Application Form (Draft EIA Report) For **Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel** Quarry – 1.86.50 Ha

at

S. F Nos. 79 in Midithepalli Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State

Sector No. 1(a) (Sector No. 1 as per NABET)

Category of the Project: B1 Cluster Mining

Baseline Period: June 2023 - August 2023

Environmental Consultant & Laboratory details: Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd,



No 48, 2nd Main road, South extension Ram Nagar, Pallikaranai, Chennai -600100. Proponent details: Thiru.B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, D.No: 25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri 635 109

From

Thiru.B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy D. No: 25 Shanthi nagar (West), 2nd cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109

То

The District Environmental Engineer

Tamilnadu Pollution Control Board, Plot No:140A, SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Hosur, Krishnagiri – 635 126.

Sir,

Sub: Request to conduct Public Hearing – Environmental Clearance for the "Thiru.B.Srikar Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry" over a total extent of 1.86.50 Ha at S. F. Nos. 79 in Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu – Reg

Ref: Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9962/ ToR-1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023

Please find enclosed herewith the application of Draft EIA Report along with necessary enclosures towards seeking environmental clearance for the Thiru.B.Srikar Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry" over a total extent of 1.86.50 Ha at S. F. Nos. 79 in Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. In this regard, we had obtained the Terms of Reference from State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) TamilNadu; vide reference mentioned above for conducting EIA studies. We wish to inform that the draft EIA report complying with all the conditions mentioned in the TOR has been prepared and the copies of the same are enclosed with this letter. With reference to the above, we kindly request the TNPCB to make the necessary arrangements for **Conducting the Public hearing for the Rough Stone Quarry.** With the above, we request the TNPCB to accept and process our application for conducting the Public Hearing at the earliest.

Thanking you Yours Sincerely

Authorized Signatory Enclosures: Draft EIA report Date:

Thiru.B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy D. No: 25 Shanthi nagar (West), 2nd cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109.

UNDERTAKING

We, Thiru.B.Srikar, undertaking that the Draft Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Ha at S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State under project category B1 and Schedule S.No.1(a)

ToR issued by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9962/ ToR-1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023.

I, hereby assure that all the information and data provided in the EIA report is accurate, true and correct and owns responsibility for the same.

Place: Krishnagiri

Yours faithfully Thiru.B.Srikar

Date:

Piot No. 48A, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar, South Extension, Pallikkaranai, Chennai - 600 100 GST NO. 33AADCE6103A22H PAN NO. AADCE6103A



Eco Tech Labs Pvt Ltd

Cell No. 98400 87542 Email : info@ecotechtabs.in Website www.ecotechtabs.in CIN: U74900TN2014PTC094895

UNDERTAKING

I, Dr. A. Dhamodharan, Managing Director confirms that this Draft EIA Report of Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Ha at S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State has been prepared at M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt. Ltd., Chennai.

I also confirm that I shall be fully accountable for any miss-leading information mentioned in this Report.

A-DJonn11N

Signature:

Name: Dr. A. Dhamodharan

Designation: Managing Director

Name of the EIA Consultant Organization: M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd., Chennai.

NABET Certificate No: NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147

Date:

Place: Chennai

Declaration of Experts contributing to the EIA

Declaration by experts contributing to the EIA report for Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry (minor mineral) mining project of Thiru.B. Srikar Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry over a total extent of 1.86.50 Ha at S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State.

I, hereby certify that I was a part of the EIA team in the following capacity that developed the above EIA.

| Project | New Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry – 1.86.50 Ha |
|-----------------------|---|
| Type & Category | 1 (a) Mining of Minerals |
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar |
| Environment | M/s. Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd., |
| Consultant with their | QCI Accreditated |
| Accreditation Status | |
| NABET Certificate | NABET/ EIA/2124/ SA 0147 |
| No. | |
| EIA Coordinator | Dr. A. Dhamodharan (Mining of Minerals) |
| Name | A-D Toman |
| Signature | |
| | Dr. A. DHAMODHARAN (NABET APPROVED EIA COORDINATOR) NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147 Environmental Consultant Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd Piot No.48A, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar South Extn. Patilkaranal, Chennal - 600 100. |
| Period of Involvement | June 2023 to August 2023 |
| Contact Information | M/s. Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd. |
| | No. 48, 2nd Main Road, |
| | Ram Nagar South Extension |
| | Pallikaranai, Chennai - 600 100 |
| | Mobile: +91 9789906200 |
| | E-mail: dhamo@ecotechlabs.in |

Functional Area Experts

The basic fact division that environment and laboratory are accredited by NABL and Ministry of Environment and Forests, India and by other international bodies, stand testimony to its emphasis.

| S. No. | Functio nal areas | Name of the experts | Involvement (period and task) | Signature and date |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | AP | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Selection of Baseline Monitoring stations based on the wind direction. Interpretation of Baseline data by comparing it with standards prescribed by CPCB against the type of area. Identification of sources of air pollution and suggesting mitigation measures to minimize impact. | r.H.F. |
| 2 | WP | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Selection of baseline Monitoring Locations for Ground water analysis and also identifying nearest surface water to be studied. Interpretation of baseline data collected Identification of impacts based on the baseline study conducted and also to the ground water and nearby surface water due to the proposed project Preparation of suitable and appropriate mitigation plan. <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i> | A-Munin |
| 3 | SHW | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | 1. Identification of nature of solid waste generated 2. Categorization of the generated waste and estimating the quantity of waste to be generated based on the per capita basis. Identification of impacts of SHW on Environment 3. Suggesting suitable mitigation measures by recommending appropriate disposal method for each category of waste generated 4. Top soil and refuse management <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i> | A-Damin |

| 4 | SE | Mr. S. Pandian | Primary data collection through the census questionnaire Obtaining Secondary data from authenticated sources and incorporating the same in EIA report. Impact assessment & proposing suitable mitigation plan CSR budget allocation by discussing with the local body and allotting the same for need based activity. Period: March 2022 – Till now *INVOLVES PUBLIC HEARING | <u>Sector</u> |
|---|-----|-----------------------|---|--|
| 5 | EB | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Primary data collection through field survey and sheet observation for ecology and biodiversity Secondary Collection through various authenticated sources Prediction of anticipated impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation measures. Period: March 2022 – Till now | A-D Jonnin |
| 6 | HG | Dr. T. P. Natesan | Study of existing surface drainage arrangements in the core and buffer zone, impact due to mining on these drainage courses and suggestion of mitigative measures Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program. Storm water management through garland drainage system. Period: March 2022 – Till now | (i)) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) |
| 7 | GEO | Dr. T. P. Natesan | 1. Field survey for assessing regional and local geology, aquifer distribution, Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program. <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i> | C.D. Malinit |

| 8 | SC | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Interpretation of baseline report Identification of possible impacts on soil, prediction of soil conservation and suggesting suitable mitigation measures. | A-Marshu |
|----|----|--------------------------|--|-----------|
| | | | Period: March 2022 – Till now | |
| 9 | AQ | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Collection of Meteorological data for the baseline study period Plotting wind rose plot and thereby selecting the monitoring locations based on the wind pattern Estimation of sources of air emissions and air quality modeling is done Interpretation of the results obtained | x AF.F. |
| | | | 5. Identification of the impacts and suggesting suitable mitigation measures. <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i> | |
| 10 | NV | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Selection of monitoring locations Interpretation of baseline data Prediction of impacts due to noise pollution and suggestion of appropriate mitigation measures Period: May 2022 – Till now | Klein |
| 11 | LU | Dr. T. P. Natesan | Collection of Remote sensing satellite data to study the land use pattern. Primary field survey and limited field verification for land categorization in the study area Preparation of Land use map using Satellite data for 10km radius around the project site. <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i> | (m) maint |
| 12 | RH | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Identification of the risk Interpreting consequence contours Suggesting risk mitigation measures <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i> | KIEL |

Declaration by the Head of the accredited consultant organization/ authorized person

I, Dr. A. Dhamodharan, hereby confirm that the above-mentioned experts prepared the EIA report of mining project at S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State

I also confirm that the consultant organization shall be fully accountable for any misleading information mentioned in this statement.



Signature:

Name: Dr.A. Dhamodharan

Designation: Managing Director

Name of the EIA consultant organization: M/s. Eco Tech Labs Private Limited NABET Certificate No: NABET/ EIA/2124/ SA 0147

Draft EIA Report

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Contents EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | |
|---|----|
| 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Preamble | |
| 1.2 General Information on Mining of Minerals . | |
| 1.3 Environmental Clearance | 27 |
| 1.4 TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR) | |
| 1.5 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING | |
| 1.5.1 Methodology adopted | |
| 1.6 GENERIC STRUCTURE OF THE EIA DOCUMENT | |
| 1.7 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT | |
| 1.8 Brief Description of the Project | |
| 1.8.1 Project Nature, Size & Location | |
| 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION | |
| 2.1 General | |
| 2.1.1 Need for the project: | |
| 2.2 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT | |
| 2.2.1 Site Connectivity: | |
| 2.3 LOCATION DETAILS: | |
| 2.3.1 Site Photographs | |
| 2.3.2 Land Use Breakup of the Mine Lease Area | |
| 2.3.3 Human Settlement | |
| 2.4 LEASEHOLD AREA | 43 |
| 2.5 Geology | |
| 2.6 QUALITY OF RESERVES: | 45 |
| 2.6.1 Estimation of Reserves | |
| 2.6.2 Geological resources | |
| 2.6.3 Mineable Reserves | |
| | 1 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent Project Location | Thiru.B.Srikar Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | Keport |
| | | · |
| 2.6.4 Yea | r wise Production Plan | 48 |
| 2.7 Type | OF MINING | 51 |
| 2.7.1 Met | hod of Working: | 51 |
| 2.7.2 Ove | rburden | 51 |
| 2.7.3 Ma | chineries to be used | 51 |
| 2.7.4 Bla | sting: | |
| 2.8 Man | Power Requirements | 53 |
| 2.8.1 Wa | ter Requirement | 54 |
| 2.9 Proje | CT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE | 54 |
| 2.10 Solie | WASTE MANAGEMENT | 55 |
| 2.11 Mine | DRAINAGE | 55 |
| 2.12 Powe | R REQUIREMENT | 55 |
| 2.13 Proje | CT COST | 56 |
| 2.14 Gree | NBELT | 57 |
| 3 DESCRIP | TION OF THE ENVIRONMENT | |
| 3.1 Gene | RAL: | |
| 3.1.1 Stu | dy Area: | |
| 3.1.2 Inst | ruments Used | |
| 3.1.3 Bas | eline Data Collection Period: | |
| 3.1.4 Free | quency of Monitoring | |
| 3.1.5 Seco | ondary data Collection | 60 |
| 3.1.6 Stu | dy area details | 61 |
| 3.1.7 Site | Connectivity: | 62 |
| 3.2 Land | USE ANALYSIS | 63 |
| 3.2.1 Lan | nd Use Classification | 63 |
| 3.2.2 Met | thodology | 63 |
| 3.2.3 Sate | ellite Data | 65 |
| 3.2.4 Sca | le of mapping | 65 |
| 3.2.5 Inte | rpretation Technique | 65 |
| 3.2.6 Fiel | d Verification | |
| | | 2 |

| Project | | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|---------------|--------|--|--------------|
| Project Prope | onent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Local | tion | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| 3.2.7 | Descr | iption of the Land Use / land cover classes | 66 |
| 3.3 W | VATER | R ENVIRONMENT | 69 |
| 3.3.1 | Conte | our & Drainage | 69 |
| 3.3.2 | Geon | 10rphology | 69 |
| 3.3.3 | Geolo | gy: | 70 |
| 3.3.4 | Hydr | ogeology | 72 |
| 3.3.5 | Grou | nd water quality monitoring | |
| 3.3.6 | Interp | pretation of results: | 76 |
| 3.3.7 | Surfa | ce Water Analysis | |
| 3.3.8 | Clime | atology & Meteorology: | |
| 3.3.9 | Select | tion of Sampling Locations: | 82 |
| 3.4 A | MBIEI | NT AIR QUALITY | 82 |
| 3.4.1 | Ambi | ient Air Quality: Results & Discussion | 83 |
| 3.4.2 | Interp | pretation of ambient air quality: | 85 |
| 3.5 N | OISE] | Environment: | 87 |
| 3.5.1 | Day I | Noise Level (Leq day) | 88 |
| 3.5.2 | Night | t Noise Level (Leq Night) | 88 |
| 3.6 Se | OIL EI | NVIRONMENT | |
| 3.6.1 | Basel | ine Data: | |
| 3.7 E | COLO | gy and Biodiversity | 92 |
| 3.7.1 | Meth | ods available for floral analysis: | 92 |
| 3.7.2 | Field | study& Methodology adopted: | |
| 3.7.3 | Study | outcome: | 93 |
| 3.7.4 | Calcu | ulation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by | Margalef:99 |
| 3.7.5 | Calcu | llation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by | Margalef for |
| trees | 99 | | |
| 3.7.6 | Flora | l study in the Buffer Zone: | |
| 3.7.7 | Faun | al Communities | |
| 3.8 D | емос | GRAPHY AND SOCIO ECONOMICS | |
| 3.9 T | RAFFI | C IMPACT ASSESSMENT | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|--------------|---|----------------|
| Project Prop | onent Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Loca | tion Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| 4 ANT | CIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS & MITIGATION MEASUR | ES109 |
| 4.1 I | NTRODUCTION | |
| 4.2 I | AND ENVIRONMENT: | 110 |
| 4.3 V | VATER ENVIRONMENT: | 112 |
| 4.4 A | IR ENVIRONMENT: | |
| 4.4.1 | Source Characterization | 115 |
| 4.5 N | JOISE ENVIRONMENT: | 118 |
| 4.6 E | IOLOGICAL ENVIRONMNENT: | 119 |
| 4.7 S | OCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMNENT: | |
| 4.8 C |)THER IMPACTS: | |
| 5 ANA | LYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES | 123 |
| 5.1 C | }eneral | |
| 5.1.1 | Analysis for Alternative Sites and Mining Technology | |
| 6 ENV | RONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM | 125 |
| 6.1 C | General: | |
| 7 ADD | ITIONAL STUDIES | 130 |
| 7.1 C | General | 130 |
| 7.1.1 | Public Hearing: | 130 |
| 7.1.2 | Risk assessment: | 130 |
| 7.1.3 | Identification of Hazard | 131 |
| 7.1.4 | General Precautionary measures for the Risk involved in the proposed mine: | 133 |
| 7.1.5 | Safety Team: | |
| 7.1.6 | Emergency Control Centre | 134 |
| 7.2 I | JISASTER MANAGEMENT | 134 |
| 7.2.1 | Emergency Management Plan For Proposed Mines On Site- Offsite Emergency Prepo | aredness Plan: |
| 7 2 1 | 154 Onsite officite emergency Plan: | 125 |
| 7.2.1 | Emergency Plan | |
| 1.2.2 | בוותו ברוג אין ד שווי. | 155 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponer | nt Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| $723 E_1$ | mergency Control. | 136 |
| 73 NAT | TIRAL RESOLUCE CONSERVATION | 136 |
| 7.0 RAI | ETTI EMENIT AND DELIADII ITATION | |
| 7.4 RES | ETTLEMENT AND REHABILITATION. | |
| B PROJEC | T BENEFITS | |
| 8.1 Gen | IERAL | |
| 8.1.1 Pl | hysical Benefits | |
| 8.2 Soc | IAL BENEFITS | |
| 8.3 Pro | ject Cost / Investment Details | |
|) ENVIRC | ONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN | 139 |
| 9.1 INTE | RODUCTION | |
| 9.2 SUB | SIDENCE | |
| 9.3 Min | IE DRAINAGE | |
| 9.3.1 St | orm water Management | |
| 9.3.2 D | rainage | |
| 9.3.3 A | dministrative and Technical Setup | |
| 0 SUMMA | ARY & CONCLUSION | 148 |
| 10.1 INTE | RODUCTION | |
| 10.2 Pro | JECT OVERVIEW | |
| 10.3 Just | TIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT | |
| 1 DISCLO | SURE OF CONSULTANT | 153 |
| 11.1 Inte | RODUCTION | |
| 11.2 Eco | TECH LABS PVT. LTD – ENVIRONMENT CONSULTANT | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

List Of Tables:

| TABLE 1-1: POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING | 28 |
|--|----|
| TABLE 2-1: QUARRY WITHIN 500M RADIUS | 32 |
| TABLE 2-2 SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROJECT | 34 |
| TABLE 2-3: LOCATION DETAILS | |
| TABLE 2-4: LAND USE PATTERN | 42 |
| TABLE 2-5: HABITATION | 43 |
| TABLE 2-6: DETAILS OF MINING | 45 |
| TABLE 2-7: GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES | 46 |
| TABLE 2-8: MINEABLE RESERVES | 47 |
| TABLE 2-9: YEAR WISE PRODUCTION PLAN | 48 |
| TABLE 2-10: LIST OF MACHINERIES USED | 51 |
| TABLE 2-11: DRILLING AND BLASTING PARAMETERS | 52 |
| TABLE 2-12: BLASTING DETAILS | 53 |
| TABLE 2-13: MAN POWER REQUIREMENTS | 53 |
| TABLE 2-14: WATER REQUIRMENT | 54 |
| TABLE 2-15: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT | 55 |
| TABLE 3-1: FREQUENCY OF SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS | 59 |
| TABLE 3-2 STUDY AREA DETAILS | 61 |
| TABLE 3-3 LAND USE PATTERN | 68 |
| TABLE 3-4 GROUND WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS | 73 |
| TABLE 3-5: STANDARD PROCEDURE | 74 |
| TABLE 3-6 GROUND WATER SAMPLING RESULTS | 75 |
| TABLE 3-7 SURFACE WATER SAMPLE RESULTS | 78 |
| TABLE 3-8: SELECTION OF SAMPLING LOCATION | 82 |
| TABLE 3-9 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY | 84 |
| TABLE 3-10 NOISE ANALYSIS | 87 |
| TABLE 3-11 DAY NOISE LEVEL (LEQ DAY) | |
| TABLE 3-12 NIGHT NOISE LEVEL (LEQ NIGHT) | |
| TABLE 3-13 SOIL QUALITY ANALYSIS | 90 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| TABLE 3-14 S OIL | QUALITY ANALYSIS | 91 |
| TABLE 3-15 C AL | CULATION OF DENSITY, FREQUENCY (%), DOMINANCE, RELATIVE D | ENSITY, |
| RELATIVE F | REQUENCY, RELATIVE DOMINANCE & IMPORTANT VALUE INDEX | 94 |
| TABLE 3-16 TRE | e Species in the core Zone | |
| TABLE 3-17 SHR | ubs in the Core Zone | 96 |
| Table 3-18 Her | BS & GRASSES IN THE CORE ZONE | 97 |
| TABLE 3-19 C AL | CULATION OF SPECIES DIVERSITY | |
| Table 3-20 List | OF FAUNA SPECIES | |
| Table 3-21: Dem | MOGRAPHY SURVEY STUDY | |
| TABLE 3-22: NO | OF VEHICLES PER DAY | |
| TABLE 3-23 : EXI | STING TRAFFIC SCENARIO AND LOS | |
| TABLE 4-1 EMISS | SION FACTORS FOR UNCONTROLLED MINING | 117 |
| TABLE 5-1: ALTE | ERNATIVE FOR TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER PARAMETERS | |
| Table 6-1: Envi | RONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAMME | |
| TABLE 6-2: MON | ITORING SCHEDULE DURING MINING | |
| TABLE 9-1: IMPA | CTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES | 141 |
| TABLE 9-2: BUD | GETARY ALLOCATION FOR EMP DURING MINING | |
| TABLE 10-1: PRO | DJECT OVERVIEW | |
| TABLE 10-2: AN | TICIPATE IMPACTS & APPROPRIATE MITIGATION MEASURES | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

LIST OF FIGURES:

| FIGURE 1.1: LOCATION MAP OF THE PROJECT SITE | . 31 |
|--|------|
| FIGURE 2.1: LOCATION MAP OF THE PROJECT SITE | . 37 |
| FIGURE 2.2: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE AND COORDINATES OF THE PROJECT SITE | . 38 |
| FIGURE 2.3: SITE CONNECTIVITY | . 39 |
| FIGURE 2.4: TOPO MAP OF PROJECT SITE | .40 |
| FIGURE 2.5: ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY WITHIN 15KM RADIUS | .41 |
| FIGURE 2.6: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS | .42 |
| FIGURE 2.7: GEOMORPHOLOGY | .44 |
| FIGURE 2.8 LITHOLOGY | .45 |
| FIGURE 2.9 YEAR WISE PRODUCTION PLAN | . 50 |
| FIGURE 3.1: SITE CONNECTIVITY | .63 |
| FIGURE 3.2 FLOW CHART SHOWING METHODOLOGY OF LAND USE MAPPING | .64 |
| FIGURE 3.3 LAND USE CLASSES AROUND 10 KM RADIUS FROM THE PROJECT SITE | .68 |
| FIGURE 3.4 GEOMORPHOLOGY WITHIN 10KM FROM THE PROJECT SITE | . 70 |
| FIGURE 3.5 GEOLOGY WITHIN 10KM FROM THE PROJECT SITE | .71 |
| FIGURE 3.6 GROUND WATER PROSPECTS WITHIN 5 KM RADIUS OF THE PROJECT SITE | . 73 |
| FIGURE 3.7 WIND ROSE | . 81 |
| Figure 3.8 Concentration of PM10 (μ G/m ³) in Study Area | . 85 |
| Figure 3.9 Concentration of PM2.5 (μ G/M ³) in Study Area | . 86 |
| FIGURE 3.10 CONCENTRATION OF SOX (μ G/M ³) IN STUDY AREA | . 86 |
| FIGURE 3.11 CONCENTRATION OF NOX (µG/M3) IN STUDY AREA | .87 |
| FIGURE 3.12 SOIL EROSION PATTERN WITHIN 5 KM RADIUS OF THE PROJECT SITE | . 89 |
| FIGURE 3.13 SOCIO ECONOMIC MAP SURROUNDING THE PROJECT SITE | 05 |
| FIGURE 3.14: SITE CONNECTIVITY | 107 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

ABBREVIATION

LU –Land use

AP – Air Pollution monitoring, prevention and control

AQ- Meteorology, Air quality modeling and prediction

WP – Water pollution monitoring, prevention and control

EB- Ecology and Biodiversity

NV- Noise & Vibration

SE- Socio-economics

HG- Hydrology, ground water and water conservation

GEO – Geology

RH - Risk assessment and hazards management

SHW –Solid and Hazardous waste management

SC- Soil conservation

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Project Background:

The Proposed project is in Patta land having total extent area of 1.86.50 Ha, located at S.F.No.79 of Midithepalli Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District and Tamil Nadu. The category of project is B1, it is a fresh rough stone and gravel quarry in Midithepalli village. The area is situated on gently sloping terrain towards the Southeast covered with Rough Stone and Gravel which does not sustain any type of vegetation.

The quarry operation is proposed to carry out with conventional open cast mechanized mining with a 5.0-meter vertical bench with a bench width of 5.0 meter. The Quarry operation involves shallow jack hammer drilling, slurry blasting, loading and transportation.

The quarry operation is proposed up to depth for 39.0m (2.0m Gravel + 37.0m Rough stone). The Total Geological reserve is about 44,200m³ of Gravel and 7,65,140m³ of Rough Stone. The Mineable Reserves are 33,210m³ of Gravel and 3,33,729m³ of Rough stone. Production schedule is proposed an average production of 33,210m³ of Gravel and 3,33,729m³ of Rough stone for Ten years only.

The Mining Plan was approved by the Deputy Director, Geology & Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter Rc.No.646/2021 Mines dated 17.02.2023. There is no CRZ zone, Western Ghats, notified Bird sanctuaries, wild life sanctuaries as per Wild life protection Act 1972, within the radius of 15Km..

2. Nature & Size of the Project

The Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Hectares land is located Midithepalli Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| | | |

| Mineral intends to quarry | : Rough stone and Gravel |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| District | : Krishnagiri |
| Taluk | : Shoolagiri |
| Village | : Midithepalli |
| S. F. Nos. | : 79 |
| Extent | : 1.86.50 Hectares |

Table 1: Brief Description of the Project

| S. No | Particulars | Details | | |
|-------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Latitude | 12° 46' 01.9743"N to 12° 45' 52.1189"N | | |
| 2 | Longitude | 77° 57' 03.0289"E to 77° 56' 59.2536"E | | |
| 3 | Site Elevation above MSL | The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. | | |
| 4 | Topography | Gently Sloping Terrain | | |
| 5 | Land use of the site | Patta land (Consent registered) | | |
| 6 | Extent of lease area | 1.86.50 Ha | | |
| 7 | Nearest highway | MDR 422 – Berigai to Shoolagiri Road – 3.18 Km - SE NH 48 – Hosur to Krishnagiri Road – 9.37 Km - S | | |
| 8 | Nearest railway station | Hosur Railway Station – 14.82 km - SW | | |
| 9 | Nearest airport | Kempagowda International Airport – 54.02 Km - NW | | |
| 10 | Nearest town / city | Town - Shoolagiri – 11.67 km - SE City - Hosur – 13.02 km - W District - Krishnagiri – 37.30 km - SE | | |
| 11 | Rivers / Canal | Ponnaniyar River – 6.09Km - SW | | |
| 12 | Lake | Muthali lake – 4.67Km – W Bukkasagaram Lake – 4.76Km- S Berikai Lake – 5.12Km – N Peddakullu Lake – 5.86Km – SW Lake 1 – 5.25Km – SW Doripalli Lake – 6.28Km – S | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | • Thummanapalli | Lake – 6.89Km – SSW |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | • Kelavarapalli Re | eservoir – 7.14Km – W |
| | | • Kamandoddi La | ke – 8.56Km – S |
| | | • Kumudapalli La | ke – 9.57Km – SW |
| | | • Moranapalli Lal | xe – 9.89Km - SW |
| 13 | Hills / valleys | in 15 km radius | |
| 14 | Archaeologically places | in 15 km radius | |
| 15 | National parks / Wildlife | • Cauvery North | Wildlife Sanctuary – 25.35 Km – S |
| 15 | Sanctuaries | • Cauvery South V | Wildlife Sanctuary – 47.26 Km - SW |
| | | • Meditepalli RF | – 1.29Km – N |
| | Reserved / Protected Forests | • Berigai RF – 1.7 | 3Km – SE |
| 16 | | • Berigai Extensio | n RF – 2.17Km – NE |
| | | • Sanamavu RF – | 5.91Km – SW |
| | | • Settipalli RF – 7 | .37Km – SE |
| 17 | Seismicity | posed Lease area co | ome under Seismic zone-II (low risk |
| 1/ | ocisimicity | a) | |
| 18 | Defense Installations | in 15 Km radius | |

3. Need for the Project

- The mining activities as proposed are the backbone of all construction and infrastructure projects as the raw material for construction is available only from such mining. The Rough stone extracted will be transported to be Stone crusher of district Krishnagiri.
- The raw Rough stone as well as the crushed material of stone is in high demand in real estate, construction projects as well as in building construction projects.
- Rough stone is quarried for producing crusher aggregates to the nearby building contractors, road contractors and nearby villagers.
- After quarrying the entire reserves mined out, the area will be used as water reservoir to have an artificial recharge to the nearby wells.
- No damage to the land is caused, no reclamation or back filling is required.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |





| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2: Google Image of the Project Site

4. Charnockite

Charnockite and granitic gneisses are extensively quarried as rough stone which is used as aggregates for construction of building, laying of roads and for preparation of value added products like hollow blocks, pillar stones, M-sand etc. Charnockite occurs as massive bodies, greyish colour, medium to coarse grained, composed quartz, feldspar and orthopyroxene. At places, metamorphic gneissic banding (alternate dark and black colour) in charnockite is noticed. Top portion, it gives gneissic appearance but 1-5m depth below it is typical charnockite of grey colour.

5. Geological resources

The geological resources have been calculated based on the cross-section method.

Table 2. Geological resources

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| GEOLOGICAL RESERVES | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|--------|-------|-----|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Section | Banch | I (m) | W(m) | D | Volume | Geological Reserves in | Gravel | | |
| Section | Dench | | w (m) | (m) | In M ³ | m ³ @ 100% | in m ³ | | |
| | Ι | 160 | 80 | 2 | | | 25600 | | |
| | II | 160 | 32 | 2 | 10240 | 10240 | | | |
| | III | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| | IV | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| XY-AB | V | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| | VI | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| | VII | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| | VIII | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| | IX | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | |
| | ТС | DTAL | | | 458240 | 458240 | 25600 | | |
| | Ι | 155 | 60 | 2 | | | 18600 | | |
| | III | 155 | 60 | 3 | 27900 | 27900 | | | |
| | IV | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | |
| XV-CD | V | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | |
| AT-CD | VI | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | |
| | VII | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | |
| | VIII | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | |
| | IX | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | |
| | ТС | DTAL | | | 306900 | 306900 | 18600 | | |
| | GRAN | D TOTA | | | 765140 | 765140 | 44200 | | |

Mineable reserves:

The Mineable reserves are calculated by deducting 7.5m & 10m Safety distance and bench loss. In this regard, since the adjacent area also to be under new lease area, necessary action will be taken to get permission from DGMS in future to comply regulation under (111)3 of MMR.1961.

| MINEABLE RESERVES | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | Volume In M ³ | Mineable Reserves in m ³ @ 100% | Gravel in m ³ | | | |
| XY-AB | Ι | 153 | 65 | 2 | | | 19890 | | |
| | II | 153 | 25 | 2 | 7650 | 7650 | | | |

| Table 3. | Mineable | Reserves |
|----------|----------|----------|
|----------|----------|----------|

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | III | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
|-------------|------|-----|----|---|--------|--------|-------|
| | IV | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
| | V | 148 | 55 | 5 | 40700 | 40700 | |
| | VI | 143 | 45 | 5 | 32175 | 32175 | |
| | VII | 138 | 35 | 5 | 24150 | 24150 | |
| | VIII | 133 | 25 | 5 | 16625 | 16625 | |
| | IX | 123 | 15 | 5 | 9225 | 9225 | |
| | TO | ΓAL | | | 229975 | 229975 | 19890 |
| | Ι | 148 | 45 | 2 | | | 13320 |
| | II | 148 | 41 | 3 | 18204 | 18204 | |
| | III | 148 | 45 | 5 | 33300 | 33300 | |
| AT-CD | IV | 143 | 35 | 5 | 25025 | 25025 | |
| | V | 138 | 25 | 5 | 17250 | 17250 | |
| | VI | 133 | 15 | 5 | 9975 | 9975 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | 103754 | 103754 | 13320 |
| GRAND TOTAL | | | | | 333729 | 333729 | 33210 |

Table 4. Year wise Production Plan

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION (First Five (I-V)Years) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|-------|--------|--------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| VEAD | Soction | Bonch | L | W | D | Volume | Recoverable Reserve | Gravel | |
| ILAK | Section | Denth | (m) | (m) | (m) | in (Cu.m.) | in Cu.m(100%) | in m ³ | |
| | | Ι | 153 | 65 | 2 | | | 19890 | |
| | XY-AB | II | 153 | 25 | 2 | 7650 | 7650 | | |
| I-YEAR | | III | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | | |
| | XY-CD | Ι | 148 | 45 | 2 | | | 13320 | |
| | | II | 148 | 41 | 3 | 18204 | 18204 | | |
| II-YEAR | XY-AB | IV | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | | |
| III-YEAR | XY-CD | III | 148 | 45 | 5 | 33300 | 33300 | | |
| IV-YEAR | XY-AB | V | 148 | 55 | 5 | 40700 | 40700 | | |
| V-YEAR | XY-CD | IV | 143 | 35 | 5 | 25025 | 25025 | | |
| | Total (Fir | st Five (I- | V)Yea | 224329 | 224329 | 33210 | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION (Second Five (VI-X)Years) | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| YEAR | Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | D (m) | Volume in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable Reserve in Cu.m(100%) | |
| VI-YEAR | XY-AB | VI | 143 | 45 | 5 | 32175 | 32175 | |
| VII-YEAR | XY-CD | V | 138 | 25 | 5 | 17250 | 17250 | |
| VIII-YEAR | XY-AB | VII | 138 | 35 | 5 | 24150 | 24150 | |
| IX-YEAR | XY-CD | VI | 133 | 15 | 5 | 9975 | 9975 | |
| X-YEAR | XY-AB | VIII | 133 | 25 | 5 | 16625 | 16625 | |
| | XY-CD | IX | 123 | 15 | 5 | 9225 | 9225 | |
| Tot | tal (Second | Five (VI- | X)Yeaı | • | 109400 | 109400 | | |

6. Mining

Opencast mining

The quarry operation is proposed to carry out with conventional open cast mechanized mining with 5.0-meter vertical bench with a bench width of 5.0 meter. The Quarry operation involves shallow jack hammer drilling, blasting, loading and transportation.

Process Description

- > The reserves and resource are arrived based upon the Geological investigation.
- > Removal of Topsoil by Excavators and directly Loaded into Tippers.
- > Removal of Rough Stone by Excavators by Drilling and Blasting.
- > Shallow Drilling With Jackhammer of 25.5mm Dia.
- > Minimum Blasting With Class 3 Explosives.
- > Loading of Rough Stone By Excavators Into Tippers.

7. Water Requirement

Total water requirement for the mining project is 2.0 KLD. Domestic water will be sourced from nearby Midithepalli Village and other water will be sourced from nearby road tankers supply.

Table 5. Water Balance

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Purpose | Quantity | Source |
|------------------|----------|---|
| | 1.0 KLD | Mineral water purchased from approved water vendors available |
| Drinking Water | | Midithepalli village which is about 1.70 km NE from the project |
| | | site. |
| Green belt | 0.5 KLD | Other domestic activities through road tankers supply |
| Dust suppression | 0.5 KLD | From road tankers supply |
| | | |
| Total | 2.0 KLD | |

8. Manpower

Total manpower required for the project is approximately 18 persons. Workers will be from nearby villages.

| 1. | | Operators | 2 Nos |
|----|----------------|------------------|--------|
| | Skilled | Mechanic | 1 No |
| | | Blaster / Mat | 1 No |
| 2. | Semi – skilled | Drivers | 2 Nos |
| 3. | | Musdoor / Labors | 5 Nos |
| | Unskilled | Cleaners | 2 Nos |
| | | Office Boy | 1 No |
| 4. | Management & S | upervisory staff | 2 Nos |
| | Το | tal | 16 Nos |

Table 6. Man Power

9. Solid Waste Management

Table 7 Solid Waste Management

| S. No | Туре | Quantity | Disposal Method |
|-------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | Organic | 2.88 kg/day | Municipal bin including food waste |
| 2 | Inorganic | 4.32 kg/day | TNPCB authorized recyclers |

As per CPCB guidelines: MSW per capita/day =0.45 kg/day

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 8 500m Radius Cluster Mine

1) Details of Existing quarries:

| S. | Nama of the lasses | Village & | Minor | S.F. | • Extor | Rc. I | No & | Lease |
|------------|--|--|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| No. | Iname of the lessee | Taluk | Minera | u No | Exter | Date | | Period |
| 1. | Thiru.D.Sreenivasalu, S/o. Vekateshwarlu, No. Radha lakshmi Nilayam, Devachandra Main road, Bangalore | Shoolagiri & Midithepall | k Rough i stone | n 80/2 80/2 | 1 2 3.17.0 | Rc. 1305/ Mines 20.1 | No. 2018/ dated: 2.22 | 20.12.22 to 19.12.32 |
| 2. 2) D | Thiru.Venkat reddy, S/o. (Late) Uthama Reddy, Kolar Taluk, Uddanahalli, Chakkarasanahalli, Karnataka etails of Expired/Old Qua | Shoolagiri & Midithepall prries: | k Rough i stone | n 81/2 82/3 | ² 1 2.05.9 | Rc. 1308/ Mines 31.10 | No. 2018/ dated: .2022 | 31.10.20 22 to 30.10.20 32 |
| S.No. | Name of the lessee | Village | S.F.No | Extent | GO No | o. & Date | Lease | e period |
| 1. | M/s. Sarva Infra Pvt. Ltd, 540, 3 rd floor, CMH Road, Indira Nagar, Bangalore. | Shoolagiri & Midithepalli | 70/1B, 70/1C | 4.05.0 | Rc.No. M Dated:2 | 09/2014/ lines 26.10.2015 | 28.10 27.1 | .2015 to 0.2020 |
| 3) D | etails of Proposed Quarrie | 28 | | | | | | |
| S. No. | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | Mineral | S.F. No | Extent | GO No. 8 Date | Leas | e period |
| 1. | Thiru.B.Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No.25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2 nd Cross, Hosur Tk, Krishnagiri - 635109 | Shoolagiri, Midithepalli | Rough stone | 79 | 1.86.50 | - | In pro | istant oposal |

The Total extent of the Existing / Lease expired / Proposed quarries are 11.14.50 Ha.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

10. Land Requirement

The total extent area of the project is 1.86.50 Ha, Patta land in Midithepalli Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

| S. No. | Land Use | Present Area (Hect) | Area in use during the quarrying period (Hect) |
|--------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 1. | Area under Quarrying | Nil | 1.45.0 |
| 2. | Infrastructure | Nil | 0.01.0 |
| 3. | Roads | Nil | 0.01.0 |
| 4. | Green Belt | Nil | 0.39.5 |
| 5. | Unutilized Area | 1.86.5 | Nil |
| | Total | 1.86.50 Ha | 1.86.50 Ha |

Table 9 Land Use Breakup

11. Human Settlement

There are no habitations within 300m radius. There are villages located in this area within 5km radius of the quarry.

Table 10 Habitation

| SL. NO. | DIRECTION | VILLAGE | POPULATION | DISTANCE |
|---------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|
| 1 | NW | Alnatham | 327 | 1.50 Km |
| 2 | E | Athetti | 180 | 1.50 Km |
| 3 | SE | Mensandoddi | 310 | 1.81 Km |
| 4 | SW | Venkatesapuram | 2873 | 1.60 Km |

12. Power Requirement

The Rough Stone Quarry project does not require huge water and electricity for the project. **16 Litre** diesel per hour for excavator for mining and loading for Rough stone needed.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

13. Scope of the Baseline Study

This chapter contains information on existing environmental scenario on the following parameters.

- 1. Micro-Meteorology
- 2. Water Environment
- 3. Air Environment
- 4. Noise Environment
- 5. Soil / Land Environment
- 6. Biological Environment
- 7. Socio-economic Environment

13.1 Micro – Meteorology

Meteorology plays a vital role in affecting the dispersion of pollutants, once discharged into the atmosphere. Since meteorological factors show wide fluctuations with time, meaningful interpretation can be drawn only from long-term reliable data.

- i) Average Minimum Temperature : 17 °C
- ii) Average Maximum Temperature : 39°C
- iii) Average Annual Rainfall of the area: 968 mm

13.2 Air Environment

Ambient air monitoring was carried out on a monthly basis in the surrounding areas of the Mine Lease area to assess the ambient air quality at the source. To know the ambient air quality at a larger distance i.e., in the study area of 5 km. radius, air quality survey has been conducted at 5 locations. Major air pollutants like Particulate Matter (PM10), Sulphur Dioxide (SO2), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) were monitored and the results are summarized below.

The baseline levels of PM_{10} (67-41 µg/m³), $PM_{2.5}$ (33-14 µg/m³), SO_2 (21-6 µg/m³), NO_2 (34 -11 µg/m³), all the parameters are well within the standards prescribed by National Ambient Air Quality during the study period from June 2023 to August 2023.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

13.3 Noise Environment

The maximum Day noise and Night noise were found to be 65 dB(A) and 54 dB(A) respectively in Government High School, Muthali. The minimum Day Noise and Night noise were 44 dB(A) and 39 dB(A) respectively which was observed in project site. The observed values are all well within the Standards prescribed by CPCB.

13.4 Water Environment

- The average pH ranges from 6.74 8.53.
- TDS value varied from 405 mg/l to 1003 mg/l
- Hardness varied from 227 to 630 mg/1
- Chloride varied from 34.7 to 223 mg/1

13.5 Land Environment

The analysis results shows that the majority of soil in the project and surrounding area is slightly alkaline in nature and pH value ranges from 6.90 to 8.96 with organic matter 0.11 to 0.83%. The concentration of Nitrogen, Phosphorus & Potassium has been found to be in good amount in the soil samples.

13.6 Biological Environment

The proposed Mining lease area is mostly dry barren ground with small shrubs and bushes. No specific endangered flora & fauna exist within the mining lease area.

14. Rehabilitation/ Resettlement

- The overall land of the mine is Government Poramboke land. There is no displacement of the population within the project area and adjacent nearby area. Social development of nearby villages will be considered in this project.
- The mine area does not cover any habitation. Hence the mining activity does not involve any displacement of human settlement.

15. Greenbelt Development

1. The development of greenbelt in the peripheral buffer zone of the mine area.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

 The Green belt has been recommended as one of the major components of the Environmental Management Plan, which will improve ecology, environment and quality of the surrounding area.
 Local trees like Neem, Pungam, Naval etc will be planted along the lease boundary and avenues as well as over non-active dumps at a rate of 100 trees per annum with interval 5m.

4. The rate of survival expected to be 80% in this area

| Table.11 Plantation/ Affores | station Program | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| Name of species proposed | Survival | No of species |
| Neem, Pungam, Poovarasu, Naval, Mantharai, Arasa Maram, | | |
| Magizham, Vilvam, vaagai, Marudha maram, Thandri, | 80% | 1000 |
| Poovarasu, Manjadi, Usil, Aathi, Panai, Uzha, Illuppai, | 8070 | 1000 |
| Eachai, Vanni Maram | | |
| Total | | 1000 |

16. Anticipated Environmental Impacts

16.1 Air Environment and Mitigation Measures

- 1. Water sprinkling will be done on the roads & unpaved roads.
- 2. Proper mitigation measures like water sprinkling will be adopted to control dust emissions.
- 3. Plantation will be carried out on approach roads, solid waste site & nearby mine premises.
- 4. To control the emissions regular preventive maintenance of equipments will be carried out.

16.2 Noise Environment and Mitigation Measures

1. Periodical monitoring of ambient noise will be done as per CPCB guidelines.

2. No other equipment except the transportation vehicles and excavator for loading will be allowed.

3. Noise generated by these equipments shall be intermittent and does not cause much adverse impact

17. Responsibilities for Environmental Management Cell (EMC)

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| | | |

The responsibilities of the EMC include the following:

- i. Environmental Monitoring of the surrounding area
- ii. Developing the green belt/Plantation
- iii. Ensuring minimal use of water
- iv. Proper implementation of pollution control measures

18. Environmental Monitoring Program

A monitoring schedule with respect to Ambient Air Quality, Water & Wastewater Quality, Noise Quality as per Tamil Nadu State Pollution Control Board (TNPCB), shall be maintained.

19. Project Cost

The total project cost is **Rs 51,00,000/-** for deployment of machinery and creation of infrastructural facilities like approach road, mine office / Workers Shed, First Aid Room etc., including electrifications and water supply.

| Table 12 | Project | Cost | details |
|----------|---------|------|---------|
|----------|---------|------|---------|

| S. No. | Description | Cost |
|--------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Fixed Asset Cost | Rs.21,00,000/- |
| 2 | Operational and Fencing Cost | Rs. 30,00,000/- |
| | Total | Rs. 51,00,000/- |

Environmental Management Cost for the period of 10 years Rs.1,32,48,533/-

20. Corporate Environmental Responsibility

The Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) fund will be provided to the below activity.

Table 13 CER Cost

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| S.No. | CER Activity | CER value (Rs) |
|-------|--|----------------|
| 1. | Government High School, Venkatesapuram – Provision of | |
| | To construct Toilet and Auditorium, | |
| | To provide Sports equipments, | |
| | Wire fence to playground and Basic amenities such as | 5,00,000 |
| | > Environmental awareness books (Tamil) in Library for | |
| | students, Green Belt development, Hygienic Toilet and | |
| | maintenance of toilet upto lease period. | |
| Total | | 5,00,000 |

21. Benefits of the Project

• There is a positive impact on socioeconomics of people living in the villages. Mining operations in the subject area has positive impact by providing direct and indirect jobs opportunities.

• The project is environmentally compatible, financially viable and would be in the interest of the construction industry thereby indirectly benefiting the masses.

• Quarrying in this area is not going to have any negative impact on the social or cultural life of the villagers in the nearby vicinity.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

1 Introduction

1.1 PREAMBLE

Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) is a process used to identify the environmental, social & economic impacts of a project prior to decision making. It aims to predict environmental impacts at an early stage of project planning and design, find ways and means to reduce adverse impacts, shape projects to suit the local environment and present the prediction options to the proponent. By using EIA, both environmental & economic benefits can be achieved. By considering environmental effects - prediction & mitigation, early benefits in project planning, protection of the environment, optimum utilization of resources, thus saving overall time & cost of the project.

1.2 GENERAL INFORMATION ON MINING OF MINERALS

The Entire district is underlain by the rocks belonging to hard crystalline rock masses of Archaean age. The Archaean rocks in this area are represented by rocks of eastern Ghat complex comprising charnockites, Migmatite complex of composite gneiss. The district is covered by metamorphic crystalline rocks of charnockite, composite gneiss of Archaean age. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to sever folding, crushing and faulting. Charnockites group is occupied by North and Southern part of the basin. The other rock type is encountered by composite granitic gneiss of Epidote hornblende biotite gneiss and hornblende biotite gneiss are occupy in the middle portion of the basin. Charnockite group occupies the high ground as well as plain and it is poorly weathered and jointed. They are generally black grey to dark grey in colour medium to coarse grained texture, and generally massive and un-foliated. A gneissic rock occurs as linear bands in the middle portion of the area and is highly migmatite. Mostly, micaceous with bands of granites, pegmatites, quartz veins the rock is well foliated. The Hornblende biotite gneiss forms the country rock of the area and epidote hornblende gneiss (Proterozoic age) occurs as small isolated outcrops. The crystalline formations are charnockite, granitic gneiss of Archean age have been intruded by dolerite dykes and pegmatite veins. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to very severe folding, crushing and faulting. The crystalline rocks are subjected to tectonic activities under various orogenic cycles resulting in the development of secondary structures such as joints. fissures and cleavages. The intensity of weathering varies from place to place.
| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments (O.M vide No.F.No.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) Govt of India MOEF&CC on December 12th 2018) project comes under category B1 cluster & schedule 1(a) under item 1

The proposed project is categorized under Category "B1" 1(a) (Cluster) - {Mining of Minerals} as the 500m radius area is more than 5 Ha including the mine lease area. Hence, the project will be considered at SEAC, Tamil Nadu.



| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

1.4 TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR)

The terms of Reference have been issued by SEAC TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9962/ ToR-1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023. 41 additional ToR points were recommended by SEAC TN in addition to the Standard ToR Points. The replies for the same were addressed in this report.

1.5 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING

1.5.1 Methodology adopted

Post project monitoring will be carried out as per conditions stipulated in environmental clearance letter issued by SEIAA, consent issued by SPCB as well as according to CPCB guidelines. The lease area is considered as core zone and the area lying within 10 km radius from the lease boundary is considered as buffer zone, where some impacts may be observed on physical and biological environment. In the buffer zone slight impact may be observed and that too is occasional.

| S. No. | Description | Frequency of Monitoring |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | Ambient Air Quality Monitoring | Quarterly/ Half Yearly |
| 2. | Water level & Quality Monitoring | Quarterly/ Half Yearly |
| 3. | Noise Level Monitoring | Quarterly/ Half Yearly |
| 4. | Soil Quality Monitoring | Yearly |
| 5. | Medical Check-up | Yearly |

Table 1-1: Post Environmental Clearance Monitoring

1.6 GENERIC STRUCTURE OF THE EIA DOCUMENT

Chapter 1: Introduction. This chapter contains the general information on the mining of minerals, major sources of environmental impacts in respect of mining projects and details of environmental clearance process.

