- Regular medical check-up and proper training to personnel to create awareness about adverse noise level effects.

#### **4.6 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT ANTICIPATED IMPACT**

The developmental programs, policies, and projects operated or managed by government or private bodies can cause potentially significant changes in the physical, biological, and socio-economic environment. In some cases, the changes may be beneficial while in others it may be detrimental to the environment. Accordingly, environmental impact studies are required for systematic identification, qualification, and interpretation of the anticipated changes. The main environmental problems associated with mining activities are deforestation, land degradation (change in topography, soil erosion), visual intrusion, disturbance to the hydrological system, and water, air, and noise pollution which ultimately impact upon the floral and faunal status of the project area.

#### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

Greenbelt means the planting of special types of plants suitable to that particular agroclimatic zone and soil characteristics in a place that will make the area cooler, reduce air pollution, prevent soil erosion, and further improve the soil fertility status. A green belt around the periphery of the boundary and roadside will be created to avoid erosion of soil, prevention of landslides, and minimize air pollution and noise pollution in the project area. Green plants are capable of absorbing air pollutants and forming sinks for pollutants. Leaves with their vast area in a tree crown, absorb pollutants on their surface, effectively reducing their concentration and noise level in the ambient.

#### **The objectives of the green belt cover will cover the following:**

- Noise abatement
- Ecological restoration
- Aesthetic, biological and visual improvement of area due to improved vegetative and plantations cover.



**GREENBELT DEVELOPMENT PLAN**

| <b>PROPOSAL FOR P1</b> |   |                  |  |                                      |
|------------------------|---|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Year</b>            | <b>No. of trees proposed to be planted</b>                                  | <b>Survial %</b> | <b>Area to be planted</b>                                  | <b>Name of the species</b>           |
| I                      | It is proposed to plant <b>810 Nos</b> of trees in the 1 <sup>st</sup> year | 80%              | Safety barrier, Unutilized areas and nearby village roads  | Neem, Pongamia pinnata, Naval, etc   |
| <b>PROPOSAL FOR P2</b> |   |                  |  |                                      |
| I                      | It is proposed to plant <b>600 Nos</b> of trees in the 1 <sup>st</sup> year | 80%              | Safety barrier, Unutilized area's and nearby village roads | Neem, Pongamia pinnata, Naavl, etc., |

**4.7 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT*****Anticipated Impacts:***

- ♣ No. of people will get employment during the construction stage resulting in the ancillary development and growth. Nearby Local people will be given preference for employment on the basis of their skill and experience.
- ♣ Further due to proposed project, influx of working community will also generate an indirect employment through development of nearby market/ shops, trade centers, activities, transportation etc.
- ♣ Population influx during the construction phase can introduce various water and vector borne diseases which can lead to various unhygienic health problems in the area by disturbing the existing sanitation infrastructure.
- ♣ Rapid diverse population influx at the project site can create unusual behavioural activity such as worker-community conflicts, increase violence such as theft/stabbing and increased consumption of drugs/alcohol within the area.
- ♣ Impacts on the health of nearby villagers can be envisaged due to the transportation activities leading to short term exposure of fugitive dust, resulting in various acute diseases such as increased eye irritation, nausea, headache etc.

**Mitigation measures:**

- ♣ Deploying of mobile toilets or the construction of temporary toilets will be done near to the construction site with the adequate water supply.
- ♣ Awareness programme will be conducted before the monsoon season regarding the spread of water borne/ vector diseases.
- ♣ Mosquito repellents will be provided in the nearby villages and at construction site to avoid the spread of diseases.
- ♣ To overcome behavioural impact, proper site in charge with timely supervision will be done. In advance, facilities with equipped medical and safety services will be provided to take a control over the incident/violence if any caused.

♣ To overcome behavioural impact, supervision will be done by site in charge. In advance, emergency cell will be formed with fully equipped communication system, medical and safety services to take control over the incident/violence caused.

#### **4.6.2 Operation Phase:**

##### ***Anticipated Impacts:***

♣ Long term exposure to the pollutants such as PM, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> dust have a potential to create health impacts such as risk of cardiovascular and respiratory disease, eye irritation, bronchitis, lung damage, increased heart ailments, etc.

♣ Other impacts, associated with the applied for rough stone quarry Project will create a positive impact as it will result in the overall development of the area in respect to the infrastructure development, educational growth, health facilities etc., as a part of the CSR activity.

##### ***Mitigation Measures:***

♣ In order to mitigate the long-term health impacts, efficient Air Pollution Control Equipment (APCE) like Bag House / Bag Filter / ESP will be installed at all major stacks to keep the emissions within the permissible limits. To reduce the gaseous emission, Pyro-process itself acts as a long SO<sub>2</sub> scrubber and De - NO<sub>x</sub> system will be installed for fuel burning along with calciner for low NO<sub>x</sub> formation. To reduce fugitive emission from vehicles and machineries will be regularly monitored and maintained.

♣ For emergency, proposed to develop an occupational health centre for its employees and nearby villagers.