Chapter 2: Project Description. In this chapter the proponent should also furnish detailed description of the proposed project, such as the type of the project, need for the project, project location, layout, project activities during construction and operational phases, capacity of the project, project operation i.e., land availability, utilities (power and water supply) and infrastructure facilities such as roads, railways, housing and other requirements. If the project site is near a sensitive area it is to be mentioned clearly why an alternative site could not be considered. The project implementation schedule estimated cost of development as well as operation etc. should also be included.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Chapter 3: Analysis of Alternatives (Technology and Site). This chapter gives details of various alternatives both in respect of location of site and technologies to be deployed, in case the initial scoping exercise considers such a need.

Chapter 4: Description of Environment. This chapter should cover baseline data in the project area and study area.

Chapter 5: Impact Analysis and mitigation measures. This chapter describes the anticipated impacts on the environment and mitigation measures. The method of assessment of impacts including studies carried out, modelling techniques adopted to assess the impacts where pertinent should be elaborated in this chapter. It should give the details of the impacts on the baseline parameters, both during the construction and operational phases and suggests the mitigation measures to be implemented by the proponent.

Chapter 6: Environmental Monitoring Program. This chapter should cover the planned environmental monitoring program. It should also include the technical aspects of monitoring the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

Chapter 7: Additional Studies. This chapter should cover the details of the additional studies required in addition to those specified in the ToR and which are necessary to cater to more specific issues applicable to the particular project.

Chapter 8: Project Benefits. This chapter should cover the benefits accruing to the locality, neighborhood, region and nation as a whole. It should bring out details of benefits by way of improvements in the physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, employment potential and other tangible benefits.

Chapter 9: Environmental Cost Benefit Analysis. This chapter should cover on Environmental Cost Benefit Analysis of the project.

Chapter 10: Environmental Management Plan. This chapter should comprehensively present the Environmental Management Plan (EMP), which includes the administrative and technical setup, summary matrix of EMP, the cost involved to implement the EMP, both during the construction and operational phase and provisions made towards the same in the cost estimates of project construction and operation. This chapter should also describe the proposed post-monitoring scheme as well as inter-organizational arrangements for effective implementation of the mitigation measures.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Chapter 11: Summary and Conclusions. This chapter gives the summary of the full EIA report condensed to ten A-4 size pages at the maximum. It should provide the overall justification for implementation of the project and should explain how the adverse effects have been mitigated. *Chapter 12:* Disclosure of Consultants. This chapter should include the names of the consultants engaged with their brief resume and nature of consultancy rendered.

1.7 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT

| Project Proponent | : Thiru.B.Srikar |
|----------------------------|---|
| Status of the Proponent | : Individual |
| Proponent's Name & Address | : Thiru.B.Srikar, |
| | D.No: 25, Shanthi Nagar, |
| | West, 2 nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, |
| | Krishnagiri – 635 109. |

1.8 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

1.8.1 Project Nature, Size & Location

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments (O.M vide No.F.No.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) Govt of India MOEF&CC on December 12th, 2018) project comes under category B1 cluster & schedule 1(a) under item 1.

Proposed proposal pertains to Rough stone mining project by mechanized open cast method on allotted mine lease area at Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk of Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. It is an elevated terrain. The total allotted mine lease for the proposed project is 1.86.50 Ha with their maximum production capacity i.e., 33,210m³ of Gravel and 3,33,729m³ of rough stone for the period of Ten years only.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 1.1: Location Map of the Project site

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2 Project Description

This chapter furnishes detailed description of the proposed project, such as the type of the project, need for the project, project location, layout, project activities during mining, capacity of the project, project operation i.e., land availability, utilities (power and water supply) and infrastructure facilities such as roads, railways, housing and other requirements. The project implementation schedule estimated cost for carrying out entire mining activity is included.

2.1 GENERAL

Proposed proposal pertains to Rough stone mining project by open cast mechanized method on allotted mine lease area at Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk of Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. It is a gently sloping terrain. We have obtained a fresh mining plan from the Department of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri District for 1.86.50 Ha land area in the S.F.Nos. 79 for a proposed mining depth of 39.0m Gravel 2.0m + Rough stone 37.0m and ten years production of 3,33,729 m³ of Rough Stone and 33,210m³ of Gravel.

Type of the project:

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments (O.M vide No.F.No. L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) Govt of India MOEF&CC on December 12th, 2018) project comes under category B1 cluster & schedule 1(a) under item 1. The project required to be appraised at state level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Tamil Nadu. Environment Clearance study will involve preparation of draft EIA report on the basis of baseline & impact assessment study is carried out. Also, before appraisal, under 7(III) of EIA notification 2006, the project involves the Public Consultation and the same will be conducted under SPCB (TN) in Krishnagiri District. The proceedings of the same will be incorporated in the Final EIA Report.

The mines within 500m radius from the project site is listed below.

Table 2-1: Quarry within 500m Radius

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

1) Details of Existing quarries:

| S. | Name of the lasses Village & Mineral S.F. | Exter | Rc. No | & | Lease | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------------|---------|---------------|---------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| No. | Iname of the lessee | Taluk | wineral | No | | Date | : | Period |
| | Thiru.D.Sreenivasalu, | | | | | Rc.No |). | |
| | S/o. Vekateshwarlu, No. | Shaalagiri P | Dough | <u>80 / 1</u> | | 1305/20 | 18/ | 20.12.22 |
| 1. | Radha lakshmi Nilayam, | Midithonalli | stone | 80/1 | 3.17.08 | 3 Mine | S | to |
| | Devachandra Main road, | Mildittiepain | stone | 0072 | | dated | : | 19.12.32 |
| | Bangalore | | | | | 20.12.2 | 22 | |
| | Thiru.Venkat reddy, S/o. | | | | | Rc No | ` | |
| | (Late) Uthama Reddy, | | | | | 1308/20 |). 18/ | 31 10 2022 |
| 2 | Kolar Taluk, | Shoolagiri & | Rough | 81/2 82/1 | 2.05.92 | 1500720 Mine | 10/ | 51.10.2022 |
| ۷. | Uddanahalli, | Midithepalli | istone | | | datad | s | 10 30 10 2032 |
| | Chakkarasanahalli, | | | | | 21 10 20 | | 50.10.2052 |
| | Karnataka | | | | | 51.10.20 | JZZ | |
| 2) D | etails of Expired/Old Quar | rries: | | | | | | I |
| S.No. | . Name of the lessee | Village | S.F.No | Extent | GO No | . & Date | Lea | ase period |
| | M/s. Sarva Infra Pvt. | | | | DeNe | 00/2014/ | | |
| 1 | Ltd, 540, 3 rd floor, | Shoolagiri & | 70/1B, | 4 05 0 | KC.INO. | 09/2014/ | 28. | 10.2015 to |
| 1. | CMH Road, Indira | Midithepalli | 70/1C | 70/1C 4.05.0 | Datadu | (10 2015 | 10 2015 27. | |
| | Nagar, Bangalore. | | | | Dated:2 | 0.10.2015 | | |
| 3) D | etails of Proposed Quarries | 5 | | | | | | |
| S. No. | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | Mineral | S.F. No | Extent | GO No. & Date | Le | ease period |

| INO. | | Тацик | | INO | | Date | |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-----|---------|------|---------------------|
| 1. | Thiru.B.Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No.25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2 nd Cross, Hosur Tk, Krishnagiri - 635109 | Shoolagiri, Midithepalli | Rough stone | 79 | 1.86.50 | - | Instant proposal |

The Total extent of the Existing / Lease expired / Proposed quarries are 11.14.50 Ha

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.1.1 Need for the project:

The Entire district is underlain by the rocks belonging to hard crystalline rock masses of Archaean age. The Archaean rocks in this area are represented by rocks of eastern Ghat complex comprising charnockites, Migmatite complex of composite gneiss. The district is covered by metamorphic crystalline rocks of charnockite, composite gneiss of Archaean age. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to sever folding, crushing and faulting. Charnockites group is occupied by the North and Southern part of the basin. The other rock type is encountered by composite granitic gneiss of Epidote hornblende biotite gneiss and hornblende biotite gneiss are occupy in the middle portion of the basin. Charnockite group occupies the high ground as well as plain and it is poorly weathered and jointed. They are generally black grey to dark grey in colour medium to coarse grained texture, and generally massive and un-foliated. A gneissic rock occurs as linear bands in the middle portion of the area and is highly migmatite. Mostly, micaceous with bands of granites, pegmatites, guartz veins the rock is well foliated. The Hornblende biotite gneiss forms the country rock of the area and epidote hornblende gneiss (Proterozoic age) occurs as small isolated outcrops. The crystalline formations are charnockite, granitic gneiss of Archean age have been intruded by dolerite dykes and pegmatite veins. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to very severe folding, crushing and faulting. The crystalline rocks are subjected to tectonic activities under various orogenic cycles resulting in the development of secondary structures such as joints. fissures and cleavages. The intensity of weathering varies from place to place.

2.2 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

Table 2-2 Salient Features of the Project

| S. No. | Description | Details |
|--------|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | Project Name | Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry-1.86.50 ha |
| 2 | Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar |
| 3 | Mining Lease Area Extent | 1.86.50 Ha |
| 4 | Location | S.F.Nos. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, |
| | | Krishnagiri District. |
| 5 | Latitude | 12° 46' 01.9743"N to 12° 45' 52.1189"N |
| 6 | Longitude | 77° 57' 03.0289"E to 77° 56' 59.2536"E |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 7 | Topography | Gently sloping terrain | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 8 | Site Elevation above MSL | The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. | | |
| 9 | Topo sheet No. | 57- H/13 | | |
| 10 | Minerals of Mine | Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry | | |
| 11 | Proposed production of Mine | 4,06,265 m ³ of Rough Stone & 38,740 m ³ of Topsoil | | |
| 12 | Ultimate depth of Mining | 39 m (2m Gravel + 37m Rough stone) | | |
| 13 | Method of Mining | Open cast, mechanized mining | | |
| 14 | Water demand | 2.0 KLD | | |
| 15 | Source of water | Water will be supplied through tankers supply | | |
| 16 | Manpower | 16 Nos. | | |
| 17 | Mining Lease | Precise Area Communication Letter received from | | |
| | | Deputy Director, Dept. of Geology & Mining, | | |
| | | Krishnagiri vide letter Rc.No.646/2021 Mines Dated | | |
| | | 31.01.2023. | | |
| 18 | Mining Plan Approval | Mining Plan was approved by the Deputy Director, | | |
| | | Dept. of Geology & Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter | | |
| | | Rc.No.646/2021 Mines Dated 17.02.2023 | | |
| 19 | Production details | Geological resources: 7,65,140m ³ of Rough stone and | | |
| | | 44,200m ³ of Gravel & Proposed year wise recoverable | | |
| | | reserves: 3,33,729 m ³ of Rough Stone and 33,210m ³ of | | |
| | | Gravel. | | |
| 20 | Boundary Fencing | 10 m barrier all along the boundary Fencing will be | | |
| | | provided. | | |
| 21 | Disposal of overburden | The entire lease area is covered 2.0m of Gravel and the | | |
| | | estimated quantity of Gravel is 33210m ³ . Gravel | | |
| | | formation will be removed and hydraulic excavators are | | |
| | | used for loading the gravel into the tipper from pit head | | |
| | | to needy buyers. This will be done only after obtaining | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the | | |
|----|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Government. | | |
| 22 | Ground water | The ground water table is reported as 66m below ground | | |
| | | level in nearby wells of this area. Mining depth taken as | | |
| | | 39m. Now, proposed quarry depth is above the water | | |
| | | table. Hence, quarrying may not affect the ground water. | | |
| | | Now, the proposed quarry depth is above the water | | |
| | | table. Hence, quarrying may not affect the ground water. | | |
| 23 | Habitations within 300m | There is no Habitation within 300m radius of the project | | |
| | radius of the Project Site | site. | | |
| 24 | Drinking water | Water will be supplied through tankers from | | |
| | | Midithepalli village which is about 1.70 km NE from the | | |
| | | project site. | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.1: Location Map of the Project Site

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.2: Google Earth Image and Coordinates of the Project Site

2.2.1 Site Connectivity:

The site is connected to Venkatesapuram village road which is about 0.43 km – South.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.3: Site Connectivity

2.3 LOCATION DETAILS:

| Table 2-5: Location Details | Table | 2-3: | Location | Details |
|-----------------------------|-------|------|----------|---------|
|-----------------------------|-------|------|----------|---------|

| S. No | Particulars | Details |
|-------|--------------------------|---|
| 1. | Latitude | 12° 46' 01.9743" N to 12° 45' 52.1189" N |
| 2. | Longitude | 77° 57' 03.0289" E to 77° 56' 59.2536" E |
| 3. | Site Elevation above MSL | The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. |
| 4. | Topography | Gently Sloping Terrain |
| 5. | Land use of the site | Patta land (Consent registered) |
| 6. | Extent of lease area | 1.86.50 Ha |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.4: Topo Map of Project Site

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.5: Environmental Sensitivity within 15km radius

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.3.1 Site Photographs

The site photographs of the project site are as follows



Figure 2.6: Site Photographs

2.3.2 Land Use Breakup of the Mine Lease Area

The Mine Lease area is Elevated terrain. The land use pattern of the mine lease area as follows.

Table 2-4: Land use pattern

| S. No. | Land Use | Present Area (Hect) | Area in use during the quarrying period (Hect) |
|--------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| 1. | Area under Quarrying | Nil | 1.45.0 |
| 2. | Infrastructure | Nil | 0.01.0 |
| 3. | Roads | Nil | 0.01.0 |
| 4. | Green Belt | Nil | 0.39.5 |
| 5. | Unutilized Area | 1.86.5 | Nil |
| | Total | 1.86.50 Ha | 1.86.50 Ha |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.3.3 Human Settlement

There are no habitations within the radius of 300m. The nearby habitations are as follows

| SL. NO. | DIRECTION | VILLAGE | POPULATION | DISTANCE |
|---------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|
| 1 | NW | Alnatham | 327 | 1.50 Km |
| 2 | Е | Athetti | 180 | 1.50 Km |
| 3 | SE | Mensandoddi | 310 | 1.81 Km |
| 4 | SW | Venkatesapuram | 2873 | 1.60 Km |

Table 2-5: Habitation

2.4 LEASEHOLD AREA

The Rough Stone Quarry mine of 1.86.50 Ha is a Government Poramboke land. The lease area falls in S.F No: 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District. There is no reserve forest or protected forest land within the lease area. There is neither human settlement within 300m radius from the lease area.

2.5 <u>GEOLOGY</u>

Krishnagiri District is underlain by crystalline metamorphic complex in the western parts of district and sedimentary tract in eastern side. An area of 4551 Sq.km is covered by crystalline rocks (63%) and 2671 Sq.km is covered by sediments (37%).

The general geological sequence of formation is given below:

- Quaternary Laterites, Sands and Clays
- Tertiary Sandstone, Gravels and Clays
- Cretaceous Limestone,
- Calcareous Sandstone and Clay unconformity.
- Archaean Charnockites, Gneisses, Granites, Dolerites and Pegmatite

The major part of the area is covered by metamorphic crystalline rocks of charnockite, granitic gneiss of Archaean age intruded by dolerite dykes and pegmatite veins. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to very severe folding, crushing and faulting. Ground Water occurs under phreatic conditions and wherever there are deep seated fractures, it occurs under semi-confined to confined conditions.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Occurrence of Ground Water in hard rock depends upon the intensity and depth of weathering, fractures and fissures present in the rocks. Granites and gneisses yield moderately compared to the yield in Charnockites. The depth of well in hard rock generally ranges between 8 and 15m below ground level. Generally, yield in open wells ranges from 30 to 250m³ /day and in bore well between 260 and 430 m³ /day. The weathered thickness varies from 2.5 m to 42m in general. There are 3 to 5 fracture zones within 100 m and 1 to 4 fracture zones between 100 and 200 m.

The Cretaceous formation is represented by Arenaceous Limestone, Calcareous sand - stone and marl. The Tertiary formation is an argillaceous comprising of Silty clay stones, argillaceous Limestone. The Quaternary deposits represented by the river deposits of Ponnaiyar and Varahanadhi spread over as patches in Villupuram District. The alluvium consists of unconsolidated sands, gravelly sands, clays and clayey sands. The thickness of the sands ranges between 15 and 25 m in the alluvial formation which also form potential aquifers. In some areas, sandstone of tertiary formation are potential groundwater reservoirs.



Figure 2.7: Geomorphology

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.8 Lithology

2.6 **<u>QUALITY OF RESERVES:</u>**

The mining lease area is 1.86.50 Ha, with production capacity of 3,33,729 m³ of Rough Stone and 33,210m³ of Gravel. Due to its significant role in the domestic as well as infrastructural market, making the mining of Stone along with associated minor minerals is economically viable.

| Table | 2-6: | Details | of | Mining |
|-------|------|---------|----|--------|
| | | | | |

| S. No | Particulars | Details |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | Method of Mining | Open Cast mechanized |
| 2 | Geological resources | 7,65,140 m ³ of Rough Stone & 44,200m ³ Gravel |
| 3 | Recoverable Reserves | 3,33,729 m ³ of Rough Stone & 33,210 m ³ of Gravel |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 4 | Proposed Production | 3,33,729 m ³ of Rough Stone & 33,210 m ³ of Gravel |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 5 | Elevation Range of the Mine Site | The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. |

2.6.1 Estimation of Reserves

The practical method of the systematic geological mapping and delineation of Rough stone (Charnockite) within the field was done and careful evaluation of body luster, physical properties, engineering properties, commercial aspects, etc. The Topographical, Geological plan and sections demarcated the commercial marketable Rough stone (Charnockite) deposit has been prepared in 1:1000 scale and the estimated balance Geological resources as 7,65,140 m³ of Rough Stone.

2.6.2 Geological resources

Gravel:

The Thickness of Gravel in this area is 2.0m and the total volume of Gravel will be 44200m³. Rough Stone:

The Available Geological Resources is estimated as 765140m³ respectively. Gravel is calculated up to a depth of 2m and Rough Stone at a depth of 37m. Total Depth-39m.

| GEOLOGICAL RESERVES | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-------------------------|-----|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Section | Denali | L | L W(m) | | Volume | Geological Reserves | Gravel |
| | Denen | (m) | vv (III <i>)</i> | (m) | In M ³ | in m ³ @ 100% | in m ³ |
| XY-AB | Ι | 160 | 80 | 2 | | | 25600 |
| | II | 160 | 32 | 2 | 10240 | 10240 | |
| | III | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |
| | IV | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |
| | V | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |
| | VI | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |
| | VII | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |
| | VIII | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |

Table 2-7: Geological resources

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | IX | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | |
|-------------|------|-----|----|---|--------|--------|-------|
| | TC | TAL | | | 458240 | 458240 | 25600 |
| | Ι | 155 | 60 | 2 | | | 18600 |
| | III | 155 | 60 | 3 | 27900 | 27900 | |
| XY-CD | IV | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | |
| | V | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | |
| | VI | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | |
| | VII | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | |
| | VIII | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | |
| | IX | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | 306900 | 306900 | 18600 |
| GRAND TOTAL | | | | | 765140 | 765140 | 44200 |

2.6.3 Mineable Reserves

Gravel:

The Thickness of Gravel in this area is 2.0m and the total volume of Gravel will be 33210m³.

Rough stone:

The Mineable reserves and the Recoverable reserves are 333729m³ respectively, at the rate of 100% recovery upto the permissible depth. Total Depth-39m (2m Gravel + 37m Rough Stone).

Table 2-8: Mineable Reserves

| MINEABLE RESERVES | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | D (m) | Volume In M ³ | Mineable Reserves in m ³ @ 100% | Gravel in m ³ | | | |
| | Ι | 153 | 65 | 2 | | | 19890 | | | |
| XY-AB | II | 153 | 25 | 2 | 7650 | 7650 | | | | |
| | III | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1 86 50 Ha by Thiru B Sribar | Draft FIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | - |

| | IV | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
|-------------|------|-----|----|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| | V | 148 | 55 | 5 | 40700 | 40700 | |
| | VI | 143 | 45 | 5 | 32175 | 32175 | |
| | VII | 138 | 35 | 5 | 24150 | 24150 | |
| | VIII | 133 | 25 | 5 | 16625 | 16625 | |
| | IX | 123 | 15 | 5 | 9225 | 9225 | |
| | TO | ΓAL | | | 229975 | 229975 | 19890 |
| | Ι | 148 | 45 | 2 | | | 13320 |
| | II | 148 | 41 | 3 | 18204 | 18204 | |
| XY-CD | III | 148 | 45 | 5 | 33300 | 33300 | |
| AT CD | IV | 143 | 35 | 5 | 25025 | 25025 | |
| | V | 138 | 25 | 5 | 17250 | 17250 | |
| | VI | 133 | 15 | 5 | 9975 | 9975 | |
| TOTAL | | | | 103754 | 103754 | 13320 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | | | | 333729 | 333729 | 33210 | |

2.6.4 Year wise Production Plan

Rough stone production First Five Years details as follows:

The proposed rate of production of Rough Stone is estimated as 224329m³ & Gravel is 33210 m³ for First Five (I-V) years. The average proposed rate of production of Rough Stone is about 44866m³ per year at the rate of 100% recovery up to the permissible depth. Reserves Calculated up to 19m (2m Gravel + 17m Rough Stone). (Refer Drawing Plate No. IV-A1-Year wise Sections years.

Table 2-9: Year wise Production Plan

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION (First Five (I-V)Years) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|--------|-----|-----|-----|---------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Bana | т | W | п | Volume | Recoverable | Gravel | |
| YEAR | Section | 1 Dene | | •• | | in | Reserve | • 3 | |
| | | h | (m) | (m) | (m) | (Cu.m.) | in Cu.m(100%) | 1 n m ⁵ | |
| I-YEAR | XY-AB | Ι | 153 | 65 | 2 | | | 19890 | |
| | | II | 153 | 25 | 2 | 7650 | 7650 | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |
| | | |

| | | III | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|----|---|--------|--------|-------|
| | XY-CD | Ι | 148 | 45 | 2 | | | 13320 |
| | | II | 148 | 41 | 3 | 18204 | 18204 | |
| II-YEAR | XY-AB | IV | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
| III-YEAR | XY-CD | III | 148 | 45 | 5 | 33300 | 33300 | |
| IV-YEAR | XY-AB | V | 148 | 55 | 5 | 40700 | 40700 | |
| V-YEAR | XY-CD | IV | 143 | 35 | 5 | 25025 | 25025 | |
| Total (First Five (I-V)Years) | | | | | | 224329 | 224329 | 33210 |

Rough stone production Second Five Years details as follows:

The proposed rate of production of **Rough Stone** is estimated as **109400m**³ for Second Five (VI-X) years. The average proposed rate of production of **Rough Stone** is about **21880m**³ per year at the rate of 100% recovery up to the permissible depth. Reserves Calculated up to **20m** Rough Stone. (Refer Drawing Plate No. IV-B1-Year wise Sections).

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION (Second Five (VI-X)Years) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| YEAR | Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | D (m) | Volume in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable Reserve in Cu.m(100%) | | |
| VI-YEAR | XY-AB | VI | 143 | 45 | 5 | 32175 | 32175 | | |
| VII-YEAR | XY-CD | V | 138 | 25 | 5 | 17250 | 17250 | | |
| VIII-YEAR | XY-AB | VII | 138 | 35 | 5 | 24150 | 24150 | | |
| IX-YEAR | XY-CD | VI | 133 | 15 | 5 | 9975 | 9975 | | |
| X-YEAR | XY-AB | VIII | 133 | 25 | 5 | 16625 | 16625 | | |
| | XY-CD | IX | 123 | 15 | 5 | 9225 | 9225 | | |
| ſ | Cotal (Seco | 109400 | 109400 | | | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.500 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 2.9 Year wise Production Plan

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.7 <u>TYPE OF MINING</u>

The proposed project is an open cast mechanized mining with one 2.0 m bench for Gravel followed by a 5.0m vertical bench with a bench width not less than the bench height. However, as far as the quarrying of Rough Stone is concerned, observance of the provisions of regulations 106(2) (b) as above is seldom possible due to various inherent Petro genetic factors coupled with mining difficulties. Hence, it is proposed to obtain relaxation to the provisions of the above regulation from the Director of Mines Safety for which necessary provision is available with the Regulation 106(2) (b) of MMR-1961, under Mines Act- 1952.

2.7.1 Method of Working:

The Rough stone are proposed to quarry at 5m bench height & 5m bench width with conventional Open cast mechanized method. The quarry operation involves Shallow jack hammer drilling, Blasting, Loading & transportation of Rough Stone to the nearby crusher units/road formation works. The production of Rough Stone in this quarry involves the following method which is typical for Rough Stone quarrying in contrast to other major mineral mining.

Splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rocks by jackhammer drilling and blasting by manually braking and loading the Rough Stone from pit head to the needy crushing units/civil works for the needy sectors.

2.7.2 Overburden

The entire lease area covers 2.0m of Topsoil and estimated quantity of Topsoil is 38,740m³. Topsoil formation will be removed and transported to the needy users, only after obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government.

2.7.3 Machineries to be used

The type of machineries proposed for quarrying operation for the entire project is listed below.

| | Table 2-10: List of Wiachmeries used | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| For Mining operation | Excavator of 1.2 Cu.m bucket capacity | |
| Loading Equipment | Jack Hammer (25.5 mm dia) | |
| | Tractor mounted compressor | |
| Transportation | Tipper 1 No. of 10 M.T capacity | |

Table 2-10: List of Machineries used

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.7.4 Blasting:

2.7.4.1 Blasting Pattern:

The quarrying operation will be carried out by Mechanized Opencast method in conjunction with conventional method of mining using jack hammer drilling and blasting for shattering effect and loosen the rough stone.

2.7.4.2 Drilling & Blasting:

Drilling and Blasting Parameters are as follows.

Table 2-11: Drilling and Blasting Parameters

| 1 | Diameter of the hole | 32-36 mm |
|----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | Spacing | 60 Cms |
| 3 | Depth | 1 to 1.5 m |
| 4 | Charge / Hole | D.Cord with water or 70gms of gun |
| | | powder or Gelatine. |
| 5 | Pattern of hole | Zig Zag |
| 6 | Inclination of hole | 70° from the horizontal. |
| 7 | Quantity of rock broken | 0.45 MT x 2.6 = 1.17 MT |
| 8 | Quantity of rock broken per day | 111.24m ³ |
| 9 | Control Blasting efficiency @90% | 1.17 x 90% = 1.05 MT / hole |
| 10 | Charge per hole | 140 gms of 25mm dia catridge |

2.7.4.3 Types of Explosives to be used:

A small diameter of 25mm Slurry explosives is proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling or primary blasting is proposed.

2.7.4.4 Measures to minimize ground vibration due to blasting:

The quarry is situated more than 1 km from the nearby villages. Controlled blasting measures will be adopted for minimizing the ground vibration and fly of rocks. Shallow depths jackhammer drilling & blasting is proposed to be carried out with minimum use of explosive mainly to give shattering effect in rough stone for easy excavation and to control fly of rock.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 2-12: Blasting Details

| Parameters | Details |
|--------------------|--|
| Diameter of holes | 32-36mm |
| Spacing | 60 cms |
| Powder factor | 6 to 7 tons/kg of explosives |
| Pattern of hole | Zig Zag |
| Charge/hole | D.Cord with water or 70gms of gun powd |
| | Gelatine. |
| Blasted at daytime | 5 to 6 pm |

2.7.4.5 Storage & Safety measures taken during blasting:

The project proponent "Thiru.B.Srikar" will engage an authorized explosive agency to carry out the small amount of blasting and it will be supervised by Permit Mines Manager. The copy of the explosive certificate is attached as *Annexure*.

2.8 MAN POWER REQUIREMENTS

The manpower requirement to meet out the production Schedule and the machinery strength envisaged in the mining plan and to comply with the statutory provisions of the Mines Safety Regulations is as follows.

| | | Operators | 2 Nos |
|----|----------------|------------------|-------|
| 1. | Skilled | Mechanic | 1 No |
| | | Blaster / Mat | 1 No |
| 2. | Semi – skilled | Drivers | 2 Nos |
| | | Musdoor / Labors | 5 Nos |
| 3. | Unskilled | Cleaners | 2 Nos |
| | | Office Boy | 1 No |
| 4. | 2 Nos | | |
| | 16 Nos | | |

Table 2-13: Man Power Requirements

No child less than 18 years will be entertained during quarrying operations.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.8.1 Water Requirement

Total water requirement for the mining project is 2.0 KLD. Domestic water will be sourced from nearby Midithepalli village and other water will be sourced from nearby road tankers supply.

Table 2-14: Water Requirment

| Purpose | Quantity | Sources |
|------------------|----------|---|
| Drinking Water | 1.0 KLD | Mineral water purchased from approved water vendors available in Midithepalli village. |
| Green belt | 0.5 KLD | Other domestic activities through road tankers supply |
| Dust suppression | 0.5 KLD | From road tankers supply |
| Total | 2.0 KLD | |

2.9 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

The implementation schedule of the proposed Mine Lease of Thiru. B. Srikar (1.86.50 ha) is as follows.

Table 2-15: Mining Schedule

| MINING SCHEDULE | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Activity | Dec |
| | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 |
| Site Clearance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Excavation - Top Soil | | | | | | | | | | |
| Removal/Overburden | | | | | | | | | | |
| I Year Production – 75579 Cum - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rough Stone & 33210m ³ Grave1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| II Year Production – 49725 Cum - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | | | | | | |
| III Year Production – 33300 Cum - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV Year Production – 40700 Cum - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | | | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| V Year Production – 25025 Cum - | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rough Stone | | | | | |
| VI Year Production – 32175 Cum - | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | |
| VII Year Production – 17250 Cum - | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | |
| VIII Year Production – 9975 Cum - | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | |
| IX Year Production – 16625 Cum - | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | |
| X Year Production – 9225 Cum - | | | | | |
| Rough Stone | | | | | |

2.10 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Table 2-15: Solid Waste Management

| S. No | Туре | Quantity | Disposal Method |
|-------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | Organic | 2.88 kg/day | Municipal bin including food waste |
| 2 | Inorganic | 4.32 kg/day | TNPCB authorized recyclers |

As per CPCB guidelines: MSW per capita/day =0.45 kg/day

2.11 MINE DRAINAGE

The quarry operation is proposed upto a depth of 39.0m (2.0m Gravel & 37.0m Rough stone). The ground water table is reported as 66m below ground level in nearby wells of this area. Mining depth taken as 39m. Now, proposed quarry depth is above the water table. Hence, quarrying may not affect the ground water.

2.12 POWER REQUIREMENT

This rough stone quarry project does not require huge water and electricity for the project. **16 Litre** diesel per hour for excavator for mining and loading for Rough Stone needed.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.13 PROJECT COST

| A. Fixed Asset Cost | | |
|---|---|--|
| <u>111</u> <u>1 1100 1 10500 0 0050</u> . | | |
| 1. Land Cost | : | Rs. 18,00,000/- |
| 2. Labour Shed | : | Rs. 1,20,000/- |
| 3. Sanitary Facility | : | Rs. 1,00,000/- |
| 4. Refilling/Fencing cost | : | Rs.80,000/- |
| Total= | | Rs.21,00,000/- |
| B. Operational Cost: | | |
| Machinery cost | : | Rs.30,00,000/- |
| C. EMP Cost: | | |
| Display board in site; | : | Rs. 1,32,48,533/- |
| Monitoring-Air, Water, | : | |
| Noise; Dust Suppression - | : | |
| Water sprinkling by own | : | |
| water tankers; Vehicle | : | |
| Tyres Wash; Green Belt | : | |
| Development; Road | : | |
| Development & | : | |
| Management; | : | |
| Occupational Health And | : | |
| Safety; Solid Waste | | |
| Management; Strom | | |
| Water; Renewable Energy, | | |
| CCTV Installation, Salary | | |
| for mines manager and | | |
| blaster | | |
| Total Project Cost (A+B) | : | Rs. 51.00.000/- |
| | Land Cost Labour Shed Sanitary Facility Refilling/Fencing cost Total= B. Operational Cost: Machinery cost C. EMP Cost: Display board in site; Monitoring-Air, Water, Noise; Dust Suppression - Water sprinkling by own water tankers; Vehicle Tyres Wash; Green Belt Development; Road Development; Road Development; Road Ccupational Health And Safety; Solid Waste Management; Strom Water; Renewable Energy, CCTV Installation, Salary for mines manager and blaster | 1. Land Cost : 2. Labour Shed : 3. Sanitary Facility : 4. Refilling/Fencing cost : Total= . B. Operational Cost: . Machinery cost : C. EMP Cost: . Display board in site; : Monitoring-Air, Water, : Noise; Dust Suppression - : Water sprinkling by own : water tankers; Vehicle : Tyres Wash; Green Belt : Development; Road Development; & Management; : Occupational Health And : Safety; Solid Waste . Management; Strom Water; Renewable Energy, . CCTV Installation, Salary for mines manager and blaster . |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

2.14 GREENBELT

1. The development of greenbelt in the peripheral buffer zone of the mine area.

2. The Green belt has been recommended as one of the major components of the Environmental Management plan, which will improve ecology, environment and the quality of the surrounding area.

3. Local trees like Neem, Pungam, Naval etc. will be planted along the lease boundary and avenues as well as over non-active dumps at a rate of 200 trees per annum with interval 5m.

4. The rate of survival expected to be 80% in this area

Table. 2-17 Plantation/ Afforestation Program

| Name of species proposed | Survival | No of species |
|--|----------|---------------|
| Neem, Pungam, Poovarasu, Naval, Mantharai, Arasa Maram, | | |
| Magizham, Vilvam, vaagai, Marudha maram, Thandri, | 80% | 1000 |
| Poovarasu, Quaker buttons, Thethankottai maram, Manjadi, | | 1000 |
| Usil, Aathi, Panai, Uzha, Illuppai, Eachai, Vanni Maram | | |
| Total | 1000 | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3 Description of the Environment

3.1 GENERAL:

The method of mining for extracting rough stone quarry is required to be selected in such a manner to ensure sustainable development. Mining activities invariably affect the existing environmental status of the site. It has both adverse and beneficial effects. In order to maintain the environmental commensuration with the mining operation, it is essential to undertake studies on the existing environmental scenario and assess the impact on different environmental components. This would help in formulating suitable management plans and sustainable resource extraction.

To understand the existing environmental scenario, Baseline data helps in identification, prediction and evaluation of impacts in Environmental Impact assessment. Through field study, baseline data are collected considering various factors of the project. This includes-

- Physical- the area, the soil properties, the geological characteristics, the topography, etc
- Chemical- water, air, noise and soil pollution levels, etc.
- Biological- the biodiversity of the area, types of flora and fauna, species richness, species distribution, types of ecosystems, presence or absence of endangered species and/or sensitive ecosystems etc.
- Socioeconomic- demography, social structure, economic conditions, developmental capabilities, displacement of locals, etc.

3.1.1 Study Area:

The study area for the mining projects is as follows:

- Mine lease area as the "core zone"
- A study area of 10 km radius from the project boundary is designated as buffer Zone and for the study of Socio-economic status, 10 km radius from the boundary limits of the mine lease area has been selected.

We have obtained Terms of Reference from SEIAA vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9962/ ToR-1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023. The baseline monitoring is carried out in June 2023 to August 2023 and the analysis is briefed in the EIA report. The proponent has engaged M/s. Ecotech labs Pvt. Ltd for carrying out the existing baseline study.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.1.2 Instruments Used

The following instruments were used at the site for baseline data collection.

- 1. Respirable Dust Sampler with attachment for gaseous Pollutants, Envirotech APM 460, APM411.
- 2. Fine Particulate Matter (FPM) Sampler, APM 550
- 4. Sound Level Meter Model SL-4010
- 5. 2000 series watchdog automatic weathering monitoring station

3.1.3 Baseline Data Collection Period:

The baseline data is collected in accordance with the CPCB Guidelines. The Baseline study is carried out from June 2023 to August 2023.

3.1.4 Frequency of Monitoring

| Attributes | Sampling | Frequency |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Air environment – Meteorological | Project site | 1 hourly continuous |
| (wind speed, wind direction, | | |
| rainfall, humidity, temperature) | | |
| Air environment – Pollutants | 5 locations | 24 hourly twice a week |
| PM 10 | | 4 hourly. |
| PM 2.5 | | Twice a week, One non-monsoon season |
| SO ₂ | | 8 hourly, twice a week |
| NO _x | | 24 hourly, twice a week |
| Noise | 5 locations | 24 hourly Once in 5 locations |
| Water (Ground water) | 5 locations | Once in 5 locations |
| pH, Temperature, Turbidity, | | |
| Magnesium Hardness, Total | | |
| Alkalinity, Chloride, Sulphate, | | |
| Fluoride, Nitrate, Sodium, | | |

Table 3-1: Frequency of Sampling and Analysis

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Potassium, Salinity, Total nitrogen, Total Coliforms, Fecal Coliforms | | |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Water (surface water) pH, Temperature, Turbidity, Magnesium Hardness, Total Alkalinity, Chloride, Sulphate, Fluoride, Nitrate, Sodium, Potassium, Salinity, Total nitrogen, Total Coliforms, Fecal Coliforms | Sample from nearby lakes/river | One-time Sampling |
| Soil (Organic matter, Texture, pH, Electrical Conductivity, Permeability, Water holding capacity, Porosity) | 5 locations | Once in 5 locations |
| Ecology and biodiversity Study | Study area covering 10 km radius | One-time Sampling |
| Socio- Economic study (Population, Literacy Level, employment, Infrastructure like school, hospitals & commercial establishments) | Villages around 10 km radius | One-time Sampling |

3.1.5 Secondary data Collection

Apart from the primary data, Secondary data is also used for the collection; collation; synthesis and interpretation.

- Flora & Faunal Study
- Land use study

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

- Demography and socio-economic analysis
- Meteorological data, from Indian Meteorological Department (IMD)

3.1.6 Study area details

Table 3-2 Study area details

| S. No | Description | Details | Source |
|-------|-------------------|---|-----------|
| 1. | Project Location | S.F.Nos. 79 Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri | Field |
| | | Taluk, Krishnagiri District. | Study |
| 2. | Latitude & | Latitude: 12° 46' 01.9743"N to 12° 45' 52.1189"N | Торо |
| | Longitude | Longitude: 77° 57' 03.0289"E to 77° 56' 59.2536"E | Sheet |
| 3. | Topo Sheet No. | | Survey of |
| | | 57- H/13 | India |
| | | | Toposheet |
| 4. | Mine Lease | 1 86 50 Ha | |
| | Area | 1.00.50 11a | |
| | Demog | graphy in the study area (as per Census 2011) | |
| 5. | Total | 2 873 | Census |
| | Population | 2,075 | Survey of |
| 6. | Total Number of | 650 | India |
| | Households | 050 | IIIuIa |
| 7. | Maximum | 34 | |
| | Temperature (°C) | 51 | IMD |
| 8. | Minimum | 24 | |
| | Temperature (°C) | 24 | |
| 9. | Ecological | • Muthali lake – 4.67Km – W | |
| | Sensitive Areas - | • Bukkasagaram Lake – 4.76Km- S | Google |
| | Wetlands, | • Berikai Lake – 5.12Km – N | Earth/Fie |
| | watercourses or | • Peddakullu Lake – 5.86Km – SW | ld Study |
| | other | • Lake 1 – 5.25Km – SW | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | waterbodies, coastal zone, biospheres, mountains, forests Densely | • | Doripalli Lake – 6.28K Thummanapalli Lake – Kelavarapalli Reservoi Kamandoddi Lake – 8. Kumudapalli Lake – 9. Moranapalli Lake – 9.8 | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| 10. | Populated area Areas occupied by sensitive | S. | Places | | |
| | man-made land | Schools & Colleges | | | |
| | uses (hospitals, schools, places of worship, | 1 | Government Higher Secondary School, Athimugam | 3.54 Km - SE | |
| | community facilities) | 2 | Adhiyaman college of Agriculture & Research, Athimugam. | 3.88 Km - SE | Google Earth/ |
| | | 3 | Government Higher Secondary School, Venkatesapuram | 1.98 Km - SW | Field Study |
| | | | Hospitals | | |
| | | 1 | Government Hospital, Athimugam | 3.70 Km - SEE | |
| | | 2 | Government Hospital, Kamandoddi | 8.82 Km - S | |
| | | L | 1 | 1 | |

3.1.7 Site Connectivity:

The site is connected to Venkates apuram village road which is about 0.43 km – South.
| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 3.1: Site Connectivity

3.2 LAND USE ANALYSIS

3.2.1 Land Use Classification

Land Use / Land Cover - Land Use refers to man's activity and the various uses, which are carried on land. Land Cover refers to natural vegetation, water bodies, rock/soil, artificial cover and others, resulting due to land transformation. The present Land Use/Land Classification map is developed with following objectives. The main objective of the study is to classify the different land use within 10 km from the project boundary.

3.2.2 Methodology

Information of land use and land cover is important for many planning and management activities concerning the surface of the earth (Agarwal and Garg, 2000). Land use refers to man's activities on land, which are directly related to land (Anderson et al., 1976). The land use and the land cover determine the infiltration capacity. Barren surfaces are poor retainers of water as compared to

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

grasslands and forests, which not only hold water for longer periods on the surface, but at the same time allow it to percolate down.

The terms 'land use' and 'land cover' (LULC) are often used to describe maps that provide information about the types of features found on the earth's surface (land cover) and the human activity that is associated with them (land use). Satellite remote sensing is being used for determining different types of land use classes as it provides a means of assessing a large area with limited time and resources. However, satellite images do not record land cover details directly and they are measured based on the solar energy reflected from each area on the land. The amount of multi spectral energy in multi wavelengths depends on the type of material at the earth's surface and the objective is to associate particular land cover with each of these reflected energies, which is achieved using either visual or digital interpretation. In the present study the task is to study in detail the land use and land cover in and around the project site. The study envisages different LULC around the proposed project area and the procedure adopted is as below.



Figure 3.2 Flow Chart showing Methodology of Land use mapping

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.2.3 Satellite Data

Sentinal 2 multispectral satellite data of 2020 was utilized for the present study. Details of satellite data is given below. The rectification of imagery was carried out to bring the digital data on the earth coordinate system by means of ground control point (GCP) assignments/SOI topo sheets.

3.2.4 Scale of mapping

Considering the user defined scale of mapping, 1:50000 Sentinal 2 data was used for Land use / Land cover mapping of 10 km radius for proposed site. The description of the land use categories for 10 km radius and the statistics are given for 10 km radius.

3.2.5 Interpretation Technique

Standard on screen visual interpretation procedure was followed. The various Land use / Land cover classes interpreted along with the SOI topographical maps during the initial rapid reconnaissance of the study area. The physiognomic expressions conceived by image elements of color, tone, texture, size, shape, pattern, shadow, location and associated features are used to interpret the FCC imagery. Image interpretation keys were developed for each of the LU/LC classes in terms of image elements.

June 2016 FCC imagery (Digital data) of the study area was interpreted for the relevant land use classes. On screen visual interpretation coupled with supervised image classification techniques are used to prepare the land use classification.

- 1. Digitization of the study area (10 km radius from the proposed site) from the topo maps
- 2. In the present study the sentinal satellite image and SOI topo sheets of 57-H/14 have been procured and interpreted using the ERDAS imaging and ARC-GIS software adopting the necessary interpretation techniques.
- 3. Satellite data interpretation and vectorization of the resulting units
- Adopting the available guidelines from manual of LULC mapping using Satellite imagery (NRSA, 1989)
- 5. Field checking and ground truth validation
- 6. Composition of final LULC map

The LULC Classification has been done at three levels where level -1 being the broad classification about the land covers that is Built-up land, agriculture land, waste land, wetlands, and water bodies. These are followed by level –II where built-up land is divided into towns/cities as well as villages. The

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Agriculture land is divided into different classes such as cropland, Fallow, Plantation, while wastelands are broadly divided into, Land with scrub and without Scrub and Mining and Industrial wasteland. The wetlands are classified into inland wetlands, coastal wetlands and islands. The water bodies are classified further into River/stream, Canal, Tanks and bay. In the present study level II classification has been undertaken. The SOI Topo map is presented in Annexure and Satellite imagery of 10 km radius from the project site is presented Annexure.

3.2.6 Field Verification

Field verification involved collection, verification and record of the different surface features that create specific spectral signatures / image expressions on FCC. In the study area, doubtful areas identified in course of interpretation of imagery is systematically listed and transferred on to the corresponding SOI topographical maps for ground verification. In addition to these, traverse routes were planned with reference to SOI topographical maps to verify interpreted LU/LC classes in such a manner that all the different classes are covered by at least 5 sampling areas, evenly distributed in the area. Ground truth details involving LU/LC classes and other ancillary information about crop growth stage, exposed soils, landform, nature and type of land degradation are recorded and the different land use classes are taken the Land use map is presented in Annexure.

3.2.7 Description of the Land Use / land cover classes

3.2.7.1 Water

Areas where water was predominantly present throughout the year; may not cover areas with sporadic or ephemeral water; contains little to no sparse vegetation, no rock outcrop nor built up features like docks; examples: rivers, ponds, lakes, oceans, flooded salt plains.

3.2.7.2 Trees

Any significant clustering of tall (~15-m or higher) dense vegetation, typically with a closed or dense canopy; examples: wooded vegetation, clusters of dense tall vegetation within savannas, plantations, swamp or mangroves (dense/tall vegetation with ephemeral water or canopy too thick to detect water underneath).

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.2.7.3 Grass

Open areas covered in homogenous grasses with little to no taller vegetation; wild cereals and grasses with no obvious human plotting (i.e., not a plotted field); examples: natural meadows and fields with sparse to no tree cover, open savanna with few to no trees, parks/golf courses/lawns, pastures.

3.2.7.4 Flooded vegetation

Mix of small clusters of plants or single plants dispersed on a landscape that shows exposed soil or rock; scrub-filled clearings within dense forests that are clearly not taller than trees; examples: moderate to sparse cover of bushes, shrubs and tufts of grass, savannas with very sparse grasses, trees or other plants.

3.2.7.5 Crops

Humans planted/plotted cereals, grasses, and crops not at tree height; examples: corn, wheat, soy, fallow plots of structured land.

3.2.7.6 Scrub/Shrub

Mix of small clusters of plants or single plants dispersed on a landscape that shows exposed soil or rock; scrub-filled clearings within dense forests that are clearly not taller than trees; examples: moderate to sparse cover of bushes, shrubs and tufts of grass, savannas with very sparse grasses, trees or other plants.

3.2.7.7 Built Area

Human made structures; major road and rail networks; large homogenous impervious surfaces including parking structures, office buildings and residential housing; examples: houses, dense villages / towns / cities, paved roads, asphalt.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 3.3 Land use classes around 10 km radius from the project site

3.2.7.8 Different Land use classes around 10 km radius from the project site

Table 3-3 Land use pattern

| S1.No | Categories | Area in Sq.m | Percentage |
|-------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| 1 | Water Body | 2.91 | 0.89 |
| 2 | Trees | 6.16 | 1.89 |
| 3 | Grass | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| 4 | Crops | 185.35 | 57.13 |
| 5 | Scrub/Shrub | 83.54 | 25.75 |
| 6 | Built-up Area | 45.88 | 14.14 |
| 7 | Barren Land | 0.51 | 0.15 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT

3.3.1 Contour & Drainage

The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL.

3.3.2 Geomorphology

The prominent geomorphic units identified in the district through interpretation of satellite imagery are structural hills in the southwestern part of the district, denudational landforms like buried pediments in the plains and inselbergs and plateaus represented by conical hills aligned with major lineaments. Krishnagiri district forms part of the upland plateau region with many hill ranges and undulating plains. The western part of the district has hill ranges of Mysore plateau with a chain of undulating hills and deep valleys extending in NNE-SSW direction. The plains of the district have an average elevation of 488 m amsl. The plateau region along the western boundary and the northwestern part of the district has an average elevation of 869m Amsl. The Guthrayan Durg with an elevation of 1395 m amsl is the highest peak in the district.

Soils

Soils have been classified into Black soil, mixed soil, red loamy soil, gravelly and sandy soils. Red loamy and sandy soils are predominant in Hosur taluk. Vast stretches of loam soils and black soils occur in Krishnagiri district.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 3.4 Geomorphology within 10km from the project site

3.3.3 Geology:

The geological formations of the district belong mainly to Archaean age along with rock of Proterozoic age. The former is represented by Khondalite Group of rocks, Charnockite Group of rocks, Migmatites Complex, Sathyamangalam Group of rocks, while the latter is represented by Alkaline rocks. The Khondalite Group includes garnet sillimanite gneiss and quartzite which occur as small patches. The migmatite complex includes garnet ferrous quartzofeldspathic gneiss and horn blends biotite gneiss, the former exposed on the western part of the district. The Sathyamangalam Group includes fuchsite quartzite, sillimanite mica schist and amphibolites. The Bhavani Group in this area includes fissile hornblende-biotite gneiss, granitoid gneiss and pink migmatite. Amphibolites with barbed ferruginous quartzite and associated quartzo-feldspathic rocks (Champion Gneiss) represent

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

the Kolar group and are found west and southwest of Veppanapalli. Following this there are basic intrusions occurring as dykes.

The Charnockite Group occupies a major part of the south-west portion of this district with small bands of garnetiferous quartzo-feldspathicgneiss, Granite gneiss and dolerite dykes. The North-East andNorthernpartof the District mainly consist of granite gneiss with small patches of Pink Migmatite, hornblende-biotite gneiss and dolerite dykes. The Eastern part of the district consists of Epidote-Hornblende Gneiss, Ultra Mafics, Syenite and Carbonatite.

The Alkaline Complex is represented by epidote-horn blende gneiss, ultramafics, syenite and carbonatite and these are distributed in the eastern part of the district. Innumerable basic dykes and felsites, quartz, barites and pegmatite veins form part of the Alkali Complex.



Figure 3.5 Geology within 10km from the project site

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.3.4 Hydrogeology

Krishnagiri district is underlined by Archaean crystalline formations with Recent alluvial deposits of limited areal extent and thickness along the courses of major rivers (Plate-II). The occurrence and movement of ground water are controlled by various factors such as physiography, climate, geology and structural features. Weathered, and fractured crystalline rocks constitute the important aquifer systems in the district.

Ground water generally occurs under phreatic conditions in the weathered mantle and under semiconfined conditions in the fractured zones at deeper levels. The thickness of weathered zones in the district ranges from less than a meter to more than 15 m. The yield of large diameter dug wells in the district, tapping the weathered mantle of crystalline rocks ranges from 100 to 500 lpm. These wells normally sustain in pumping for 2 to 6 hours per day, depending upon the local topography and characteristics of the weathered mantle.

The depth to water level (DTW) during pre-monsoon (May 2006) ranged between 0.5 and 9.9 m bgl (Plate-III) in the district. In major part of the district the DTW is more than 5mbgl. Whereas it ranged between 2 and 9.9 m bgl (Plate-IV) during post monsoon, in the district and the DTW is in the range of 5 - 10 m bgl in the entire district except a few isolated pockets.

The yield of successful exploratory wells drilled in the district ranged from 0.78 lps to 26 lps. As per the studies the wells drilled in granitic gneiss have higher yields than the wells drilled in charnockites. The specific capacity of the wells ranged from 1.2 to 118.0 lpm/m/dd. The piezometric head of fracture zones varied between 0.50 and 18.45 m bgl.

Aquifer Parameters:

The transmissivity values of fracture zones ranged from 1 to 188 m^2/day with low to very low permeability values.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 3.6 Ground water prospects within 5 km radius of the project site

3.3.5 Ground water quality monitoring

Ground water quality monitoring is done in the following locations and analysis will be done for physical, chemical & Biological parameters.

| Table | 3-4 | Ground | water | Ouality | Analy | sis |
|-------|-----|--------|-------|----------------|-------|-----|
| | | | | <u> </u> | | |

| Environmental Parameters: Gr | round water Quality Analysis |
|------------------------------|--|
| Monitoring Period | June 2023 to August 2023 |
| Design Criteria | Based on the Environmental settings in the study area |
| Monitoring Locations | Project Site - GW1 |
| | Government High School, Muthali – GW2 |
| | Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, Berikai Road Sandarasettipalli |
| | – GW3 |
| | Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram – GW4 |
| | Midhitepalli Bus Stop – GW5 |
| | Garagamma Temple – Vanamangalam – GW6 |
| | Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple – Moranapalli – GW7 |
| Methodology | Water Samples were collected in 5 Litre fresh cans as per IS 3025 Part |
| | I and transported to the laboratory in Iceboxes |
| Frequency of Monitoring | Once in a season |

| D | | |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.3.5.1 Sampling Procedure

Quality of ground water was compared with IS: 10500: 1991 (Reaffirmed 1993 With Amendment NO-3 July 2010) for drinking purposes. Water samples were collected as Grab sample from five sampling locations in a 5-liter plastic jerry can and 250 ml sterilized clean glass/pet bottle for complete physico-chemical and bacteriological tests respectively. The samples were analyzed as per standard procedure / method given in IS: 3025 (Revised Part) and standard method for examination of water and wastewater Ed. 21st, published jointly by APHA.

| S. No | Parameters | Test Method |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | pH (at 25°C) | IS:3025(P -11)1983 RA: 2012 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | IS:3025(P -14) 2013 |
| 3 | Colour | IS:3025 (P -4)1983 RA: 2012 |
| 4 | Turbidity | IS:3025(P -10)1984 RA: 2012 |
| 5 | Total Dissolved Solids | APHA 22 nd Edn.2012-2540-C |
| 6 | Total Suspended Solids | IS:3025(P-17)-1984 RA:2012 |
| 7 | Total Hardness as CaCO ₃ | APHA 22 nd Edn.2012-2340-C |
| 8 | Calcium as Ca | APHA 22 nd Edn2012.3500 Ca-B |
| 9 | Magnesium as Mg | APHA 22 nd Edn.2012-3500 Mg-B |
| 10 | Chloride as Cl | IS:3025(P -32)-1988 RA: 2014 |
| 11 | Sulphate as SO ₄ | APHA 22 nd Edn.2012-4500 SO ₄ -E |
| 12 | Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃ | APHA 22 nd Edn.2012-2320-B |
| 13 | Iron as Fe | IS:3025(P -53):2003 RA: 2014 |
| 14 | Silica as SiO ₂ | IS:3025(P -35)1988 RA: 2014 |
| 15 | Fluoride as F | APHA 22 nd Edn.2012-4500-F-D |
| 16 | Nitrate as NO ₃ | IS:3025(P -34):1988 RA: 2014 |
| 17 | Sodium as Na | IS:3025(P -45):1993 RA: 2014 |
| 18 | Potassium as K | IS:3025(P -45):1993 RA: 2014 |
| 19 | Coliform | IS:1622:1981:RA:2014 |
| 20 | E.coli | IS:1622:1981:RA:2014 |

Table 3-5: Standard Procedure

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 3-6 Ground water sampling results

| S. No | Parameters | Units | GW1 | GW2 | GW3 | GW4 | GW5 | GW6 | GW7 |
|----------|---|---------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | pH (at 25°C) | - | 7.41 | 6.74 | 7.59 | 7.59 | 8.1 | 8.53 | 7.36 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | µS/cm | 967 | 1414 | 1530 | 1823 | 1154 | 687 | 1385 |
| 3 | Colour | Hazen Unit | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 | Turbidity | NTU | 2.3 | BQL(L OQ:1) | BQL(L OQ:1) | BQL(L OQ:1) | BQL(L OQ:1) | BQL(L OQ:1) | BQL(L OQ:1) |
| 5 | Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 538 | 792 | 852 | 1003 | 635 | 405 | 775 |
| 6 | Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | BQL(L OQ:2) | BQL(L OQ:2) | BQL(L OQ:2) | BQL(L OQ:2) | BQL(L OQ:2) | BQL(L OQ:2) | BQL(L OQ:2) |
| 7 | Total Hardness as CaCO3 | mg/L | 322 | 516 | 388 | 630 | 442 | 227 | 364 |
| 8 | Calcium Hardness as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 225 | 365 | 307 | 407 | 240 | 81.4 | 299 |
| 9 | Magnesium Hardness as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 97.0 | 151 | 81.4 | 223 | 202 | 145 | 65.9 |
| 10 | Calcium as Ca | mg/L | 90.1 | 146 | 123 | 163 | 96.4 | 32.6 | 119 |
| 11 | Magnesium as Mg | Mg/L | 23.5 | 36.8 | 19.8 | 54.2 | 49.1 | 35.3 | 16.2 |
| 12 | Chloride as Cl | mg/L | 34.7 | 139 | 223 | 174 | 100 | 38.6 | 135 |
| 13 | Sulphate as SO ₄ | mg/L | 122 | 116 | 54.8 | 168 | 80.8 | 67.1 | 141 |
| 14 | Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 299 | 264 | 308 | 283 | 224 | 175 | 310 |
| 15 | Iron as Fe | mg/L | BQL(L OQ:0.1) | BQL(L OQ:0. 1) | BQL(L OQ:0.1) | BQL(L OQ:0.1) | BQL(L OQ:0.1) | BQL(L OQ:0.1) | BQL(L OQ:0.1) |
| 16 | Silica as SiO2 | mg/L | 21.9 | 26.4 | 27.4 | 32.4 | 21.9 | 12.7 | 31.4 |
| 17 | Fluoride as F | Mg/L | 1.21 | 1.02 | 1.31 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 1.01 | 0.65 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 18 | Nitrate as NO3 | Mg/L | 38.8 | 46.2 | 8.33 | 55.4 | 55.4 | 45.2 | 22.3 |
|----|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 19 | Potassium as K | mg/L | 1.9 | 17.8 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 6.14 |
| 20 | Sodium as Na | mg/L | 12.4 | 105 | 197 | 175 | 94.1 | 33.5 | 115 |

3.3.6 Interpretation of results:

3.3.6.1 Physical parameters of water:

The basic physical parameters of water include

Colour:

Value observed in Project Site (True/Apparent Color): 4 Hazen unit.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 5 Hazen units and 15 Hazen units respectively. The value in the project site is as same as the acceptable limits prescribed by IS 10500: 2012 (referred as "*Standards*" from herein).

Odour & Taste:

The water is oduorless. The taste of the water is slightly salty which is due to the presence of hardness in water, which is attributed to the presence of calcium and magnesium in the water. As per the standards, the odour and taste should be agreeable.

pH:

Value observed in the Project Site: 7.41

Acceptable and permissible limits: 6.5-8.5. The pH value is the measure of acid – base equilibrium. The value of pH in the project site clearly indicates that water is slightly neutral in nature.

Turbidity:

Value observed in the Project Site: 2.3.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 1 NTU & 5 NTU respectively. The value of turbidity generally indicates the presence of phytoplankton and other sediments.

Total Dissolved Solids:

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Value observed in the Project Site: 538 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 500 mg/L and 2000 mg/L respectively.

TDS is the presence of inorganic salts and small amounts of organic matter present in the water. This is mainly due to the result of surface runoff as the cations and anions in the topsoil is carried away by the water.

3.3.6.2 Chemical parameters of water:

The chemical parameters of the drinking water include,

Calcium:

Value observed in the Project Site: 90.1 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 75mg/L and 200 mg/L respectively.

Calcium is an essential macronutrient. The value of the calcium is within the prescribed permissible standards. The higher level of calcium may cause hardening in domestic equipment and will also reduce the detergent efficiency. Higher levels of calcium will lead to constipation, gas, and bloating. Apart from that, extra calcium may also increase the risk of kidney stones. If the calcium deposit in blood is high, it may lead to hypercalcemia.

Magnesium:

Value observed in the Project Site: 23.5 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 30 mg/L and 100 mg/L respectively.

The value of Magnesium in the project site is higher than the acceptable limit and less than the permissible limit. The increase in the level of magnesium will cause diarrhea and vomiting in children.

Chloride

Value observed in the project site: 34.7 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 250 mg/L and 1000 mg/L respectively.

The chloride level in the project site is within the acceptable and permissible limit. If the level of chloride is more, it may cause galvanic and pitting corrosion, increases level of metals. It imparts bitter taste to the water.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Total Alkalinity as CaCO₃:

Value observed in the project site: 299 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 200 mg/L and 600 mg/L respectively.

Total Alkalinity is the measure of the concentration of all alkaline substances dissolved in the water which includes carbonates, bicarbonates and hydroxides. The value of the total alkalinity is slightly greater in the project site, which will impart soda taste to the water.

Hardness:

Value observed in the Project Site: 322 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits:200 mg/L and 600 mg/L respectively.

The value of Hardness in the project site is higher than acceptable limit but within the permissible limit. The increase in the level of hardness may cause corrosion and scaling problems, increased soap consumption and it also contributes to the salty taste of water.

3.3.7 Surface Water Analysis

Surface water samples were taken from **Bukkasagaram and Muthali** lake. The results are summarized below.

| S. No | Parameters | Units | Bukkasagaram lake |
|-------|---|------------|-------------------|
| 1 | pH (at 25°C) | - | 7.94 |
| 2 | Electrical Conductivity | µS/cm | 862 |
| 3 | Colour | Hazen Unit | 5 |
| 4 | Turbidity | NTU | 4.4 |
| 5 | Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 484 |
| 6 | Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | 8.8 |
| 7 | Total Hardness as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 190 |
| 8 | Calcium Hardness as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 120 |
| 9 | Magnesium Hardness as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 48.2 |
| 10 | Calcium as Ca | mg/L | 69.8 |

Table 3-7 Surface Water Sample Results

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 11 | Magnesium as Mg | mg/L | 16.9 |
|----|---------------------------------------|------|--------------|
| 12 | Chloride as Cl | mg/L | 87.8 |
| 13 | Sulphate as SO4 | mg/L | 101 |
| 14 | Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃ | mg/L | 202 |
| 15 | Iron as Fe | mg/L | BQL(LOQ:0.1) |
| 16 | Silica as SiO ₂ | mg/L | 16.5 |
| 17 | Fluoride as F | mg/1 | 1.02 |
| 18 | Nitrate as NO ₂ | mg/1 | 76.4 |
| 19 | Potassium as K | mg/L | 3.2 |
| 20 | Sodium as Na | mg/L | 16.7 |
| 21 | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N | mg/L | 15.2 |
| 22 | Biochemical oxygen Demand @ 27c | | 72.2 |
| 23 | Chemical Oxygen Demand | | 5.80 |
| 24 | Dissolved Oxygen | | 12.3 |

Inference: The surface water quality is compared with the CPCB Water Quality Criteria against A, B, C, D & E class of water. From the test result, it is found that both the water does not fit Class A (Drinking Water Source without conventional treatment but after disinfection). But they can be used for outdoor bathing as it meets the requirements shown for class B water.

3.3.8 Climatology & Meteorology:

Climate and meteorology of a place can play an important role in the implementation of any developmental project. Meteorology is also the key to understand local air quality as there is an essential relationship between meteorology and atmospheric dispersion involving wind in the broadest sense of the term.

The year may broadly be divided into four seasons:

| Winter season | : | December to February |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|
| Pre-monsoon season | : | March to May |
| Monsoon season | : | July to September |
| Post-monsoon season | : | October to November |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

i) Climate

Eastern part of the district experiences hot climate and Western part has a contrasting pleasant cold climate. The district is hot and dry in summer i.e., from March to June. From July to November is the rainy season and between December to February winter prevails with very cold and misty.

ii) Temperature

The maximum temperature is around 36°C and minimum temperature is 28°C.

iii) Rainfall:

Krishnagiri receives rainfall from both the northeast and the southwest monsoons. Monsoon season is from the months of July to November. During this time, temperature is mild and pleasant. Heavy rainfall is expected in short intervals during this period. December to February are winter months. This district gets maximum rainfall in November (274.7mm).

KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -NORMAL AND ACTUAL RAINFALL

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-------|------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| 1 001 | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F | R/F |
| 2017 | 5.7 | 0 | 48.7 | 37.9 | 198.6 | 19.1 | 24.6 | 189.7 | 291.7 | 219 | 54.5 | 56.2 |
| 2018 | 0 | 1.3 | 34.9 | 14.4 | 114.5 | 41.1 | 10.5 | 18.5 | 152.1 | 85.2 | 33.2 | 4.8 |
| 2019 | 13.2 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 47.2 | 96.5 | 33.6 | 34.6 | 94.7 | 138.6 | 177.7 | 48.7 | 39.5 |
| 2020 | 0.3 | 0 | 6.9 | 61.7 | 57.9 | 59 | 147.2 | 66.8 | 142.1 | 142 | 77 | 42.6 |
| 2021 | 40.1 | 5.8 | 0 | 46.6 | 75.7 | 32.4 | 137.7 | 70.2 | 134.9 | 140.4 | 282.6 | 19.1 |

Source: IMD

Metrological Data

The meteorological data – Temperature, rainfall, Wind Speed, Wind direction are recorded through AWS by setting it up in the site.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

vi) Wind Rose Diagram

The wind rose denotes a class of diagrams designed to display the distribution of wind direction at a given location over a period of time. Wind roses are also useful as they project a large quantity of data in a simple graphical plot.

The wind speed & wind direction data are taken and wind rose is plotted for June 2023 to August 2023.



Figure 3.7 Wind rose.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.3.9 Selection of Sampling Locations:

Four Monitoring locations along with the project site is selected based on Wind Direction & Wind Speed. All the monitoring locations are chosen in the downwind direction.

3.4 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

Table 3-8: Selection of Sampling Location

| Environmental Parameters: Ambient Air | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Monitoring Period | June 2023 to August 2023 | | | | | | | | | |
| Design Criteria | The monitoring stations are selected based on factors like topography/terrain, prevailing meteorological conditions like predominant wind direction (December 2022 to February 2023), etc., play a vital role in the selection of air sampling stations. Based on these criteria, 7 air sampling station were selected in the area as shown below. | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring Locations | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Location & Code | Distance (km) | Direction | | | | | | | |
| | Project Site | - | - | | | | | | | |
| | Government High School, Muthali | 4.59 km | Upwind W | | | | | | | |
| | Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, | (271 | | | | | | | | |
| | Berikai Road Sandarasettipalli | 6.37 km | Downwind E | | | | | | | |
| | Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram | 4.10 km | Crosswind S | | | | | | | |
| | Midhitepalli Bus Stop | 1.78 km | Crosswind NNE | | | | | | | |
| | Garagamma Temple Vanamangalam | 7.76 km | Crosswind N | | | | | | | |
| | Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple - | 8 18 km | Crosswind | | | | | | | |
| | Moranapalli | 0.10 Km | SW | | | | | | | |
| Methodology | Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10) - Gravimetric (IS 5182: Part 23:2006) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Particulate Matter PM2.5 - Gravimetric (Fine particulate matter) Sulphur Dioxide - Calorimetric (West & Gaeke Method) (IS 5182: Part | | | | | | | | | |
| | 02: 2001) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nitrogen Dioxide - Calorimetric (Modified Jacob & Hocheiser | | | | | | | | | |
| | Method) (IS 5182: Part 06:2006) | | | | | | | | | |
| Frequency of Monitoring | 2 days in a week, 4 weeks in a month | for 3 months in a | a season. | | | | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.4.1 Ambient Air Quality: Results & Discussion

The test results of the ambient air quality monitored in project site and other four locations is summarized below.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 3-9 Ambient Air Quality

| | | | PM 1 | ΙΟ (μg/ 1 | m ³) | | PM 2 | .5 (μg/ | m ³) | | SO | 2 (μg/m | 1 ³) | | NO | κ (μg/m | 1 ³) |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----|------|------------------|-------------------------|-----|------|---------|------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|------------------|
| Code | Location | Min | Max | Avg | 98 percentiles | Min | Max | Avg | 98 percentiles | Min | Max | Avg | 98 percentiles | Min | Max | Avg | 98 percentiles |
| AAQ1 | Project Site | 54 | 65 | 59.0 | 64.08 | 16 | 27 | 20.7 | 26.54 | 11 | 19 | 15.1 | 19.0 | 19 | 33 | 26.3 | 32.54 |
| AAQ2 | Government High School, Muthali | 42 | 54 | 48.6 | 53.54 | 21 | 33 | 26.8 | 32.08 | 6 | 11 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 12 | 23 | 17.7 | 22.54 |
| AAQ3 | Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, | 48 | 59 | 54.0 | 58.54 | 16 | 26 | 21.8 | 26.0 | 6 | 15 | 10.5 | 19.0 | 14 | 29 | 21.3 | 28.54 |
| AAQ4 | Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram | 46 | 55 | 51.1 | 55 | 25 | 32 | 29.0 | 32.0 | 8 | 15 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 16 | 26 | 20.5 | 25.54 |
| AAQ5 | Midhitepalli Bus Stop | 56 | 66 | 61.4 | 65.54 | 20 | 30 | 24.7 | 29.8 | 16 | 22 | 18.7 | 22.0 | 28 | 36 | 31.8 | 35.54 |
| AAQ6 | Garagamma Temple - Vanamangalam | 38 | 57 | 46.5 | 56.29 | 17 | 26 | 21.6 | 25.54 | 5 | 14 | 9.1 | 13.54 | 10 | 22 | 16.0 | 21.54 |
| AAQ7 | Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple - Moranapalli | 42 | 54 | 47.0 | 53.08 | 14 | 24 | 18.1 | 23.08 | 5 | 12 | 8.8 | 12.0 | 11 | 22 | 15.5 | 21.08 |
| NAAQ Standards - Residential Area | | | 100 |) (µg/m | ³) | | 60 | (μg/m³ |) | | 80 | (μg/m ³ |) | | 80 | (μg/m ³ |) |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.4.2 Interpretation of ambient air quality:

To assess the impact, AAQ were monitored in project site and four locations.

Observation:

The Maximum value of PM10 (66 (μ g/m³), PM 2.5(33 (μ g/m³), SOx (22 (μ g/m³), NOx (36 (μ g/m³) is observed in different places.

Inference:

The monitoring results for PM10, PM2.5, Sox, NOx was found to be high Midhitepalli Bus Stop and Project site which is due to high movement of vehicles. The observed values are all well within the Standards prescribed by NAAQ.



Figure 3.8 Concentration of PM10 (µg/m³) in Study Area

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |







Figure 3.10 Concentration of SOx (µg/m³) in Study Area

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 3.11 Concentration of NOx (µg/m³) in Study Area

3.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT:

Table 3-10 Noise Analysis

| Environmental Parameters: Noise Analysis | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Monitoring Period | June 2023 to August 2023 | | |
| Design Criteria | Based on the Sensitivity of the area | | |
| Monitoring Locations | Project Site – N 1 | | |
| | Government High School, Muthali – N 2 | | |
| | Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, Berikai Road | | |
| | Sandarasettipalli – N 3 | | |
| | Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram – N 4 | | |
| | Midhitepalli Bus Stop – N 5 | | |
| | Garagamma Temple – Vanamangalam – N 6 | | |
| | Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple – Moranapalli – N 7 | | |
| Methodology | Noise level measurements were taken at the selected locations using | | |
| | noise level meter both during day and night time. Noise level | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | measurements were taken continuously for 24 hours at hourly |
|-------------------------|--|
| | intervals |
| Frequency of Monitoring | Noise samples were collected from 7 locations - Once in a season |

Ambient Noise Levels are monitored in the chosen 7 Locations including the project Site and the monitoring results are summarized below.

3.5.1 Day Noise Level (Leq day)

Table 3-11 Day Noise Level (Leq day)

| Location | Leq day in dB(A) | | |
|--|------------------|-----|---------|
| | Max | Min | Average |
| Project Site | 56 | 47 | 51.6 |
| Government High School, Muthali | 65 | 54 | 59.6 |
| Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, Berikai Road Sandarasettipalli | 55 | 47 | 51.6 |
| Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram | 57 | 48 | 54.1 |
| Midhitepalli Bus Stop | 56 | 45 | 51.8 |
| Garagamma Temple - Vanamangalam | 61 | 50 | 56.4 |
| Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple - Moranapalli | 59 | 48 | 53.5 |

3.5.2 Night Noise Level (Leq Night)

Table 3-12 Night Noise Level (Leq Night)

| | Leq Night in dB(A) | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----|---------|
| Location | Max | Min | Average |
| Project Site | 44 | 39 | 41.6 |
| Government High School, Muthali | 52 | 45 | 48.8 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, Berikai Road Sandarasettipalli | 45 | 35 | 40.5 |
|--|----|----|------|
| Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram | 48 | 40 | 44.5 |
| Midhitepalli Bus Stop | 48 | 38 | 43.0 |
| Garagamma Temple - Vanamangalam | 51 | 43 | 47.1 |
| Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple - Moranapalli | 46 | 39 | 41.1 |
| | | | |

Observation:

The maximum Day noise and Night noise were found to be 65 dB(A) and 54 dB(A) respectively in Government High School, Muthali. The minimum Day Noise and Night noise were 44 dB(A) and 39 dB(A) respectively which was observed in project site. The observed values are all well within the Standards prescribed by CPCB.

3.6 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

Soil environment is studied for 10 km radius from the project site. The 5 km radius image shows that the soil is not affected by any kind of erosion.



Figure 3.12 Soil Erosion pattern within 5 km radius of the project site

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.6.1 Baseline Data:

The present study of the soil quality establishes the baseline characteristics which will help in future in identifying the incremental concentrations if any, due to the operation Phase of the proposed project. The sampling locations have been identified with the following objectives:

- To determine the impact of proposed project on soil characteristics and
- To determine the impact on soils more importantly from agricultural productivity point of view.

| Environmental Parameters: Soil Quality Ar | alysis | |
|---|--|--|
| Monitoring Period | June 2023 to August 2023 | |
| Design Criteria | Based on the environmental settings of the study area | |
| Monitoring Locations | Project Site – SQ 1 | |
| | Government High School, Muthali - SQ 2 | |
| | Bhargavi Narasimha Swamy Temple, Berikai Road | |
| | Sandarasettipalli - SQ 3 | |
| | Govt.Hr Sec School,bukkasagaram - SQ 4 | |
| | Midhitepalli Bus Stop - SQ 5 | |
| | Garagamma Temple – Vanamangalam - SQ 6 | |
| | Sri Ardhanareshwaramma Temple – Moranapalli - SQ 7 | |
| Methodology | Composite soil samples using sampling augers and field | |
| | capacity apparatus | |
| Frequency of Monitoring | Soil samples were collected from 7 locations Once in a | |
| | season | |

Table 3-13 Soil Quality Analysis

To assess the soil quality of the study area, 7 monitoring stations were selected and the results are summarized below.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Parameters | Unit | SQ 1 | SQ 2 | SQ 3 | SQ 4 | SQ 5 | SQ 6 | SQ 7 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| pH | - | 6.90 | 8.96 | 7.88 | 8.01 | 8.87 | 8.42 | 8.16 |
| Electrical Conductivity | ms/cm | 0.33 | 0.89 | 0.15 | 0.240 | 0.54 | 0.26 | 0.39 |
| Water holding Capacity | ml/L | 2.6 | 4.8 | 2.60 | 4.00 | 3.20 | 3.8 | 5.00 |
| Chloride | mg/Kg | 184 | 171 | 158 | 30.3 | 107 | 54 | 172 |
| Calcium | mg/Kg | 105 | 134 | 51.3 | 39.4 | 69.7 | 43.7 | 46 |
| Sodium | mg/Kg | 485 | 685 | 440 | 340 | 452 | 529 | 420 |
| Potassium | mg/Kg | 519 | 702 | 492 | 310 | 485 | 563 | 390 |
| Organic matter | % | 0.49 | 0.83 | 0.11 | 0.68 | 0.21 | 0.61 | 0.42 |
| Magnesium | mg/Kg | 21.6 | 30.5 | 26.5 | 12.6 | 30.2 | 16.5 | 14 |
| Sulphate | mg/Kg | 118 | 206 | 277 | 274 | 159 | 226 | 151 |
| CEC | meq/100g | 10.6 | 15.2 | 11.1 | 9.8 | 13.5 | 11.6 | 13.1 |
| Carbonate | mg/Kg | NIL | 73.6 | NIL | NIL | 16.5 | 8.04 | NIL |
| Bi-Carbonate | mg/Kg | 95.2 | 399 | 78.9 | 63.2 | 126 | 245 | 23.1 |
| TKN | % | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| Bulk density | g/cm ³ | 1.42 | 1.23 | 1.34 | 1.41 | 1.29 | 1.16 | 1.19 |
| Phosphorous | mg/Kg | 148 | 256 | 157 | 176 | 109 | 178 | 229 |
| Sand | % | 66.7 | 68.8 | 64.3 | 64.3 | 56.3 | 55 | 66.7 |
| Clay | % | 13.3 | 18.8 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 18.8 | 20 | 20.0 |
| Silt | % | 20 | 12.5 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 25.0 | 25 | 13.3 |
| SAR | meq/Kg | 11.3 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 17.3 | 13.9 |
| silicon | % | 0.092 | 0.105 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.092 | 0.089 | 0.085 |

Table 3-14 Soil Quality Analysis

3.6.1.1 Physical Properties:

Regular cultivation practices increase the bulk density of soils thus inducing compaction. This results in reduction in water percolation rate and penetration of roots through soils. The soils

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

with low bulk density have favorable physical conditions whereas those with high bulk density exhibit poor physical conditions for agriculture crops. The bulk density of the soil in the study area ranged between 1.16 to 1.42 meq/100g which indicates favorable physical condition for plant growth. The water holding capacity was found in the range of 2.6 ml/l to 5.0 ml/l.

3.6.1.2 Chemical Properties:

Chemical characteristics of soils include pH, exchangeable cations and fertility status in the form of NPK values and organic matter. The value of the pH ranges from 6.90 to 8.96, which it indicates majority of pH of the soil is slightly alkaline. The soil in the project site is sodic in nature, which challenges because they tend to have very poor structure which limits or prevents water infiltration and drainage. The organic matter varies from 0.11 to 0.83 %, which indicates the soil is slightly unfertile.

3.7 ECOLOGY AND BIODIVERSITY

Ecology and Biodiversity is studied for 10 km radius around the project site. Project site and 2km around the project site is considered as core zone and from 2 km to 10 km radius, it is considered as buffer zone.

- Primary field survey is carried out for the assessment of flora and fauna in the core zone.
- Secondary data from Journals/Literature were studied and compiled to understand the species present in the buffer zone.

3.7.1 Methods available for floral analysis:

3.7.1.1 Plot Sampling Methods

- > Quadrat 2D shape (e.g. square or rectangle, or other shape) used as a sampling unit
- > Transect
 - Line transects feature only a length dimension, usually defined by a tape stretched across the area to be sampled.
 - Belt transects have a width as well as length.
 - Pace-transects are established when the observer strides along an imaginary line across the sample site and uses their foot placement to determine specific sampling points.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.7.1.2 Plot less Sampling Methods

- Closest individual method Distance is measured from each random point to the nearest individual.
- Nearest neighbour method Distance is measured from an individual to its nearest neighbour.
- Random pairs method Distance is measured from one individual to another on the opposite side of the sample point.
- Point-centred quarter (PCQ) method Distance is measured from the sampling point to the nearest individual in each quadrat.

3.7.2 Field study & Methodology adopted:

To assess the suitability of the methodology, a random field survey was done. Field survey was conducted around a 2 km radius from the project site and five locations were chosen based on the species density. Quadrat method is chosen for the proposed study as compared to other sampling methods, because they are relatively simple to use. Quadrat plots are uniform in size and shape and distributed randomly throughout the sample area, which makes the study design straightforward. They are also one of the most affordable techniques because they require very few materials.

3.7.3 Study outcome:

Phyto-sociological parameters, such as *Density, Frequency, Basal Area, Abundance and Importance Value Index* of individual species (Trees) were determined in randomly placed quadrate of different sizes in the study area. Relative frequency, relative basal area and relative density were calculated and the sum of these three represented Importance Value Index (IVI) for various species. For shrubs, herbs and grasses, *Density, Frequency, Relative Density & Relative Frequency were found*.

Sample plots were selected in such a way to get maximum representation of different types of vegetation and plots were laid out in different parts of the study area of 2 km radius. Analysis of the vegetation will help in determining the relative importance of each species in the study area and to reveal if any economically valuable species is threatened in the process.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B. Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 3-15 Calculation of Density, Frequency (%), Dominance, Relative Density,Relative Frequency, Relative Dominance & Important Value Index

| Parameters | Formula |
|-----------------------|--|
| Density | Total No. of individuals of species/ Total No. of Quadrats used in |
| | sampling |
| Frequency (%) | (Total No. of Quadrats in which species occur/ Total No. of Quadrats |
| | studied) * 100 |
| Dominance | Total Basal Area /Total area sampled |
| Abundance | Total No. of individuals of species/ No. of Quadrats in which they |
| | occur |
| Relative Density | (Total No. of individuals of species/Sum of all individuals of all |
| | species) * 100 |
| Relative Frequency | (Total No. of Quadrats in which species occur/ Total No. of Quadrats |
| | occupied by all species) * 100 |
| Relative Dominance | Dominance of a given species/Total Dominance of all species |
| Important Value Index | Relative Density + Relative Frequency + Relative Dominance |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 3-16 Tree Species in the core Zone

| S. No. | Scientific Name | Local Name | Total No. of species | Total of Quadrants with species | Total No. of Quadrants | Density | Frequency (%) | Abundance | Dominance | Relative Density | Relative Frequency | Relative Dominance | IVI | IUCN Conservation Status |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Ficus Carica | Athi Maram | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.28 | 1.68 | 2.17 | 4.45 | 8.31 | Least Concern |
| 2 | Cocos nucifera | Thennai | 10 | 6 | 6 | 1.67 | 100.0 | 1.67 | 0.15 | 8.40 | 6.52 | 2.39 | 17.32 | Not assessed |
| 3 | Azadirachta indica | Veppam | 17 | 6 | 6 | 2.83 | 100.0 | 2.83 | 0.13 | 14.2 9 | 6.52 | 1.98 | 22.79 | Not assessed |
| 4 | Tamarindus indica | Puli | 10 | 6 | 6 | 1.67 | 100.0 | 1.66 | 0.20 | 8.40 | 6.52 | 3.09 | 18.02 | Not assessed |
| 5 | Mangifera indica | Mamaram | 7 | 6 | 6 | 1.17 | 100.0 | 1.16 | 0.07 | 5.88 | 6.52 | 1.11 | 13.52 | Data insufficient |
| 6 | Morinda pubescens | Nuna | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.24 | 5.04 | 6.52 | 3.74 | 15.31 | Not assessed |
| 7 | Couroupita guianensis | Nagalingam | 5 | 3 | 6 | 0.83 | 50.00 | 1.67 | 0.14 | 4.20 | 3.26 | 2.18 | 9.64 | Not assessed |
| 8 | Bombax ceiba | Sittan | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 1 | 0.08 | 3.36 | 4.35 | 1.27 | 8.98 | Not assessed |
| 9 | Acacia nilotica | Karuvelai | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 1 | 0.28 | 3.36 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 12.16 | Least Concern |
| 10 | Bambusa vulgaris | Moongil | 4 | 4 | 6 | 0.67 | 66.67 | 1 | 0.50 | 3.36 | 4.35 | 7.92 | 15.63 | Not assessed |
| 11 | Syzygium cumini | naval | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0.83 | 16.67 | 5 | 0.11 | 4.20 | 1.09 | 1.79 | 5.07 | Not |
| 12 | Carica papaya | Papaya | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.09 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 1.43 | 7.21 | Not |
| 13 | Psidium guajava | Guava | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.23 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 3.61 | 9.39 | Not |
| 14 | Cassia siamea | ManjalKonrai | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0.50 | 33.33 | 1.5 | 0.07 | 2.52 | 2.17 | 1.11 | 5.81 | Least |
| 15 | Ficus religiosa | Arasa maram | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.09 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 1.35 | 7.13 | Not assessed |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 16 | Musa paradise | Vaazhai | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.08 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 1.19 | 6.97 | Not |
|----|----------------------------|--------------|-----|----|---|------|-------|---|------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 17 | Prosopis juliflora | Vaelikaruvai | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.21 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 3.34 | 9.13 | Not |
| | 1 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 18 | Tectona grandis | Thekku | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.12 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 1.88 | 7.66 | Not |
| | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 19 | Thespesia populnea | Poovarasam | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.15 | 2.52 | 3.26 | 2.39 | 8.18 | Not |
| | F F F F F F F F F F | | _ | _ | - | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 20 | Causuarina equisetifolia | Savukku | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.21 | 1.68 | 2.17 | 3.34 | 7.20 | Not |
| _ | 1 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 21 | Alstonia scholaris | Elilaipalai | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.27 | 1.68 | 2.17 | 4.31 | 8.16 | Least |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | Concern |
| 22 | Anacardium | Cashew | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 6.96 | 8.88 | Not |
| | occidentale | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 22 | Artocorrolla | Dalaa | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0.22 | 22.22 | 1 | 0.10 | 1 60 | 2 17 | 2 05 | 6 70 | Not |
| 23 | Altocalpus | Palaa | Z | Z | 0 | 0.55 | 55.55 | 1 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 2.17 | 2.05 | 0.70 | assessed |
| | heterophyllus | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 24 | Aegle marmelos | Vilvam | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 2.50 | 4.43 | Not |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 25 | Delonix elata | Perungondrai | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.17 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 2.62 | 4.54 | Least |
| | | Ű | | | | | | | | | | | | Concern |
| 26 | Pithecellobium dulce | Kodukapuli | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.14 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 2.18 | 4.11 | Not |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| 27 | Citrus medica | Elumichai | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.23 | 1.68 | 2.17 | 3.61 | 7.46 | Not |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | assessed |
| | | Total | 110 | 83 | | | | | 5.02 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3-17 Shrubs in the Core Zone

| S. No. | Scientific Name | Local Name | Total No. of species | Total of Quadrants with species | Total No. of Quadrants | Density | Frequency (%) | Abundance | Relative Density | Relative Frequency | IUCN Conservation Status |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Jatropagossypifolia | Kaatamanaku | 32 | 17 | 24 | 1.17 | 0.71 | 1.65 | 14.43 | 17.17 | Not Assessed |
| 2 | Calotropis gigantea | Erukam | 16 | 12 | 24 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 1.17 | 7.22 | 12.12 | Not Assessed |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 3 | Tabernaemontanadivaricata | Crepe Jasmine | 4 | 3 | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1 | 1.55 | 3.03 | Not Assessed |
|----|---------------------------|-----------------|----|----|----|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------------|
| 4 | Catharanthus roseus | Nithyakalyani | 4 | 3 | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1 | 1.55 | 3.03 | Not Assessed |
| 5 | Datura metal | Ummattangani | 7 | 4 | 24 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 1.25 | 2.58 | 4.04 | Not Assessed |
| 6 | Robiniapseudoacacia | Black locust | 15 | 5 | 24 | 0.71 | 0.21 | 3.4 | 8.76 | 5.05 | Least Concern |
| 7 | Acalypha indica | Kuppaimeni | 18 | 8 | 24 | 0.83 | 0.33 | 2.5 | 10.31 | 8.08 | Not Assessed |
| 8 | Stachytarpheaurticifolia | Rat tail | 13 | 9 | 24 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 1.67 | 7.73 | 9.09 | Not Assessed |
| 9 | Woodfordiafruiticosa | Velakkai | 4 | 3 | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1 | 1.55 | 3.03 | Least Concern |
| 10 | Hibiscus rosa sinensis | Sembaruthi | 3 | 2 | 24 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 1.5 | 1.55 | 2.02 | Not Assessed |
| 11 | Lantana camara | Unnichedi | 8 | 6 | 24 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 1.5 | 4.64 | 6.06 | Not Assessed |
| 12 | Parthenium hysterophorous | Vishapoondu | 45 | 13 | 24 | 2.08 | 0.54 | 3.85 | 25.77 | 13.13 | Not Assessed |
| 13 | Euphorbia geniculata | Amman Pacharisi | 5 | 3 | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1 | 1.55 | 3.03 | Not Assessed |

Table 3-18 Herbs & Grasses in the core zone

| S. No. | Scientific Name | Local Name | Total No. of species | Total of Quadrants with species | Total No. of Quadrants | Density | Frequency (%) | Abundance | Relative Density | Relative Frequency | IUCN Conservatio n status |
|--------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | Helicteresisora | Valampuri | 4 | 2 | 30 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 1 | 0.79 | 2.15 | Not assessed |
| 2 | Tridax procumbens | Vettukaayathalai | 7 | 4 | 30 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 1.25 | 1.98 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| 3 | Heraculem spondylium | Hog Weed | 19 | 10 | 30 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 2 | 7.94 | 10.75 | Not assessed |
| 4 | Tridax procumbens | Cuminipachai | 18 | 4 | 30 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 3.75 | 5.95 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| 5 | Senna occidentalis | Nattamsakarai | 30 | 4 | 30 | 0.83 | 0.13 | 6.25 | 9.92 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| 6 | Plumbago zeylanica | Chittiramoolam | 12 | 3 | 30 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 1 | 1.19 | 3.23 | Not assessed |
| 7 | Scrophularia nodosa | Sarakkothini | 18 | 7 | 30 | 0.50 | 0.23 | 2.14 | 5.95 | 7.53 | Not assessed |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 8 | Viburnum dentatum | Viburnum | 7 | 5 | 30 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 1 | 1.98 | 5.38 | Least concern |
|----|---------------------|------------------------|----|----|----|------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| 9 | Cynodondactylon | Arugu | 15 | 6 | 30 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 2 | 4.76 | 6.45 | Not assessed |
| 10 | Euphorbia hirta | Amman Pacharisi | 7 | 4 | 30 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 1.25 | 1.98 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| 11 | Sida cordifolia | Maanikham | 50 | 4 | 30 | 1.50 | 0.13 | 11.25 | 17.86 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| 12 | Sida acuta | Malaidangi | 12 | 3 | 30 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 3.33 | 3.97 | 3.23 | Not assessed |
| 13 | Laportea canadensis | Peruganchori | 28 | 20 | 30 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 1.5 | 11.90 | 21.51 | Not assessed |
| 14 | Sporobolus fertilis | Giant Parramatta Grass | 10 | 4 | 30 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 2.25 | 3.57 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| 15 | Tephrosia purpurea | Kavali | 23 | 4 | 30 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 5 | 7.94 | 4.30 | Not assessed |
| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.7.4 Calculation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by Margalef:

Biodiversity index is a quantitative measure that reflects how many different types of species, there are in a dataset, and simultaneously takes into account how evenly the basic entities (such as individuals) are distributed among those types of species. The value of biodiversity index increases both when the number of types increases and when evenness increases. For a given number of type of species, the value of a biodiversity index is maximized when all type of species are equally abundant. Interpretation of Vegetation results in the study area is given below.

| Description | Formula |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Species diversity – Shannon – Wiener | $H=\Sigma[(p_i)*ln(p_i)]$ |
| Index | Where p_i : Proportion of total sample represented by species |
| | i:number of individuals of species i/ total number of samples |
| Evenness | H/H _{max} |
| | $H_{max} = ln(s) = maximum diversity possible$ |
| | S=No. of species |
| Species Richness by Margalef | $RI = S-1/\ln N$ |
| | Where S = Total Number of species in the community |
| | N = Total Number of individuals of all species in the |
| | community |

Table 3-19 Calculation of species diversity

3.7.5 Calculation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by Margalef for trees

i. Species Diversity

| Scientific Name Common | | No. of | Pi | ln (Pi) | Pi x ln (Pi) |
|------------------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | Name | Species | | | |
| Ficus Carica | Athi Maram | 2 | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286 |
| Cocos nucifera | Thennai | 10 | 0.090909 | -2.3979 | -0.21799 |
| Azadirachta indica | Veppam | 17 | 0.154545 | -1.86727 | -0.28858 |
| Tamarindus indica | Puli | 10 | 0.090909 | -2.3979 | -0.21799 |
| Mangifera indica | Mamaram | 7 | 0.063636 | -2.75457 | -0.17529 |
| Morinda pubescens | Nuna | 6 | 0.054545 | -2.90872 | -0.15866 |
| Couroupita guianensis | Nagalingam | 5 | 0.045455 | -3.09104 | -0.1405 |
| Bombax ceiba | Sittan | 4 | 0.036364 | -3.31419 | -0.12052 |
| Acacia nilotica | Karuvelai | 4 | 0.036364 | -3.31419 | -0.12052 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Bambusa vulgaris | Moongil | 4 | 0.036364 | -3.31419 | -0.12052 |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----|----------|----------|-------------|
| Syzygium cumini | naval | 5 | 0.045455 | -3.09104 | -0.1405 |
| Carica papaya | Papaya | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Psidium guajava | Guava | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Cassia siamea | ManjalKonrai | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Ficus religiosa | Arasa maram | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Musa paradise | Vaazhai | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Prosopis juliflora | Vaelikaruvai | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Tectona grandis | Thekku | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Thespesia populnea | Poovarasam | 3 | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823 |
| Causuarina equisetifolia | Savukku | 2 | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286 |
| Alstonia scholaris | Elilaipalai | 2 | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286 |
| Anacardium occidentale | Cashew | 1 | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273 |
| Artocarpus heterophyllus | Palaa | 2 | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286 |
| Aegle marmelos | Vilvam | 1 | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273 |
| Delonix elata | Perungondrai | 1 | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273 |
| Pithecellobium dulce | Kodukapuli | 1 | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273 |
| Citrus medica | Elumichai | 2 | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286 |
| Total | | 110 | | | -3.02215005 |

H (Shannon Diversity Index) =3.02

Shrubs

| Scientific Name | Common Name | No. of | Pi | ln (Pi) | Pi x ln (Pi) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | | Species | | | |
| Jatropagossypifolia | Kaatamanaku | 32 | 0.183908 | -1.69332 | -0.31142 |
| Calotropis gigantea | Erukam | 16 | 0.091954 | -2.38647 | -0.21945 |
| Tabernaemontanadivaricata | Crepe Jasmine | 4 | 0.022989 | -3.77276 | -0.08673 |
| Catharanthus roseus | Nithyakalyani | 4 | 0.022989 | -3.77276 | -0.08673 |
| Datura metal | Ummattangani | 7 | 0.04023 | -3.21315 | -0.12926 |
| Robiniapseudoacacia | Black locust | 15 | 0.086207 | -2.45101 | -0.21129 |
| Acalypha indica | Kuppaimeni | 18 | 0.103448 | -2.26868 | -0.23469 |
| Stachytarpheaurticifolia | Rat tail | 13 | 0.074713 | -2.59411 | -0.19381 |
| Woodfordiafruiticosa | Velakkai | 4 | 0.022989 | -3.77276 | -0.08673 |
| Hibiscus rosa sinensis | Sembaruthi | 3 | 0.017241 | -4.06044 | -0.07001 |
| Lantana camara | Unnichedi | 8 | 0.045977 | -3.07961 | -0.14159 |
| Parthenium hysterophorous | Vishapoondu | 45 | 0.258621 | -1.35239 | -0.34976 |
| Euphorbia geniculata | Amman Pacharisi | 5 | 0.028736 | -3.54962 | -0.102 |
| Total | | 174 | | | -2.2234 |

H (Shannon Diversity Index) =2.22

Herbs

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Scientific Name | Common Name | No. of Species | Pi | ln (Pi) | Pi x ln (Pi) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Helicteresisora | Valampuri | 4 | 0.015385 | -4.17439 | -0.06422 |
| Tridax procumbens | Vettukaayathalai | 7 | 0.026923 | -3.61477 | -0.09732 |
| Heraculem spondylium | Hog Weed | 19 | 0.073077 | -2.61624 | -0.19119 |
| Tridax procumbens | Cuminipachai | 18 | 0.069231 | -2.67031 | -0.18487 |
| Senna occidentalis | Nattamsakarai | 30 | 0.115385 | -2.15948 | -0.24917 |
| Plumbago zeylanica | Chittiramoolam | 12 | 0.046154 | -3.07577 | -0.14196 |
| Scrophularia nodosa | Sarakkothini | 18 | 0.069231 | -2.67031 | -0.18487 |
| Viburnum dentatum | Viburnum | 7 | 0.026923 | -3.61477 | -0.09732 |
| Cynodondactylon | Arugu | 15 | 0.057692 | -2.85263 | -0.16457 |
| Euphorbia hirta | Amman Pacharisi | 7 | 0.026923 | -3.61477 | -0.09732 |
| Sida cordifolia | Maanikham | 50 | 0.192308 | -1.64866 | -0.31705 |
| Sida acuta | Malaidangi | 12 | 0.046154 | -3.07577 | -0.14196 |
| Laportea canadensis | Peruganchori | 28 | 0.107692 | -2.22848 | -0.23999 |
| Sporobolus fertilis | Giant Parramatta Grass | 10 | 0.038462 | -3.2581 | -0.12531 |
| Tephrosia purpurea | Kavali | 23 | 0.088462 | -2.42519 | -0.21454 |
| Total | | 260 | | | -2.51 |

H (Shannon Diversity Index) =2.51

i. Species diversity calculation

| Details | Н | Hmax | Evenness | Species Richness (Margalef) |
|---------|------|------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Trees | 3.02 | 3.36 | 0.89 | 5.95 |
| Shrubs | 2.22 | 2.56 | 0.86 | 2.32 |
| Herbs | 2.51 | 2.70 | 0.92 | 2.51 |

From the above, it can be interpreted that herb community has higher diversity. While the tree community shows less diversity. It is also observed that most of the quadrates have controlled generation of plant species with older strands. Higher herb species diversity can be interpreted as a greater number of successful species and a more stable ecosystem where more ecological niches are available, environmental change is less likely to be damaging to the ecosystem. Species richness is high for herb community when compared with tree and shrubs.