#### **4.3 Impact Evaluation:**

**Table 4.3.1 Impact Evaluation Impact evaluation is given in table below.**

|                                   |  |          |            |          |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------|------------|----------|
| <b>Impact Evaluation Element</b>  | Impact on socio economics due to the applied for Mudalipalayma Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster quarries over an extent of P1 1.61.95 ha & P2 <b>1.21.46 ha</b> of Patta land of Mudalipalayam Village, Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu State. |          |            |          |
| <b>Potential Effect/ Concern</b>  | Proposed project will provide direct & indirect employment opportunities to the local residents, which will help to increase their earning and better living standard as well as further up-liftment of socio-economic status of the area.                 |          |            |          |
| <b>Characteristics of Impacts</b> |  |          |            |          |
| Nature                            | Positive   |          | Negative   | Netural  |
|                                   | ✓  |          |            |          |
| Type                              | Direct   | Indirect | Cumulative |          |
|                                   |  |          | ✓          |          |
| Extent                            | Project area   | Local    | Zonal      | Regional |
|                                   |  | ✓        |            |          |
| Duration                          | Short time   |          | Long term  |          |
|                                   |  |          | ✓          |          |

|                               |               |                   |              |                |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Intensity                     | Low           |                   | Medium       | High           |
|                               |               |                   | ✓            |                |
| Frequency                     | Remote (R)    | Occasional<br>(O) | Periodic (P) | Continuous (C) |
|                               |               |                   | ✓            |                |
| <b>Significance of Impact</b> |               |                   |              |                |
| Significance                  | Insignificant | Minor             | Moderate     | Major          |
|                               |               |                   | ✓            |                |

## 5. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES (TECHNOLOGY AND SITE)


No alternatives are suggested as all the mine sites are mineral specific.

## 6. ENVIRONMENT MONITORING PROGRAM

An Environment monitoring cell (EMC) will be constituted to monitor the implementation of EMP and other environmental protection measures in all the proposed quarries. The responsibilities of this cell will be:

- Implementation of pollution control measures
- Monitoring programme implementation
- Post-plantation care
- To check the efficiency of pollution control measures taken
- Any other activity as may be related to environment
- Seeking expert's advice when needed.

### 6.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING CELL



## 6.2 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR P1 & P2

| S.No. | Environment Attributes   | Location   | Monitoring     |                              | Parameters  |
|-------|--------------------------|--|----------------|------------------------------|---|
|       |                          |  | Duration       | Frequency                    |   |
| 1     | Air Quality              | 2 Locations<br>(1 Core & 1 Buffer)                                       | 24 hours       | Once in 6 months             | Fugitive Dust, PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> . |
| 2     | Meteorology              | At mine site before start of Air Quality Monitoring & IMD Secondary Data | Hourly / Daily | Continuous online monitoring | Wind speed, Wind direction, Temperature, Relative humidity and Rainfall                     |
| 3     | Water Quality Monitoring | 2 Locations<br>(1SW & 1 GW)  | -              | Once in 6 months             | Parameters specified under IS:10500, 1993 & CPCB Norms                                      |
| 4     | Hydrology                | Water level in open wells in buffer zone around 1 km at specific wells   | -              | Once in 6 months             | Depth in bgl  |
| 5     | Noise                    | 2 Locations<br>(1 Core & 1 Buffer)                                       | Hourly – 1 Day | Once in 6 months             | Leq, Lmax, Lmin, Leq Day & Leq Night  |
| 6     | Vibration                | At the nearest habitation (in case of reporting)                         | -              | During blasting Operation    | Peak Particle Velocity  |
| 7     | Soil                     | 2 Locations<br>(1 Core & 1 Buffer)                                       | -              | Once in six months           | Physical and Chemical Characteristics   |
| 8     | Greenbelt                | Within the Project Area  | Daily          | Monthly                      | Maintenance   |

Source: Guidance of manual for mining of minerals, February 2010

## 7. ADDITIONAL STUDIES

### 7.1 RISK ASSESSMENT

The methodology for the risk assessment has been based on the specific risk assessment guidance issued by the Directorate General of Mine Safety (DGMS), Dhanbad, vide Circular No.13 of 2002, dated 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2002. The DGMS risk assessment process is intended to identify existing and probable hazards in the work environment and all operations and assess the risk levels of those hazards in order to prioritize those that need immediate attention. Further, mechanisms responsible for these hazards are identified and their control measures, set to timetable are recorded along with pinpointed responsibilities.

The whole quarry operation will be carried out under the direction of a Qualified Competent Mine Manager holding certificate of competency to manage a metalliferous mine granted by the DGMS, Dhanbad for proposed project. Risk Assessment is all about prevention of accidents and to take necessary steps to prevent it from happening.

### 7.2 DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN

Natural disasters like Earthquake, Landslides have not been recorded in the past history as the terrain is categorized under seismic zone III. The area is far away from the sea hence the disaster due to heavy floods and tsunamis are not anticipated.

The Disaster Management Plan is aimed to ensure safety of life, protection of environment, protection of installation, restoration of production and salvage operations in this same order of priorities.