3.7.6 Floral study in the Buffer Zone:

Economically important Flora of the study area

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Agricultural crops: Paddy, Maize, Ragi, Banana, Sugarcane, Cotton, Tamarind, Coconut, Mango, Groundnut, Vegetables and Flowers by the local people.

Medicinal species: The nearby area is also endowed with the several medicinal species which are commonly available in the shrub forest and waste lands. The common medicinal species of the region are Asparagus racemosus (satamulli), Aegle marmelos (golden apple), Azadirachta indica (Neem) etc.

Rare and endangered floral species: There are no rare or endangered or threatened (RET) species of in the study area. During the vegetation survey, there are no any species which are endangered or threatened under IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural resources) guidelines.

3.7.7 Faunal Communities

Both direct and indirect observation methods were used to survey the fauna.

• Point Survey Method: Observations were made in each site for 15 minutes duration.

Roadside Counts: The observer traveled by motor vehicles from site to site, all sightings were recorded (this was done both in the day and night time). An index of abundance of each species was also established.

Pellet and Track Counts: All possible animal tracks and pellets were identified and recorded (South Wood, 1978).

Additionally, survey of relevant literature was also done to consolidate the list of fauna distributed in the buffer zone.

Based on the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 (WPA 1972, Anonymous. 1991, Upadhyay 1995, Chaturvedi and Chaturvedi 1996) species were short-listed as Schedule II or I and considered herein as endangered species. Species listed in Ghosh (1994) are considered as Indian Red List species.

Methodology Adopted:

Point Survey method was adopted for this development project where observations were made in each site for 15 minutes duration (10 times).

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Study in the core zone:

Point Survey method was adopted for the study within 2 km radius and the following species were observed.

Mammals: No wild mammalian species was directly sighted during the field survey. Discussion with local villagers located around the study area also could not confirm presence of any wild animal in that area. Three stripped Palm Squirrel, Common Indian Hare, Common mongoose, Common Mouse etc were observed during primary survey.

Avifauna: Since birds are considered to be the indicators for monitoring and understanding human impacts on ecological systems (Lawton, 1996) attempt was made to gather quantitative data on the avifauna by walk through survey within the entire study area and surrounding areas. From the primary survey, a total of 26 species of avifauna were identified and recorded in the study area. The diversity of avifauna from this region was found to be quite high and encouraging.

The list of fauna species found in the study area is mentioned in Table below.

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Schedule of wild life | IUCN conservation |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | protection act | status |
| Mammals | | | |
| Funambulus pennanti | Palm Squirrel | IV | Least Concern |
| Mus rattus | Indian rat | IV | Not listed |
| Bandicota bengalensis | Indian mole rat | IV | Least Concern |
| Funambulus | Three stripped palm | IV | Least Concern |
| palmarum | squirrel | | |
| Herestes edwardsii | Common Mangoose | IV | Not listed |
| Mus musculus | Common Mouse | IV | Least Concern |
| Bandicota indica | Rat | IV | Least Concern |
| Lepus nigricollis | Indian Hare | IV | Least Concern |
| Felis catus | Cat | Not listed | Not listed |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Indian dog | Not listed | Not listed |
| Bos Indicus | Indian Cow | Not listed | Not listed |
| Bubalus bubalis | Buffalo | Ι | Not listed |

Table 3-20 List of fauna species

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Sus scrofa domesticus | Domestic pig | Not listed | Not listed | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Birds | | | | | | | |
| Milvus migrans | Black kite | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Saxicoloides fulicatus | Indian Robin | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Pycnonotus cafer | Red vented Bulbul | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Phragamaticola aedon | Thick billed warbler | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Pericrocotus | Small Minivet | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| cinnamomeus | | | | | | | |
| Eudynamys | Koel | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| scolopaceus | | | | | | | |
| Psittacula krameni | Rose ringed parakeet | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Dicrurus marcocercus | Black drongo | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Columba livia | Rock pigeon | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Corvus splendens | House crow | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Alcedo atthis | Small blue kingfisher | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Cuculus canorus | Common Cukoo | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Reptiles & Amphibians | | | | | | | |
| Chameleon | Chameleon | IV | Not listed | | | | |
| zeylanicum | | | | | | | |
| Calotes versicolor | Common garden | II | Not listed | | | | |
| | lizard | | | | | | |
| Bungarus caeruleus | Common krait | IV | Not listed | | | | |
| Ophisops leschenaultia | Snake eyed lizard | | Not listed | | | | |
| Bufo melanostictus | Toad | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Ptyas mucosa | Rat snakes | IV | Least concern | | | | |
| Hemidactylus sp. | House lizard | | Not listed | | | | |
| Butterflies | Butterflies | | | | | | |
| Danaus chrysippus | Plain Tiger | | Not listed | | | | |
| Papilio demoleus | Common lime | | Not listed | | | | |
| Euploea core | Common crow | | Least concern | | | | |
| Danaus genutia | Common tiger | | Not listed | | | | |
| Eurema brigitta | Small grass yellow | | Least concern | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

3.8 DEMOGRAPHY AND SOCIO ECONOMICS

The demography survey study is done within 10km radius from the project site.



Figure 3.13 Socio Economic map surrounding the project site.

The population, Household, Sex ratio, Literacy rate, SC, ST details for all the villages in the study area is listed below:

Table 3-21: Demography Survey Study

Source: Census of India, 2011

| S.No | Villages | Household | Population | Sex Ratio | | Literacy Rate | | SC | ST |
|------|------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------|---------------|--------|-----|----|
| | | | | Male | Female | Male | Female | | |
| 1 | Kariyasandiram | 95 | 346 | 184 | 162 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Amuthugondapalli | 120 | 543 | 274 | 269 | 131 | 97 | 228 | 0 |
| 3 | Koladasapuram | 221 | 857 | 429 | 428 | 276 | 216 | 390 | 0 |
| 4 | Midithepalli | 287 | 1287 | 667 | 620 | 369 | 261 | 278 | 31 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 5 | Kumbalam | 164 | 761 | 394 | 367 | 254 | 159 | 0 | 95 |
|----|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| 6 | Athimugam | 937 | 4540 | 2339 | 2201 | 1317 | 980 | 334 | 17 |
| 7 | Midithepalli | 650 | 2873 | 1484 | 1389 | 960 | 695 | 583 | 0 |
| 8 | Advanapalli | 58 | 239 | 123 | 116 | 75 | 50 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | Sudugondapalli | 87 | 447 | 229 | 218 | 128 | 89 | 95 | 0 |
| 10 | Palavanapalli | 258 | 1096 | 540 | 556 | 349 | 288 | 370 | 0 |
| 11 | Nandimangalam | 591 | 2602 | 1314 | 1288 | 797 | 609 | 713 | 0 |
| 12 | Pathamuthali | 205 | 967 | 499 | 468 | 275 | 198 | 392 | 0 |
| 13 | Muthalli | 108 | 444 | 223 | 221 | 132 | 90 | 130 | 0 |
| 14 | Dhasapalli | 152 | 894 | 443 | 451 | 202 | 161 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 | Alur | 678 | 3018 | 1569 | 1449 | 1058 | 736 | 178 | 5 |
| 16 | Bukkasagaram | 460 | 2126 | 1109 | 1017 | 742 | 471 | 319 | 0 |
| 17 | Doripalli | 852 | 3681 | 1898 | 1783 | 1165 | 848 | 596 | 0 |
| 18 | A.Settipalli | 605 | 2764 | 1428 | 1336 | 960 | 635 | 509 | 11 |
| 19 | Moranapalli | 2174 | 9160 | 4855 | 4305 | 3403 | 2439 | 1503 | 13 |
| 20 | Maruthanapalli | 1093 | 4816 | 2532 | 2284 | 1547 | 1054 | 422 | 0 |
| 21 | Shoolagiri | 2101 | 9530 | 4788 | 4742 | 3480 | 2923 | 1487 | 0 |
| 22 | Onalvadi | 1607 | 6656 | 3411 | 3245 | 2475 | 1968 | 1360 | 0 |
| 23 | Sanamavu | 925 | 4248 | 2182 | 2066 | 1487 | 1062 | 659 | 183 |
| 24 | Halekotta | 707 | 2990 | 1535 | 1455 | 1071 | 760 | 209 | 83 |
| 25 | Samanapalli | 721 | 3198 | 1635 | 1563 | 922 | 730 | 304 | 0 |

3.9 TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Traffic data collected continuously for 24 hours by visual observation and counting of vehicles under three categories, viz., heavy motor vehicles, light motor vehicles and two/three wheelers. As traffic densities on the roads are high, two skilled persons were deployed simultaneously at each station during each shift- one person on each of the two directions for counting the traffic. At the end of each hour, fresh counting and recording was undertaken. Total numbers of vehicles per hour under the three categories were determined.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |



Figure 3.14: Site Connectivity

| S . | Vehicles | Number of | Passenger | Total Number of Vehicle |
|------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| No | Distribution | Vehicles | Car Unit | in PCU |
| | | Distribution/Day | (PCU) | |
| | | MDR | - | MDR |
| 1 | Cars | 831 | 1 | 831 |
| 2 | Buses | 249 | 3 | 747 |
| 3 | Trucks | 352 | 3 | 1056 |
| 4 | Two wheelers | 946 | 0.5 | 473 |
| 5 | Three wheelers | 490 | 1.5 | 735 |
| | Total | 2868 | - | 3842 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Road | V (Volume in PCU/hr) | C (Capacity in PCU/hr) | Existing V/C Ratio | LOS |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| MDR-422 | 3842/24=160.08 | 413 | 0.387 | В |

Note: The existing level may be "Very Good" for MDR=422.

| V/C | LOS | Performance |
|---------|-----|---------------------|
| 0.0-0.2 | А | Excellent |
| 0.2-0.4 | В | Very Good |
| 0.4-0.6 | С | Good/ Average/ Fair |
| 0.6-0.8 | D | Poor |
| 0.8-1.0 | Е | Very Poor |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

4 Anticipated Environmental Impacts & Mitigation Measures

This chapter describes the anticipated impacts on the environment and mitigation measures. The method of assessment of impacts including studies carried out, modeling techniques adopted to assess the impacts where pertinent should be elaborated in this chapter. It should give the details of the impacts on the baseline parameters, both during the construction and operational phases and suggests the mitigation measures to be implemented by the proponent.

4.1 INTRODUCTION

An environmental impact is defined as any change to the environment, whether adverse or beneficial, resulting from a facility's activities, products, or services. The anticipation of the possible & potential Environmental impact due to the proposed project is a key step in EIA. Based on the impacts assessed, appropriate mitigation measures should be adopted to maintain the environment with less or no damage.

Environmental Impacts can be group into Primary impacts & Secondary Impacts

Primary Impacts: These impacts are directly attributed by the project

Secondary Impacts: These are those which are induced by primary impacts and include the associated investments and changed patterns of the social and economic activities by the action. Assessment of impacts is done for the following Environmental Parameters:

- Land Environment
- ➢ Water Environment
- Air Environment
- Noise Environment
- Biological Environment
- Socio Economic Environment

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

4.2 **LAND ENVIRONMENT:**

| Impact | | | Mitigation Measures | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| The proposed 1.86.50 Ha mine located in Midithepalli | | | palli | The proposed project site is not prone to any | | | |
| Vi | llage hav | e having 3,33,729 m ³ of Rough Stone & | | | n Stone | kind of soil erosion (Source: Bhuvan). | |
| 33 | ,210m ³ of | Gravel r | respectively | for the pe | eriod of | Ten | |
| ye | ars. The o | quarry of | peration is | proposed | to carry | out | In addition, garland drainage of 1m x 1m will |
| wi | th conven | tional op | en cast me | chanized | mining v | with | be provided to avoid storm water run- off. |
| 5.0 | 0-meter ver | rtical ben | ich and ber | ich width o | of 5.0 me | eter. | |
| At | the end | of 10 y | ears, mini | ng lease a | area will | l be | It is proposed to plant 1000 Nos of native |
| CO | nverted in | to ultima | ite pit. | | | | species (Neem, Magizham, Tamarind, |
| | | | | | | 1 | Elandhai and Vilvam) along the roads, outer |
| | UL | TIMAT | <u>'E PIT DIN</u> | MENSION | | | periphery of the mining area which enhances |
| | Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | D (m) | | the binding property of the soil. |
| | PIT | Ι | 300.0 | 55.0 | 39.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| The | e main im | pact of o | open cast | mining on | land-us | is is | It is proposed to improve the affected land |
| lan | d degradat | ion. The | land is bou | ind to be e | xcavatec | t for | wherever possible for better land use, so as to |
| mir | ning of Ro | ugh Ston | e Quarry. | | | | support vegetation and creation of water |
| Trace | a at an aai | 1 of the of | ha daa awaa aa | -: 11 10 0 00 : 00 : | | | reservoir in the ultimate pit after quarrying. |
| | pact on sol | I OI the si | anoratad 1 | | inal as u | ion | |
| are | no waste | water ge | enerated, i | leavy met | ai mus | 1011, | The entire lease area is covered 2.0m of Gravel |
| Stat | | 115. | | | | | and the estimated quantity of Gravel is |
| | | | | | | | 33210m ³ . Gravel formation will be removed |
| | | | | | | | and hydraulic excavators are used for loading |
| | | | | | | | the gravel into the tipper from pit head to needy |
| | Th Vi 33 ye wi 5.0 At co Tho lan min Imj are stac | The propose Village hav 33,210m ³ of years. The of with convent 5.0-meter ver At the end converted in UI Section PIT The main im land degradat mining of Row Impact on soi are no waster stack emission | The proposed 1.86.50 Village having 3,33 33,210m ³ of Gravel r years. The quarry of with conventional of 5.0-meter vertical ber At the end of 10 y converted into ultima $\begin{array}{c c} ULTIMAT\\ \hline Section & Bench\\ \hline PIT & I\\ \hline \\ The main impact ofland degradation. Themining of Rough StorImpact on soil of the stare no wastewater gstack emissions.$ | ImpactThe proposed 1.86.50 Ha mine IVillage having 3,33,729 m³33,210m³ of Gravel respectivelyyears. The quarry operation iswith conventional open cast me5.0-meter vertical bench and berAt the end of 10 years, minitconverted into ultimate pit.VLTIMATE PIT DINSection Bench L (m)PIT I 300.0The main impact of open cast reland degradation. The land is boutmining of Rough Stone Quarry.Impact on soil of the study area ware no wastewater generated, Hstack emissions. | ImpactThe proposed 1.86.50 Ha mine located in 1Village having 3,33,729 m³ of Rough33,210m³ of Gravel respectively for the periodyears. The quarry operation is proposedwith conventional open cast mechanized is5.0-meter vertical bench and bench width ofAt the end of 10 years, mining lease aconverted into ultimate pit.ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSIONSection Bench L (m) W (m)PIT I 300.0 55.0The main impact of open cast mining onland degradation. The land is bound to be emining of Rough Stone Quarry.Impact on soil of the study area will be mini are no wastewater generated, heavy met stack emissions. | ImpactThe proposed 1.86.50 Ha mine located in MiditherVillage having 3,33,729 m³ of Rough Stone33,210m³ of Gravel respectively for the period ofyears. The quarry operation is proposed to carrywith conventional open cast mechanized mining v5.0-meter vertical bench and bench width of 5.0 meAt the end of 10 years, mining lease area willconverted into ultimate pit.ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSIONSectionBenchL (m)W (m)PITI300.055.039.0 | ImpactThe proposed 1.86.50 Ha mine located in MidithepalliVillage having 3,33,729 m³ of Rough Stone & 33,210m³ of Gravel respectively for the period of Ten years. The quarry operation is proposed to carry out with conventional open cast mechanized mining with 5.0-meter vertical bench and bench width of 5.0 meter. At the end of 10 years, mining lease area will be converted into ultimate pit. $VLTIMATE PIT DIMENSION$ Section Bench L (m) W (m) D (m) PIT I 300.0 55.0 39.0The main impact of open cast mining on land-use is land degradation. The land is bound to be excavated for mining of Rough Stone Quarry.Impact on soil of the study area will be minimal as there are no wastewater generated, heavy metal infusion, stack emissions. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Impact due to transformation of terrain characteristics over the large area results in soil degradation. | buyers. This will be done only after obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government. |
|---|---|
| Solid waste will be generated from the mining activity as there will be refuse also generation of domestic waste. If it is not properly managed, may cause odor and health problem to the workers. | The source of dust generation is majorly due to drilling, blasting, loading & unloading of the mined-out mineral, the impact will be mitigated by water sprinkling regularly once in 3hrs. |
| | The proposed mining activity is carried out in hilly terrain where the altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. |
| | After removal of minerals, undulating portion will be created. Excavated area or ultimate pit at the end of the mine period will be converted into water reservoir. Two tier tree belts will be planted along the safety distance. |
| | The 100% recovery is achieved by extracting the entire mineable reserve. Hence there will be no refuse generation due to the mining activity. Apart from that, a very meagre quantity of domestic waste will be generated in the project, which will be handed over to the local body on daily basis. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

4.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect | Impact | Mitigation Measures | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | The mining in the area may cause ground water | The water table will not be intersected during | | | | |
| and unloading, | contamination due to intersection of the water table | mining, as the ultimate depth is limited upto | | | | |
| Transportation of the | and mine runoff. | 39.0m (2m AGL + 37 BGL), whereas the | | | | |
| excavated mineral. | | ground water table is at 66m below the ground | | | | |
| | | level. The municipal wastewater will be | | | | |
| | | disposed into septic tanks of 5 cum and soak pit. | | | | |
| | | No chemicals consisting of toxic elements will | | | | |
| | | be used for carrying out mining activity. | | | | |
| | The ground water depletion may occur due to mining | The ground water table is at a depth of 66m | | | | |
| | activity | BGL, the mining operation will not affect the | | | | |
| | | aquifer. The ultimate pit at the end of the mining | | | | |
| | | operation will be used for rainwater storage, the | | | | |
| | | stored water will be used for green belt | | | | |
| | | development and further the stored water will be | | | | |
| | | used for domestic purposes (other than drinking) | | | | |
| | | after proper treatment. | | | | |
| | Chemicals consisting of nitrate used for blasting may | Further, the run-off water will be stored in | | | | |
| | pollute the surface run off. | sumps and after proper treatment; water will be | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | used | in | the | mining | operation | for | dust |
|---|--------|-------|--------|-----------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | suppr | essic | on. | | | | |
| | Provi | sion | of ur | inals/Lat | rines along | with | septic |
| Improper management of Domestic wastewater in | tank i | follo | wed ł | y soak p | it arrangem | ent w | vill be |
| the Mine lease may create unhygienic conditions in | provid | ded i | in the | Mine Le | ase area for | the p | oroper |
| the site thereby causing health impacts to the labours. | mana | gem | ent of | wastewa | ter | | |

4.4 AIR ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect | Impact | Mitigation Measures |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | Impacts during Operation Phase | Mitigation Measures during Operation Phase |
| and unloading, | During mining operation, fugitive dust and other air | It is proposed to plant 1000 Nos of native species |
| Transportation of the | pollutants like particulate matter ($PM_{10} \& PM_{2.5}$) will | (40% inside lease area & 60% outside lease area) |
| excavated mineral. | be generated. | along the haul roads, outer periphery within the |
| | | lease area to prevent the impact of dust in |
| | The main source of pollutants arises due to drilling | consultation with Forest department for the |
| | and blasting. 2 No of Tipper will be used for loading | plantation of trees (Neem, Magizham, |
| | and unloading, 1 No of Excavator (1.20 m ³ bucket | Tamarind, Elandhai and Vilvam) in two tier to |
| | capacity (with rock breaker attachment) will be used | combat air pollution and with herbs (Nerium) in |
| | for excavation of the mineral which contributes to the | between the tree species. |
| | generation of fugitive dust. In addition, blasting will | Planning transportation routes of the mined out |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| be done using explosives leading to the generation of | mineral, so as to reach the nearest paved roads |
|---|---|
| dust. | (an approach road) by shortest route connecting |
| | to Venkatesapuram village road. |
| | Alternatively, gravelled road may be constructed between mine lease area and nearest paved road connectivity. The speed of trucks plying on the haul road will be limited to 20km/hr to avoid generation of dust. The trucks will be covered by tarpaulin. |
| <i>Effect on Human</i> Adverse effect on human health of working | Overloading will be avoided. |
| labourers and neighbouring villagers like effect on breathing and respiratory system, damage to lung tissue, influenza or asthma. Dust generation due to loading and unloading of mineral and due to transportation can also affect the workers as well as nearby villagers. | Personal Protective Equipments (PPEs) like eye goggles, dust mask, leather gloves, safety shoes & boots will be provided to the workers engaged at dust generation points like excavation and loading points. |
| <u>Effect on Plants</u> Stomatal index may be minimized due to dust deposit on leaf. | 0.5 KLD of water will be proposed for sprinkling on unpaved roads to avoid dust generation during transportation. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Air Quality Modeling:

The AERMOD is actually a modeling system with three separate components:

- AERMOD (AERMIC Dispersion Model),
- AERMAP (AERMOD Terrain Preprocessor)
- AERMET (AERMOD Meteorological Preprocessor)

4.4.1 *Source Characterization*

A detailed listing of all emission sources and their corresponding modelling input release parameters and emission rates is listed this

report. A general description of how each source type was treated is presented below.

The emission Sources from the proposed operation are

Point Sources:

Point sources for mining operations are typically include dust collectors, hot water heaters, and emergency generator(s). Since at the present project the following sources are anticipated.

- 1. Hydraulic excavator -1.2 Cum Bucket Capacity (with Rock Breaker Attachment)
- 2. Jack Hammer 25.5 mm Dia
- 3. Tipper
- 4. Tractor Mounted Compressor
- 5. Drilling and excavation with Accessories

Road Sources:

A road network was developed to depict the anticipated haul truck routes and truck discharge locations during the mine operations. The anticipated emissions from the road sources and corresponding anticipated impact during the monitoring period of December 2022 to February 2023 emissions were estimated. Emissions due to haul road and general plant traffic on the unpaved road network were

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

modelled as volume sources. The model volume source parameter for the haul roads initially utilized USEPA developed emission factors for hauling trucking. The haul road sources utilized source to source spacing of 6 meters along the simulated haul roads. The initial lateral dimension of the sources were set to 3 m were used as an input to replicated a 2 truck travel adjacent for a typical mining scenario. The parameters considered for the hauling operation include the following,

- size of haul trucks commonly used
- degree of dust control/compaction of permanent haul roads

Other fugitive particulate emission sources:

Other fugitive particulate emission sources that were modelled as volume sources include the following:

- Fugitive emissions from trucks unloading at the primary crusher were represented by a single volume source. The release height was set to 0 meters (dump pocket is at grade level).
- Fugitive emissions due to wind erosion is not considered as the mining area is predominately rocky surface with minimal wind erosion. If an wind erosion is anticipated to occur, it would be localized.
- Fugitive emissions from transfer points were represented by single volume sources. The release heights for these sources were set to the actual height of the truck transfer process.

Post Project Scenario

Emissions from operations will result from process equipment and mining operations. Process equipment was modeled at maximum capacity. Emissions from mining were based upon the mining rate and haul truck travel necessary to transport the stones and waste from the pit to the storage area.

Predicted maximum ground level concentrations considering micro meteorological data of June to August 2023 are superimposed on the maximum baseline concentrations obtained during the study period to estimate the post project scenario, which would prevail at

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

the post operational phase. The overall scenario with predicted concentrations over the maximum baseline concentrations is shown in the following table along with isopleths.

| Activity | Emission Factor | | References | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Scraper | 0.029 Kg TSPM/ average time between spray application | USEPA (2008) | Jose I. Huertas & Dumar A. | |
| Toncoil hon dling | Bulldozing | 15.048 kg PM10/ Hr excavation | USEPA (2008) | Standardized emissions | |
| Topsoil handling | Loading | 2.3237E-04 kg PM10/ average time between spray application | USEPA (2006a) | inventory methodology for open-pit mining areas, Environmental Science Pollution Research 2012 | |
| | Haulage | 0.69718 kg PM10/VKT | USEPA (2006a) Cowherd (1988) | | |
| | Wet drilling | 8.00E-5 lbs PM10/ Ton produce | EPA. August, 2004. Section Processing and Pulverized | ion 11.19.2, Crushed Stone Mineral Processing. In: | |
| Rough stone mining | Loading | 1.00E-4 lbs PM10/ Ton produce | Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume Stationary Point and Area Sources, Fifth Edition, AP-42. U.S Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quali Planning and Standards. Research Triangle Park, Nor Carolina. | | |

Table 4-1 Emission Factors for uncontrolled mining

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

4.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect | Impact | Mitigation Measures |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | Usage of Equipments (Excavator, Tipper, Jack | • The machinery will be maintained in good |
| and unloading, | Hammer), Machinery and trucks used for | running condition so that noise will be reduced |
| Transportation of the | transportation will generate noise. | to minimum possible level. |
| excavated mineral. | | • Awareness will be imparted to the workers |
| | Noise from the machinery can cause hypertension, | once in six months about the permissible noise |
| | high stress level, hearing loss, sleep disturbance etc | level and effect of maximum exposure to those |
| | due to prolonged exposure. | levels. Adequate silencers will be provided in all |
| | | the diesel engines of vehicles. |
| | | • It will be ensured that all transportation |
| | | vehicles carry a valid PUC Certificates. |
| | | • Speed of trucks entering or leaving the mine |
| | | will be limited to moderate speed (20km/hr) to |
| | Number of vehicles will be increased due to the | prevent undue noise from empty vehicles. |
| | proposed mining activity hence vehicle may collate | The noise generated by the machinery will be |
| | which may result in unwanted sound and can also | reduced by proper lubrication of the machinery |
| | cause impact on human health like breathing and | and other equipments. |
| | respiratory system, damage to lung tissue, influenza | • It is proposed to plant 1000 Nos. of native |
| | or asthma. | species (Neem, Mandharai, Athi, Tamarind, |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Ashoka, Casuarinas and Villam) to reduce the |
|---|
| impact of noise in the study area. The |
| development of green belts around the periphery |
| of the mine will be implemented to attenuate |
| noise. |
| • The trucks will be diverted on two roads viz. |
| Venkatesapuram village road and a District |
| Road to avoid traffic congestion. |
| • Health check-up camps will be organized |
| once in six month. |
| • Use of personal protective devices i.e., |
| earmuffs and earplugs by workers, who are |
| working in high noise generating areas. |
| • Provision of quiet areas, where employees |
| can get relief from workplace noise. |

4.6 **<u>BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMNENT:</u>**

| Aspect | Impacts | Mitigation Measures |
|----------------|---|---|
| Site Clearance | Loss of habitat due to site clearance which may lead to | The proposed mining lease is already a dry land |
| | ecological disturbance. | hence no site clearance is required. Only few |
| | | shrubs and herbs like parthenium sp., prosopis |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | juliflora were present. |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Planting of trees | Development of afforestation in the mine lease area | 10 m safety distance will be provided all along the |
| | will have a positive impact as the land was initially a | boundary of the mine lease area and safety. |
| | barren. | Around 0.39.5 Ha of land is utilized for greenbelt |
| | | development (1000 Nos - 10 years). This will |
| | | attract avifauna thus enhancing the existing |
| | | ecological environment. |

4.7 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMNENT:

| Aspect | Impact | Mitigation Measures |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Proposed implementation | Land acquisition for the implementation of the | The proposed project is a Patta land and the land |
| of Mining activity | project may result in loss of assets, which in return | is vacant where there are no human settlement |
| | will make the PAP to shift, losing their normal | within 300m radius. Hence the project does not |
| | routine and livelihood | involve Rehabilitation and resettlement |
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | The mining activities may cause dust emission, noise | No human activity is envisaged near the project |
| and Transportation of the | pollution thereby causing disturbance to the local | site. The nearest human settlement is observed |
| mined out mineral | habitat | in Midithepalli village which is 1.70 Km from |
| | | site |
| | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Grazing and Rearing | The Grazing and rearing of local animals like Sheep, | It is proposed to use gravelled road and nearest |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| activities in the nearby | Goat and cows is observed in the nearby villages, | paved road and preferred not to use unpaved |
| villages | which may be affected due to the project as the | roads. In addition to that, the speed of trucks will |
| | movement of the vehicles may affect/injure the | be limited to 20km/hr to avoid any accidents. |
| | animals | |
| Employment opportunity | The project will improve the livelihood of the local | After the development of the proposed mine, it |
| | people | will improve the livelihood of local people and |
| | | also provide the direct and indirect employment |
| | | opportunities. The rough stone for the |
| | | infrastructural development in the area will be |
| | | made available from the local markets at |
| | | reasonably lower price. |
| Corporate Environmental | The proposed project will help in natural resource | As a part of CER i.e., 5.0 Lakhs will be allocated |
| Responsibility | augmentation & Community resource development. | to Government High School, Venkatesapuram – |
| | | Provision of |
| | | To construct Toilet and Auditorium, To provide |
| | | Sports equipments, Wire fence to playground |
| | | and Basic amenities such as Environmental |
| | | awareness books (Tamil) in Library for students, |
| | | Green Belt development, Hygienic Toilet and |
| | | maintenance of toilet upto lease period. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

4.8 OTHER IMPACTS:

| S. No | Aspect | Impact | Mitigation measure |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1. | Risk due to the | Accidents may occur in | Proper PPE kit (Safety jacket, Helmet, |
| | proposed mining | the mine area | Safety Shoes, Gloves) etc will be provided |
| | | | to each and every employee in the mine |
| | | | lease concerning the safety of each labour. |
| 2. | Blasting | Injury to the labours due | Alarm system in the form of Siren will be |
| | | to the blasting activity | engaged in the project site to caution the |
| | | | blasting activity. In addition to that, the |
| | | | blasting activity will be scheduled at |
| | | | particular time - 5 P.M to 6 P.M (or |
| | | | whenever required) so that the employees |
| | | | will be aware of the activity. Smoking will |
| | | | be banned in the site and sign boards will |
| | | | be displayed in various places at site. |
| 3. | Screening of | Labours will be checked | All the labours will be checked and |
| | Labours | for health condition | screened for health before employing |
| | | before employing them in | them. |
| | | mining activity | After employing them, periodical medical |
| | | | check-ups will be held once in every six |
| | | | months. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

5 Analysis Of Alternatives

5.1 GENERAL

Analysis of alternative is a significant aspect in planning and designing any project. Cost benefit analysis should be worked out along with other parameters while choosing an alternative in such a way that the production is maximum and the mining operation is environment friendly and cost effective. The mine plan and mine closure plan has been approved by the Deputy Director, Department of Mining and Geology, Krishnagiri District prior to submission of the Form-1 and PFR.

ToR issued by the SEIAA-TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9962/ ToR-1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023. The study for alternative analysis involves in-depth examination of site and technology.

5.1.1 Analysis for Alternative Sites and Mining Technology

5.1.1.1 Alternative Site

The proposed project is the mining of Rough Stone Quarry and is proposed after prospecting the area. In other words, these can be implemented in the mineral available zone. Since the mining block has been allotted in principal by the State Government, there is no case for studying and exploring any other site as an alternative.

5.1.1.2 Alternative Technology

The open cast mining could be manual/ mechanized depending upon the geological and topographical setup of the mineral (ROM) to be won and the daily/annual targeted production.

| S. | Particular | Alternative | Alternative | Remarks |
|-----|------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| No. | | Option 1 | Option 2 | |
| 1. | Technology | Opencast | Opencast | Opencast mechanized Involving |
| | | semi | mechanized | drilling and blasting are preferred. |
| | | mechanized | mining | |
| | | mining | | Benefits: Material is hard so to make it |

| Table 5-1: Alternative | for Technology | and other Parameters |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 2. | Employment | Local employment. | Outsource employment | Local employment is preferred. Benefits: Provides employment to local people along with financial benefits. No residential building/ housing is required. |
|----|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 3. | Labour transportation | Public transport | Private transport | Local labours will be deployed from Midithepalli village so they will either reach mine site by bicycle or by foot. Benefits: Cost of transportation of labors will be negligible |
| 4. | Material transportation | Public transport | Private transport | Material will be transported through trucks/trolleys on the contract basis. Benefits: It will give indirect employment. |
| 5. | Water | Tanker supplier | Ground water/ | Tanker supply will be preferred. Water will be sourced from Midithepalli village which is 1.70 km from site. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

6 Environmental Monitoring Program

6.1 **GENERAL**:

This chapter covers the planned environmental monitoring program. It also includes the technical aspects of monitoring the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

Monitoring is important to measure the efficiency of control measures. Post project monitoring of environmental parameters is of key importance to assess the status of environment. The monitoring program will serve as an indicator for identifying environmental degradation due to operation of the project and help in selection of appropriate mitigation measures to safeguard the environment.

Regular monitoring is as important as control of pollution since the efficacy of control measures can only be determined by monitoring. The project proponent has awarded **M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd** for carrying out the post project environmental monitoring (PPM) and timely compliance report submission to various regulatory authorities.

Therefore, a regular monitoring programme of the environmental parameters is essential to take into account the changes in the environmental quality. The objectives of monitoring are to:-

- Verify effectiveness of planning decisions;
- Measure effectiveness of operational procedures;
- Confirm statutory and corporate compliance; and
- Identify unexpected changes.

Table 6-1: Environmental Monitoring Programme

| Parameters | Sampling | Frequency | Location |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Air environment – | 7 locations | 24 hourly twice a week | • Project site |
| Pollutants | | 4 hourly. | • Government High School, |
| PM 10 | | Twice a week, One | Muthali |
| PM 2.5 | | non monsoon season | • Bhargavi Narasimha |
| SO ₂ | | 8 hourly, twice a week | Swamy Temple, |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| NO _x | | 24 hourly, twice a | • Govt.Hr Sec School, |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | | week | bukkasagaram |
| | | | • Midhitepalli Bus Stop |
| | | | • Garagamma Temple - |
| | | | Vanamangalam |
| | | | • Sri Ardhanareshwaramma |
| | | | Temple - Moranapalli |
| Noise | 7 locations | 24 hourly Once in 7 | • Project site |
| | | locations | • Government High School, |
| | | | Muthali |
| | | | • Bhargavi Narasimha |
| | | | Swamy Temple, |
| | | | • Govt.Hr Sec School, |
| | | | bukkasagaram |
| | | | • Midhitepalli Bus Stop |
| | | | • Garagamma Temple - |
| | | | Vanamangalam |
| | | | • Sri Ardhanareshwaramma |
| | | | Temple - Moranapalli |
| Water (Ground | 7 locations | Once in 7 locations | • Project site |
| water) | | | • Government High School, |
| • pH | | | Muthali |
| Turbidity | | | • Bhargavi Narasimha |
| Magnesium | | | Swamy Temple, |
| • Total | | | • Govt.Hr Sec School, |
| Alkalinity | | | bukkasagaram |
| Sulphate | | | • Midhitepalli Bus Stop |
| Fluoride Nitrata | | | • Garagamma Temple – |
| • Sodium | | | Vanamangalam |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Potassium | | | • Sri Ardhanareshwaramma |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| SalinityTotal nitrogen | | | Temple - Moranapalli |
| • Total | | | |
| Fecal | | | |
| Coliforms | | | |
| Water (surface water) | Sample from | One time Sampling | 1. Bukkasagaram Lake – |
| • pH | nearby | | 4.45 km, S |
| TemperatureTurbidity | lakes/river | | |
| Magnesium Hardness | | | |
| • Total | | | |
| Alkalinity Chloride | | | |
| Sulphate | | | |
| Fluoride Nitrata | | | |
| • Sodium | | | |
| • Potassium | | | |
| SalinityTotal | | | |
| nitrogen | | | |
| Total Coliforms | | | |
| • Fecal | | | |
| Coliforms | 7 locations | Once in 7 legations | Ducie et cite |
| | 7 locations | Office III / Iocations | • Project site |
| (Organic matter, | | | • Government High School, |
| lexture, pH, | | | Muthali |
| Electrical | | | • Bhargavi Narasimha |
| Conductivity, | | | Swamy Temple, |
| Permeability, Water | | | • Govt.Hr Sec School, |
| holding capacity, | | | bukkasagaram |
| Porosity) | | | • Midhitepalli Bus Stop |
| | | | • Garagamma Temple - |
| | | | Vanamangalam |

| Duraiact | Pough stone Quanny 1 86 50 Ha hy Thing R Suihan | Duaft FIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| 1 10 jeci | Rough sione Quarry- 1.80.30 Ha by Intru.D.Srikur | Diuji LIA |
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | | • Sri Ardhanareshwaramma |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Temple - Moranapalli |
| Ecology and | Study area | One time Sampling | |
| biodiversity Study | covering 10 km | | |
| | radius | | |
| Socio- Economic | Villages | One time Sampling | |
| study | around 10 km | | |
| (Population, Literacy | radius | | |
| Level, employment, | | | |
| Infrastructure like | | | |
| school, hospitals & | | | |
| commercial | | | |
| establishments) | | | |

Table 6-2: Monitoring Schedule during Mining

| S. No. | Attributes | Parameters | Frequency | Location |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1. | Ambient Air | PM 10 | Once in a | Project Site |
| | Quality at Mine | PM 2.5 | Month | |
| | Site & Fugitive | SO ₂ | | |
| | Dust Sampling | NO _x | | |
| 2. | Ground water | Drinking Water Parameters, As per | Half | Project Site |
| | Quality | IS - 10500: 2012 | yearly | |
| | | | | |
| 3. | Surface Water | Class will be assessed as per | Half | Project Site |
| | Quality | the CPCB Guidelines | yearly | |
| | | | | |
| 4. | Soil Quality | (Organic matter, Texture, pH, | Half | Project Site |
| | | Electrical Conductivity, | yearly | |
| | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | Permeability, Water holding | | |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------|
| | | capacity, Porosity) | | |
| 5. | Noise Level | Noise level in dB(A) | Half | Project Site |
| Monitoring | | Quarterly/half yearly | yearly | |
| | | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

7 Additional Studies

7.1 GENERAL

This chapter covers the details of the additional studies viz. Risk assessment, Disaster Management, Public Hearing, Rehabilitation and Resettlement.

7.1.1 Public Hearing:

As the proposed mining project falls under 1(a), Category B1 – Cluster Mining (includes **Existing Quarries:**

- 1. Thiru.D.Sreenivasalu 3.17.08 Ha
- 2. Thiru.Venkatareddy 2.05.92 Ha

Expired/ Old quarries:

1. M/s. Sarva Infra Pvt Ltd – 4.05.0 Ha

Proposed Quarries:

1. Thiru.B.Srikar – 1.86.50 Ha

The Total extent of the Existing / Proposed quarries are 11.14.50 Ha.

Hence under 7(III) of EIA notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments, the project involves the Public Consultation and the same will be conducted under SPCB (TN) in Krishnagiri District. The proceedings of the same will be incorporated in the Final EIA Report.

7.1.2 Risk assessment:

For mining projects to be successful, it should meet not only the production requirements, but also maintain the highest safety standards for all the workers. The industry has to identify the hazards, assess the associated risks and bring the risks to tolerable level regularly. Mining has considerable safety risk to miners. Unsafe conditions and practices in mines lead to a number of accidents and causes loss and injury to human lives, damage property, interrupt production etc. Risk assessment is a systematic method of identifying and analyzing the hazards associated with an activity and establishing a level of risk. The hazards cannot be completely eliminated, and thus there is a need to define and estimate an accident risk level possible to be presented either in quantitative or qualitative way.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

7.1.3 Identification of Hazard

7.1.3.1 Blasting Pattern:

The quarrying operation will be carried out by Opencast Semi Mechanized method in conjunction with conventional method of mining using Jack Hammer drilling and blasting for shattering effect and loosen the Rough Stone.

7.1.3.2 Drilling and Blasting:

| Diameter of Hole | 32-36mm |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Spacing between holes | 60 cms |
| Depth | 1 to 1.5 m |
| Pattern of hole | Zigzag |
| Inclination of holes | 70° from horizontal |
| Use of delay detonators | 25 milli-second delays |
| Detonating fuse | "Detonating" Cord |

Drilling and Blasting parameters are as follows:

a. Types of explosives to be used:

Small dia of 25mm Slurry explosives are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling or Primary blasting is proposed.

b. Measures proposed to minimize ground vibration due to Blasting:

The quarry is situated more than 0.87 km from the nearby villages. Controlled blasting measures will be adopted for minimizing ground vibration and fly of rock. Shallow depths jackhammer drilling & blasting is proposed to be carried out with minimum use of explosive mainly to give the shattering effect in rough stone for easy excavation and to control fly of rocks.

| Diameter of Holes | = | 32-36mm |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Powder factor | = | 6 to 7 Tons/Kg of explosives |
| Depth | = | 1 to 1.5 m |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Charge/Hole=D.Cord with water or 70gms of gun powder or Gelatine.Blasted at day time=5 to 6 PM

Storage and safety measures to be taken while blasting: The proponent will engage an authorized explosive agency to carry out the small amount of blasting and it will be supervised by competent and statutory Foreman/Permit Mines Manager.

Heavy Machineries: The following heavy machineries will be used in the proposed area:

- For Mining Excavator of 1.2 Cum Bucket capacity (with Rock Breaker attachment), Jack Hammers (25.5 mm Dia) of 4 Nos.
- Loading Equipment Excavator of 1.2 Cum Bucket Capacity (with Bucket attachment)
- Transportation (includes within the mine and mine to destination) Tipper 1 No. of 10
 M.T capacity (from quarry to needy peoples and local crushers)

a. Risk:

Most of the accidents during transport of mined out mineral using other heavy vehicles are often attributed to mechanical failures and human errors.

b. Mitigation measures to minimize the risk

- At the time of loading no person will be allowed within the swing radius of the excavation.
- The dumpers/ trucks will stand near the loading equipment and fully braked when the muck is filled in it.
- The truck would be brought to a lower level so that the loading operation suits to the ergonomic condition of the workers.
- The workers will be provided with helmets, gloves and safety boots; loading and unloading operations will be carried out only during daylight.
- All the mining machineries will be regularly maintained and checked such as brakes, lights and horns to keep in the efficient working order.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

7.1.4 General Precautionary measures for the Risk involved in the proposed mine:

- In order to take care of above hazard/disaster, the following control measures will be adopted:
- All safety precautions and provisions of Mine Act,1952, Metalliferous Mines Regulation, 1961 and Mines Rules, 1955 will be strictly followed during all mining operations;
- Entry of unauthorized persons will be prohibited;
- Firefighting and first-aid provisions in the ECC and mining area;
- Provisions of all the safety appliances such as safety boot, helmets, goggles etc. will be made available to the workers (16 Nos.) and regular inspection for their use;
- In case of eventuality, first aid will be given by the senior safety office in the mine area initially to the injured person. The safety officer will give notice of accident as per Rule-23 of Mines Act-1952;
- The safety officer (common for 3 mines within 500m radius) will be responsible for coordination between management district authorities/DGMS etc. Regarding general safety as per Rule-181 of MMR 1961, "No person shall negligently or will fully do anything likely to endanger life or limb in the mine, or negligible or will fully omit to do anything necessary for the safety of the mine or of the persons employed there in". The workers will be provided with protective foot wear and safety helmets;
- Cleaning of mine faces will be regularly done;
- Handling of explosives, charging and blasting will be carried out by highly skilled labors only;
- Regular maintenance and testing of all mining equipment as per manufacturer's guidelines;
- Suppression of dust by sprinkling water on the haulage roads;

7.1.5 Safety Team:

The effective implementation of compliance of Safety Rules/ Statutory Provisions will be ensured. The safety officer will be engaged, meeting the requirement of Mines Act and their duties and responsibilities. The safety officer will be responsible for identification of the hazardous conditions and unsafe acts of workers and advice on corrective actions, conduct safety audit, organize training programs and provide professional expert advice on various

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

issues related to occupational safety and health. Organizing safety training will be conducted to employees and contractor labors periodically.

7.1.6 Emergency Control Centre

The emergency control center will be provided to handle the emergency. The site main controller, key personnel and the senior officers of the fire and police services will attend it. The center will be equipped to receive and transmit information and directions from and to the incident controller and other areas of the works, as well as outside. The emergency control center will be sited in an area of minimum risk. This common Emergency control center will be used for the mines around the 500m radius.

7.2 DISASTER MANAGEMENT

The possible risks in the case of stone along with associated minor minerals mining projects are fly rock, vibration failure of pit, slope and waste dump, accidents due to transportation. Mining and allied activities are associated with several potential hazards to both the employees and the public at large. Safety of the mine and the employees is taken care of by the mining rules & regulations, which are well defined with laid down procedure for safety, which when scrupulously followed, safety is ensured not only to manpower but also to machines & working environment.

7.2.1 Emergency Management Plan For Proposed Mines On Site- Offsite Emergency Preparedness Plan:

The emergency plan delineates the procedures for dealing with accidents or unexpected events and natural calamities arising from mining activity. An experience of any accidents that have occurred in other manufacturing/mining projects is considered to prepare this plan. This Emergency plan should be periodically reviewed and modified. It should also be changed based on the observations of emergency mock drills and experience of handling actual emergencies.

Major objectives of this onsite – offsite emergency plan are:

> To take necessary proactive and preventive actions to avoid the emergency.
| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

The main aim of any emergency plan should be to prevent emergency situations.

To train the manpower to handle the emergencies of the following nature:

- Onsite (Within ML boundary)
- Offsite (Outside ML boundary)

7.2.1 Onsite off-site emergency Plan:

1- Emergency on account of:

- ➤ Fire
- ➢ Explosion
- > Major accidents involving man-made collapse of the mining edges.
- Snake bites, attack by honey bees or attack by wild animals.

2- Disaster due to natural calamities like:

- > Flood/ heavy rains which can involve natural landslides.
- ➢ Earth quake
- Cyclone
- ➢ Lightening

7.2.2 Emergency Plan:

- The mining operations should be immediately stopped in case of any emergency. A siren will be sounded during emergency time.
- An emergency assembly point will be created and all the workers will guide visitors or contractors to approach assembly point.
- Emergency vehicle (Ambulance) will be available in the nearby place, in proximity to the three mines and will rush to the emergency control centre at the blowing of emergency siren. The driver of emergency vehicle will follow the instructions of Incident Controller/Site Main Controller.
- Workers will be trained for the precautions to be taken during natural disasters like heavy rain, floods, earthquake and cyclone.
- All escape routes from mines to the assembly point or any other safe location will be made and the escape plan will be displayed in many places in the mine area

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

7.2.3 Emergency Control:

- Shut down of mining operations: Raising the alarm or siren followed by immediate safe shut down of the power supply, and isolation of affected areas.
- > Treatment of injured: First aid and hospitalization of injured persons
- Protection of environment and property: During mitigation, efforts will be made to prevent impacts on environment and property to the extent possible.
- Preserving all evidences and records: This will be done to enable a thorough investigation of the true causes of the emergency.
- Ensuring safety of personnel prior to restarting of operations: Efforts required will be made to ensure that work environment is safe prior to restarting the work.

7.3 NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION

There are no natural resources within the premises. The conservation strategies for energy will be followed in the proposed mine lease area. The pollutants of the mine will be minimized by adopting appropriate mitigation measures as mentioned Chapter 5 to prevent the effects on nearest water bodies. No surface runoff from the project site will be let into the nearest water bodies.

7.4 **RESETTLEMENT AND REHABILITATION:**

The proposed Mine lease area is Government Poramboke land. There is no displacement of the population within the project area and adjacent nearby area and hence Rehabilitation & Resettlement is not applicable.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

8 Project Benefits

8.1 GENERAL

This chapter covers the benefits accruing to the locality, neighborhood, region and nation as a whole. It brings out the details of benefits by way of improvements in the physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, employment potential and other tangible benefits.

8.1.1 Physical Benefits

The opening of the proposed project will enhance the following physical infrastructure facilities in the adjoining areas:

Market: Generating useful economical resource for construction. Due to demand supply chain, excavated mineral (Rough stone) will sold in the market in the affordable price.

Infrastructure: The excavated rough stone will be used for *Laying Roads, Building & Construction Projects, Bridges.*

Enhancement of Green Cover & Green Belt Development: As a part of reclamation plan, native tree species will be planted along the safety boundary of the mine lease area. A suitable combination of trees that can grow fast and also have good leaf cover will be adopted to develop the green belt. It is proposed to plant 500 numbers of native species along with some fruit bearing and medicinal trees during the mining plan period.

8.2 SOCIAL BENEFITS

The mining in the area will create rural employment. During site visit, it has been observed that the economic conditions of the villages in the study area is quite normal. After the development of the proposed mine, it will improve the livelihood of local people and also provide the indirect employment opportunities. The rough stone for the infrastructural development in the area will be made available from the local markets at reasonably lower price.

As a part of CER, i.e., 5 Lakhs will be allocated. The detailed agenda, which is to be executed has been framed. The salient features of the programmes are as follows:

To construct Toilet and Auditorium, Provide Sports equipments, Wire fence to playground and Basic amenities such as Environmental awareness books (Tamil) in Library for students, Green Belt development, Hygienic Toilet and maintenance of toilet upto lease period to Government High School, Venkatesapuram.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

8.3 PROJECT COST / INVESTMENT DETAILS

| 1 | D. Fixed Asset Cost: | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| | Land Cost | : | Rs. 18,00,000/- |
| | • Labour Shed | | Rs. 1,20,000/- |
| | • Sanitary Facility | : | Rs. 80,000/- |
| | Refilling/Fencing cost | : | Rs. 1,00,000/- |
| | Total= | : | Rs.21,00,000/- |
| 2 | E. Operational Cost: | : | Rs.30,00,000/- |
| | Machinery cost | | |
| 3 | F. EMP Cost: | | |
| | Display board in site; | : | Rs. 1,32,48,533/- for the period of 10 |
| | Monitoring-Air, Water, | : | (Ten) years. |
| | Noise; Dust Supression - | : | |
| | Water sprinkling by own | : | |
| | water tankers; Vehicle | : | |
| | Tyres Wash; Green Belt | : | |
| | Development; Road | : | |
| | Development & | : | |
| | Management; | : | |
| | Occupational Health and | : | |
| | Safety; Solid Waste | | |
| | Management; Strom | | |
| | Water; Renewable Energy, | | |
| | CCTV Installation, Salary | | |
| | for mines manager and | | |
| | blaster | | |
| | Total Project Cost(A+B) | : | Rs. 51,00,000/- |
| | | | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

9 Environmental Management Plan

9.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter comprehensively presents the Environmental Management Plan (EMP), which includes the administrative and technical setup, summary matrix of EMP, the cost involved to implement the EMP, during various Mining activities and provisions made towards the same in the cost estimates of project. This chapter describes the proposed monitoring scheme as well as inter-organizational arrangements for effective implementation of the mitigation measures.

9.2 SUBSIDENCE

Mining will be carried out by opencast mechanized mining method with drilling & blasting as per mining plan approved by Department of Mining and Geology, Krishnagiri. Subsidence/slope failures are not envisaged because there are no loose strata overlying the deposit (mineral to be excavated). The bench height will be average 5m. The individual bench slope has been proposed to be kept at 60^o from horizontal. Moreover, all safety standards/ safeguards will be implemented as per guidelines prescribed by Director General of Mines Safety.

9.3 MINE DRAINAGE

9.3.1 Storm water Management

The following measures will be taken with respect to the prevailing site conditions.

- Storm water drains with silt traps of size 1m x 1m will be suitably constructed all along the periphery of the pit area to collect the run-off from the mine area and divert into the pit.
- All measures will be taken not to disturb the existing drainage pattern adjacent to the mine lease area.
- The storm water collected from the mine area will be utilized for dust suppression on haul roads, plantation within the premises, etc.,

9.3.2 Drainage

Local workers will be deployed for the project. But, urinals and Latrines will be provided and the same will be connected to septic tank followed by soak pit arrangement. No domestic waste will be deposited into the nearby area. Regular checking will be carried out to find any

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

blockage due to silting or accumulation of loose materials. The drains will also be checked for any damage in lining / stone pitching, etc.

9.3.3 Administrative and Technical Setup

The Environment Management Plan (EMP) will consist of all mitigation measures for each component of the environment due to the activities increased during mining operation to minimize adverse environmental impacts resulting from the activities of the project.

To carry out the above activities, Thiru.B. Srikar will work in association with M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | 7 |

| S. No | Impacts on | Activity | Anticipated impacts | Mitigation measures |
|-------|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Environment | /Aspect | | |
| 1. | Air | Fugitive Emission | During mining operation, fugitive dust and other air pollutants like particulate matter (PM10 & PM 2.5) will be generated. | Planting of trees along the safety distance of the Mine Lease Area Water will be sprinkled in the site as dust suppression measure. |
| 2. | Water | Wastewater Generation | Improper management of Domestic wastewater in the Mine lease may create unhygienic conditions in the site thereby causing health impacts to the labors | Provision of urinals/Latrines along with septic tank followed by soak pit arrangement will be provided in the Mine Lease area for the proper management of wastewater. |
| 3. | Noise | Mining activities like drilling, blasting, loading and transportatio n | Noise from the machinery can cause hypertension, high stress level, hearing loss, sleep disturbance etc due to prolonged exposure. Apart from Mining activities like drilling, blasting may generate noise | Use of personal protective devices i.e., earmuffs and earplugs by workers, who are working in high noise generating areas. |
| 4. | Land | Improper management of Storm water Runoff | Storm water Runoff may result in Soil Erosion | Garland drainage of 1m x 1m will be provided to avoid storm water run- off. |
| 5. | Social Responsibility | Mining workers | Unhygienic site sanitation facilities may cause health damage to workers. | The objective is to ensure health and safety of the workers with effective provisions for the basic facilities of sanitation, drinking water, safety of equipments or machinery etc. The following will be done in the site |

Table 9-1: Impacts and mitigation measures

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft ELA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | | | \checkmark | By complying with the safety procedures, norms and guidelines (as applicable) as outlined in the National Building Code of India, Bureau of Indian Standards. Provide adequate number of decentralized latrines and urinals Providing Septic tank along with Soak pit arrangement Providing First Aid room, conducting frequent health checkups to labor and conducting free medical camps Providing safety helmet, Gloves, Jacket & Boots Providing measures to prevent fires. Firefighting |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|--|
| | | | | | to prevent fires. Firefighting extinguishers and buckets of sand will be provided in the construction site |
| 6. | Building materials resource conservation | Building Material consumption | Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to over exploitation of natural resources & increase in carbon footprint. | • | Use of locally available construction materials. |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Table 9-2: Budgetary | Allocation for EMP | during Mining |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | | |

| | Mitigation Measure | Provision for Implementation | Capital | Recurring |
|--------------------|--|---|---------|-----------|
| | Compaction, gradation and drainage on both sides for Haulage Road | Rental Dozer & drainage construction on haul road @ Rs. 10,000/- per hectare; and yearly maintenance @ Rs. 10,000/- per hectare | 18650 | 18650 |
| | Fixed Water Sprinkling Arrangements + Water sprinkling by own water tankers | Fixed Sprinkler Installation and New Water Tanker Cost for Capital; and Water Sprinkling (thrice a day) Cost for recurring | 200000 | 25000 |
| | Air Quality will be regularly monitored as per norms within ML area & near Reserve forest with necessary permission | Yearly Compliance as per CPCB norms | 0 | 40000 |
| Air Environment | Muffle blasting – To control fly rocks during blasting | Blasting face will be covered with sand bags / steel mesh / old tyres / used conveyor belts | 0 | 0 |
| | Wet drilling procedure / latest eco-friendly drill machine with separate dust extractor unit | Dust extractor @ Rs. 25,000/- per unit deployed as capital & @ Rs. 2500 per unit recurring cost for maintenance | 25000 | 2500 |
| | No overloading of trucks/tippers/tractors | Manual Monitoring through Security guard | 0 | 5000 |
| | Stone carrying trucks will be covered by tarpaulin | Monitoring if trucks will be covered by tarpaulin | 0 | 10000 |
| | Enforcing speed limits of 20 km/hr within ML area | Installation of Speed Governers @ Rs. 5000/- per Tipper/Dumper deployed | 5000 | 0 |
| | Regular monitoring of exhaust fumes as per RTO norms | Monitoring of Exhaust Fumes by Manual Labour | 0 | 5000 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | Regular sweeping and maintenance of approach roads for at least about 200 m from ML Area | Provision for 2 labours @ Rs.10,000/labour (Contractual) per Hectare | 0 | 37300 |
|-------------|---|--|-------|-------|
| | Installing wheel wash system near gate of quarry | Installation + Maintenance + Supervision | 30000 | 10000 |
| | Source of noise will be during operation of transportation vehicles, HEMM for this proper maintenance will be done at regular intervals. | Provision made in Operating Cost | 0 | 0 |
| | Oiling & greasing of Transport vehicles and HEMM at regular interval will be done | Provision made in Operating Cost | 0 | 0 |
| | Adequate silencers will be provided in all the diesel engines of vehicles. | Provision made in Operating Cost | 0 | 0 |
| Environment | It will be ensured that all transportation vehicles carry a fitness certificate. | Provision made in Operating Cost | 0 | 0 |
| | Safety tools and implements that are required will be kept adequately near blasting site at the time of charging. | Provision made in OHS part | 0 | 0 |
| | Ambient Noise will be regularly monitored as per norms within ML area & near Reserve forest with necessary permission | Yearly Compliance as per CPCB norms | 0 | 20000 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | Line Drilling all along the boundary to reduce the PPV from blasting activity and implementing controlled blasting. | Provision made in Operating Cost | 0 | 0 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|-------|--------|
| | Proper warning system before blasting will be adopted and clearance of the area before blasting will be ensured. | Blowing Whistle by Mining Mate / Blaster / Compentent Person | 0 | 0 |
| | Provision for Portable blaster shed | Installation of Portable blasting shelter | 30000 | 2000 |
| | NONEL Blasting will be practiced to control Ground vibration and fly rocks | Rs. 30/- per 6 Tonnes of Blasted Material | 0 | 100000 |
| Water Environment | Water Environment | Provision for garland drain @ Rs.10,000/- per Ha with maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per annum | 18650 | 5000 |
| Waste | Waste management (Spent Oil, Grease etc.,) | Provision for domestic waste collection and disposal through authorized agency Installation of dust bins | 1000 | 5000 |
| Management | Bio toilets will be made available outside mine lease on the land of owner itself | Provision made in Operating Cost | 0 | 0 |
| Implementation of EC, Mining | Size 6' X 5' with blue background and white letters as mentioned in MoM Appendix II by the SEAC TN | Fixed Display Board at the Quarry Entrance as permanent structure mentioning Environmental Conditions | 7000 | 1000 |
| Condition | Workers will be provided with Personal Protective Equipment's | Provision of PPE @ Rs. 4000/- per employee with recurring based on wear and tear (say, @ Rs. 1000/- per employee) | 64000 | 16000 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | Health check up for workers will be provisioned | IME & PME Health check up @ Rs. 1000/- per employee | 0 | 16000 |
|---------------------------|--|--|--------|--------|
| | First aid facility will be provided | Provision of 2 Kits per Hectare @ Rs. 2000/- | 0 | 3730 |
| | Mine will have safety precaution signages, boards. | Provision for signages and boards made | 10000 | 2000 |
| | Barbed Wire Fencing to quarry area will be provisioned. | Per Hectare fencing Cost @ Rs. 2,00,000/- with Maintenance of Rs 10,000/- per annum | 373000 | 10000 |
| | No parking will be provided on the transport routes. Separate provision on the south side of the hill will be made for vehicles /HEMMs. Flaggers will be deployed for traffic management | Parking area with shelter and flags @ Rs. 50,000/- per hectare project and Rs. 10,000/- as maintenance cost | 93250 | 10000 |
| | Installation of CCTV cameras in the mines and mine entrance | Camera 4 Nos, DVR, Monitor with internet facility | 2000 | 5000 |
| | Implementation as per Mining Plan and ensure safe quarry working | Mines Manager (1 st Class / 2 nd Class / Mine Foreman) under regulation 34 / 34 (6) of MMR, 1961 and Mining Mate under regulation 116 of MMR,1961 @ 40,000/- for Manager & @ 25,000/- for Foreman / Mate | 0 | 540000 |
| Green Belt Development | Green belt development - 500 trees per one hectare (200 Inside Lease Area & 300 Outside Lease Area) | Site clearance, preparation of land, digging of pits / trenches, soil amendments, transplantation of saplings @ 200 per plant (capital) for plantation inside the lease area and @ 30 per plant maintenance (recurring) | 74600 | 11190 |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| Total Grand Total Co | per plant maintenance (recurring) | 1125000 | 919155 4155 |
|-------------------------|--|---------|----------------|
| | Avenue Plantation @ 300 per plant (capital) for plantation outside the lease area and @ 30 | 167850 | 16785 |

| Year | Cost (@ 5% per year inflation adjustment) in Rs. |
|-----------|--|
| 1st Year | 2044155 |
| 2nd Year | 965113 |
| 3rd Year | 1013368 |
| 4th Year | 1064037 |
| 5th Year | 1117239 |
| 6th Year | 1735601 |
| 7th Year | 1231756 |
| 8th Year | 1293343 |
| 9th Year | 1358011 |
| 10th Year | 1425911 |
| Total | 13248533/- |

Total EMP cost for the period of Ten years: - **Rs. 1,32,48,533/-** (One crore thirty two lakhs fortyeight thousand thirty-three rupees only).

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

10 Summary & Conclusion

This chapter summarizes the overall justification for implementation of the project and explains how the potential impacts are mitigated.

10.1 INTRODUCTION

Thiru.B.Srikar site is a cluster of six mining projects. The individual mine lease area is 1.86.50 Ha of Rough Stone Quarry located at S.F.Nos. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk in Krishnagiri District.

10.2 PROJECT OVERVIEW

Table 10-1: Project Overview

| S. No. | Description | Details |
|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Project Name | Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry-1.86.50 Ha |
| 2 | Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar |
| 3 | Mining Lease Area Extent | 1.86.50 Ha |
| 4 | Location | S.F.Nos. 79 Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri |
| | | Taluk, Krishnagiri District. |
| 5 | Latitude | 12° 46' 01.9743"N to 12° 45' 52.1189"N |
| 6 | Longitude | 77° 57' 03.0289"E to 77° 56' 59.2536"E |
| 7 | Topography | Gently Sloping Terrain |
| 8 | Site Elevation above MSL | The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. |
| 9 | Topo sheet No. | 57- H/13 |
| 10 | Minerals of Mine | Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry |
| 11 | Proposed production of Mine | 33,210m ³ of Gravel and 3,33,729m ³ of |
| | | Rough stone for Ten years only. |
| 12 | Ultimate depth of Mining | 39 m (2m gravel + 37 m Rough stone) |
| 13 | Method of Mining | Open cast, mechanized mining |
| 14 | Water demand | 2.0 KLD |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 15 | Source of water | Water will be supplied through tankers |
|----|------------------------|---|
| | | supply |
| 16 | Manpower | 16 Nos. |
| 17 | Mining Lease | Precise Area Communication Letter |
| | | received from Deputy Director, Dept. of |
| | | Geology & Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter |
| | | Rc.No.646/2021 Mines Dated 31.01.2023. |
| 18 | Mining Plan Approval | Mining Plan was approved by the Deputy |
| | | Director, Dept. of Geology & Mining, |
| | | Krishnagiri vide letter Rc.No.646/2021 |
| | | Mines Dated 17.02.2023 |
| 19 | Production details | Geological resources: 7,65,140m ³ Proposed |
| | | year wise recoverable reserves: 33,210m ³ of |
| | | Gravel and 3,33,729m ³ of Rough stone for |
| | | Ten years only |
| 20 | Boundary Fencing | 10 m barrier all along the boundary Fencing |
| | | will be provided. |
| 21 | Disposal of overburden | The entire lease area is covered 2.0m of |
| | | Gravel and the estimated quantity of Gravel |
| | | is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation will be |
| | | removed, and hydraulic excavators are used |
| | | for loading the gravel into the tipper from pit |
| | | head to needy buyers. This will be done only |
| | | after obtaining permission and paying |
| | | necessary seigniorage fees to the |
| | | Government. |
| 22 | Ground water | The ground water table is reported as 66m |
| | | below ground level in nearby wells of this |
| | | area. Mining depth taken as 39m. Now, |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | proposed quarry depth is above the water | |
|----|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | | table. Hence, quarrying may not affect the | |
| | | ground water. | |
| 23 | Habitations within 300m radius | There is no Habitation within 300m radius | |
| | of the Project Site | of the project site. | |
| 24 | Drinking water | Water will be supplied through tankers from | |
| | | Midithepalli village which is 1.70 Km - | |
| | | Northeast of the proposed project site. | |

10.3 JUSTIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

The said project plays a significant role in the domestic as well as infrastructural market. To achieve a huge infrastructure being envisaged by Government of India, particularly in road and housing sector, there is a need for basic building materials. The rough stone form the primary building material.

Rough stone is one of the most valuable natural building materials. Aggregates are mostly used for building roads and footpaths Aggregates – stone used for its strong physical properties – crushed and sorted into various sizes for use in concrete, coated with bitumen to make asphalt or used 'dry' as bulk fill in construction. Mostly used in roads, concrete and building products. Aggregates represent about 98% of quarry output, most of which is used in road construction, maintenance and repair. Much of this goes to the production of asphalt; the remainder is used 'dry' without the addition of other materials to provide a sturdy base for roads.

Since Krishnagiri, a city known for its small-scale industries and also the soil in the area near project site is not very fertile making it unsuitable for carrying out agricultural activities. The topography near the lease area is barren dry lands showing only less chance for crop growth and development of vegetation. In addition to that, geological resources of rough stone is abundant in the lease area which is evident from the mine activities carried out in the nearby sites.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Table 10-2: Anticipate Impacts & Appropriate Mitigation Measures

| S. No. | Potential Impact | Mitigation Measure |
|--------|---|---|
| 1 | The main impact in the air environment is | Proper mitigation measures like water |
| | dust emission during various mining | sprinkling on haul roads will be adopted |
| | activities such drilling, blasting, excavation, | to control dust emissions. |
| | loading and transportation. The dust | To control the emissions regular |
| | emission may affect the quality of ambient | preventive maintenance of equipments |
| | air in the and around the mine area. The | will be carried out on contractual basis. |
| | increased emission may cause respiratory & | Plantation will be carried out along |
| | Cardiovascular problems in human health | approach roads & mine premises. |
| 2 | Waste water will be generated due to mining | No waste water will be generated from |
| | activity and from other domestic activities. | the mining activity of minor minerals as |
| | These may contaminate the ground water | the project only involves lifting of over |
| | leading to ground water. The mining | burden from mine site. The wastewater |
| | activity may affect the ground water table | generated from the domestic activity will |
| | | be disposed off safely through the |
| | | proposed septic tank. |
| | | Mining will not intersect ground water |
| | | table. Hence the water table will not be |
| | | impacted due to the proposed project |
| 3 | Noise will be generated in the mine area | Periodical monitoring of noise will be |
| | during various mining activities such as | done. |
| | blasting, drilling, excavation. During | No other equipments except the |
| | transportation of the mined out mineral, | transportation vehicles and Excavator |
| | there may be noise generation due to the | (as & when required) for loading will be |
| | movement of vehicles. This may impact the | allowed at site. |
| | health condition of the workers by creating | |
| | headache | |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | Noise generated by these equipments |
|---|---|---|
| | | shall be intermittent and does not cause |
| | | much adverse impact. |
| | | Plantation will be carried out along |
| | | approach roads. The plantation |
| | | minimizes propagation of noise and also |
| | | arrest dust. |
| 4 | Solid waste will be generated from the | The 100% recovery is achieved by |
| | mining activity as there will be refuse after | extracting the entire mineable reserve. |
| | 95% recovery and also generation of | Hence there will be no refuse generation |
| | domestic waste | due to the mining activity. Apart from |
| | | that, a very meagre quantity of domestic |
| | | waste will be generated in the project, |
| | | which will be handed over to the local |
| | | body on daily basis. |
| 5 | During mining activities, there are chances | Dust masks will be provided as |
| | of workers getting health issues or may be | additional personal protection |
| | prone to accidents | equipment to the workers working in the |
| | | dust prone area. |
| | | Periodical trainings will be conducted to |
| | | create awareness about the occupational |
| | | health hazards due to activities like |
| | | blasting, drilling, excavation |
| | | Workers health related problem if any, |
| | | will be properly addressed. |
| | | · · · |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

11 Disclosure of Consultant

11.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the details of the environmental consultants engaged, their background and the brief description of the key personnel involved in the project. Specific studies on the mining project have been carried out by engaging engineers/experts of Ecotech Labs Pvt. Ltd, Chennai. Ecotech Labs Pvt. Ltd (ETL), Chennai is NABET accredited consultancy organization. ETL is equipped with in-house, spacious laboratory, accredited by NABL (National Accreditation Board for Testing & Calibration Laboratories), Department of Science & Technology, Government of India and MoEF & CC.

11.2 ECO TECH LABS PVT. LTD – ENVIRONMENT CONSULTANT

Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd is a multi-disciplinary testing and research laboratory in India. Eco Tech labs provides high quality services in environmental consultancy, engineering solution, chemical and microbiological laboratory analysis of food, water and environment (Air, Water, Soil) with highest accuracy.

The Quality policy

•We at Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd. engaged in providing Environmental consulting services and we are committed to strengthen our capabilities in all areas of our operations in line with customer requirements & expectations, applicable legal requirements & stakeholders expectations.

•We are committed to establish and maintain Quality Management System (QMS) for continual improvement in processes and Services

•We are committed to provide customized solutions in realistic, time bound and cost effective to achieve highest degree of customer satisfaction and Environmental improvement.

•We shall establish, maintain & periodically review our documented management systems, objectives and performance in consultation with our employees and prevailing best practices.

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

• Effective communication of organization's policy and objectives to employees and seeking feedbacks from all our employees and concerned stakeholders for continual improvement.

Declaration by Experts contributing to the EIA of Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar, S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State

I, hereby, certify that I was a part of the EIA team in the following capacity that developed the above EIA.

EIA Coordinator: Dr. A. Dhamodharan

lowilly

Dr. A. DHAMODHARAN (NABET APPROVED EIA COORDINATOR) NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147 Environmental Consultant Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd Piot No.48A, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar South Estn. Palilkaranal, Chennal - 600 100.

Signature:

Period of involvement: 01.12.2021 to Till now

Contact information: M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd.,

No. 48, 2nd Main road, Ram Nagar South Extension,

Pallikaranai

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| S. | Function | Name of the | Involvement | Signature and |
|-----------|----------|--------------------------|---|---------------|
| No. | al areas | experts | (period and task) | date |
| 1 | AP | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Selection of Baseline Monitoring stations based on the wind direction Interpretation of Baseline data by comparing it with standards prescribed by CPCB against the type of area Identification of sources of air pollution and suggesting mitigation measures to minimize impact Period: December 2021 – Till now | x.H.f. |
| 2 | WP | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Selection of baseline Monitoring Locations for Ground water analysis and also identifying nearest surface water to be studied. Interpretation of baseline data collected Identification of impacts based on the baseline study conducted and also to the ground water and nearby surface water due to the proposed project Preparation of suitable and appropriate mitigation plan. <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> | A-Mumin |
| 3 | SHW | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Identification of nature of solid waste generated Categorization of the generated waste and estimating the quantity of waste to be generated based on the per capita basis. Identification of impacts of SHW on Environment Suggesting suitable mitigation measures by recommending appropriate disposal method for each category of waste generated Top soil and refuse management <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> | A-Dimme |
| 4 | SE | Mr. S. Pandian | Primary data collection through the census questionnaire Obtaining Secondary data from authenticated sources and incorporating the same in EIA report. | Stranger - |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| | | | 3. Impact assessment & proposing suitable mitigation plan 4. CSR budget allocation by discussing with the local body and allotting the same for need based activity. <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> *Involves Public Hearing | |
|---|-----|-----------------------|--|-------------|
| 5 | EB | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Primary data collection through field survey and sheet observation for ecology and biodiversity Secondary Collection through various authenticated sources Prediction of anticipated impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation measures. Period: December 2021 – Till now | A-D) Juni 1 |
| 6 | HG | Dr. T. P. Natesan | Study of existing surface drainage arrangements in the core and buffer zone, impact due to mining on these drainage courses and suggestion of mitigative measures Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program. Storm water management through garland drainage system. Period: December 2021 – Till now | (i)) voe [] |
| 7 | GEO | Dr. T. P. Natesan | 1. Field survey for assessing regional and local geology, aquifer distribution, Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program. <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> | |
| 8 | SC | Dr. A. Dhamodharan | Interpretation of baseline report Identification of possible impacts on soil, prediction of soil conservation and suggesting suitable mitigation measures. Period: December 2021 – Till now | A-Dennin |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

| 9 | AQ | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Collection of Meteorological data for the baseline study period Plotting wind rose plot and thereby selecting the monitoring locations based on the wind pattern Estimation of sources of air emissions and air quality modeling is done Interpretation of the results obtained | x Af.f. |
|----|----|--------------------------|---|----------|
| | | | 5. Identification of the impacts and suggesting suitable mitigation measures. <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> | |
| 10 | NV | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Selection of monitoring locations Interpretation of baseline data Prediction of impacts due to noise pollution and suggestion of appropriate mitigation measures Period: May 2022 – Till now | Klein |
| 11 | LU | Dr. T. P. Natesan | Collection of Remote sensing satellite data to study the land use pattern. Primary field survey and limited field verification for land categorization in the study area Preparation of Land use map using Satellite data for 10km radius around the project site. <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> | () ver 1 |
| 12 | RH | Mrs. K. Vijayalakshmi | Identification of the risk Interpreting consequence contours Suggesting risk mitigation measures <i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i> | KIOL |

| Project | Rough stone Quarry- 1.86.50 Ha by Thiru.B.Srikar | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | Thiru.B.Srikar | Report |
| Project Location | Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | |

Declaration by the Head of the accredited consultant organization/ authorized person

I, Dr. A. Dhamodharan, hereby, confirm that the above-mentioned experts prepared the EIA report of mining project at Survey Numbers. 79 Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District. I also confirm that the consultant organization shall be fully accountable for any misleading information mentioned in this statement.



Signature:

Name: Dr. A. Dhamodharan
Designation: Managing Director
Name of the EIA consultant organization: M/s. Eco Tech Labs Private Limited
NABET Certificate No. & Issue Date: NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147

ANNEXURE-I

STANDARD TOR CONDITIONS WITH ADDITIONAL TOR POINTS



THIRU. DEEPAK S. BILGI, I.F.S. MEMBER SECRETARY

STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY-TAMILNADU

3rd Floor, Panagal Maaligai, No.1, Jeenis Road, Saidapet, Chennai - 600 015. Phone No. 044-24359973 Fax No. 044-24359975

TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR)

Lr No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.9962/ToR- 1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023.

To

Thiru.B.Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, D.No.25, Shanthi nagar west, 2nd cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri-635109

Sir / Madam,

Sub: SEIAA, Tamil Nadu – Terms of Reference with public Hearing (ToR) for the Proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Ha in S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru.B.Srikar- under project category – "B1" and Schedule S.No.1 (a) – ToR issued along with Public Hearing - preparation of EIA report – Regarding.

Ref: 1. Online proposal No.SIA/TN/MIN/424319/2023, dated: 31.03.2023.

- 2. Your application submitted for Terms of Reference dated: 06.04.2023.
- 3. Minutes of the 382nd SEAC meeting held on 09.06.2023.
- 4. Minutes of the 632nd Authority meeting held on 21.06.2023 & 22.06.2023.

Kindly refer to your proposal submitted to the State Level Impact Assessment Authority for Terms of Reference.

IBER SECRET. SEIAA-TN

Page 1 of 23

The proponent, Thiru. B.Srikar has submitted application for Terms of Reference (ToR), for the Proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Ha in S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu.

SEAC Remarks: -

The proposal was placed in this 382nd Meeting of SEAC held on 09.06.2023. The project proponent gave detailed presentation. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are available in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

The SEAC noted the following:

- The project proponent, Thiru. B. Srikar has applied for Terms of Reference for the Proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Ha in S.F.No. 79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu
- The project/activity is covered under Category "B1" of Item 1(a) "Mining of Mineral Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
- 3. As per mining plan, the lease period is for 10 years. The mining plan is for 10 years & production should not exceed 2,24,329m³ of Rough Stone & 33,210m³ of Gravel for the first five years and 1,09,400 m³ of Rough Stone for second five years. The annual peak production 75,579m³ of Rough Stone & 33,210m³ of Gravel. The ultimate depth of mining is 39 BGL (2m Gravel + 37m Rough Stone).

Now, the proposal was placed in the 382nd Meeting of SEAC held on 09.06.2023. Based on the presentation made by the proponent SEAC recommended grant of Terms of Reference (TOR) with Public Hearing, subject to the following TORs, in addition to the standard terms of reference for EIA study for non-coal mining projects and details issued by the MOEF & CC to be included in EIA/EMP Report:

- The proponent is requested to submit the valid registered lease document during the EIA appraisal after the previous lease granted for the mining operations is legally surrendered (or) lapsed with the consent of the competent authority.
- The proponent is requested to carry out a survey and enumerate on the structures located within 100m, 200m, 300m from the boundary of the mine lease area.

IBER SECRE

- 3. The proponent shall furnish photographs of adequate fencing, green belt along the periphery including replantation of existing trees & safety distance between the adjacent quarries & water bodies nearby provided as per the approved mining plan.
- 4. The Project Proponent shall conduct the hydro-geological study considering the contour map of the water table detailing the number of ground water pumping & open wells, and surface water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc. within 1 km (radius) along with the collected water level data for both monsoon and non-monsoon seasons from the PWD / TWAD so as to assess the impacts on the wells due to mining activity. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided.
- 5. The proponent shall submit the "Blast Design Parameters for controlling the vibration and flyrock from the quarry blasting" considering the existence of sensitive structures including habitations within 1 km from the lease boundary.
- 6. The PP shall submit a 'Slope Stability Action Plan' for the proposed quarry where the proposed depth exceeds 30 m and it shall cover the aspects of stability of quarry walls including the access ramp keeping the benches intact.
- The PP shall furnish DFO letter stating that the proximity distance of Reserve Forests, Protected Areas, Sanctuaries, Tiger reserve etc., upto a radius of 25 km from the proposed site.
- The PP shall provide individual notice regarding the Public Hearing to the nearby house owners located in the vicinity of the project site.
- 9 If the blasting operation is to be carried out, the PP shall present a conceptual design for carrying out the NONEL initiation based controlled blasting operation only including the line drilling & muffle blasting techniques and a Simulation Model indicating the anticipated Blastinduced Ground Vibration levels in the proposed quarry as stipulated by the DGMS Circular No.7 of 1997, during the EIA Proposal.
- 10. Details of Green belt & fencing shall be included in the EIA Report.
- 11. The EIA Coordinators shall obtain and furnish the details of quarry/quarries operated by the proponent in the past, either in the same location or elsewhere in the State with video and photographic evidences.
- 12. If the proponent has already carried out the mining activity in the proposed mining lease area after 15.01.2016, then the proponent shall furnish the following details from AD/DD, mines,

MEMBER SECRETA SEIAA-TI

Page 3 of 23

- a) What was the period of the operation and stoppage of the earlier mines with last work permit issued by the AD/DD mines?
- b) Quantity of minerals mined out.
- c) Highest production achieved in any one year
- d) Detail of approved depth of mining.
- e) Actual depth of the mining achieved earlier.
- f) Name of the person already mined in that leases area.
- g) If EC and CTO already obtained, the copy of the same shall be submitted.
- Whether the mining was carried out as per the approved mine plan (or EC if issued) with stipulated benches.
- 13. All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High-Resolution Imagery/Topo sheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology, lithology and geology of the mining lease area should be provided. Such an Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).
- 14. The PP shall carry out Drone video survey covering the cluster, Green belt, fencing etc.,
- 15. The Project Proponent shall provide the details of mineral reserves and mineable reserves, planned production capacity, proposed working methodology with justifications, the anticipated impacts of the mining operations on the surrounding environment and the remedial measures for the same.
- 16. The Project Proponent shall provide the Organization chart indicating the appointment of various statutory officials and other competent persons to be appointed as per the provisions of Mines Act'1952 and the MMR, 1961 for carrying out the quarrying operations scientifically and systematically in order to ensure safety and to protect the environment.
- 17. The proponent shall furnish the baseline data for the environmental and ecological parameters with regard to surface water/ground water quality, air quality, soil quality & flora/fauna including traffic/vehicular movement study.
- 18. The Proponent shall carry out the Cumulative impact study due to mining operations carried out in the quarry specifically with reference to the specific environment in terms of soil health, biodiversity, air pollution, water pollution, climate change and flood control & health impacts. Accordingly, the Environment Management plan should be prepared keeping the concerned quarry and the surrounding habitations in the mind.



- 19. Rain water harvesting management with recharging details along with water balance (both monsoon & non-monsoon) be submitted.
- 20. Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- 21. Details of the land for storage of Overburden/Waste Dumps (or) Rejects outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be provided.
- 22. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' (or) the Project areas which attracts the court restrictions for mining operations, should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the TNPCB (or) Dept. of Geology and Mining should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.
- 23. Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.
- 24. Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated.
- 25. A tree survey study shall be carried out (nos., name of the species, age, diameter etc.,) both within the mining lease applied area & 300m buffer zone and its management during mining activity.
- 26. A detailed mine closure plan for the proposed project shall be included in EIA/EMP report which should be site-specific.
- 27. Public Hearing points raised and commitments of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project and to be submitted to SEIAA/SEAC with regard to the Office Memorandum of MoEF& CC accordingly.
- The Public hearing advertisement shall be published in one major National daily and one most circulated vernacular daily.
- 29. The PP shall produce/display the EIA report, Executive summery and other related information with respect to public hearing in Tamil Language also.

MBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

Page 5 of 23

- 30. As a part of the study of flora and fauna around the vicinity of the proposed site, the EIA coordinator shall strive to educate the local students on the importance of preserving local flora and fauna by involving them in the study, wherever possible.
- 31. The purpose of Green belt around the project is to capture the fugitive emissions, carbon sequestration and to attenuate the noise generated, in addition to improving the aesthetics. A wide range of indigenous plant species should be planted as given in the appendix-I in consultation with the DFO, State Agriculture University. The plant species with dense/moderate canopy of native origin should be chosen. Species of small/medium/tall trees alternating with shrubs should be planted in a mixed manner.
- 32. Taller/one year old Saplings raised in appropriate size of bags, preferably eco-friendly bags should be planted as per the advice of local forest authorities/botanist/Horticulturist with regard to site specific choices. The proponent shall earmark the greenbelt area with GPS coordinates all along the boundary of the project site with at least 3 meters wide and in between blocks in an organized manner
- 33. A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report for the complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till the end of the lease period.
- 34. A Risk Assessment and management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report for the complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till the end of the lease period.
- 35. Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.
- 36. Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 37. The Socio-economic studies should be carried out within a 5 km buffer zone from the mining activity. Measures of socio-economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.
- 38. Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any

HBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

SEIAA-TN

Court of Law against the Project should be given.

- 39. Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.
- 40. If any quarrying operations were carried out in the proposed quarrying site for which now the EC is sought, the Project Proponent shall furnish the detailed compliance to EC conditions given in the previous EC with the site photographs which shall duly be certified by MoEF&CC. Regional Office, Chennai (or) the concerned DEE/TNPCB.
- 41. The PP shall prepare the EMP for the entire life of mine and also furnish the sworn affidavit stating to abide the EMP for the entire life of mine
- 42. Concealing any factual information or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this Terms of Conditions besides attracting penal provisions in the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

Appendix -I

List of Native Trees Suggested for Planting

- 1. Aeglemarmelos-Vilvam
- 2. Adenaantherapavonina-Manjadi
- 3. Albizialebbeck-Vaagai
- 4. Albiziaamara-Usil
- 5. Bauhinia purpurea Mantharai
- 6. Bauhinia racemosa Aathi
- Bauhinia tomentosa-Iruvathi 7.
- 8. Buchananiaaillaris-Kattuma
- 9. Borassusflabellifer- Panai
- 10. Buteamonosperma Murukkamaram
- 11. Bobaxceiba- Ilavu, Sevvilavu
- 12. Calophylluminophyllum Punnai
- 13. Cassia fistula- Sarakondrai
- 14. Cassia roxburghii- Sengondrai
- 15. Chloroxylonsweitenia Purasamaram
- 16. Cochlospermumreligiosum-Kongu, Manjalllavu

MBER SECRETA SEIAA-TN

17. Cordiadichotoma-Mookuchalimaram

18. Cretevaadansonii-Mavalingum

19. Dilleniaindica-Uva, Uzha

20. Dilleniapentagyna- SiruUva, Sitruzha

21. Diospyrosebenum- Karungali

22. Diospyroschloroxylon-Vaganai

23. Ficusamplissima-Kalltchi

24. Hibiscus tiliaceous-Aatrupoovarasu

25. Hardwickiabinata- Aacha

26. Holopteliaintegrifolia-Aayili

27. Lanneacoromandelica - Odhiam

28. Lagerstroemia speciosa - Poo Marudhu

29. Lepisanthustetraphylla- Neikottaimaram

30. Limoniaacidissima - Vila maram

31. Litseaglutinosa-Pisinpattai

32. Madhucalongifolia - Illuppai

33. Manilkarahexandra-UlakkaiPaalai

34. Mimusopselengi - Magizhamaram

35. Mitragynaparvifolia - Kadambu

36. Morindapubescens-Nuna

37. Morindacitrifolia- VellaiNuna

38. Phoenix sylvestre-Eachai

39. Pongamiapinnata-Pungam

40. Premnamollissima- Munnai

41. Premnaserratifolia- Narumunnai

42. Premnatomentosa-PurangaiNaari, PudangaNaari

43. Prosopiscinerea - Vannimaram

44. Pterocarpusmarsupium - Vengai

45. Pterospermumcanescens-Vennangu, Tada

46. Pterospermumxylocarpum - Polavu

47. Puthranjivaroxburghii Puthranjivi

BER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

- 48. Salvadorapersica-UgaaMaram
- 49. Sapindusemarginatus- Manipungan, Soapukai
- 50. Saracaasoca Asoca
- 51. Streblusasper- Pirayamaram
- 52. Strychnosnuxvomica-Yetti
- 53. Strychnospotatorum TherthangKottai
- 54. Syzygiumcumini Naval
- 55. Terminaliabellerica- Thandri
- 56. Terminalia arjuna- Venmarudhu
- 57. Toona ciliate Sandhanavembu
- 58. Thespesiapopulnea- Puvarasu
- 59. Walsuratrifoliata-valsura
- 60. Wrightiatinctoria- Vep

SEIAA Remarks: -

The subject was placed in 632nd Authority meeting held on 22.06.2023. The authority noted that the subject was appraised in 382nd SEAC meeting held on 09.06.2023.

Based on the presentation and documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC after detailed deliberations, decided to recommend the proposal for the grant of Terms of Reference (ToR).

After detailed discussions, the Authority accepts the recommendation of SEAC and decided to grant **Terms of Reference (ToR) along with Public Hearing** under cluster for undertaking the combined Environment Impact Assessment Study and preparation of separate Environment Management Plan subject to the conditions as recommended by SEAC & normal conditions in addition to the following conditions and the conditions mentioned in 'Annexure B' of this minute:

Annexure 'B'

Cluster Management Committee

- Cluster Management Committee shall be framed which must include all the proponents in the cluster as members including the existing as well as proposed quarry.
- The members must coordinate among themselves for the effective implementation of EMP as committed including Green Belt Development, Water sprinkling, tree plantation, blasting etc.,

ENBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

Page 9 of 23

Lr No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.9962/SEAC/ToR- 1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023 SEIAA-TN

- The List of members of the committee formed shall be submitted to AD/Mines before the execution of mining lease and the same shall be updated every year to the AD/Mines.
- 4. Detailed Operational Plan must be submitted which must include the blasting frequency with respect to the nearby quarry situated in the cluster, the usage of haul roads by the individual quarry in the form of route map and network.
- 5. The committee shall deliberate on risk management plan pertaining to the cluster in a holistic manner especially during natural calamities like intense rain and the mitigation measures considering the inundation of the cluster and evacuation plan.
- 6. The Cluster Management Committee shall form Environmental Policy to practice sustainable mining in a scientific and systematic manner in accordance with the law. The role played by the committee in implementing the environmental policy devised shall be given in detail.
- The committee shall furnish action plan regarding the restoration strategy with respect to the individual quarry falling under the cluster in a holistic manner.
- 8. The committee shall furnish the Emergency Management plan within the cluster.
- The committee shall deliberate on the health of the workers/staff involved in the mining as well as the health of the public.
- The committee shall furnish an action plan to achieve sustainable development goals with reference to water, sanitation & safety.
- 11. The committee shall furnish the fire safety and evacuation plan in the case of fire accidents.

Impact study of mining

- 12. Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued from reputed research institutions on the following
 - a) Soil health & soil biological, physical land chemical features.
 - b) Climate change leading to Droughts, Floods etc.

c) Pollution leading to release of Greenhouse gases (GHG), rise in Temperature, & Livelihood of the local people.

- d) Possibilities of water contamination and impact on aquatic ecosystem health.
- e) Agriculture, Forestry & Traditional practices.
- f) Hydrothermal/Geothermal effect due to destruction in the Environment.
- g) Bio-geochemical processes and its foot prints including environmental stress.

IBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

h) Sediment geochemistry in the surface streams.

Agriculture & Agro-Biodiversity

- 13. Impact on surrounding agricultural fields around the proposed mining Area.
- 14. Impact on soil flora & vegetation around the project site.
- 15. Details of type of vegetations including no. of trees & shrubs within the proposed mining area and. If so, transplantation of such vegetations all along the boundary of the proposed mining area shall committed mentioned in EMP.
- 16. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study the biodiversity, the natural ecosystem, the soil micro flora, fauna and soil seed banks and suggest measures to maintain the natural Ecosystem.
- Action should specifically suggest for sustainable management of the area and restoration of ecosystem for flow of goods and services.
- The project proponent shall study and furnish the impact of project on plantations in adjoining patta lands, Horticulture, Agriculture and livestock.

Forests

- The project proponent shall detailed study on impact of mining on Reserve forests free ranging wildlife.
- The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on forest, vegetation, endemic, vulnerable and endangered indigenous flora and fauna.
- The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on standing trees and the existing trees should be numbered and action suggested for protection.
- The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on protected areas, Reserve Forests, National Parks, Corridors and Wildlife pathways, near project site.

Water Environment

- 23. Hydro-geological study considering the contour map of the water table detailing the number of ground water pumping & open wells, and surface water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc. within 1 km (radius) so as to assess the impacts on the nearby waterbodies due to mining activity. Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided, covering the entire mine lease period.
- 24. Erosion Control measures.

MBER SECRETA SEIAA-

Page 11 of 23
- 25. Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area on the nearby Villages, Water-bodies/ Rivers, & any ecological fragile areas.
- The project proponent shall study impact on fish habitats and the food WEB/ food chain in the water body and Reservoir.
- The project proponent shall study and furnish the details on potential fragmentation impact on natural environment, by the activities.
- 28. The project proponent shall study and furnish the impact on aquatic plants and animals in water bodies and possible scars on the landscape, damages to nearby caves, heritage site, and archaeological sites possible land form changes visual and aesthetic impacts.
- 29. The Terms of Reference should specifically study impact on soil health, soil erosion, the soil physical, chemical components and microbial components.
- The Environmental Impact Assessment should study on wetlands, water bodies, rivers streams, lakes and farmer sites.

Energy

 The measures taken to control Noise, Air, Water, Dust Control and steps adopted to efficiently utilise the Energy shall be furnished.