The objective of the Disaster Management Plan is to make use of the combined resources of the mine and the outside services to achieve the following:

- Rescue and medical treatment of casualties;
- Safeguard other people;
- Minimize damage to property and the environment;
- Initially contain and ultimately bring the incident under control;
- Secure the safe rehabilitation of affected area; and
- Preserve relevant records and equipment for the subsequent inquiry into the cause and circumstances of the emergency.

### 7.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACT STUDY

#### CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF ROUGH STONE IN CLUSTER

| Quarry             | Production for Five & Ten-year plan period | Per Year Production in m <sup>3</sup> | Per Day Production in m <sup>3</sup> | Number of Lorry Load Per Day |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| P1                 | 1,89,560                                   | 37,912                                | 127                                  | 11                           |
| P2                 | 94,511                                     | 18,903                                | 64                                   | 6                            |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>2,84,071</b>                            | <b>56,815</b>                         | <b>191</b>                           | <b>17</b>                    |
| E1                 | 4,10,385                                   | 41,038                                | 137                                  | 12                           |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>4,10,385</b>                            | <b>41,038</b>                         | <b>137</b>                           | <b>12</b>                    |
| <b>Grand Total</b> | <b>6,94,456</b>                            | <b>97,853</b>                         | <b>328</b>                           | <b>29</b>                    |

#### CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF GRAVEL IN CLUSTER

| Quarry             | Production for five-year plan period | Per Year Production in m <sup>3</sup> | Per Day Production in m <sup>3</sup> | Number of Lorry Load Per Day |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| P1                 | 24,000                               | 8,000                                 | 27                                   | 3                            |
| P2                 | 4,176                                | 4,176                                 | 14                                   | 1                            |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>28,176</b>                        | <b>12,176</b>                         | <b>41</b>                            | <b>4</b>                     |
| E1                 | 38,250                               | 12,750                                | 43                                   | 4                            |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>38,250</b>                        | <b>12,750</b>                         | <b>43</b>                            | <b>4</b>                     |
| <b>Grand Total</b> | <b>66,426</b>                        | <b>24,926</b>                         | <b>84</b>                            | <b>8</b>                     |

#### PREDICTED NOISE INCREMENTAL VALUES FROM CLUSTER

| Location ID        | Background Value (Day) dB(A) | Incremental Value dB(A) | Total Predicted dB(A) | Residential Area Standards dB(A) |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Habitation Near P1 | 45.6                         | 42.6                    | 47.4                  | 55                               |
| Habitation Near P2 | 45.2                         | 46.1                    | 48.7                  |                                  |
| Habitation Near E1 | 46.4                         | 45.1                    | 48.8                  |                                  |

#### SOCIO ECONOMIC BENEFITS FROM 3 MINES

| Location ID  | Project Cost             | CER                   |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| P1           | Rs. 49,81,000/-          | Rs.5,00,000/-         |
| P2           | Rs. 40,97,000/-          | Rs.5,00,000/-         |
| E1           | Rs.54,77,000/-           | Rs. 5,00,000/-        |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>Rs. 1,45,55,000/-</b> | <b>Rs.15,00,000/-</b> |

A total of 48 people will get employment due to 2 proposed mines

Allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Government of India, MoEF & CC Office Memorandum F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III, Dated: 01.05.2018 by all the mines.

## 8. PROJECT BENEFITS

The Proposed Project for Quarrying Rough Stone and Gravel at Mudalipalayam Village aims to produce 2,84,071 m<sup>3</sup> Rough Stone over a period of 10 Years and Gravel 28,176 m<sup>3</sup> for period of 3 years.

This will enhance the socio-economic activities in the adjoining areas and will result in the following benefits.

- Increase in Employment Potential
- Improvement in Socio-Economic Welfare
- Improvement in Physical Infrastructure
- Improvement in Social infrastructure

## 9. ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Environment Monitoring cell discussed formed by the mine management will ensure effective implementation of environment management plan and to ensure compliance of environmental statutory guidelines through Mine Management Level.

The said team will be responsible for:

- ✚ Monitoring of the water/ waste water quality, air quality and solid waste generated
- ✚ Analysis of the water and air samples collected through external laboratory
- ✚ Implementation and monitoring of the pollution control and protective measures/ devices which shall include financial estimation, ordering, installation of air pollution control equipment, waste water treatment plant, etc.
- ✚ Co-ordination of the environment related activities within the project as well as with outside agencies.
- ✚ Collection of health statistics of the workers and population of the surrounding villages.
- ✚ Green belt development.
- ✚ Monitoring the progress of implementation of the environmental monitoring programme.
- ✚ Compliance to statutory provisions, norms of State Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment and Forests and the conditions of the environmental clearance as well as the consents to establish and consents to operate.

## 10. CONCLUSION

Various aspects of mining activities were considered and related impacts were evaluated. Considering all the possible ways to mitigate the environmental concerns Environmental Management Plan was prepared and fund has been allocated for the same. The EMP is dynamic, flexible and subjected to periodic review. For project where the major environmental impacts are associated, EMP

will be under regular review. Senior Management responsible for the project will conduct a review of EMP and its implementation to ensure that the EMP remains effective and appropriate. Thus, the proper steps will be taken to accomplish all the goals mentioned in the EMP and the project will bring the positive impact in the study area.

\*\*\*\*\*