Climate Change

- 32. The Environmental Impact Assessment shall study in detail the carbon emission and also suggest the measures to mitigate carbon emission including development of carbon sinks and temperature reduction including control of other emission and climate mitigation activities.
- 33. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on climate change, temperature rise, pollution and above soil & below soil carbon stock.

Mine Closure Plan

 Detailed Mine Closure Plan covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

EMP

- 35. Detailed Environment Management Plan along with adaptation, mitigation & remedial strategies covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.
- 36. The Environmental Impact Assessment should hold detailed study on EMP with budget for Green belt development and mine closure plan including disaster management plan.

MEABER SECRETARY

Risk Assessment

37. To furnish risk assessment and management plan including anticipated vulnerabilities during operational and post operational phases of Mining.

Disaster Management Plan

38. To furnish disaster management plan and disaster mitigation measures in regard to all aspects to avoid/reduce vulnerability to hazards & to cope with disaster/untoward accidents in & around the proposed mine lease area due to the proposed method of mining activity & its related activities covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

Others

- 39. The project proponent shall furnish VAO certificate with reference to 300m radius regard to approved habitations, schools, Archaeological sites, Structures, railway lines, roads, water bodies such as streams, odai, vaari, canal, channel, river, lake pond, tank etc.
- 40. As per the MoEF& CC office memorandum F.No.22-65/2017-1A.III dated: 30.09.2020 and 20.10.2020 the proponent shall address the concerns raised during the public consultation and all the activities proposed shall be part of the Environment Management Plan.
- 41. The project proponent shall study and furnish the possible pollution due to plastic and microplastic on the environment. The ecological risks and impacts of plastic & microplastics on aquatic environment and fresh water systems due to activities, contemplated during mining may be investigated and reported.

A. STANDARD TERMS OF REFERENCE

- Year-wise production details since 1994 should be given, clearly stating the highest production achieved in any one year prior to 1994. It may also be categorically informed whether there had been any increase in production after the EIA Notification 1994 came into force, w.r.t. the highest production achieved prior to 1994.
- A copy of the document in support of the fact that the Proponent is the rightful lessee of the mine should be given.
- 3) All documents including approved mine plan, EIA and Public Hearing should be compatible with one another in terms of the mine lease area, production levels, waste generation and its management, mining technology etc. and should be in the name of the lessee.
- All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High Resolution Imagery/ topo sheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology and geology of the area should be provided. Such an

MAMBER SECRETARY

Page 13 of 23

Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).

- 5) Information should be provided in Survey of India Topo sheet in 1:50,000 scale indicating geological map of the area, geomorphology of land forms of the area, existing minerals and mining history of the area, important water bodies, streams and rivers and soil characteristics.
- 6) Details about the land proposed for mining activities should be given with information as to whether mining conforms to the land use policy of the State; land diversion for mining should have approval from State land use board or the concerned authority.
- 7) It should be clearly stated whether the proponent Company has a well laid down Environment Policy approved by its Board of Directors? If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA Report with description of the prescribed operating process/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/deviation/violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions? The hierarchical system or administrative order of the Company to deal with the environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the EC conditions may also be given. The system of reporting of non-compliances / violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the Company and/or shareholders or stakeholders at large, may also be detailed in the EIA Report.
- 8) Issues relating to Mine Safety, including subsidence study in case of underground mining and slope study in case of open cast mining, blasting study etc. should be detailed. The proposed safeguard measures in each case should also be provided.
- 9) The study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the mine lease from lease periphery and the data contained in the EIA such as waste generation etc. should be for the life of the mine / lease period.
- 10) Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- Details of the land for any Over Burden Dumps outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be given.
- 12) Certificate from the Competent Authority in the State Forest Department should be provided.

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

confirming the involvement of forest land, if any, in the project area. In the event of any contrary claim by the Project Proponent regarding the status of forests, the site may be inspected by the State Forest Department along with the Regional Office of the Ministry to ascertain the status of forests, based on which, the Certificate in this regard as mentioned above be issued. In all such cases, it would be desirable for representative of the State Forest Department to assist the Expert Appraisal Committees.

- 13) Status of forestry clearance for the broken up area and virgin forestland involved in the Project including deposition of Net Present Value (NPV) and Compensatory Afforestation (CA) should be indicated. A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished.
- Implementation status of recognition of forest rights under the Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 should be indicated.
- 15) The vegetation in the RF / PF areas in the study area, with necessary details, should be given.
- 16) A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the Mining Project on wildlife of the study area and details furnished. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other protected area and accordingly, detailed mitigative measures required, should be worked out with cost implications and submitted.
- 17) Location of National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Wildlife Corridors, Ramsar site Tiger/ Elephant Reserves/(existing as well as proposed), if any, within 10 km of the mine lease should be clearly indicated, supported by a location map duly authenticated by Chief Wildlife Warden. Necessary clearance, as may be applicable to such projects due to proximity of the ecologically sensitive areas as mentioned above, should be obtained from the Standing Committee of National Board of Wildlife and copy furnished.
- 18) A detailed biological study of the study area [core zone and buffer zone (10 km radius of the periphery of the mine lease)] shall be carried out. Details of flora and fauna, endangered, endemic and RET Species duly authenticated, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished based on such primary field survey, clearly indicating the Schedule of the fauna present. In case of any scheduled-I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan along with budgetary provisions for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.
 19) Proximity to Army deal.
- 19) Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' or the Project areas likely to come under the

MBER SECRETA SEIAA-TN

Page 15 of 23

'Aravali Range', (attracting court restrictions for mining operations), should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the SPCB or State Mining Department should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.

- 20) Similarly, for Coastal Projects, a CRZ map duly authenticated by one of the authorized agencies demarcating LTL. HTL, CRZ area, location of the mine lease with respect to CRZ, coastal features such as mangroves, if any, should be furnished. (Note: The Mining Projects falling under CRZ would also need to obtain approval of the concerned Coastal Zone Management Authority).
- 21) R&R Plan/compensation details for the Project Affected People (PAP) should be furnished. While preparing the R&R Plan, the relevant State/National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs /STs and other weaker sections of the society in the study area, a need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirements, and action programmes prepared and submitted accordingly, integrating the sectoral programmes of line departments of the State Government. It may be clearly brought out whether the village(s) located in the mine lease area will be shifted or not. The issues relating to shifting of village(s) including their R&R and socio-economic aspects should be discussed in the Report.
- 22) One season (non-monsoon) [i.e., March-May (Summer Season); October-December (post monsoon season); December-February (winter season)] primary baseline data on ambient air quality as per CPCB Notification of 2009, water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna shall be collected and the AAQ and other data so compiled presented date-wise in the EIA and EMP Report. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre-dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the mine lease in the pre-dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given.
- 23) Air quality modeling should be carried out for prediction of impact of the project on the air quality of the area. It should also take into account the impact of movement of Vehicles for transportation of mineral. The details of the model used and input parameters used for modeling should be provided. The air quality contours may be shown on a location map clearly indicating

MASTBER SECRETARY

the location of the site, location of sensitive receptors, if any, and the habitation. The wind roses showing pre-dominant wind direction may also be indicated on the map.

- 24) The water requirement for the Project, its availability and source should be furnished. A detailed water balance should also be provided. Fresh water requirement for the Project should be indicated.
- 25) Necessary clearance from the Competent Authority for drawl of requisite quantity of water for the Project should be provided.
- 26) Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.
- 27) Impact of the Project on the water quality, both surface and groundwater, should be assessed and necessary safeguard measures, if any required, should be provided.
- 28) Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided. In case the working will intersect groundwater table, a detailed Hydro Geological Study should be undertaken and Report furnished. The Report inter-alia, shall include details of the aquifers present and impact of mining activities on these aquifers. Necessary permission from Central Ground Water Authority for working below ground water and for pumping of ground water should also be obtained and copy furnished.
- 29) Details of any stream, seasonal or otherwise, passing through the lease area and modification / diversion proposed, if any, and the impact of the same on the hydrology should be brought out.
- 30) Information on site elevation, working depth, groundwater table etc. Should be provided both in AMSL and bgl. A schematic diagram may also be provided for the same.
- 31) A time bound Progressive Greenbelt Development Plan shall be prepared in a tabular form (indicating the linear and quantitative coverage, plant species and time frame) and submitted, keeping in mind, the same will have to be executed up front on commencement of the Project. Phase-wise plan of plantation and compensatory afforestation should be charted clearly indicating the area to be covered under plantation and the species to be planted. The details of plantation already done should be given. The plant species selected for green belt should have greater ecological value and should be of good utility value to the local population with emphasis on local and native species and the species which are tolerant to pollution.
- 32) Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated. Projected increase



Page 17 of 23

in truck traffic as a result of the Project in the present road network (including those outside the Project area) should be worked out, indicating whether it is capable of handling the incremental load. Arrangement for improving the infrastructure, if contemplated (including action to be taken by other agencies such as State Government) should be covered. Project Proponent shall conduct Impact of Transportation study as per Indian Road Congress Guidelines.

- 33) Details of the onsite shelter and facilities to be provided to the mine workers should be included in the EIA Report.
- 34) Conceptual post mining land use and Reclamation and Restoration of mined out areas (with plans and with adequate number of sections) should be given in the EIA report.
- 35) Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.
- 36) Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 37) Measures of socio economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.
- 38) Detailed Environmental Management Plan (EMP) to mitigate the environmental impacts which, should inter-alia include the impacts of change of land use, loss of agricultural and grazing land, if any, occupational health impacts besides other impacts specific to the proposed Project.
- 39) Public Hearing points raised and commitment of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project.
- 40) Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 41) The cost of the Project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should be clearly spelt out.
- 42) A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report.

WESTBER SECRETARY

- 43) Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.
- 44) Besides the above, the below mentioned general points are also to be followed:
 - a) Executive Summary of the EIA/EMP Report
 - b) All documents to be properly referenced with index and continuous page numbering.
 - c) Where data are presented in the Report especially in Tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
 - d) Project Proponent shall enclose all the analysis/testing reports of water, air, soil, noise etc. using the MoEF&CC/NABL accredited laboratories. All the original analysis/testing reports should be available during appraisal of the Project.
 - e) Where the documents provided are in a language other than English, an English translation should be provided.
 - f) The Questionnaire for environmental appraisal of mining projects as devised earlier by the Ministry shall also be filled and submitted.
 - g) While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the Proponents and instructions for the Consultants issued by MoEF&CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA. II(I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry, should be followed.
 - h) Changes, if any made in the basic scope and project parameters (as submitted in Form-I and the PFR for securing the TOR) should be brought to the attention of MoEF&CC with reasons for such changes and permission should be sought, as the ToR may also have to be altered. Post Public Hearing changes in structure and content of the draft EIA/EMP (other than modifications arising out of the P.H. process) will entail conducting the PH again with the revised documentation.
 - As per the circular no. J-11011/618/2010-IA. II(I) dated 30.5.2012, certified report of the status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the Environment Clearance for the existing operations of the project, should be obtained from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, as may be applicable.
 - j) The EIA report should also include (i) surface plan of the area indicating contours of main topographic features, drainage and mining area, (ii) geological maps and sections and (iii) sections of the mine pit and external dumps, if any, clearly showing the land features of the adjoining area.

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

Page 19 of 23

In addition to the above, the following shall be furnished: -

The Executive summary of the EIA/EMP report in about 8-10 pages should be prepared incorporating the information on following points:

- 1. Project name and location (Village, District, State, Industrial Estate (if applicable).
- Process description in brief, specifically indicating the gaseous emission, fiquid effluent and solid and hazardous wastes.
- 3. Measures for mitigating the impact on the environment and mode of discharge or disposal.
- 4. Capital cost of the project, estimated time of completion.
- The proponent shall furnish the contour map of the water table detailing the number of wells located around the site and impacts on the wells due to mining activity.
- 6. A detailed study of the lithology of the mining lease area shall be furnished.
- 7. Details of village map, "A" register and FMB sketch shall be furnished.
- Detailed mining closure plan for the proposed project approved by the Geology of Mining department shall be shall be submitted along with EIA report.
- 9. Obtain a letter /certificate from the Assistant Director of Geology and Mining standing that there is no other Minerals/resources like sand in the quarrying area within the approved depth of mining and below depth of mining and the same shall be furnished in the EIA report.
- EIA report should strictly follow the Environmental Impact Assessment Guidance Manual for Mining of Minerals published February 2010.
- Detail plan on rehabilitation and reclamation carried out for the stabilization and restoration of the mined areas.
- 12. The EIA study report shall include the surrounding mining activity, if any.
- 13. Modeling study for Air, Water and noise shall be carried out in this field and incremental increase in the above study shall be substantiated with mitigation measures.
- 14. A study on the geological resources available shall be carried out and reported.
- 15. A specific study on agriculture & livelihood shall be carried out and reported.
- 16. Impact of soil erosion, soil physical chemical and biological property changes may be assumed.
- 17. Site selected for the project Nature of land Agricultural (single/double crop), barren, Govt/ private land, status of is acquisition, nearby (in 2-3 km.) water body, population, with in 10km other industries, forest, eco-sensitive zones, accessibility, (note - in case of industrial estate this information may not be necessary)

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

- 18. Baseline environmental data air quality, surface and ground water quality, soil characteristic, flora and fauna, socio-economic condition of the nearby population
- Identification of hazards in handling, processing and storage of hazardous material and safety system provided to mitigate the risk.
- 20. Likely impact of the project on air, water, land, flora-fauna and nearby population
- 21. Emergency preparedness plan in case of natural or in plant emergencies
- 22. Issues raised during public hearing (if applicable) and response given
- 23. CER plan with proposed expenditure.
- 24. Occupational Health Measures
- 25. Post project monitoring plan
- The project proponent shall carry out detailed hydro geological study through intuitions/NABET Accredited agencies.
- 27. A detailed report on the green belt development already undertaken is to be furnished and also submit the proposal for green belt activities.
- 28. The proponent shall propose the suitable control measure to control the fugitive emissions during the operations of the mines.
- 29. A specific study should include impact on flora & fauna, disturbance to migratory pattern of animals.
- 30. Reserve funds should be earmarked for proper closure plan.
- 31. A detailed plan on plastic waste management shall be furnished. Further, the proponent should strictly comply with. Tamil Nadu Government Order (Ms) No.84 Environment and forests (EC.2) Department dated 25.06.2018 regarding ban on one time use and throw away plastics irrespective of thickness with effect from 01.01.2019 under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. In this connection, the project proponent has to furnish the action plan.

Besides the above, the below mentioned general points should also be followed: -

- a. A note confirming compliance of the TOR, with cross referencing of the relevant sections / pages of the EIA report should be provided.
- All documents may be properly referenced with index, page numbers and continuous page numbering.
- c. Where data are presented in the report especially in tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.

MEMBER SECRE SEIAA-TN

Page 21 of 23

- d. While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the proponents and instructions for the consultants issued by MoEF& CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA. II (I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry should also be followed.
- e. The consultants involved in the preparation of EIA/EMP report after accreditation with Quality Council of India (QCI)/National Accreditation Board of Education and Training (NABET) would need to include a certificate in this regard in the EIA/EMP reports prepared by them and data provided by other organization/Laboratories including their status of approvals etc. In this regard circular no F. No.J -11013/77/2004-IA-II(I) dated 2nd December, 2009, 18th March 2010, 28th May 2010, 28th June 2010, 31st December 2010 & 30th September 2011 posted on the Ministry's website http://www.moef.nic.in/ may be referred.
 - After preparing the EIA (as per the generic structure prescribed in Appendix-III of the EIA Notification, 2006) covering the above mentioned points, the proponent will take further necessary action for obtaining environmental clearance in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification, 2006.
 - The final EIA report shall be submitted to the SEIAA, Tamil Nadu for obtaining Environmental Clearance.
 - The TORs with public hearing prescribed shall be <u>valid for a period of three years</u> from the date of issue, for submission of the EIA/EMP report as per OMNo.J-11013/41/2006-IA-II(I)(part) dated 29th August, 2017.

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

Copy to:

- The Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Environment & Forests Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai - 9
- The Chairman, Central Pollution Control Board, Parivesh Bhavan, CBD Cum-Office Complex, East Arjun Nagar, New Delhi 110032.
- The Member Secretary, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, 76, Mount Salai, Guindy, Chennai-600 032.

- The APCCF (C), Regional Office, MoEF& CC (SZ), 34, HEPC Building, 1st & 2nd Floor, Cathedral Garden Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai -34.
- Monitoring Cell, 1A Division, Ministry of Environment, Forests & CC, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi 110003
- 6. The District Collector, Krishnagiri District.
- 7. Stock File.

Page 23 of 23

COMPLIANCE OF TOR CONDITIONS

Point wise compliance of ToR points issued by SEIAA, TN vide SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9962/ToR-1486/2023 Dated: 22.06.2023 for Mining of Minor Minerals in the Mine of Proposed Rough stone and Gravel Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86.50 Ha at S.F.No.79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State.

STANDARD TERMS OF REFERENCE

| Description | Descence | Page Ref. in |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Description | Kesponse | EIA Report |
| Year-wise production details since | This is a fresh mining project of | |
| 1994 should be given, clearly | Proposed Rough stone and Gravel | Chapter-2 |
| stating the highest production | quarry. | |
| achieved in any one year prior to | | Table No.2.2 |
| 1994. It may also be categorically | Precise Area Communication Letter | Page No.34 |
| informed whether there had been | received from Deputy Director, Dept. | |
| any increase in production after | of Geology & Mining, Krishnagiri | |
| the EIA Notification, 1994 came | vide letter Rc.No.646/2021 Mines | |
| into force w.r.t. the highest | Dated 31.01.2023. | |
| production achieved prior to 1994. | Mining Plan was approved by the | |
| | Deputy Director, Dept. of Geology & | |
| | Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter | |
| | Rc.No.646/2021 Mines Dated | |
| | 17.02.2023. | |
| | As area is being exploited for the first | |
| | time hence Year-wise production | |
| | details since 1994 and before 1994 are | |
| | not relevant or applicable. | |
| | Proposed Production of Rough Stone | |
| | for five years is proposed in the | |
| | EIA/EMP in chapter no-2. | |
| | Description Year-wise production details since 1994 should be given, clearly stating the highest production achieved in any one year prior to 1994. It may also be categorically informed whether there had been any increase in production after the EIA Notification, 1994 came into force w.r.t. the highest production achieved prior to 1994. | DescriptionResponseYear-wise production details sine 1994 should be given, clearel atating the highest production achieved in any one year prior 1994. It may also be categoricati any increase in production after the EIA Notification, 1994 ca into force w.r.t the highest production achieved prior to 1994. Har Agnetication 1994 ca the EIA Notification, 1994 ca the Agnetication 2003. Distribution the EIA Notification 2004. Distribution 2004. |

| e area of 1.86.50 hectare Anne Ili Village for Rough III ivel quarry approved by for, Geology & Mining, vide letter 21 Mines Dated uments i.e., Mining Anne and public hearing are Ch th each other in terms of II oduction levels, waste d its management and cology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
|--|--|
| Ili Village for Rough III vel quarry approved by for, Geology & Mining, vide letter 21 Mines Dated uments i.e., Mining Anne and public hearing are th each other in terms of boduction levels, waste d its management and bology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
| avel quarry approved by for, Geology & Mining, vide letter 21 Mines Dated uments i.e., Mining Annu- and public hearing are th each other in terms of oduction levels, waste d its management and cology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
| tor, Geology & Mining, vide letter 21 Mines Dated uments i.e., Mining Annu- and public hearing are th each other in terms of oduction levels, waste d its management and ology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
| vide letter 21 Mines Dated a ments i.e., Mining Annu- and public hearing are th each other in terms of oduction levels, waste d its management and ology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
| 21 Mines Dated uments i.e., Mining Annu- and public hearing are Ch th each other in terms of II oduction levels, waste d its management and ology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
| and public hearing are Chean depublic hearing are Chean depublic hearing are Chean depublic hearing are Chean depublic hear depu | exure-VI hapter- |
| and public hearing are Ch and public hearing are Ch th each other in terms of II oduction levels, waste d its management and cology are compatible | exure-VI hapter- |
| and public hearing are Ch th each other in terms of II oduction levels, waste d its management and cology are compatible | hapter- |
| th each other in terms of II oduction levels, waste d its management and ology are compatible | |
| oduction levels, waste d its management and ology are compatible | |
| d its management and ology are compatible | |
| ology are compatible | |
| | |
| ner. | |
| plan of the project site | |
| mitted to The Deputy | |
| . of Geology & Mining, | |
| strict | |
| ordinates of all corners Cha | pter-2, |
| mining lease area have Fign | no. 2.2 |
| rated in mining plan and | |
| EIA/ EMP Report. Page | e. no. 38 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ttached in Chapter-2 Cha | pter-2, |
| Fig | no. 2.4 |
| | |
| Page | e. no. 40 |
| | ttached in Chapter-2 Cha rier. plan of the project site mitted to The Deputy . of Geology & Mining, strict ordinates of all corners Cha Fig: rated in mining plan and EIA/ EMP Report. Page ttached in Chapter-2 Cha Fig: Page |

| | bodies, streams and rivers and soil | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | characteristics | | | | | | |
| <i>5</i> . | Details about the land proposed for | Details a | about the | land pr | opose | d for | |
| | mining activities should be given | mining | activities | should | be | given | Chapter-2 |
| | with information as to whether | Chapter 2 | 2. | | | | Page 42 |
| | conforms to the land use policy of | | | | | | - |
| | the state; land diversion for mining | | | | | | |
| | should have approval from State | | | | | | |
| | land use board or the concerned | | | | | | |
| | authority | | | | | | |
| 7 | It should be clearly stated whether | Noted. | | | | | |
| | the proponent company has a well | | | | | | |
| | laid down Environment Policy | | | | | | |
| | approved by its Board of Directors? | | | | | | |
| | If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA | | | | | | |
| | report with description of the | | | | | | |
| | prescribed operating | | | | | | |
| | process/procedures to bring into | | | | | | |
| | focus any infringement/deviation/ | | | | | | |
| | violation of the environmental or | | | | | | |
| | forest norms/ conditions? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | The hierarchical system | | | | | | |
| | or administrative order of the | | | | | | |
| | Company to deal with the | | | | | | |
| | environmental issues and for | | | | | | |
| | ensuring compliance with the EC | | | | | | |
| | conditions may also be given. The | | | | | | |
| | system of reporting of non- | | | | | | |
| | compliances / violations of | | | | | | |
| | environmental norms to the Board | | | | | | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86.50 Ha | | | |
|----|---|---|-------------|--|
| | of Directors of the Company | | | |
| | and/or shareholders or | | | |
| | stakeholders at large may also be | | | |
| | detailed in the EIA report. | | | |
| 8 | Issues relating to Mine | It is an open cast mining project. | Chapter-2, | |
| | Safety, including subsidence study | Blasting details are incorporated in | | |
| | in case of underground mining | chapter 2 | Page no.52 | |
| | and slope study in case of open | | | |
| | cast mining, blasting study etc. | | | |
| | should be detailed. The proposed | | | |
| | safeguard measures in each case | | | |
| | should also be provided. | | | |
| 9 | The study area will comprise of | Study area comprises of 10 km radius | Chapter-2 | |
| | 10 km zone around the mine lease | from the mine lease boundary. Key | | |
| | from lease periphery and the data | Plan showing core zone (ML area). | Fig no. 2.5 | |
| | contained in the EIA such as | | | |
| | waste generation etc should be for | | Page no.41 | |
| | the life of the mine / lease period. | | | |
| 10 | Land use of the study | Land Use of the study area | Chapter-2, | |
| | area delineating forest area, | delineating forest area, agricultural | Table no. | |
| | agricultural land, grazing land, | land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, | 2.4 | |
| | wildlife sanctuary, national park, | National park, migratory routes of | Page no.42 | |
| | migratory routes of fauna, water | fauna, water bodies, human | | |
| | bodies, human settlements and | settlements and other ecological | | |
| | other ecological features should be | features has been prepared and | | |
| | indicated. | incorporated in Chapter-2 of EIA/ | | |
| | Land use plan of the mine lease | EMP Report. | | |
| | area should be prepared to | | | |
| | encompass preoperational, | There is no wildlife sanctuary and | | |
| | operational and post operational | national park, migratory routes of | | |
| | phases and submitted. Impact, if | fauna in the study area. The nearest | | |

| | any, of change of land use | wildlife sanctuary is Cauvery North | |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | should be given. | Wildlife Sanctuary located 25.35 km - | |
| | | S | |
| 11 | Details of the land for any Over | Gravel formation will be removed and | Chapter-2, |
| | Burden Dumps outside the mine | transported to the needy end user, | |
| | lease, such as extent of land area, | only after obtaining permission and | Page no.51 |
| | distance from mine lease, its land | paying necessary seigniorage fees to | |
| | use, R&R issues, if any, should be | the Government. | |
| | given. | | |
| 12 | A Certificate from the Competent | Complied. | |
| | Authority in the State Forest | The proposed mining lease area is not | |
| | Department should be provided, | falling under forest land. | |
| | confirming the involvement of | | |
| | forest land, if any, in the project | | |
| | area. | | |
| | In the event of any contrary claim | | |
| | by the Project Proponent regarding | | |
| | the status of forests, the site may be | | |
| | inspected by the State Forest | | |
| | Department along with the | | |
| | Regional Office of the Ministry to | | |
| | ascertain the status of forests, | | |
| | based on which, the Certificate in | | |
| | this regard as mentioned above be | | |
| | issued. In all such cases, it would | | |
| | be desirable for representative of | | |
| | the State Forest Department to | | |
| | assist the Expert Appraisal | | |
| | Committees. | | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86 | 6.50 Ha |
|----|--|--|------------------------|
| 13 | Status of forestry clearance for the broken-up area and virgin forestland involved in the Project including deposition of net present value (NPV) and compensatory afforestation (CA) should be indicated. A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished. | The proposed mining lease area is not falling under forest land. | |
| 14 | ImplementationstatusofrecognitionofforestrightsundertheScheduledTribesandotherTraditionalForestDwellers(Recognition of Forest Rights)Act,2006should be indicated. | Not Applicable. There is no involvement of forest land in the project area. | |
| 15 | The vegetation in the RF / PF areas in the study area, with necessary details, should be given. | Details of flora have been discussed in Chapter-3 of the EIA/EMP Report. | Chapter-3 Pg No. 95 |
| 16 | A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the Mining Project on wildlife of the study area and details furnished. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other protected area and accordingly detailed mitigative measures required, should be worked out with cost implications and submitted. | There is a relatively poor sighting of animals in the core and buffer areas of the mining lease. No significant impact is anticipated | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.8 | 6.50 Ha |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| 17 | Location of National Parks, | There is two wildlife sanctuaries | |
| | Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, | named Cauvery North Wildlife | |
| | Wildlife Corridors, Tiger/Elephant | Sanctuary and Cauvery South | Executive |
| | Reserves/ (existing as well as | Wildlife Sanctuary located at a | Summary |
| | proposed), if any, within 10km of | distance of 25.35 kms, S and 47.26 | |
| | the mine lease should be clearly | kms, S from the project site. | Daga Nov 10 |
| | indicated, supported by a location | , <u>1</u> 5 | Page No. 10 |
| | map duly authenticated by Chief | | |
| | Wildlife Warden. Necessary | | |
| | clearance, as may be applicable to | | |
| | such projects due to proximity of | | |
| | the ecologically sensitive areas as | | |
| | mentioned above, should be | | |
| | obtained from the State Wildlife | | |
| | Department/Chief Wildlife | | |
| | Warden under the Wildlife | | |
| | (Protection) Act, 1972 and copy | | |
| | furnished. | | |
| 18 | A detailed biological study of the | Details biological study (flora & | |
| | study area [core zone and buffer | fauna) within 10 km radius of the | |
| | zone (10 km radius of the | project site have been incorporated in | |
| | periphery of the mine lease)] shall | Chapter-3 of EIA/ EMP Report. | |
| | be carried out. Details of flora and | | Chapter – 3 |
| | fauna, duly authenticated, | No flora & fauna listed in scheduled I | Pg No. 95 |
| | separately for core and buffer zone | have been found in study area so | |
| | should be furnished based on such | there is no need of conservation plan. | |
| | primary field survey, clearly | However, all care will be taken for | |
| | indicating the Schedule of the | protection of flora & fauna, if any in | |
| | fauna present. In case of any | the lease hold area. | |
| | scheduled-I fauna found in the | | |
| | study area, the necessary plan for | | |
| | their conservation should be | | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86.50 Ha |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| | prepared in consultation with State | |
| | Forest and Wildlife Department | |
| | and details furnished. Necessary | |
| | allocation of funds for | |
| | implementing the same should be | |
| | made as part of the project cost. | |
| 19 | Proximity to Areas declared | The proposed mining lease area is not |
| | as 'Critically Polluted' or the | falling under critically polluted area. |
| | Project areas likely to come under | |
| | the 'Aravali Range', (attracting | |
| | court restrictions for mining | |
| | operations), should also be | |
| | indicated and where so required, | |
| | clearance certifications from the | |
| | prescribed Authorities, such as the | |
| | SPCB or State Mining Dept. | |
| | Should be secured and furnished to | |
| | the effect that the proposed mining | |
| | activities could be considered. | |
| 20 | Similarly, for coastal projects, A | There is no Coastal Zone within 15km |
| | CRZ map duly authenticated by | radius of the project site. |
| | one of the authorized agencies | |
| | Similarly, for coastal projects, A | |
| | CRZ map duly authenticated by | |
| | one of the authorized agencies | |
| | demarcating LTL, HTL, CRZ area, | |
| | location of the mine lease w.r.t | |
| | CRZ, coastal features such as | |
| | mangroves, if any, should be | |
| | furnished. (Note: The Mining | |
| | Projects falling under CRZ would | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.80 | 6.50 Ha |
|----|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| | also need to obtain approval of the | | |
| | concerned Coastal Zone | | |
| | Management Authority) | | |
| 21 | R&R Plan/compensation details | There is no Rehabilitation and | |
| | for the Project Affected People | resettlement is involved. Land | |
| | (PAP) should be furnished. While | classified as Patta land (Consent | |
| | preparing the R&R Plan, the | registered). | |
| | relevant State/National | | |
| | Rehabilitation & Resettlement | | |
| | Policy should be kept in view. In | | |
| | respect of SCs /STs and other | | |
| | weaker sections of the society in | | |
| | the study area, a need based | | |
| | sample survey, family wise, should | | |
| | be undertaken to assess their | | |
| | requirements, and action | | |
| | programmes prepared and | | |
| | submitted accordingly, integrating | | |
| | the sectoral programmes of line | | |
| | departments of the State | | |
| | Government. It may be clearly | | |
| | brought out whether the village | | |
| | located in the mine lease area will | | |
| | be shifted or not. The issues | | |
| | relating to shifting of Village | | |
| | including their R&R and socio- | | |
| | economic aspects should be | | |
| | discussed in the report. | | |

| | | | I |
|----|--|---|-------------|
| 22 | One season (non-monsoon) and (Summer Season), (Post monsoon) primary baseline data on ambient air quality CPCB Notification of 2009 water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna shall be collected and the AAQ and other data so compiled presented date-wise in the EIA and EMP Report. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre- dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within | Baseline data collected during Pre monsoon season (June to August 2023) has been incorporated in EIA/EMP report. The key plan of monitoring station has been discussed in Chapter-3 Locations of the monitoring stations have been selected keeping in view the pre- dominant downwind direction and location of the sensitive receptors and also that they represent whole of the study area. | Chapter 3 |
| | Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre- dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500m of the mine lease in the pre- dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given. | direction and location of the sensitive receptors and also that they represent whole of the study area. | |
| 23 | Air quality modelling should | Air quality modelling & Impact of | Chapter-4 |
| | be carried out for prediction of | Air quality will be furnished in Final | - |
| | impact of the project on the air | EIA report | |
| | quality of the area. It should also | | |
| | take into account the impact of | Transportation of mineral during | Page No.107 |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86 | 6.50 Ha |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| | movement of vehicles for | operation of mines will be done by | |
| | transportation of mineral. The | road & Venkatesapuram village road | |
| | details of the model used and | through dumpers and the impact of | |
| | input parameters used for | movement of vehicles are | |
| | modelling should be provided. | incorporated in EIA/EMP report. | |
| | | | |
| | The air quality contours may be | Air quality modelling & Impact of | |
| l | shown on a location map clearly | Air quality will be furnished in Final | |
| l | indicating the location of the site, | EIA report | |
| | location of sensitive receptors, if | | |
| | any, and the habitation. The wind | | |
| | roses showing predominant wind | | |
| | direction may also be indicated | | |
| | on the map. | | |
| 24 | The water requirement for the | Total water requirement: 2.0 KLD | Chapter-2 |
| | Project, its availability and source | Dust Suppression: 0.5 KLD | |
| | should be furnished. A detailed | Domestic Purpose: 1 KLD | |
| | water balance should also be | Plantation :0.5 KLD | |
| | provided. Fresh water requirement | Domestic Water will be sourced | Page no. |
| | for the Project should be indicated. | from nearby village Midithepalli | 54 |
| | | which is about 1.70 Km - NE of the | |
| | | area. | |
| 25 | Necessary clearance from | Not Applicable | |
| 1 | the Competent Authority for | Water will be taken from nearby | |
| | drawl of requisite quantity of | villages | |
| | water for the Project should be | | |
| | provided. | | |
| 26 | Description of water conservation | At the last stage of mining operation, | |
| | measures proposed to be adopted in | almost complete area will be worked to | |
| | the Project should be given. Details | restore the land to its optimum | |
| | of rainwater harvesting proposed in | reclamation for future use as water | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86.50 Ha | | | |
|----|---|--|---------------|--|
| | the Project, if any, should be | reservoir. | | |
| | provided. | | | |
| 27 | Impact of the project on the | Impact of the project on the water | Chapter-4 | |
| | water quality, both surface and | quality & its mitigation measures has | Page No.112 | |
| | groundwater should be assessed | been incorporated in Chapter-4 of | | |
| | and necessary safeguard | EIA/EMP report. | | |
| | measures, if any required, | | | |
| | should be provided. | | | |
| 28 | Based on actual monitored data, it | Maximum working depth: 39 m (2m | Chapter-2 | |
| | may clearly be shown whether | Gravel + 37 m Rough stone) | | |
| | working will intersect | | | |
| | groundwater. Necessary data and | The ground water table is reported as | Page no. 34 | |
| | documentation in this regard may | 66 m below surface ground level in | Table No. 2.2 | |
| | be provided. In case the working | nearby wells of this area. Now, the | | |
| | will intersect groundwater table, a | present quarry shall be proposed above | | |
| | detailed Hydro Geological Study | the water table and hence, quarrying | | |
| | should be undertaken and Report | may not affect the ground water So | | |
| | furnished. Necessary permission | mine working will not be intersecting | | |
| | from Central Ground Water | the ground water table. | | |
| | Authority for working below | | | |
| | ground water and for pumping of | | | |
| | ground water should also be | | | |
| | obtained and copy furnished. | | | |
| 29 | Details of any stream, seasonal or | There is no any stream crossing in | Executive | |
| | otherwise, passing through the lease | the proposed quarry | Summary | |
| | area and modification / diversion | | | |
| | proposed, if any, and the impact | | | |
| | of the same on the | | | |
| | hydrology should be brought out. | | | |

| 20 | | | <u>Ola and an 0</u> |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 30 | Information on site | The altitude of the area is 869m above | Chapter-2 |
| | elevation, working depth, | MSL. Depth: 39 m (2.0m Gravel & | Table no. 2.2 |
| | groundwater table etc. Should be | 37.0m Rough stone) | Page no. 34 |
| | provided both in AMSL and | | |
| | BGL. A schematic diagram may | | |
| | also be provided for the same. | | |
| 31 | A time bound | Green Belt Development plan is | Chapter-2 |
| | Progressive Greenbelt Development | proved given in Chapter 2. | |
| | Plan shall be prepared in a tabular | | |
| | form (indicating the linear and | | |
| | quantitative coverage, plant species | | |
| | and time frame) and submitted, | | |
| | keeping in mind, the same will have | | |
| | to be executed up front on | | |
| | commencement of the project. | | |
| | Phase-wise plan of plantation and | | |
| | compensatory afforestation should | | |
| | be charted clearly indicating the | | |
| | area to be covered under plantation | | |
| | and the species to be planted. The | | |
| | plant species selected for green belt | | |
| | should have greater ecological | | |
| | value and should be of good utility | | |
| | value to the local population with | | |
| | emphasis on local and native | | |
| | species and the species which are | | |
| | tolerant pollution | | |
| 32 | Impact on local transport | Impact on local transport | Chapter-3 |
| | infrastructure due to the Project | infrastructure due to the project has | - |
| | should be indicated. Projected | been assessed. There shall not be much | |
| | increase in truck traffic as a result | impact on local transport. Traffic | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86 | 6.50 Ha |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|
| | of the Project in the present road | density from the proposed mining | Page No.107 |
| | network (including those outside | activity has been incorporated in | |
| | the Project area) should be worked | EIA/EMP report. | |
| | out, indicating whether it is | | |
| | capable of handling the | | |
| | incremental load. Arrangement for | | |
| | improving the infrastructure, if | | |
| | contemplated (including action to | | |
| | be taken by other agencies such as | | |
| | State Government) should be | | |
| | covered. Project proponent shall | | |
| | conduct impact of Transportation | | |
| | study as per Indian Road Congress | | |
| | Guidelines | | |
| 33 | Details of the onsite shelter and | Adequate infrastructure & other | Chapter-2 |
| | facilities to be provided to the mine | facilities shall be provided to the mine | |
| | workers should be included in the | workers. | |
| | EIA report. | Details are given in chapter-2 of | |
| | | EIA/EMP | |
| 34 | Conceptual post mining land use | Conceptual post mining land use and | Mining plates |
| | and Reclamation and Restoration | Reclamation and restoration sectional | Annexure VI |
| | of mined out areas (with plans and | plates are given in Mining Plan | |
| | with adequate number of sections) | followed by Scheme of mining. | |
| | should be given in the EIA report. | | |
| 35 | Occupational Health impacts of the | Suitable measure will be adopted to | Chapter-10 |
| | Project should be anticipated and | minimize occupational health impacts | Pg No. 148 |
| | the proposed preventive measures | of the project. The project shall have | - |
| | spelt out in detail. Details of pre- | positive impact on local environment. | |
| | placement medical examination | Details are given in chapter-10 of | |
| | and periodical medical examination | EIA/EMP. | |
| | schedules should be incorporated in | | |
| | | | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.80 | 6.50 Ha |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | the EMP. The project in the mining | | |
| | area may be detailed | | |
| 36 | Public health implications of the | Suitable measure will be adopted to | Chapter-10 |
| | Project and related activities for the | minimize occupational health impacts | |
| | population in the impact zone | of the project. | Pg No. 149 |
| | should be systematically evaluated | | - |
| | and the proposed remedial | | |
| | measures should be detailed along | | |
| | with budgetary allocations. | | |
| 37 | Measures of socio-economic | Suitable measures has been | Chapter-4 |
| | significance and influence to the | discussed in Chapter 4 | |
| | local community proposed to be | | Pg No. 121 |
| | provided by the Project Proponent | | - |
| | should be indicated. As far as | | |
| | possible, quantitative dimensions | | |
| | may be given with time frames for | | |
| | implementation. | | |
| 38 | Detailed environmental | Environment Management Plan has | Chapter-9 |
| | management plan to mitigate the | been described in detail in Chapter-9 | Pg No. 144 |
| | environmental impacts which, | of the EIA/EMP Report. | |
| | should inter-alia include the | | |
| | impacts of change of land use, loss | | |
| | of agricultural and grazing land, if | | |
| | any, occupational health impacts | | |
| | besides other impacts specific to the | | |
| | proposed Project. | | |
| 39 | Public hearing points raised and | Public Hearing proceedings will be | |
| | commitment of the project | furnished in Final EIA report | |
| | proponent on the same along with | | |
| | time bound action plan to | | |
| | implement the same should be | | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone | e Quarry Over | an Extent of 1.8 | 86.50 Ha |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| | provided and incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project. | | | | |
| 40 | Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the project should be given. | Not a No. 2 projec | applicable litigation is per ct in any court. | nding against the | |
| 41 | The cost of the project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should clearly be spelt out. | S. No 1 2 3 | DescriptionFixed AssetCostOperationalCostTotalEMP Cost(10 Years) | Cost 21,00,000/- 30,00,000 /- 51,00,000/- 1,32,48,533/- | Chapter-8 Pg No. 138 |
| 42 | A Disaster Management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report. | Disas Asses in Ch | ater Manageme ssment has been apter-7 | nt and Risk incorporated | Chapter-7 Pg No. 135 |
| 43 | Benefits of the project if the project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the project shall clearly indicate environmental, social economic, employment potential etc. | Benef | fits of the porated | project has | Chapter-8 Pg No. 138 |
| 44 | Besides the above, the below mentioned general points are also to be followed: | | | | |

| (a) | Executive Summary of the | Complied | Executive |
|-----|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| | EIA/EMP report | | Summary |
| | | | of EIA |
| | | | Report is |
| | | | given from |
| | | | page |
| | | | No.10-25 |
| (b) | All documents to be properly | Complied | |
| | referenced with index and | | |
| | continuous page numbering. | | |
| (c) | Where data are presented in the | Complied | |
| | report especially in tables, the | | |
| | period in which the data were | | |
| | collected and the sources should be | | |
| | indicated. | | |
| (d) | Project Proponent shall enclose all | Complied | |
| | the analysis/testing reports of | | |
| | water, air, soil, noise etc. using the | | |
| | MoEF & CC NABL accredited | | |
| | laboratories. All the original | | |
| | analysis/testing reports should be | | |
| | available during appraisal of the | | |
| | project. | | |
| (e) | Where the documents provided are | Complied | |
| | in a language other than English, | | |
| | an English translation should be | | |
| | provided. | | |
| (f) | The Questionnaire for | The complete questionnaire has | |
| | environmental appraisal of mining | been prepared | |
| | projects as devised earlier by the | | |
| | Ministry shall also be filled and | | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86.50 Ha |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|
| | submitted. | |
| (g) | While preparing the EIA report, | The EIA report has been |
| | the instructions for the | prepared and complying with the |
| | proponents and instructions for the | circular issued by MoEF vide O.M. |
| | consultants issued by MoEF vide | No. J-11013/41/2006-IA. II(I) dated |
| | O.M. No. J- | 4th August 2009. |
| | 11013/41/2006-IA. II(I) dated4th | |
| | August 2009, which are available | |
| | on the website of this Ministry, | |
| | should also be followed. | |
| (h) | Changes, if any made in the basic | There are no changes in prepared EIA |
| | scope and project parameters (as | as per submitted Form-1 & PFR |
| | submitted in Form-I and the PFR | |
| | for securing the TOR) should be | |
| | brought to the attention of MoEF | |
| | with reasons for such changes and | |
| | permission should be sought, as | |
| | the TOR may also have to be | |
| | altered. Post Public Hearing | |
| | changes in structure and content of | |
| | the draft EIA/EMP (other than | |
| | modifications arising out of the | |
| | P.H. process) will entail | |
| | conducting the PH again with the | |
| | revised documentation | |
| (i) | As per the circular no. J- | Will be complied after grant |
| | 11011/618/2010-IA. II(I) dated | environment clearance from SEIAA, |
| | 30.5.2012, report on the | Tamilnadu |
| | status of compliance of the | |
| | conditions stipulated in the | |
| | environment clearance for the | |

| | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough | Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86 | 6.50 Ha |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|---------|
| | existing operations of the project by | | |
| | the Regional Office of Ministry of | | |
| | Environment & Forests, if | | |
| | applicable. | | |
| (j) | The EIA report should also include | | |
| | (i) surface plan of the area | | |
| | indicating contours of main | All Sectional Plates of Quarry is | |
| | topographic features, drainage and | enclosed in Mining Plan. | |
| | mining area, (ii) geological maps | | |
| | and sections (iii) sections of mine pit | | |
| | and external dumps, if any clearly | | |
| | showing the features of the | | |
| | adjoining area. | | |

Additional ToR Compliance - SEAC

| S.No. | Condition | Compliance |
|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | The Proponent is requested to submit valid | Noted and agreed to comply. |
| | registered lease document during the EIA | |
| | appraisal after the previous lease granted for the | |
| | mining operations is legally surrendered (or) | |
| | lapsed with the consent of the competent | |
| | authority. | |
| 2. | The proponent is requested to carry out a survey | |
| | and enumerate on the structures located within | |
| | 100 m, 200 m & 300 m from the boundary of the | |
| | mine lease area. | |
| 3. | The proponent shall furnish the photographs of | Complied. |
| | adequate fencing, green belt along the periphery | The photographs of fencing and green |
| | including the replantation of existing trees and | belt attached as per SEAC |
| | safety distance between the adjacent quarries & | recommendation. |
| | water bodies nearby provided as per the approved | |
| | mining plan. | |
| 4. | The PP shall conduct the hydro-geological study | Hydro geological study report will be |
| | considering the contour map of the water table | submitted along final EIA report. |
| | detailing the number of ground water pumping & | |
| | open wells, and surface Water bodies such as | |
| | rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc., within 1km | |
| | (radius) along with the collected water level data | |
| | for both monsoon and non-monsoon seasons from | |
| | the PWD/TWAD so as to assess the impacts on | |
| | the wells due to mining activity. Based on actual | |
| | monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether | |
| | working will intersect groundwater. Necessary | |

| | data and documentation in this regard may be | |
|----|--|---|
| | provided. | |
| 5. | The proponent shall submit the "Blast Design | The NONEL method is the safest way |
| | Parameters for controlling the vibration and | of carrying out blasting operation. |
| | flyrock from the quarry blasting" considering the | Nonel initiation provides reasonably |
| | existence of sensitive structures including | good solution to fly rock problem. |
| | habitations within 1km from the lease boundary. | Also, Noise level reduced significantly |
| | | by NONEL initiation system. |
| 6. | The PP shall submit a 'Slope Stability Action Plan' | Slope stability report will be submit |
| | for the proposed quarry where the proposed depth | with Final EIA report. |
| | exceeds 30 m and it shall cover the aspects of | |
| | stability of quarry walls including the access ramp | |
| | keeping the benches intact. | |
| 7. | The PP shall furnish DFO letter stating that the | DFO letter will submit with Final EIA |
| | proximity distance of Reserve Forests, Protected | report. |
| | Areas, Sanctuaries, Tiger reserve etc., upto a radius | |
| | of 25 km from the proposed Site. | |
| 8. | The PP shall provide individual notice regarding | Noted agreed to comply. |
| | the Public Hearing to the nearby house owners | |
| | located in the vicinity of the project site. | |
| 9. | If the blasting operation is to be carried out, the PP | Noted agreed to comply. |
| | shall present a conceptual design for carrying out | |
| | the NONEL initiation based controlled blasting | |
| | operation only including the line drilling & muffle | |
| | blasting techniques and a Simulation Model | |
| | indicating the anticipated Blast induced Ground | |
| | Vibration levels in the proposed quarry as | |
| | stipulated by the DGMS Circular No.7 of 1997, | |
| | during the E1A Proposal. | |
| | | |

TOR Reply of Proposed Rough Stone Quarry Over an Extent of 1.86.50 Ha Details of Greenbelt a& Fencing shall be included 10. Noted agreed to comply. in the Final EIA report. The EIA Coordinator shall obtain and furnish the 11. Complied. details of quarry/quarries operated by the The photographs are attached in EIA proponent in the past, either in the same location report. or elsewhere in the State with video and Photographic evidence. If the proponent has already carried out the mining 12 activity in the proposed mining lease area after 15.01.2016, then the proponent shall furnish the following details from AD/DD, mines, It is a fresh quarry (Patta land) a. What was the period of the operation and Agreed to comply. stoppage of the earlier mines with the last work permit issued by the AD/DD mines? b. Quantity of minerals mines out. c. Highest production achieved in any one year. d. Details of approved depth of mining. e. Actual depth of the mining achieved earlier. f. Name of the person already mined in that leases area. g. If EC and CTO already obtained, the copy of the same shall be submitted. Whether the mining was carried out as per the approved mine plan (or EC if issued) with stipulated benches.

| 13. | All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, | Complied. |
|-----|---|---|
| | superimposed on a High-Resolution | All corners with coordinates of the |
| | Imagery/Topo sheet, topographic sheet, | mine lease area have attached with |
| | geomorphology, lithology and geology of the | EIA report in chapter 2 |
| | mining lease area should be provided. Such an | |
| | Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show | |
| | the land use and other ecological feature of the | |
| | study area (core and buffer zone) | |
| 14. | The Project Proponent shall carry out Drone video | Drone video survey will be submitted |
| | survey covering survey covering the cluster, green | in final EIA report. |
| | belt, fencing etc., | |
| 15. | The Project Proponent shall provide the details of | The details of Geological reserves, |
| | mineral reserves and mineable reserves, planned | Mineable reserves and Yearwise |
| | production capacity, proposed working | production reserves are tabulated in |
| | methodology with justifications, the anticipated | Chapter 2. The mining methodology |
| | impacts of the mining operations on the | and impacts are followed as on |
| | surrounding environment and the remedial | prescribed norms by Government. |
| | measures for the same. | |
| 16. | The PP shall provide the Organization chart | Complied. |
| | indicating the appointment of various statutory | Manpower requirements table |
| | officials and other competent persons to be | attached in EIA report chapter 2 |
| | appointed as per the provisions of Mines Act'1952 | |
| | and the MMR, 1961 for carrying out the quarrying | |
| | operations scientifically and systematically in order | |
| | to ensure safety and to protect the environment. | |
| 17. | The proponent shall furnish the baseline data for | The proponent has furnished the |
| | the environmental and ecological parameters with | baseline data for the environmental |
| | regard to surface water/ground water quality, air | and ecological parameters with regard |
| | quality, soil quality & flora/fauna including | to surface water/ground water quality, |
| | traffic/vehicular movement study. | air quality, soil quality & flora/fauna |

| | | including traffic/vehicular movement |
|-----|---|--|
| | | study details attached in EIA report |
| | | chapter 3 |
| 18. | The Proponent shall carry out the Cumulative | Noted. |
| | impact study due to mining operations carried out | Agree to comply. |
| | in the quarry specifically with reference to the | |
| | specific environment in terms of soil health, | |
| | biodiversity, air pollution, water pollution, climate | |
| | change and flood control & health impacts. | |
| | Accordingly, the Environment Management plan | |
| | should be prepared keeping the concerned quarry | |
| | and the surrounding habitations in the mind. | |
| 19. | Rainwater harvesting management with recharging | Noted. |
| | details along with water balance (both monsoon & | Agree to comply. |
| | non-monsoon) be submitted. | |
| 20. | Land use of the study area delineating forest area, | Current land use of the study area has |
| | agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, | attached in EIA report chapter 3. |
| | national park, migratory routes of fauna, water | Operational and post operational land |
| | bodies, human settlements and other ecological | use will be submitted. |
| | features should be indicated. Land use plan of the | |
| | mine lease area should be prepared to encompass | |
| | preoperational, operational and post operational | |
| | phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of | |
| | land use should be given | |
| 21. | Details of the land for storage of | The entire lease area is covered 2.0m |
| | Overburden/Waste dumb (or) Rejects outside the | of Gravel and the estimated quantity |
| | mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance | of Gravel 33210m ³ . Gravel formation |
| | from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, | will be removed and hydraulic |
| | should be provided. | excavators are used for loading the |
| | | gravel into the tipper from pit head to |
| needy buyers. This will be done after obtaining permission and particular necessary seigniorage fees to Government. 22. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' Noted | only ying the |
|--|----------------------|
| 22. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' Noted | ying the |
| 22. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' Noted | the |
| Government. 22. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' | |
| 22. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' Noted | |
| | |
| (or) the Project areas which attracts the court | |
| restrictions for mining operations, should also be | |
| indicated and where so required, clearance | |
| certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such | |
| as the TNPCB (or) Dept. of Geology and Mining | |
| should be secured and furnished to the effect that | |
| the proposed mining activities could be considered | |
| 23. Description of water conservation measures The ultimate pit at the end o | the |
| proposed to be adopted in the Project should be mining operation will be used | for |
| given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in rainwater storage, the stored w | vater |
| the Project, if any, should be provided. will be used for green | belt |
| development and further the s | ored |
| water will be used for dom | estic |
| purposes (other than drinking) | after |
| proper treatment. | |
| 24. Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Traffic impact assessment has giv | en in |
| Project should be indicated. EIA report chapter 3. | |
| | |
| 25. A tree survey study shall be carried out (nos., name No tree species were found insid | the the |
| of the species, diameter, etc.,) both within the project site. only few shrubs | and |
| mining lease applied area & 300m buffer zone and thorny bushes were present. | Tree |
| | |
| its management during mining activity. survey study details given in | EIA |
| its management during mining activity. survey study details given in report chapter 3. | EIA |
| its management during mining activity.survey study details given in report chapter 3.26.A detailed mine closure plan for the proposedNoted. The mine plan and | EIA nine |
| its management during mining activity.survey study details given in report chapter 3.26.A detailed mine closure plan for the proposed project shall be included in EIA/EMP reportNoted. The mine plan and closure plan has been approved be | EIA nine 7 the |

| | | Mining and Geology, Krishnagiri |
|-----|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | District |
| 27. | Public hearing points raised and commitments of | Noted and will be complied in Final |
| | the PP on the same along with time bound Action | EIA report. |
| | Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the | |
| | same should be provided and also incorporated in | |
| | the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project and to be | |
| | submitted to SEIAA/SEAC with regard to the | |
| | Office Memorandum of MoEF & CC accordingly. | |
| 28. | The Public hearing advertisement shall be | Noted. |
| | published in on major National daily and one most | Agree to comply. |
| | circulated vernacular daily | |
| 29. | The PP shall produce/display the EIA report, | Noted |
| | Executive summary and other related information | |
| | with respect to public hearing Tamil Language | |
| | also. | |
| 30. | As a part of the study of flora and fauna around | Noted. |
| | the vicinity of the proposed site, the EIA | Agree to comply |
| | coordinator shall strive to educate the local | |
| | students on the importance of preserving local flora | |
| | and fauna by involving them in the study, | |
| | wherever possible. | |
| 31. | The purpose of Green belt around the project is to | Noted. |
| | capture the fugitive emissions, carbon | Agree to comply |
| | sequestration and to attenuate the noise generated, | |
| | in addition to improving the aesthetics. A wide | |
| | range of indigenous plant species should be planted | |
| | as given in the appendix-I in consultation with the | |
| | DFO, State Agriculture University and local | |
| | school/college authorities. The plant species with | |
| | dense/moderate canopy of native origin should be | |

| | chosen. Species of small/medium/tall trees | |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|
| | alternating with shrubs should be planted in a | |
| | mixed manner. | |
| 32. | Taller/one year old Saplings raised in appropriate | The green belt plan enclosed with |
| | size of bags, preferably eco-friendly bags should be | mining plates in Annexure VI |
| | planted as per the advice of local forest | |
| | authorities/ botanist/Horticulturist with regard to | |
| | site specific choices. The proponent shall earmark | |
| | the greenbelt arca with GPS coordinates all along | |
| | the boundary of the project site with at least 3 | |
| | meter wide and in between blocks in an organized | |
| | manner. | |
| 33. | A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared | Disaster management plan has |
| | and included in the EIA/EMP Report for the | prepared and enclosed in Chapter 7. |
| | complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till the | |
| | end of the lease period. | |
| 34. | A Risk Assessment and management Plan shall be | Risk assessment and management |
| | prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report fir | plan has prepared and enclosed in |
| | the complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till the | chapter 7. |
| | end of the lease period. | |
| 35. | Occupational Health impacts of the Project should | Occupational Health impacts of the |
| | be anticipated and the proposed preventive | project has prepared and incorporated |
| | measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre- | in Environmental management plan. |
| | placement medical examination and periodical | |
| | medical examination schedules should be | |
| | incorporated in the EMP. The project specific | |
| | occupational health mitigation measures with | |
| | required facilities proposed in the mining area may | |
| | be detailed. | |

| 36. | Public health implications of the Project and | Suitable measure will be adopted to |
|-----|---|---------------------------------------|
| | related activities for the population in the impact | minimize occupational health impacts |
| | zone should be systematically evaluated and the | of the project. |
| | proposed remedial measures should be detailed | |
| | along with budgetary allocations. | |
| 37. | The Socio-economic studies should be carried out | The socio-economic study has been |
| | within a 5km buffer zone from the mining activity. | discussed in chapter 3. |
| | Measures of socio-economic significance and | |
| | influence to the local community proposed to be | |
| | provided by the Project Proponent should be | |
| | indicated. As far as possible, quantitative | |
| | dimensions may be given with time frames for | |
| | implementation. | |
| 38. | Details of litigation pending against the project, if | No. litigation is pending against the |
| | any, with direction /order passed by any Court of | project in any court. |
| | Law against the Project should be given | |
| 39. | Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented | Benefits of the project has |
| | should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project | incorporated in EIA report chapter 8 |
| | shall clearly indicate environmental, social, | |
| | economic, employment potential, etc., | |
| 40. | If any quarrying operations were caried out in the | It is a fresh quarry. |
| | proposed quarrying site for which now the EC is | So, certified compliance report is no |
| | sought, the Project Proponent shall furnish the | needed. |
| | detailed compliance to EC conditions given in the | |
| | previous EC with the site photographs which shall | |
| | duly be certified by MoEF&CC, Regional Office, | |
| | Chennai (or) the concerned DEE/TNPCB | |
| 41. | The PP shall prepare the EMP for the entire life of | Noted. |
| | mine and also furnish the sworn affidavit stating to | Agree to comply. |
| | abide the EMP for the entire life of mine. | |

| 42. | Concealing any factual information or submission | Noted. |
|-----|---|--------|
| | of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with | |
| | any of the Condition mentioned above may result | |
| | in withdrawal of this Terms of conditions besides | |
| | attracting penal provisions in the Environment | |
| | (Protection) Act, 1986 | |

Additional ToR Compliance – SEIAA

| S.No. | Condition | Compliance |
|---------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Cluster | r Management Committee | |
| 1. | Cluster Management Committee shall be framed | Noted and Complied. |
| | which must include all the proponents in the | All the proponents in the cluster is |
| | cluster as members including the existing as well | discussed in Chapter-2, Page |
| | as proposed quarry | number-35 |
| 2. | The members must coordinate among themselves | Green belt development, water |
| | for the effective implementation of EMP as | sprinkling, tree plantation is |
| | committed including Green Belt Development, | discussed in chapter-2, Page number- |
| | Water sprinkling, tree plantation, blasting etc., | 58 |
| 3. | The List of members of the committee formed | Agreed to comply. |
| | shall be submitted to AD/Mines before the | |
| | execution of mining lease and the same shall be | |
| | updated every year to the AD/Mines. | |
| 4 | Detailed Operational Plan must be submitted | Agreed to comply. |
| | which must include the blasting frequency with | |
| | respect to the nearby quarry situated in the | It will be furnished in final EIA |
| | cluster, the usage of haul roads by the individual | report. |
| | quarry in the form of route map and network. | |
| 5. | The committee shall deliberate on risk | Risk management plan is discussed |
| | management plan pertaining to the cluster in a | in Chapter-7, page number-135 |

| | holistic manner especially during natural | |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | calamities like intense rain and the mitigation | |
| | measures considering the inundation of the | |
| | cluster and evacuation plan | |
| 6. | The Cluster Management Committee shall form | Agreed to comply. |
| | Environmental Policy to practice sustainable | |
| | mining in a scientific and systematic manner in | It will be furnished in final EIA |
| | accordance with the law. The role played by the | report. |
| | committee in implementing the environmental | - |
| | policy devised shall be given in detail. | |
| 7. | The committee shall furnish action plan | Agreed to comply. |
| | regarding the restoration strategy with respect to | |
| | the individual quarry falling under the cluster in a | It will be furnished in final EIA |
| | holistic manner. | report. |
| 8. | The committee shall furnish the Emergency Management plan within the cluster. | Emergency management plan is |
| | | discusssed in Chapter-7, page |
| | | number-139 |
| 9. | The committee shall deliberate on the health of | Health of workers and staff is |
| | the workers/staff involved in the mining as well | discussed in Chapter-9 Page number- |
| | as the health of the public. | 153 |
| 10. | | Agreed to comply. |
| | The committee shall furnish an action plan to | |
| | achieve sustainable development goals with | It will be furnished in final EIA |
| | reference to water, sanitation and safety. | report |
| 11. | The committee shall furnish the fire safety and | Fire safety and evacuation plan is |
| | evacuation plan in the case of fire accidents | discussed in chapter-7 |
| Impact | Study of Mining | <u> </u> |
| 12 | Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to | The biodiversity has been studied |
| | impact of mining around the proposed mine lease | and discussed in chapter 3. |
| | area covering the entire mine lease period as per | The soil erosion map 5km |
| | | |

| | precis | e area communication order issued from | surrounding the project site has been |
|--------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | repute | ed research institutions on the following. | given in chapter 3. |
| | a) | Soil health & bio-diversity | The detailed study will be carried |
| | b) | Climate change leading to Droughts, | out and will be enclosed in the Draft |
| | | Floods etc., | EIA Report. |
| | c) | Pollution leading to release Greenhouse | |
| | | gases (GHG), rise in Temperature & | |
| | | Livelihood of the local people. | |
| | d) | Possibilities of water containment and | |
| | | impact on aquatic ecosystem health. | |
| | e) | Agriculture, Forestry & Traditional | |
| | | practices. | |
| | f) | Hydrothermal/Geothermal effects due to | |
| | | destruction in the Environment. | |
| | g) | Bio-geochemical processes and its foot | |
| | | prints including environmental stress | |
| | h) | Sediment geochemistry in the surface | |
| | | streams | |
| | Sedim | ent geochemistry in the surface streams. | |
| Agricu | ciculture & Agro-Biodiversity | | |
| 13. | Impac | et on surrounding agricultural fields around | There is no agricultural fields around |
| | the pr | oposed mining area. | the proposed mining area |
| 14. | | | Impact on soil flora & vegetation |
| | nroioc | | around the project site discussed in |
| | projec | | Chapter-4 page number-110 |
| 15 | Detail | s of type of vegetation no.of trees & shrubs | Type of vegetation no.of trees & |
| | withir | the proposed mining area and. If so, | shrubs is discussed in Chapter-3 page |
| | transp | lantation of such vegetations all along the | number-100 |
| | bound | lary of the proposed mining area shall | |
| | comm | itted mentioned in EMP. | |

| 16. | The Environmental Impact Assessment should | The biodiversity has been studied |
|--------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | study the biodiversity, the natural ecosystem, the | and discussed in chapter 3 – Pg No. |
| | soil micro flora, fauna and soil seed banks and | 113. |
| | suggest measures to maintain the natural | |
| | Ecosystem. | |
| 17. | Action should specifically suggest for sustainable | Noted. |
| | management of the area and restoration of | Agree to comply. |
| | ecosystem for flow of goods and services. | |
| 18. | The PP shall study and furnish the impact on | There is no plantation surrounding |
| | plantations in adjoining Patta lands, Horticulture, | 500m from project site. Hence there |
| | Agriculture and livestock. | won't be any impact in adjoining |
| | | patta lands, Horticulture, |
| | | Agriculture and livestock. |
| Forest | S | |
| 19. | The PP shall detailed study on impact of mining | There is no Reserve Forest within 1 |
| | on Reserve forests free ranging wildlife. | km radius of the Project Site. Hence |
| | | our project will not cause any |
| | | damage to reserve forest. Also, we |
| | | have received letter from DFO |
| | | indicating the nearest reserve forest |
| | | and attached with Annexures. |
| 20. | The Environmental Impact Assessment should | The biological environment impacts, |
| | study impact on forest, vegetation, endemic, | and its mitigation measures has been |
| | vulnerable and endangered indigenous flora and | given in Chapter 4 |
| | fauna. | |
| 21 | The Environmental Impact Assessment should | There is no existing trees in the |
| | study impact on standing trees and the existing | project site and surrounding the |
| | trees should be numbered and action suggested | project site. Only thorny shrubs were |
| | for protection. | present. |
| 22. | The EIA should study impact on protected areas, | There is no Reserve Forest within 1 |
| | | 1 |

Reserve forests, National parks, Corridors and km radius of the Project Site. Hence Wildlife pathways, near project site. our project will not cause any damage to reserve forest. Also, we have received letter from DFO indicating the nearest reserve forest and attached with Annexures. There is no protected areas, National Parks, Corridors and Wildlife pathways near project site. Water Environment 23. Hydro-geological study considering the contour The hydro-geological study will be map of the water table detailing the number of conducted and report will be ground water pumping & open wells, and surface submitted in final EIA report. water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc., within 1 km (radius) so as to assess the impacts on the nearby waterbodies due to mining activity. Based on actual monitored data and documentation in this regard may be provided, covering the entire mine lease period. 24. **Erosion Control Measures** Complied. Erosion details has been attached in Chapter 3. Greenbelt will be planted to avoid and control erosion. 25. Detailed study shall be carried out regard to The detailed study will be carried out and will be furnished in the Final impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area on the nearby villages, Water-bodies/Rivers, EIA Report. & any ecological fragile areas. There is no water bodies within 1km 26. The project proponent shall study impact on fish habitats and the food WEB/food chain in the radius, The seasonal pond located

| | water body and reservoir. | 50m south from the project site. |
|--------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | Water gets stagnant only during |
| | | rainy season. Hence there won't be |
| | | much impact on fish habitats and the |
| | | food WEB/ food chain in the water |
| | | body and Reservoir. |
| 27. | The PP shall study and furnish the details on | Noted and will be complied in Final |
| | potential fragmentation impact of natural | EIA report. |
| | environment, by the activities. | |
| 28. | The PP shall study and furnish the impact on | Noted. |
| | aquatic plants and animals in water bodies and | Agree to comply. |
| | possible scars on the landscape, damages to | |
| | nearby caves, heritage site and archaeological | |
| | sites possible landform changes visual and | |
| | aesthetic impacts | |
| 29 | The Terms of Reference should specifically | The soil erosion map 5km |
| | study impact on soil health, soil erosion, the | surrounding the project site has been |
| | soil physical, chemical components and | given in chapter 3. |
| | microbial components. | The soil samples have been collected |
| | | surrounding the project site and |
| | | physical, chemical components and |
| | | microbial components study has |
| | | been carried out and the results are |
| | | tabulated in chapter 3 |
| 30 | The Environmental Impact Assessment should | The water environment impacts and |
| | study on wetlands, water bodies, river streams, | its mitigation measures has been |
| | lakes and farmer sites. | given in Chapter 4 |
| Energy | 7 | |
| 31 | The measures taken to control Noise, Air, Water, | Agreed to Comply. |
| | Dust Control and steps adopted to efficiently | |
| | | |

| | utilize the energy shall be furnished | |
|--------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Climat | e Change | |
| 32 | The Environmental Impact Assessment shall | Noted and will be complied in Final |
| | study in detail the carbon emission and also | EIA report. |
| | suggest the measures to mitigate carbon emission | |
| | including development of carbon sinks, and | |
| | temperature reduction including control of other | |
| | emission and climate mitigation activities. | |
| 33. | The EIA should study impact on climate change, | Noted and will be complied in Final |
| | temperature rise, pollution and above soil carbon | EIA report. |
| | stock. | |
| Mine C | Closure Plan | |
| 34. | Detailed mine closure plan covering the entire | Mine closure plan has been attached |
| | mine lease period as per precise area | along with mining plates as |
| | communication order issued. | Annexure VI. |
| EMP | | |
| 35 | Detailed Environment Management plan along | Environment Management Plan has |
| | with adaptation, mitigation & remedial strategies | been described in detail in Chapter- |
| | covering the entire mine lease period as per | 10 of the Draft EIA/EMP Report. |
| | precise area communication order issued. | |
| 36 | The EIA should hold detailed study on EMP | The EMP details has been given in |
| | with budget for Green belt development and | Chapter 8 |
| | mine closure plan including disaster management | |
| | plan. | |
| Risk A | ssessment | |
| 37 | To furnish risk assessment and management plan | A Risk Assessment and management |
| | including anticipated vulnerabilities during | Plan will be prepared and included in |
| | operational and post operational phases of mining. | the final EIA/EMP Report. |
| | | |

| Disaste | ster Management Plan | | |
|---------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 38 | To furnish disaster management plan and disaster | Disaster Management and Risk | |
| | mitigation measures in regard to all aspects to | Assessment has be incorporated in | |
| | avoid/reduce vulnerability to hazard & to cope | Chapter-7 | |
| | with disaster/untoward accidents in & around | | |
| | the proposed mine lease area due to the proposed | | |
| | method of mining activity & its related activities | | |
| | covering the entire mine lease period as per | | |
| | precise area communication order issued. | | |
| Others | | | |
| 39 | The project proponent shall furnish VAO | Obtained and same has been | |
| | Certificate with reference to 300m radius regard to | attached as Annexure. | |
| | approved habitations, schools, Archaeological | | |
| | structures etc. | | |
| 40 | As per the MoEF& CC office memorandum | Noted and public hearing details will | |
| | F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated: 30.09.2020 and | be included along with final EIA | |
| | 20.10.2020 the proponent shall address the | report. | |
| | concerns raised during the public consultation and | | |
| | all the activities proposed shall be part of the | | |
| | Environment Management Plan. | | |
| 41 | The PP shall study and furnish the possible | There will not be any plastic and | |
| | pollution due to plastic and microplastic on the | microplastic pollution due to mining | |
| | environment. The ecological risks and impact of | activity. Also, we ensure that we | |
| | plastic & microplastic on aquatic environment | won't use any single use plastics in | |
| | and fresh water systems due to activities, | the project site. | |
| | contemplated during mining may be investigated | | |
| | and reported. | | |

ANNEXURE-II

PRECISE AREA COMMUNICATION LETTER



ந.க.எண். 646/2021/கனிமம் நாள்: 🤧) .01.2023.

குறிப்பானண

பொருள் :

6

0

0

0

۲

۲

0

0

0

0

۲

0

0

0

0

۲

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

கனிமங்களும் சுரங்கங்களும் - சிறு கனிமம் - சாதாரண கற்கள் - கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம் - சூளகிரி வட்டம் -மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராமம் - பட்டா புல எண். 79 (1.86.50) ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பில் சாதாரண கற்குவாரி செய்ய திரு.B.ஸ்ரீகர் என்பவர் விண்ணப்பம் அளித்தது - வருவாய்துறை, புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை மற்றும் வனத்துறை பலத்தணிக்கை அறிக்கை சமர்பிக்கப்பட்டது - தகுதியான நிலப்பரப்பாக கருதி ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்க திட்டம் மற்றும் சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணைய இசைவிணை பெற்று சமர்பிக்கக் கோருதல் - தொடர்பாக.

பார்வை :

- 1. அரசாணை எண்.208 தொழில் துறை நாள் 21.09.2020-ல்
 - திரு. B.ஸ்ரீகர், த/பெ.பாரதி, க/எண்.25, சாந்தி நகர்(மேற்கு), 2-வது கிராஸ், ஒசூர் - 635 109 என்பவரின் விண்ணப்பம் நாள்: 30.01.2021.
 - உதவி இயக்குநர்(கூ.பொ), புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி ந.க.646/2021/கனிமம், நாள்:02.07.2021.
 - வட்டாட்சியர், சூளகிரி கடிதம் ந.க.1378/2021/அ2 நாள்:31.07.2021 மற்றும் 28.04.2022
 - 5. வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர், ஓசூர் கடிதம் ந.க.எண்.2068/2021/பி2 நாள்: 06.07.2022.
 - அரசு ஆணை (3D) எண்.243 தொழில், முதலீட்டு ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வர்த்தகம் (எம்எம்இ-2) துறை நாள்: 14.12.2022.
 - வன உயிரினக்காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் கடித ந.க.எண்.13119/2022/எல் நாள்:10.01.2023.
 - உதவி புவியியலாளர் (கனியம்) புலத்தணிக்கை அறிக்கை நாள். 28.01.2023.
 - 9. மற்றும் உரிய ஆவணங்கள்

பார்வைகளின் மீது கனிவான கவனம் வேண்டப்படுகிறது.

2. கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், தனகிரி வட்டம், மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராமம், பட்டா புல எண்.79-ல் 1.86.50 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பில் சாதாரண வகை கற்குவாரி செய்ய உரிமம் வழங்க கோரி திரு.8.ஸ்ரீகர் என்பவர் 30.01.2021 நாளிட்ட விண்ணப்பத்தினை உரிய ஆவணங்களுடன் சமர்ப்பித்துள்ளார்.

4. எனவே, வட்டாட்சியர், தளகிரி, வருவாய் கோட்டோட்சியர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி, ഖണ உயிரின காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் உதவி புஷியியலாளா (குனிமம்) លញ់ញាច់ ஆகியோரின் பரிந்துரை மற்றும் நிபந்தனைகளின் அடிப்படையில், கிருணைகிரி மாவட்டம், தளகிரி வட்டம், மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராமம், பட்டா பல எண்.79-ல் 1.86.50 ஹெக்டோ் பரப்பளவில் விண்ணப்பதாரா் திரு.B.ஸ்ரீகர் என்பவருக்கு் 1959ம் வருட தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம விதிகள், விதி எண். 19-ன் மேற்கண்ட Lile. நிபந்தனைகளுக்குட்பட்டு 10 (பத்து) வருட காலத்திற்கு பேற்பரப்பு கிராவல் மண் மற்றும் சாதாரண வகை கற்குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்குவதற்குரிய தகுதியான நிலப்பரப்பாக கருதப்படுகிறது.

5. மேலும், தமிழ்நாடு சிறு கனிம சலுகை விதிகள்-1959 விதி எண். 41-ன்படி குவாரிப்பணி மேற்கொள்வது தொடர்பாக வரைவு சுரங்க திட்டத்தினை 90 தினங்களுக்குள் சமர்ப்பிக்குமாறு மனுதாரரைக் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளப்படுகிறது. மேலும் ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டத்தின் தொடர்ச்சியாக 1959ம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகள், விதி எண்.42-ன் படி சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின் இசைவினைப் பெற்று சமர்பிக்கும் பட்சத்தில் மட்டுமே குவாரி உரியம் வழங்கப்படும் என இதன் மூலம் தெரிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

91.01.23 00 X

BUBBLE PROVIDENT

FEB 2023

17

\$

துன்ண் இயக்குநா, புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி.

3/2/12 310183

பெறுநர்:

0

۲

0

0

0

0

0

۲

۲

0

0

۲

۲

0

0

0

۲

0

0

۲

0

0

0

0

٢

திரு. B. ஸ்ரீகர், த/பெ. பாரதி, ் க/எண். 25, சாந்தி நகர்(மேற்கு), 2-வது கிராஸ், ஒசூர் ~ 635 109.

நகல்:

ஆணையர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, சென்னை.

மாலட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி - தகவலுக்காக

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) Qualified Person

ANNEXURE-III MINING PLAN APPROVED LETTER

From

Dr.S.Vediappan,M.Sc.,Ph.D., Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri. Thiru. B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No. 25, Shanti Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109.

Rc.No. 646 /2021/Mines Dated: 17 .02.2023.

To

Sir,

- Sub: Mines and Minerals Minor Mineral Rough Stone -Krishnagiri District – Shoolagiri Taluk – Miduthepalli Village- Patta land in S.F.No. 79 (1.86.50) Over an extent of 1.86.50 Hects - Application preferred by Thiru. B. Srikar - Draft Mining Plan submitted -Approved - reg.
- Ref: 1. Application prepared by Thiru. B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No. 25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District dated: 30.01.2021.
 - 2. This Office Letter No. 646/2021/Mines dated: 31.01.2023.
 - 3. Draft Mining plan submitted by Thiru. B. Srikar, dated: 13.02.2023.

Kind attention is invited to the references cited above.

2. Thiru. B. Srikar has been preferred an application for quarrying Rough stone over an extent of 1.86.50 Hects of patta land in S.F.No. 79 (1.86.50) in Miduthepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishangiri District for a period of 10 year under the provisions of Rule 19 (1) of Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959. In this regard, the precise area communication has given the lessee vide letter dated: 31.01.2023 with a direction to submit approved mining plan and Environment Clearance.

3. Accordingly, Thiru. B. Srikar had submitted 03 copies of draft Mining Plan vide letter dated: 13.02.2023 and the same has been examined in details and it is found correct.

4. As per the mining plan the year wise production for the proposed first and second five years are as follows.

| | Year | Recoverable Reserves (m ³) @ 100% | Gravel in (m ³) | |
|------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | 1st Year | 75579 | 33210 | |
| First Five | 2 nd year | 49725 | - | |
| Years | 3rd year | 33300 | - | |
| - | 4 th year | 40700 | - | |
| - | 5 th year | 25025 | 2 | |
| - | Total | 224329 | 33210 | |

| | Year | Recoverable Reserves (m ³) @ 100% | Top Soil Gravel in (m ³) |
|------------|----------------------|---|--|
| | 1st Year | 32175 | |
| Second | 2nd year | 17250 | 12 |
| Five Years | 3rd year | 24150 | |
| | 4th year | 9975 | 22 |
| _ | 5 th year | 25850 | 1 |
| | Total | 109400 | 124 |

5. Hence, the power delegated under Rule 42 of TNMMCR, 1959 and as per the guidelines/instructions issued by the Commissioner of Geology and Mining, vide letter Rc.No.3868/LC/2012 dated:19.11.2012, the said mining plan submitted by the lessee is hereby approved subject to the following conditions.

i) That the mining plan is approved without prejudice to any other law applicable to the quarry lease from time to time whether such laws are made by the Central Government, State Government or any other authority.

ii) This approval of the mining plan does not in any way imply the approval of the Government in terms of any other provisions of Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation) Act 1957, or any other connected laws including Forest (Conservation) Act 1957, or any other connected Laws industry Forest (Conservation) Act 1980, Forest Conservation Rules 1981 Environment protection Act 1980, Indian Explosive Act 1884 (Central Act IV of 1884) and the rules made there under, Minor Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, and The Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession rules, 1959.

iii) That the mining plan is approved without prejudice to any other order or directions from any court of competent jurisdiction.

iv) All the conditions mentioned in the precise area letter should be followed during quarry operation as per rules.

v) The applicant should get prior Environmental clearance from the appropriate authority and should submit it to the District Collector, Krishnagiri.

vi) Every Mining Plan duly approved under rule 41(9) of TNMMCR, 1959 shall be valid for a period of five years. Further, the applicant shall submit modification in the mining plan if any, review the mining plan and submit scheme of mining plan for the next five years of the lease if any as per TNMMCR 1959.

17.02.23

Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri.

Copy submitted to

: 1. The Commisssioner, Dept of Geology and Mining, Guindy, Chennai -32.

ANNEXURE-IV 500M Radius letter

| From | То |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Dr. S.Vediappan, M.Sc., Ph.d., | Thiru. B. Srikar, |
| Deputy Director, | S/o. Bharathy, |
| Dept of Geology and Mining, | No. 25, Shanti Nagar (West), |
| Krishnagiri. | 2 nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, |
| | Krishnagiri – 635 109. |

Roc.No.646/2021/Mines Dated: 17.02.2023

Sir,

- Sub: Mines and Minerals Minor Mineral Rough Stone -Krishnagiri District – Shoolagiri Taluk – Miduthepalli Village- Patta land in S.F.No. 79 (1.86.50) Over an extent of 1.86.50 Hects - Application preferred by Thiru. B. Srikar - Draft Mining Plan submitted -Approved – Other quarry situated in 500 mtrs radial distance – Details furnished - reg.
- Ref: 1. Application prepared by Thiru. B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No. 25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District dated: 30.01.2021.
 - This Office Letter No. 646/2021/Mines dated: 31.01.2023.
 - Mining Plan approved by the Deputy Director of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri in Rc.no. 738/2022/Mines dated: .02.2023.

Kind attention is invited to the references cited above.

2. Thiru. B. Srikar has been preferred an application for quarrying Rough stone over an extent of 1.86.50 Hects of patta land in S.F.No. 79 (1.86.50) in Miduthepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishangiri District for a period of 10 year under the provisions of Rule 19 (1) of Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959. In this regard, the precise area communication has given the lessee vide letter dated: 31.01.2023 with a direction to submit approved mining plan and Environment Clearance.

3. In this connection, as stipulated in the TNMMCR Rules, 1959 the applicant has submitted the Mining Plan for on 13.02.2023. Accordingly, the Mining plan submitted by the applicant has been approved by the Deputy Director (Mines) vide letter dated .02.2023. In addition to that the details of other quarries situated within 500 mts radial distance from the subject quarry is furnished as follows.

•

I. Details of Existing quarries.

| Sl No | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | Mineral | S.F N/o. | Extent in Het | Rc.No. & Date | Lease period. |
|----------|--|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1. | THiru. D. Sreenivasalu,S/o. Vekateshwarlu, No. Radha lakshmi nilayam, Devachandra Main road, Bangalore | Shoolagiri, Miduthepa Ili | Rough stone | 80/1 80/2 | 3.17.08 | Rc.No. 1305/2018/Mine s dated: 20.12.2022 | 20.12.2022 to 19.12.2032 |
| 2. | Thiru. Venkat reddy, S/o. (Late) Uthama Reddy, Kolar Taluk, Uddanahalli, Chakkarasanahalli, Karnataka | Shoolagiri, Miduthepa lli | Rough stone | 81/2 82/1 | 2.05.92 | Rc.No. 1308/2018/Mine s dated: 31.10.2022 | 31.10.2022 to 30.10.2032 |

II. Details of Expired/Old quarries.

| S1. No | Name of the lessee | Village | Mineral | S.F No. | Extent in Het | Rc.No. & Date | Lease period. |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1. | M/s. Sarva Infra Pvt. Ltd, 540, 3 rd floor, CMH Road, indira Nagar, Bangalore. | Shoolagiri, Miduthepa Ili | Rough stone | 70/1B, 70/1C | 4.05.0 | Rc.No. 09/2014/Mines dated: 26.10.2015 | 28.10.2015 to 27.10.2020 |

III. Details of Proposed quarries

| Sl No | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | Mineral | S.F No. | Extent in Het | Rc.No. & Date | Lease period. |
|----------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | Thiru. B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No. 25, Shanti Nagar (West), 2 nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109 | Shoolag iri, Miduth epalli | Rough stone | 79 | 1.86.50 | | Instant Proposal |

17.02.23 0

Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri.

Copy to :-

The Chairman, Tamil Nadu State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, 3rd Floor, Panakal Maligai, No. 1 Jeenes Road, Saidapet, Chennai -15.



ANNEXURE-V FMB, A REGISTER, VILLAGE MAP, CONSENT REGISTERED DOCUMENT



| | agià cu | ாவியில் | . 8 | Aco | 9 (2019) (A FRANCE) | | d | FB mA | 8) | வட்டம் | Юцв | 500mm |
|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|---|---|--------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|--|
| A Beu | លាវិវត្តំ ទី រុលនោភទេ | டிட்டத்தி ரின் வி | inny Lugis | 1 | | யான்றின் பெயர் | - | | ழதல் மே | | | |
| நிய அளவை மன் | 2.ાંપ્રિક્ષીના શસ્ત્ર | แตน้าเ. | (ditenti | ចុច្រ ចុមកករធំ ស្វាលិលនូវ (34២ ចំពោះវារំ | கைப்பற்ற தாரருடைய பெயரும் என்னும் அல்லது அறுமோக தாரகுடைய பெயர் | திவத்தின் எந்த பகுதி வனது எருபாயாரால யாலது எருப்புயாளால | เมเกิศในบันโนดีที่ | எத்த பாதுலா செய்யப்பட்டது பாதற்றில் அறுவடை செய்யப்பட்டது. | ບເຜີເຖືາຜ່າ ດີເປເຍນ້ຳ. | เป็นการการ / อุญญาณาตาน นกระทางสาวนา | ≜ ពេមសោយកថា ឯកបំរំកំថល់ 25,4511718. | விளள் <i>ச்ச</i> வ் அளவு விரும் காடு. |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | - | (8) | (9) | (10) | | (12) |
| 19 | - | 1.46 | ADI | | 4. 290000 | 56 0 | | | 5Ave | 1 | 1- | |
| | | - | | 1 | 14 | | | _ | - | | - | 10. |
| | | | | | * | - | - 4 | 0 111896 A | | HEPAL | Office: | - |
| | | | | | | | | CPUUL | p 1+1-1+. | Xdiahnadi | d fu | |
| 2 | | | _ | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | _ | | |
| 1 | | | - | | | - | - 3 | | | | + | + |
| | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | - | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | - | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | - | | | | | - | _ | | - | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | - | | |
| 80/30 | -R.F. | 111-A- | 10-23 | 3,00,0 | DO Cos,-GBP-Mdu. | 7-2010 | _ | 1000 | 1 | | | |

0 0 0

e

۰.

เม็น (รุยละส. 70. 019 10 7 FEB 2023¹² 5 6 ? 3 9 4 3 * 63 சா. என்றைம் எரங்க ந. பை ஹெ.ஏர்ஸ்.கு. பை þ 8-3 75-5 3 75 5 2 15 1 78-1 σ 4 112.0 தம்மையா \$-3 0 36-0 0 77 279 எ- வெங்க 5 2 15 -2 σ Ū, ... டோப்பா (1). சங்கரப்பா (2) -11-5 4 52 2 01 172 பா. மாரன். 4 86 5 8-3 5 2 -15 1 79 Ÿ. Ø Ι., ... 64 64 ஞா. குட்எப்பா. 0 30-0 8-3 5 2 15 0 \$0-1 ø 4 ... 154 ஞா-"பெத்த 0 81-0 1 74 8-3 5 2 15 -2 :U 4. <u>់សាប់ស្ក</u> đ٢ 2 11 0 38 1 ÷. 2 68 0 5 76 136 த பச்சையப்ப 5 8-3 2 15 ¥1 σ 4 ... செட்டி. 136 த. பச்சையப்ப 09 44.0 3 5 15 1 8-3 2 \$2-1 7 1. 4 Qria. 5 73 241 Jr . 90 116 66 5 5 2 45 2 8-3 -2 ч g சந்திரப்பா. \$ 82 10.5 4 • 189 தி- முனியம்மா. 1 65 0 76-5 15 8-3 5 2 83-1 σ ч 4 94 ł 164 சொ. மல்லம்மா. 0 67.0 1 44 5 5 2 8-3 -2 σ 14 2 ... ł 43.5 09 3 1 151 செ பெத்த 0 \$0.0 72 L 5 -tž 8-3 $\mathbf{2}$ 1 84 - 1 44 G லக்கமய்யா. [5] கி. பெத்த E 11-0 2 38 z 15 5 8-3 ņ -2 4 Ø . . லக்ஷமய்யா. -2 3 S. DMAINASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) 91-0 4 10 L Qualified Person 0.62 26 334 வெங்கட 15 39 26-0 24 புல் காவல் 8-3 5 0 \$5-1 ч Ţ ----1 ரமனாப்பர-பட்டாசலுகை Sirma. TEVE 47.5 Haditon 14 -2 ЧØ 11.6 2 Ŋ ... 5 ch (7 . village Administrative officer 24 26 53:73-5 32, MIDITHEPALLI Shoolaght The Krisboneid-91 Des 85/1- Rateper best Corrected o Romanisti A. Register. a 20.10.87 G ForTahr 232 16/00

0

0

0

0

0

0





GENERAL POWER OF ATTRONEY

THIS DEED OF GENERAL POWER OF ATTRONEY MADE AND EXECUTED AT SHOOLAGIRI ON THIS THE 11TH DAY OF JULY 2022.

"BETWEEN"

Mr.D.RAVIKUMAR, S/o. Venkataiah, aged about 43 years, residing at No. 1%/18, Sapthagiri Nilaya, K.R.Puram, Vinayaka Nagar, Near Vinayaka Temple, Bungalore North, Krishnarajapura, Bangalore, Krishnarajapura, Bangalore, Karnataka – 560036 (Aadhar No. 5271 0811 7760) (Hereinafter Called the Principal)

Document No 1995 of 2022 of Book Sheat. Contains Sheets Registering Officer

"AND"

Mr. SRIKAR, S/o. Bharathy, residing at Door No. 25, Santhi Ngar West, 2nd Cross, Hosur – 635109, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu. (Aadhar NO. 5985 4199 8229)(Hereinafter Called the Attorney)

Whereas an extent of Ac. 4.61 cents in Sy.No.79 of Midithepalli Village of Shoolagiri belongs to Principal as his separate and self acquired properties by way of two registered sale deed bearing Doc. No. 701/2020 the document have been registered at Sub Registration Office, Shoolagiri from the date of purchase the Principal is in possession and enjoyment of the same and the portion belongs to principal in main Sy. No. 79 and the Tahsildar, Shoolagiri Sy. No. 79 separate patta had also issued in the name of principal Mr. D. Ravikumar under Patta No. 686. Thus the principal has got good, valid, absolute, right and title over the schedule mentioned properties.

Whereas the principal is Running Quarry in the above said properties and obtained Licenses from Government of Tamilnadu and whereas the principal is unable to appear personally in all offices related to operation of Quarry work and hence the principal hereby authorised **Mr. SRIKAR, S/o. Bharathy** to maintain and Manage the schedule properties and also to represent all Government offices on behalf of principal and to do all other works on behalf of principal.

And whereas the Principal has also authorised the attorney to obtain permission, licences, permits and other approval from pollution control board, Department of Mines and Geology also other approvals from the Government Departments. The Principal also authorised the attorney to obtained Quarry and Mining Licenses in his name on behalf of the Principal.

D. Ble Not 2022 of Book Document No.4 Sheet Contains Registering Officer

The Principal has also authorised the attorney to appear before all Government offices or concerned departments to do all works to get approve from the district collector krishnagiri.

No Consideration has been received by the Principal from attorney for execution of this General Power of Attorney this General Power of Attorney need not be attested.

SCHEDULE OF PROPERTIES

In MIDITHEPALLI Village, of Shoolagiri Taluk and attached to Shoolagiri Sub Registration District, Krishnagiri Registration District, Krishnagiri District.

Survey No. 79, Dry. Ext. Hec. 1.86.50, Asst. Rs. 4.01 np., (or) Ac. 4.61 cents full land situated with the following boundaries.

East: Land in Sy. No's. 80, 81 and 82

West : Land in Sy. No. 70/1A1A

o ble

| Doctoment | 1422 | of 2022 of | Book | 1 |
|-----------|------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Contains_ | 12 | _Sheets | Sheet | |
| | R | ocistorinc | Difficent | |
| | | e3tacativity | Minine Picel | Anna Ital |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

North : Land in Sy. NO. 70/1A1A

South : Venkateshpuram Village boundary

The land comes under the Panchayat limits of Athimugam Panchayat and Union Council of Shoolagiri.

~4~

IN WITTNESSES WHERE OF THE PRINCIPAL AND THE ATTRONEY HAVE SIGNED THIS DEED OF GENERAL POWER OF ATTRONEY AT SHOOLAGIRI ON THIS THE 11TH DAY OF JULY 2022.

PRINCIPAL

ATTRONEY

WITNESSES: 1) VIAUUU (Valluru Kondappa Naidu) S/o.Late.Valluru Subbaiah, Sai Peta, Kondapuram, Nellore, Andra Pradesh-524 239. (Adhaar No.2634 7007 9111)

N · A Swutti (Aswath) S/o.Nethappa, Guttalapalli Village,
Venkatesapuram Post, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District (Adhaar No.9244
7386 7663)

DRAFTED BY:

9.11

V.S.GANESAN DOCUMENT WRITER LICENCE No.B36/K.S.G/1999 SHOOLAGIRI Mobile No.93600 99497

| | | | | - 433 | |
|----------|------------|-------------|----------|---------|--------------------|
| | 122 | 5. 2022 01 | Book | a la | + North |
| Document | NGY | -01 2022 01 | | 1 19 | 1. Sec. 1. Sec. 1. |
| Contains | 12 minutes | Sheats 4 | Sheet | | No. And |
| | | | 2 | 1 Salar | |
| | ñ. | gistering | official | | |

DECLARATION

Declaration for non-encroachment of land in water-Bodies I/We hereby certify that schedule of property mentioned in this document is not encroached in any wated bodies, water passages, catchment areas of water baides. If any information/declaration issued is found false in future, i/we know that we shall be liable for disciplinary action taken by law.

vendor/vendor's

VENDEE/VENDEE'S

| Decument No | 6225 of 20 | 22 of Book |] | |
|-------------|------------|---------------|----------|----|
| Contains | 12 Shee | ts She | et. | |
| | Registe | aring Officer | A A A | |
| | | | The same | |
| | | | NA N | 10 |
| | | | | |

R/Soolagiri/Book-1/4225/2022

Presented in the office of the Sub Registrar of Soolagin and fee of ₹ 10,230/- paid at 04;47 PM on the 11/07/2022 by

Additions as per recitals of document

blu

Impression with UIDAI reference No.

Identity of the person verified through Consent based AADHAAR Authentication using Thumb

UKC:3346963395b845636d4c309bcd1edfd7f2a231 (Details from UIDAI : Duddukuri Ravikumar S/O. Duddukuri Venkataiah, 02-07-1972, xxxxxxx7760)

Left Thumb



Execution admitted by

Left Thumb



Claim admitted by Left Thumb

Identity of the person verified through Consent based AADHAAR Authentication using Thumb Impression with UIDAI reference No. : UKC:175232fcc21cfa1cb043e88e29c9a98c195ff7 (Details from UIDAI : Srikar Bharathy S/O: Bharathy, 19-02-1993, xxxxxx8229)



11th day of July 2022 SIVAKUMAR G Sub Registrar Sootagin Document No 4000 of 2022 of Book/ Contains Sheets Sheet. Registering Officer 1 / 2

R/Soolagiri/Book-1/4225/2022

Registered as Number R/Soolagiri/Book-1/4225/2022.

Date: 11/07/2022 Soolagiri





சார்பதிவாளர் சூளகிரி.

SIVAK

MAD

Sub Registrar

| | Curran I Back | 7 |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Document Not21 | Sheets 75 | heet. is and hereit |
| Contains | | |
| | Registering on | |
| | 2 / 2 | Carl and the second |



தமிழக அரசு

வருவாய்த் துறை

நில உரிமை விபரங்கள் : இ. எண் 10(1) பிரிவு

மாவட்டம் : கிருஷ்ணகிரி

வருவாய் கிராமம் : மிடிதேபள்ளி

வட்டம் : சூளகிரி

பட்டா எண் : 686

உரிமையாளர்கள் பெயர்

| କ | ுங்கட்டய்யா | | | மகன் | iq. | ரவிக்குமார் | | |
|---------|--------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|-------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| புல எண் | உட்பிரிவு | புன் | சய் | நன் |)មឃ់ | றற்வ | ഞഖ | குறிப்புரைகள் |
| | | பரப்பு | தர்வை | பரப்பு | தீர்னவ | பரப்பு | தீர்வை | |
| | | ஹெக் - ஏர் | ரூ - பை | ஹெக் - ஏர் | ரூ - பை | ஹெக் - ஏர் | ரு - பை | |
| 79 | | 1 - 86.50 | 4.01 | | •• | - | 0.556 | 2020/0103 /31/134577 20-03-2020 |
| | | 1 - 86.50 | 4.01 | | | | | |

குறிப்பு2 :



 மேற்கண்ட தகவல் / சான்றிதழ் நகல் விவரங்கள் மின் பதிவேட்டிலிருந்து பெறப்பட்டவை. இவற்றை தாங்கள் https://eservices.tn.gov.in என்ற இணைய தளத்தில் 31/11/070/00686 /150070 என்ற குறிப்பு எண்ணை உள்ளீடு செய்து உறுதி செய்துகொள்ளவும்.

2. இத் தகவல்கள் 11-07-2022 அன்று 02:26:39 PM நேரத்தில் அச்சடிக்கப்பட்டது.

3.கைப்பேசி கேமராவின்2D barcode படிப்பான் மூலம் படித்து 3G/GPRS வழி இணையதளத்தில் சரிபார்க்கவும்

Dible

| | | | | 77 | |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Document | No.42 | 201 2022 | of Book | 4 | |
| Contains | \mathcal{U} | Sheets | _D_sr | neet. | 13 |
| | 5 | Inglisteri | ng Office | ar | |
| | ***)#*18/#18/#18/#18/#18/ | Construction of the second second | | 執行者 | |
| | | | | 89 V - 1 | in Anther |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

241








| | 102 (202 | 2 of Sook / | Ţ | |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----|
| Document No | 4203m ANA | s 11 Sheel | A STREET | Šas |
| Contains | | \sim | | |
| 1 | Registe | ring Officer | | |
| | | | Value A. | |



Government of Tamil Nadu

Registration Department

Acknowledgement

| | Reference Details |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| SBO Name | Soolagiri |
| Sho Hame | SOTI AND/V/202207114290128 |
| Application No. | |
| Transaction No. | REG202207112476907 |
| Transaction Date | 11/07/2022 |
| | Application Details |
| Applicant Name | Srikar |
| Service Type | Document Registration (New) in SRO |
| Registration Fee (₹) | 10000/- |
| Computer Fee (₹) | 130/- |
| CD Fees (₹) | 100/- |
| | Payment Details |
| Name of the Bank | SBI |
| Bank Ref No | CPABVQLFC6 |
| Dormant Mode | Online |
| Payment Mode | 10230/- |
| Payment Status | Success |
| Payment Date | 11/07/2022 |

| கோலும் கச்சேசங்கள்/குறைகள் இருப்பின் கீழ்க்கண்ட | _ வழிமுறைகளில் தெரிவிக்கலாம |
|---|--|
| ஏதேனும் சந்தேன்மலாட்டும் துட கேட் | 1800 102 5174 |
| பின்னஞ்சல் முகவரி | helpdesk@tnreginet.net |
| Document No. 4225 of 2022 of Book / Contains Sheets Sheet. | AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA |

ANNEXURE-VI MINING PLAN REPORT & PLATES





TOTAL LEASE GRANTED PERIOD 10 YEARS

PROPOSED PERIOD OF MINING 10 YEARS

(Prepared Under Rules 41 & 42 as amended in Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959)

LOCATION OF THE APPLIED AREA

| EXTENT | Ŧ | 1.86.50 Ha. |
|----------|---|---------------|
| S.F. No | : | 79 |
| VILLAGE | : | MIDITHEPALLI. |
| TALUK | : | SHOOLAGIRI. |
| DISTRICT | : | KRISHNAGIRI. |
| STATE | : | TAMIL NADU. |

APPLICANT

THIRU. B.SRIKAR, S/o. BHARATHY D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT - 635 109.

PREPARED BY:

S. DHANASEKAR, M.Sc.(Geol),

QUALIFIED PERSON, NO. 5/30-7 B, AVVAI NAGAR, PONKUMAR MINES ROAD. JAGIR AMMAPALAYAM. SALEM DISTRICT = 636 302. E-mail: geodhana@yahoo.co.in CELL: 98946 28970 & 73733-74702.



CONTENTS

Ø

Ø

| SL. NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. | | |
|---------|--|----------|--|--|
| 1.0 | Introduction | 8 | | |
| 2.0 | Executive Summary | 10 | | |
| 3.0 | General Information | 11 | | |
| 4.0 | Location | 12 | | |
| 5.0 | Geology And Mineral Reserves | 13 | | |
| 6.0 | Mining | 17 | | |
| 7.0 | Blasting | 20 | | |
| 8.0 | Mine Drainage | 22 | | |
| 9.0 | Other Permanent Structures | 23 | | |
| 10.0 | Employment Potentials & Welfare Measures | 24 | | |
| 11.0 | Environment Management Plan | 26 | | |
| 12.0 | Mine Closure Plan | 29 | | |
| 13.0 | Any Other Details Intend To Furnish By The Applicant | 30 | | |



ANNEXURES

| SL. | DESCRIPTION | ANNEXURE |
|-----|---|----------|
| NO. | | NO. |
| 1. | Copy of Precise Area Communication Letter | I |
| 2. | Copy of FMB | II |
| 3. | Copy of Combined Sketch | III |
| 4. | Copy of Patta, Adangal, 'A' Register | IV |
| 5. | Copy of Land Consent Document | v |
| 6. | Copy of ID Proof | VI |
| 7. | Copy of Qualification Certificate | VII |
| 8. | Copy of Experience Certificate | VIII |
| 9. | Copy of Lease Area Photos | |

LIST OF PLATES

| | | / | இயக்குநர் அவ |
|---------|--|------------|----------------------|
| | | 1 Sel | Seren Star |
| | LIST OF PLAT | | 17 FEB 2023)* |
| | | 100 | கருவ்ணகிரி |
| SL. NO. | DESCRIPTION | PLATE NO. | SU LAMATICA FEITHBAL |
| 1. | Location Plan | I | Not to Scale |
| 2. | Route Map | IA | Not to Scale |
| 3. | Toposheet Map of the Lease Area | IB | 1:50,000 |
| 4. | Satellite Image (Lease Area) | IC | 1:1000 |
| 5. | Satellite Image (500m Radius) | ID | 1:5000 |
| 6. | Mine Lease Plan | II | 1:1000 |
| 7. | Surface & Geological Plan | III | 1:1000 |
| 8. | Geological Sections | III-A | 1:1000 |
| 9. | Year Wise Development and Production Plan | IV-A & A1 | 1:1000 |
| | & Sections (1 st Five (I-V)Years) | | |
| 10. | Year Wise Development and Production Plan | IV- B & B1 | 1:1000 |
| | & Sections(2 nd Five (VI-X)Years) | | |
| 11. | Mine Layout, Land Use Pattern & | v | 1:1000 |
| | Afforestation Plan | | |
| 12. | Environment Plan | VI | 1:5000 |
| 13. | Conceptual/ Final Mine Closure Plan | VII | 1:1000 |
| 14. | Conceptual/ Final Mine Closure Sections | VII-A | 1:1000 |
| 15. | Conceptual Plan Common Boundary | VIII | 1:1000 |
| 16. | Conceptual Sections Common Boundary | VIII- A | 1:1000 |
| 17. | Progressive Mine Closure Plan | IX | 1:1000 |

B.SRIKAR,

S/o. Bharathy, D.No.25, Shanti Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District - 635 109.



CONSENT LETTER FROM THE APPLICANT

I hereby give my consent for preparing the Mining Plan in respect of Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.86.50Hectares of Patta Land in S.F.No.79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State by Shri. S. Dhanasekar, M.Sc., Qualified Person.

I request the Deputy Director, Department of Geology and Mining, KRISHNAGIRI District to make further correspondence regarding modifications if any in the Mining Plan with the said Qualified Person on this following address.

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc.,

Qualified Person No.5/30-7B, Avvai Nagar, Ponkumar Mines Road, Jagir Ammapalayam, Salem District - 636302. E-Mail: <u>geodhana@yahoo.co.in</u> Cell: 98946-28970

I hereby undertake that all modifications so made in the Mining Plan by the Qualified Person may be deemed to have been made with my knowledge and consent and shall be acceptable to me and binding on me in all respects.

(B. SRIKAR) Signature of the Applicant

Place: Krishnagiri Date:

251

B.SRIKAR, S/o. Bharathy, D.No.25, Shanti Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District - 635 109.



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the Mining Plan in respect of Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent 1.86.50Hectares of Patta Land in S.F.No.79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State has been prepared with my consultation and I have understood the contents and agree to implement the same in accordance with the Mining Laws.

(B) SRIKAR) Signature of the Applicant

Place: Krishnagiri. Date:

S. Dhanasekar.M.Sc.,(Geol),

Qualified Person,

No.5/30-7P Arvai Nogar, Slava Ponkumar Wines Road * HEB 2023 Jagir Amarapalayam, Compute sortage

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that, the provisions of Minor Minerals Conservation and Development Rules, 2010 (MMCDR) have been observed in the Mining Plan for the grant of **Rough Stone & Gravel** quarry lease over an extent of **1.86.50Hectares** of **Patta Land** in **S.F.No.79** of **Midithepalli** Village, **Shoolagiri** Taluk, **Krishnagiri** District, **Tamilnadu** State obtained by **Thiru. B.Srikar**, for applied quarry lease.

Wherever specific permission / exemptions / relaxations or approvals are required, the Applicant will approach the concerned authorities of State and Central Governments for obtaining such permissions etc.

Certified

Signature of Qualified Person. S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., IGec Qualified Person

Place: SALEM

Date:

S. Dhanasekar.M.Sc.,(Geol),

Qualified Person,

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that during preparation of Mining Plan for Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.86.50Hectares of Patta Land in S.F.No.79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State for Thiru. B.Srikar covers all the provisions of Mines Act, Rules, and Regulations etc made there under and whenever specific permission are required, the Applicant will approach the Director General of Mines Safety, Chennai. The standards prescribed by DGMS in respect of Mines Health will be strictly implemented.

Certified

Signature of Qualified Person.

Bus Bri Signan

ក្រសាលានាក្នា பல் மற்றும் கரங்கள்

7023

No.5/30-7B, Avuai Naga

Ponkumar Mines Road

Jagir Amnapalay

Salem-

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc. (Geo) Qualified Person

Place: SALEM

Date:

MINING PLAN FOR MINOR MINERALS ROUGH STONE & GRAVEL QUARRY TOTAL LEASE GRANTED PERIOD 10 YEARS PROPOSED PERIOD OF MINING 10 YEARS Over an extent 1.86.50Hectares of Patta Land in S.F. No.79 of Multicepally Villagen

Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State

(Prepared Under Rules 41 & 42 as amended in Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959)

1.0 INTRODUCTION :

- Thiru. B.SRIKAR, S/o. Bharathy, residing at D.No.25, Shanti Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District-635 109, has applied quarry lease for Rough Stone& Gravel over an extent of 1.86.50Hectares of Patta Land in S.F. No.79 of Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State for a period of Ten Years.
- 2. The Deputy Director, KRISHNAGIRI in his letter Rc. No.646/2021/Minerals dated 31.01.2023 has directed the applicant to produce approved Mining Plan and Environmental Clearance certificate from the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) for the grant of quarry lease for the applied area.
- 3. Accordingly, Mining Plan is prepared under Rules 41 & 42 as amended in Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 by incorporating the conditions imposed in the precise area communication letter and by incorporating all the details proposed in the letter to obtain environmental clearance from State Environment Impact Assessment Authority.
- 4. In the above circumstances, the mining plan has been prepared for the applicant Thiru. B.SRIKAR for a period of 10 years for approval and subsequent submission of Form-I and Pre-Feasibility report to obtain environmental clearance from the SEIAA of Tamil Nadu.
- 5. This Mining Plan is prepared for the Applied Rough Stone & Gravel quarry for the period of Ten years by considering the TNMMCR 1959, and as per the EIA Notification 2006 and subsequent amendments and judgments.

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) Qualified Person

0

0

0

- 6. The Geological Reserves is estimated as 765140M³ and Mineable & rouce apple Reserves is estimated as 333729 M³ of Rough Stone and 33210618 of Gravel after leaving necessary safety distance from the lease boundary as indicated while granting the quarry lease Proceedings and relevant mining laws in force.
- The proposed production scheduled for the Ten years is estimated as 333229M³ (for the First five (I-V)years- 224329M³ & for the Next five (VI-X)years- 109400M³) of Rough stone and 33210m³ of Gravel for first five years.

The proposed average annual production of Rough stone is about 33373 m³(Ten Years) & 33210m³ of Gravel(first five years).

8. Estimated Life of the Quarry

| Total Mineable ROM | = 333729 M ³ |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Recoverable Reserves @ 100% | = 333729 M ³ |
| Average production per year | = 33373 M ³ |
| Estimated Life of the Quarry | = 333729 / 33373 = 10.0 years |

Life = 10.0 years

- 9. Environmental parameters,
 - i) There is no interstate boundary around 10Kms radius.
 - ii) There is no wild life animal sanctuary within 10Kms radius form the project site area under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972. Therefore the project seeks clearance only from State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA), under B2 Category.
- 10. Environmental measures already adopted are,
 - i) Dust Control at source while drilling and blasting,
 - ii) Dust suppression at loading point and transport haul roads,
 - iii) Noise Control in blasting, control of fly rock missiles and vibration by doing peak particle velocity with in standard as prescribed by the DGMS and MoEF.
 - iv) Unnecessary land degradation should be avoided or damaged land should be reclaimed or rehabilitated.
 - v) Uneven rat hole mining is avoided and follow scientific and systematic mining by safe bench system of open cast mining.
 - vi) Mining near major fracture zones already avoided to control ground water fluctuation in the adjacent agricultural lands.

- viii) Noise level should not exceed 80db and the vehicles use only permitted #ir#figm 2023 while on road near residential areas.
- ix) Safety zones as prescribed by the Department of Geology and Mining from adjacent infrastructures should be strictly adhering to.
- x) And any other conditions as stipulated by the concerned authorities will be followed to protect the environment.

2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

| a. | Name of the Village | : | Midithepalli | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| b. | Name of the Panchayat / Union | : | Athimugam / Shoolagiri | |
| c. | The proposed total Mineable Reserves | : | 333729M ³ | |
| d. | The proposed quantity of reserves | : | 333729M3 (for the First five (I-V)years- | |
| | (level of production) Rough stone | | 224329M ³ & for the Next five (VI-X)years- | |
| | and Gravel to be mined is | | 109400M ³) & 33210M ³ – Gravel, | |
| | (Recoverable reserves) | | | |
| e. | Total extent of the area | : | 1.86.50Ha | |
| f. | Proposed Period of mining | : | Ten Years | |
| g. | Proposed Depth of mining | : | 39.0m (2.0m Gravel + 37.0m Roughstone) | |
| h. | Existing Pit Dimension | | Nil | |
| i. | Average Production Per Year Rough | | 33373M ³ - Rough stone | |
| | Stone & Gravel | | 33210M ³ - Gravel | |
| j. | Method of mining / level of | : | Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a | |
| | mechanization | | bench height of 5m and bench width of 5m is | |
| | | | proposed. | |
| k. | Types of Machineries used in the | : | i) Compressor with jack hammer. | |
| | quarry | | ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity. | |
| 1. | Cost of the Project | | | |
| | a. Fixed Cost | | Rs. 21,00,000/- | |
| | b. Operational Cost | | Rs. 30,00,000/- | |
| | c. EMP Cost | | Rs. 3,80,000/- | |

e

D

Ð

| r | | | இயக்குநர் ஆ | 1 |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| m . | The Applied lease area is bounded | : | Toposheet No. 57- H/18 | |
| | by four corners and the coordinates | | 17 EED 7000 | A |
| ĺ | are | | FED ZUZJ | |
| | Latitude | : | 12° 46' 01.9743" N to 1245 52-1189 11 | Y |
| | Longitude | : | 77° 57' 03.0289" E to 77° 56 59 2536" E | |
| | North East | : | 12° 46' 01.9743" N 77° 57' 03.0289"E | |
| | South East | : | 12° 45' 52.1734" N 77° 57' 01.7042"E | |
| | North West | : | 12° 46' 02.6386" N 77° 57' 01.9992"E | |
| _ | South West | : | 12° 45' 52.1189" N 77° 56' 59.2536"E | |

3.0. GENERAL INFORMATION:

| 3.1 | a. | Name of the Applicant | : | Thiru. B.Srikar, |
|-----|----|---|---|---------------------------------|
| | b. | Address of the Applicant with | : | B. Srikar, |
| | | phone No and e-mail id if any | | S/o. Bharathy, |
| | | | | D.No.25, Shanti Nagar (West), |
| | | | | 2 nd Cross, |
| | | | | Hosur Taluk, |
| | | | | Krishnagiri District-635 109. |
| | c. | Status of the Applicant | : | Individual |
| 3.2 | a. | Mineral Which the Applicant intends to mine | : | Rough Stone & Gravel |
| | b. | Precise area letter | : | Rc. No. 646/2021/Minerals |
| | | | | dated: 31.01.2023 |
| _ | C. | Period of permission | : | 10 Years |
| | e. | Name and Address of the | : | S.Dhanasekar, M.Sc., |
| | | Qualified Person/Authorized | | No.5/30-7B, Avvai Nagar, |
| | | person preparing the Mining Plan | | Ponkumar Mines Road, |
| | | | | Jagir Ammapalayam, |
| | | | | Salem District - 636302. |
| | | | | E-Mail: geodhana@yahoo.co.in |
| | | | | Cell: 98946-28970 & 73733-74702 |

4.0 LOCATION:

a. DETAILS AREA:

| 4.0 <u>LOCA</u> a. <u>DETAI</u> | <u>TION:</u> LS AREA: | | | (* (* | میں 17 F | <u>Бріт Эндиялар</u> Ев 2023 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| State | District | Panchayat / Union | Taluk | Village | S.F.No | Extent In DIGH Hectare |
| Tamil Nadu | Krishnagiri | Athimugam / Shoolagiri | Shoolagiri | Midithepalli | 79 | 1:80.50 |
| | | | A | TOT | AL = | 186 50 Ha |

| - | | | |
|----|------------------------|---|--|
| b. | Classification of the | : | It is a Patta Land, which is not fit for vegetation/cultivation. |
| | Area (Ryotwari / | ĺ | |
| | Poramboke / others) | | |
| C. | Ownership / | : | It is a Patta Land in S. F.No. 79 registered in the name of |
| | Occupancy of the | | Mr. D. Ravikumar S/o. Vengatayya vide patta no.686. |
| | Applied Lease area | | Pattadhar Mr.D.Ravikumar gave consent to B.Srikar, |
| | (Surface rights) | | S/o. Bharathy for a period of 12 years. Hence applicant has |
| | | | surface right over the area. |
| | Toposheet No. with | ; | Toposheet No. $57 - H/13$ |
| d. | Latitude and | : | 12° 46' 01.9743" N to 12° 45' 52.1189" N |
| | Longitude | : | 77° 57' 03.0289" E to 77° 56' 59.2536" E |
| e. | Existence of Public | : | Krishnagiri – Shoolagiri = 26.0 Kms. |
| | Road / Railway line if | | Shoolagiri – Athimugam = 10.5 Kms. |
| | any nearby the area | | Quarry site is located in Northwestern side at a distance of |
| | and approximate | | 3.0kms from Athimugam village. |
| i | distance | | |
| | | | |

| 5.0 <u>GEO</u> | LOGY AND MINERAL | <u>RF</u> | PART - A CSERVES: |
|----------------|--|-----------|--|
| 5.1 a. | Topography | : | The area is situated good sloping terrain toward NE direction covered with Gravel & Rough Stone which does not sustain any type of vegetation. The altitude of the area is 869m above MSL. No major river is found nearby the lease area. Water table is noticed at a depth of 66m from below the surface in the adjacent open well and bore well. Temperature of the area is reported to be 18°C to a maximum of 38°C during summer. Rainfall of this area is about 800mm to 900 mm during the monscores in a year. |
| b. | Infrastructures nearby the Applied Lease area. 1. Post Office 2. Police Station 3. G.H 4. Fire service 5. Railway Station 6. School 7. Airport 8. Seaport | | Venkatesapuram – 2.1kms Berigai – 9.7kms Shoolagiri – 15.0kms Hosur – 22.0kms Hosur – 18.0kms Venkatesapuram – 1.3kms Bangalore – 90.5kms Chennai – 303.0kms |
| C. | Regional Geology | : | KRISHNAGIRI District is underlined by the wide range of metamorphic rocks of peninsular gneissic complex. These rocks are extensively weathered and overlain by the recent valley fills and alluvium at places. The geological formations found in the District are Archaean rocks like Gneisses, Granites, Charnockite basic granulites and calc-gneisses. The younger formations are Quartz veins and pegmatite. |

| | | | The geol follo | generalized stra ogical formations ows. Age Recent to Sub recent Archaean | Atigraphic Steelession on the met within this District Is a Mark Cormetion State Alluvium Granites, basic granulites, Peninsular Gneiss, Calc Gneiss and Charnockites |
|-----|----|---|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | d. | Geology of the Lease Area | : | The area is main crystalline meta The rock type n Granite Gneiss and Feldspar with minerals. The Charnockitt a high grade meta The general trending SE 70°. general geological | nly composed of Archaean amorphic complex. noticed in the area for lease is s which contains mostly Quartz ith some ferromagnesian re is part of peninsular Gneisses etamorphic rock. and of formation is NE – SW and succession of the area is given |
| | | | 1. | Age Recent to Sub recent Archaean | Rock Formation Soil, Alluvium Charnockites |
| | | | 3. | Archaean | Peninsular Gneiss, and Cale Gneiss |
| 5.2 | | Details of Exploration already carried out if any | : Sinc Surf area prep | e the Rough Stor ace itself, No need was personally en ared the Mining Pl | ne & Gravel is seen from the led to exploration. However, the xamined by the Geologist who an. |
| 5.3 | a. | Already excavated in pit dimensions | Nil | | 1,21,2, 11, 2, 11 |

D

| | l Reserv | es: | | | 1 | QUIG | குநர் _ | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Gravel : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Thickness of Gravel in this area is 2 0m and the total volunte of the total | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| time time | VIICS2 01 | Graver | in mis | area is | 2.0m and th | ne renativolume pr | FEB* 202 | | | | | | |
| Hauch Stand Barrish | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rough Stone : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Ava | ilable G | leologi | cal Re | serve | is estimate | ed as 7651400 | JLD BITT | | | | | | |
| Gravel is | calculate | unt he | o a dan | th of | 1 m and D. | web Ctaus 1 | d d | | | | | | |
| Tatal Da- | | a upu | o a dep | | | night stone at a q | lepin or | | | | | | |
| I otal Dep | n-39m. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | GF | COLO | GICA | L RESE | RVES | | | | | | | |
| | _ | L | w | D | Volume | Geological | 0 | | | | | | |
| Section | Bench | (m) | (m) | (m) | In M3 | Reserves in m3 @ 100% | in m3 | | | | | | |
| | I | 160 | 80 | 2 | | | 25600 | | | | | | |
| | 11 | 160 | 32 | 2 | 10240 | 10240 | | | | | | | |
| | III | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | | | | | |
| | IV | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | | | | | |
| XY-AB | V | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | | | | | |
| | VI | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | | | | | |
| | VII | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | P | | | | | | |
| | VIII | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | | | | | |
| | IX | 160 | 80 | 5 | 64000 | 64000 | | | | | | | |
| | TO | TAL | | | 458240 | 458240 | 25600 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 155 | 60 | 2 | | | 18600 | | | | | | |
| | | 155 | 60 | 3 | 27900 | 27900 | | | | | | | |
| | IV | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | | | | | |
| XY-CD | V | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | | | | | |
| | | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | | | | | |
| | | 155 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | | | | | |
| | I VIII I | 100 | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | | | | | |
| | IV | 155 | 60 | I | 1000 | | | | | | | | |
| | IX | 155 TAL | 60 | 5 | 46500 | 46500 | | | | | | | |

Mineable Reserves: c,

The Mineable reserves are calculated by deducting 7.5m & Hin Sates distance and bench loss. In this regard, since the adjacent area also to be under new lease area, distance and necessary action will be taken to get permission from DGMS in future to comply * Home FFR 2023 regulation under (111)3 of MMR.1961.

Gravel:

The Thickness of Gravel in this area is 2.0m and the total volume of Gravel will be 33210m³.

्रीध्यमधीती

1081

Rough Stone :

The Mineable reserves and the Recoverable reserves are 333729m³ respectively, at the rate of 100% recovery upto the permissible depth. Total Depth-39m (2m Gravel + 37m Rough Stone).

| | | | MINE. | ABLE | RESERVE | S | |
|---------|-------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | D (m) | Volume In M3 | Mineable Reserves in m3 @ 100% | Gravel in m3 |
| | 1 | 153 | 65 | 2 | | | 19890 |
| | | 153 | 25 | 2 | 7650 | 7650 | |
| | III | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
| | IV | 153 | 65 | 5 | 49725 | 49725 | |
| XY-AB | V | 148 | 55 | 5 | 40700 | 40700 | _ |
| | VI | 143 | 45 | 5 | 32175 | 32175 | |
| | VII | 138 | 35 | 5 | 24150 | 24150 | |
| | VIII | 133 | 25 | 5 | 16625 | 16625 | |
| | IX | 123 | 15 | 5 | 9225 | 9225 | |
| | TO | ΓAL | | | 229975 | 229975 | 19890 |
| | I | 148 | 45 | 2 | | | 13320 |
| | II | 148 | 41 | 3 | 18204 | 18204 | |
| XY-CD | III | 148 | 45 | 5 | 33300 | 33300 | <u>. </u> |
| AT OD | IV | 143 | 35 | 5 | 25025 | 25025 | |
| | V | 138 | 25 | 5 | 17250 | 17250 | |
| | VI | 133 | 15 | 5 | 9975 | 9975 | |
| | TOT | AL | | | 103754 | 103754 | 13320 |
| | GRAND | ТОТА | L | | 333729 | 333729 | 33210 |

| 6.1 | Method of Mining | • | Opencast method of semi mechanized methog is bein adopted to extract Gravel & Rough Stone of required size B B B S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S |
|-----|--|-------------|---|
| 6.2 | Mode of Working | : | It is a semi mechanized quarrying operation using shot hol drilling with the help of compressor and jack hammers an smooth blasting. Rough Stone are removed using Hydrauli excavator and loaded directly to the tippers and transported to the needy end users. |
| 6.3 | Proposed bench height & Width | : | Bench height = 5mts. Bench width = 5mts. |
| 5.4 | Details of Gravel / Mineral Production proposed for Ten years. | | Gravel / Overburden production details follows: The entire lease area is covered 2.0m of Gravel and the estimated quantity of Gravel is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation will be removed and hydraulic excavators are used for loading the gravel into the tipper from pit head to needy buyers. This will be done only after obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government. |
| | Year wise reserves Rough stone produce The proposed rate | cal ctio | culations : on First Five Years details as follows: production of Rough Stone is estimated as 224329m ³ & Grave |

Ø

Stone is about 44866m³ per year at the rate of 100% recovery upto the permissible depth. Reserves Calculated upto 19m (2m Gravel + 17m Rough Stone). (Refer Drawing Plate No.IV-Al-Year wise Sections).

GWAGEN 60,60 2/2 YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION (Fill Reptrerap Volume D Sectio Benc W L eserve YEAR in (m மற்றுடி n h **(m)** (m) HL-ចត ៣3) (Cu.m.) Cu.m(100%) Ι XY-AB Π I-YEAR III I XY-CD Π XY-AB **II-YEAR** IV III-XY-CD ш YEAR IV-XY-AB YEAR V-YEAR XY-CD IV Total (First Five (I-V)Years)

Rough stone production Second Five Years details as follows:

ø

C

The proposed rate of production of **Rough Stone** is estimated as 109400m³ for Second Five (VI-X) years. The average proposed rate of production of **Rough Stone** is about **21880m³** per year at the rate of 100% recovery upto the permissible depth. Reserves Calculated upto 20m Rough Stone. (Refer Drawing Plate No.IV-B1-Year wise Sections).

| YEARWI | YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION (Second Five (VI-X)Years) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| YEAR | Sectio n | Benc h | L (m) | W (m) | D (m) | Volume in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable Reserve in Cu.m(100%) | | | | | | |
| VI-YEAR | XY-AB | VI | 143 | 45 | 5 | 32175 | 32175 | | | | | | |
| VII-YEAR | XY-CD | V | 138 | 25 | 5 | 17250 | 17250 | | | | | | |
| VIII- YEAR | XY-AB | VII | 138 | 35 | 5 | 24150 | 24150 | | | | | | |
| IX-YEAR | XY-CD | VI | 133 | 15 | 5 | 9975 | 9975 | | | | | | |
| VVEAD | XY-AB | VIII | 133 | 25 | 5 | 16625 | 16625 | | | | | | |
| | XY-CD | IX | 123 | 15 | 5 | 9225 | 9225 | | | | | | |
| Tot | tal (Seco | nd Five | 109400 | 109400 | | | | | | | | | |

| | a. | Mining | 1. | Drilling o | f shot | holes w | ill be come | ed out a | பைக்கு | bit | | | |
|---|--------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | iack har- | | notes W | ni de carrie | eu out verge | g compresi | OF H | | | |
| | | | | јаск папп | ner. D | epth of f | ioles shall | be $1 n \sigma_{52} m$ | bench heig | ght an 2007 | | | |
| | | | | spacing si | hall be | e 0.75m | and burde | n shall be | 0.60m Iff | ondligh | | | |
| | | | | preface. D | etails (| of drilling | g equipmen | ts are given | Lielling and an | ាះវាត្រូ | | | |
| | | | | Туре | Nos | Dia | Size / | Make | Motive | H.P | | | |
| | | | | | | hole | y i | | power | | | | |
| ĺ | | | | Jack | 3 | 25.5 | Hand | Atlas | Diesel | 60 | | | |
| | | | | Hammer | | mm | held | copco 2Nos | | | | | |
| | b. | Loading | 1-1 | Load | ing of | f waste | and Rough | Stone & | Gravel is | bein | | | |
| | | - | | carried out by Excavator into 10 tonne capacity tippers from the | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | working p | lace p | periodica | lly. Details | of loadin | ig equipme | ent ar | | | |
| | | | | Tvne | N | | Rucket | Make | Motivo | Цр | | | |
| | | | | -) / - | |) c | apacity | IVIANC | power | n.r | | | |
| | | | | Hydrauli | - 1 | | $\frac{(MT)}{2M^3}$ | L C T | - Di l | 180 | | | |
| | | | | excavato | | | | Ex200 | Diesel | 120 | | | |
| | r. | Transportation | | Transport | £ | | | | | | | | |
| | ς, | rimsportation | ' | tipper | nraw | materials | and waste | shall be doi | ne by 10 tor | ines | | | |
| | | | | Туре | Nos | Size / | Mal | ce M | lotive H. | P.] | | | |
| | | | | Tinner | 1 | Capacity | A -l - l T | p | ower | | | | |
| | | | | <u> ripper</u> | | 10 101.1 | A SHOK L | еміяни і і | 118681 | | | | |
| | d. | Energy: | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | а. | Energy: Electricity for m | ine | s and lights | only | at nights | (working i | s restricted | on day tim | e only | | | |
| | a. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to | nine o 4 | s and lights Pm). Diese | only I (HSI | at nights D) will b | (working in the used for | s restricted | on day tim | e only | | | |
| | a. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres fo | nine o 41 | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire p | only I (HSI | at nights D) will b life. Die | (working is the used for sel will be | s restricted quarrying | on day tim machines a | e only tround | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres fo pumps. No pow | nine o 4 or tl | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required | only l (HSI roject | at nights D) will b life. Die: | (working is the used for sel will be | s restricted quarrying brought fro | on day tim machines a om nearby | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres fo pumps. No pow nearby electric p | nine o 41 or tl ver i | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtai | s only l (HSI roject for th | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres fo pumps. No pow nearby electric p For Gravel: | nine o 4 or tl ver i oole: | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtai | only l (HSI roject for th ning p | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond | s restricted quarrying brought frought frought frought frought frought frought from the statement of the statement of the second | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres fo pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat | nine o 4 or th ver i poles | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtai will consum | only l (HSI roject for th ning p | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / box | s restricted quarrying brought frought | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres fo pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat | nine o 4 or t ver i oole: tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum vill excavat | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond D litres / hor Om ³ of Gray | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ | nine o 4 por tl ver i poles tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum will excavat | s only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 31 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / how $0 m^3$ of Grav 3210/60 = | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553 Shour | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt | nine o 4 or t ver i ooles tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum will excavate 553.5work | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 33 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / how 0 m ³ of Grav 3210/ 60 = 53.5 x 10 lit | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553.5hour | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt Total diesel cons | nine o 4 or tl ver i oole: tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum will excavate 553.5work | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 33 urs $= 54$ = 54 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / how 0 m ³ of Grav 3210/ 60 = 53.5 x 10 lit | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553.5hour tres | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt Total diesel cons Gravel | nine o 4 or tl ver i oole: tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum will excavate 553.5work | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 33 urs = 54 = 59 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / how 0 m ³ of Grav 3210/ 60 = 53.5 x 10 lit 535 litres of | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553.5hour tres of HSD will | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. s | e only tround diese from | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p For Gravel: Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt Total diesel cons Gravel | nine o 4 or tl ver i poles tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum vill excavate 553.5work | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 33 urs $= 59$ = 59 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / hor 20^{3} of Grav 3210/60 = 53.5×10 lit 535 litres of | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553.5hour tres of HSD will | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese from | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p For Gravel: Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt Total diesel cons Gravel | nine o 4 or tl ver i poles tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum vill excavate 553.5work | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 33 ars = 52 = 59 | (working in e used for sel will be . Lightings n from cond 0 litres / hor 200^3 of Grav 3210/60 = 53.5×10 lit 535 litres of | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553.5hour tres of HSD will | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese from | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt Total diesel cons Gravel | nine o 4 or t ver i oole: tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum vill excavate 553.5work | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 14 = 60 = 33 urs $= 54$ = 59 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / hor 0 m ³ of Grav 3210/ 60 = 53.5 x 10 lit 535 litres of | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author ur vel 553.5hour tres of HSD will | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only tround diese from | | | |
| | d. | Energy: Electricity for m between 8Am to 272511 litres for pumps. No pow nearby electric p <u>For Gravel:</u> Per hour excavat Per hour excavat For 33210m ³ Diesel consumpt Total diesel cons Gravel | nine o 4) or tl ver i boles tor v tor v | s and lights Pm). Diese he entire pr is required s after obtain will consum will excavate 553.5work: option | only l (HSI roject for th ning p ne re | at nights D) will b life. Dies e project ermission = 16 = 60 = 33 urs = 59 = 59 | (working in the used for sel will be . Lightings in from cond 0 litres / hor 20 = 3210/60 = 32 | s restricted quarrying brought fro on the nig cerned author vel 553.5hour tres of HSD will | on day tim machines a om nearby ght is taken orities. | e only around diese from | | | |

| Per hour excavator will consume = 16 liters / hour 17 FEB 202 Per hour excavator will excavate = 20m ³ of rough hom 17 FEB 202 For 333729m ³ = 333729 / 20 = 686 hours x 16 liters / hour Diesel consume 16686 working hours = 16686 hours x 16 liters / hour 10 liters / hour Total diesel consumption = 266976 litres of HSD will be utilized f Rough stone Total diesel consumption is around Gravel 5535 Litres + Rough Stone 266976 Litres >= 272511 litres of HSD for the entire period of life. 6.6 6.6 a. Disposal of : (Gravel ''' The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation w be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to t Government. Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimate pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practice factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible arcas etc. Afforestation ha | | T | For Rough stor | and Build Bit a |
|--|-------|-------|--------------------------|--|
| Per hour excavator will excavate = 20m ³ of rough hom 17 FEB 202 Per hour excavator will excavate = 20m ³ of rough hom 17 FEB 202 Diesel consume 16686 working hours = 16686 hours x 16 liters 16000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | Per hour excave | tor will consume = 16 liters / hour |
| At the bedvalue with exclusive = 2000 Or longing intervent the true true, for 333729/20 For 333729/30/a) = 333729/20 Diesel consume 16686 working hours = 16686 hours x 16 liter Total diesel consumption = 266976 litres of HSD will be utilized f Rough stone = 272511 litres of HSD for the entire period of life. 6.6 a. Disposal of . The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m ² . Gravel formation w Overburden be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff /Gravel obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to t Government. Conceptual for the entire everage Ultimate Pit dimensions: mining Plan for the entire for the entire Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, Ultimate Pit Dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on thour boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 70 BLASTING: The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size b | | | Per hour excave | tor will exervate = 20 m^3 of rough theme 17 FEB 202: |
| 101 3571271 = 357127120 = 4000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | For 333729m ³ | = 333720/20 = 3686 have |
| Disciptions into roose working nours = roose nours x to ners roose nours x to ners Total diesel consumption = 266976 litres of HSD will be utilized f Rough stone Total diesel consumption is around Gravel 5535 Litres + Rough Stone 266976 Litres)= 272511 litres of HSD for the entire period of life. 6.6 a. Disposal of (Gravel) : The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation w be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government. 6.7 a. Brief Note on : Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultime pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, | | | Diasol oonsume | - 353729720 - NUQUHIUMERETURI |
| For an description = 2669 / 6 ittres of HSD will be unitized to Rough stone For all dieser consumption is around Gravel 5535 Litres + Rough Stone 266976 Litres)= 272511 litres of HSD for the entire period of life. 6.6 a. Disposal of iffer and iteration of the iteration iteratiteration it | | | Tetal diatal an | 10080 working nours = 10080 nours x to inters |
| Kougn stone Total diesel consumption is around Gravel 5535 Litres + Rough Stone 266976 Litres)= 272511 litres of HSD for the entire period of life. 6.6 a. Disposal of : 0verburden be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to t Government. 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultima pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, Iease period Ultimate Pit Dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTINC: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | Total dieser con | = 206976 litres of HSD will be utilized for the second secon |
| For a left of both disest consumption is around Gravel 5535 Litres + Rough Stone 266976 6.6 a. Disposal of Overburden / Gravel The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation were be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government. 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimate pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimate pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimensions in given as Under, lease period Image: Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTINC: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : Image: Pattern : is by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | Rougn stone | |
| 6.6 a. Disposal of Overburden The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation were be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government. 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimate pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period Image: Base period Image: Base period Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period Ultimate Pit bimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practice factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | Total diesei con | sumption is around Gravel 5535 Litres + Rough Stone 266976 |
| 6.6 a. Disposal of Overburden //Gravel The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m°. Gravel formation w be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to t Government. 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimat pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control I Proposed Control I The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | Litres)= 27251 | 1 litres of HSD for the entire period of life. |
| Overburden /Gravel be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aff obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to t Government. 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan for the entire lease period Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimat pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period Ultimate Pit Dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | 6.6 | а. | Disposal of | The Gravel of the lease area is 33210m ³ . Gravel formation w |
| /Gravel obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to t 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual : Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultimat pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period Ultimate Pit Dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | Overburden | be removed and transported to the needy end user, only aft |
| 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual : Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultima pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period Image: Ultimate Pit Dimensions: Image: Image: | | - | /Gravel | obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the |
| 6.7 a. Brief Note on Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultima pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, I lense period Ultimate Pit Dimensions: = 300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | Government. |
| Conceptual systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultima Mining Plan pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., for the entire Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, lease period Ultimate Pit Dimensions: | 6.7 | a. | Brief Note on | : Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object |
| Mining Plan for the entire lease period pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, Ultimate Pit Dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on th boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. Y.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | Conceptual | systematic development of bench lay outs, selection of ultima |
| for the entire lease period Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, Image: Ultimate Pit Dimensions: Image: Image: I | | | Mining Plan | pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit slope, etc., |
| lease period Ultimate Pit Dimensions: =300.0m(L) X 55.0m(W) Avg X 39.0m(D) Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practice factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. V.0 BLASTING: The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | for the entire | Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as Under, |
| Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting Pattern Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | lease period | Tildingto Dit Dimonotomot |
| Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practice factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | 1 | $= 300.0m(L) \times 55.0m(W) \text{ Avg } \times 39.0m(D)$ |
| Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. 2.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | |
| factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. ZO BLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practic |
| permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Qualition monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analystic studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. ABLASTING: The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | factors such as the economical depth of mining, safety zone |
| boundary barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. ABLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | permissible areas etc. Afforestation has been proposed on the |
| All the baseline information studies like Air Quali monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. A.B.LASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | boundary barrier by planting trees. |
| monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. A.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | All the baseline information studies like Air Quali |
| studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. A.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | monitoring, Noise and Vibration monitoring, Water Analys |
| 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : Blasting Pattern : Image: Size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | studies are being carried out every year as per the MOEF norms. |
| 7.0 BLASTING: Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | |
| Proposed Control : The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable Blasting Pattern size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | /.0 B | LAS | <u>TING</u> : | |
| Blasting Pattern size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | Pr | opose | ed Control : | The massive formation shall be broken into pieces of portable |
| hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | Bl | astin | g Pattern | size by drilling and Proposed Control Blasting using jack |
| for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | hammers and shot hole Blasting. Powder factor of explosives |
| tonnes per K.g of explosives. | | | | for breaking such hard rock shall be in the order of 6 to 7 |
| | | | | tonnes per K.g of explosives. |
| | | | | |
| | - | | 1 | |

| | | | Proposed Con | trol Blasting | ς pε | arameters are as f | PEOWEULJ |
|-----|------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | | Diameter of tr | ie nole | | | Manald |
| | | | Spacing | | : | - CU L I | Si 5.15 19 |
| | | | Depth | | : | | |
| | | | Charge / H | ole | : | D.Cord with w | ater or 70 |
| | Ť. | | | | | gms of gun po | owder or |
| | | | Dattern of h | ala | | | ie, |
| | | | | fhala | ÷ | $\frac{2 \text{Lig Za}}{70^{0} \text{ from the h}}$ | ug onimental |
| | | | Ouantity of rock | r hroken | • | 70 from the h | - 1 17 M/T |
| | | | Control Blasting | afficiency | $\frac{\cdot}{\cdot}$ | $1.17 \times 0.00\% =$ | $\frac{-1.17}{105}$ MT (|
| | | | | entelency | · | 1.17 X 90% - | 1.0510117 |
| | - | | Charge per | hole | • | 140 gms of 2 | 5mm dia |
| | | | li charge per | | • | cartride | 26 |
| | | | Ouantity of rock b | proken per | : | 111.24 | V[3. |
| | | | day | r | | | |
| | | | ROCK BLAS | STING | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 face survey | | 20 | drilling the shot holes | |
| | | | 1 face survey 3 checking in 5 detonating | e troise | 2 c | drilling the shot holes | 9 |
| 7.2 | Types of | • | 1 face survey 3 checking the 5 detonating the Following explosive | e holes | 24 | and the shot holes | ent blastin |
| 7.2 | Types of Explosives | • | 1 face survey 3 checking th 5 detonating the Following explosive with safe practice. S. Descriptio | e bolos the explosives es are recom | 24 | antiling the shot holes | e ent blastin |
| 7.2 | Types of Explosives | • | 1 lace survey 3 checking in 5 detonating Following explosive with safe practice. S. Descriptio No n | e troles the explosives es are recom Class / Division | 2 | and the shot holes | ent blastin, Size |
| 7.2 | Types of Explosives | ÷ | 1 face survey 3 checking in 3 checking in 5 detonating Following explosive with safe practice. S. Descriptio No n 1. Slurry | e toles the explosives es are recom Class / Division Class - 3 | 2< | and the shot holes | ent blastin, Size 25 x 200 |
| 7.2 | Types of Explosives | • | 1 Inco survey 3 checking in 3 checking in 5 detonating Following explosive with safe practice. S. Descriptio No n 1. Slurry 2. Detonators | e holes the explosives es are recom Class / Division Class - 3 Class - 3 | 2. | and the shot holes and the shot holes (OD & ED) | ent blastin, Size 25 x 200 6.5 x 32 |

C

| | to minimize ground vibration due to blasting | vibration due to blasting. 1. The minimum recommended delay time of onstructive interference of blast vibration waves and hence its impact or amplitude is less. 2. Use of Ammonium nitrate fuel oil mixture for shot holes is avoided because which cause high fly of rocks in view critical diameter problem. Only high strength explosives like slurry are used in the form of cartridge. 3. Charge per hole will exceed the powder factor designed for each hole based on the quantum of blasting, strength of rocks. fracture pattern etc. |
|-----|---|---|
| 7.4 | Storage of Explosives and safety measures to be taken while blasting. | The Applicant stores the explosives as per the Indian Explosives Act, 1958. The explosives to be used in mines being a small quantity, the District collector may be approached to keep the stocks not exceeding 5kgs at time or any other quantity permitted by the concerned authorities in a portable magazine of S & B types. An authorized explosive agency is engaged to carry out blasting. The blasting time in a day is between 5 PM to 6 PM. First Aid Box is kept ready at all the time. Necessary precautionary announcement is being carried out before the blasting operation. |
| 8.1 | Depth of Water table | The ground water table is reported as 66m below ground level in nearby wells of this area. Mining depth taken as 39m . Now, proposed quarry depth is above the water table. Hence, quarrying may not affect the ground water. |

•

•

•

| | | | | - |
|-----|---------------------|---|---|-----|
| | | | கயக்குநர் | 2 |
| 8.2 | Arrangement and | : | The ground water may not rise immediately fit this type of | No. |
| | Places where the | | mining. However, the rain water percolation and collection of | בל |
| Ϊ I | mine water is | | water from the seepage shall be less than \$60 lpm and it shall | 6.7 |
| | finally proposed to | 1 | be pumped about periodically by a stand by dissel powered | 1 |
| | be discharged | | Centrifugal pump motivated with 7.5 H.P. Motor. The quality | |
| | | | of water is potable and it is not contaminated with any | |
| | | | hazardous things. | |

9.0 OTHER PERMANENT STRUCTURES:

| 9.1 | Habitations / Village | : | There are n habitations w | radius of 500m. T s given as under, | The nearest | | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | - | | Direction | Village | Distance in kms | Population | | | |
| | | | North | Alnatham | 1.5Kms | 240 | | | |
| - | | | East | Athetti | 1.5Kms | 180 | | | |
| | | | South | Venkatesapuram | 1.7Kms | 420 | | | |
| | | | West | Andevanapalli | 3.0Kms | 310 | | | |
| 9.2 | Power lines (HT/LT) | : | No power lin | e is located in the le | ase area. | | | | |
| 9.3 | Water bodies (River, Pond, Lake, Odai, Channel etc) | : | There is No etc) located | Water bodies (Rive within a radius of 50 | r, Pond, Lake, C 00m. | Ddai, Channel | | | |
| 9.4 | Archeological / Historical Monuments | • | There are no Archeological / Historical Monuments within a radius of 500m. | | | | | | |
| 9.5 | Road (NH, SH, Village Road etc) | : | Krishnagiri – Shoolagiri = 26.0 Kms. Shoolagiri – Athimugam = 10.5 Kms. Quarry site is located in Northwestern side at a distance of 3.0kms from Athimugam village. | | | | | | |
| 9.6 | Places of Worship | : | There are no | Places of Worship w | vithin a radius of | 500m. | | | |
| 9.7 | Reserved Forest / Forest / Social Forest / Wild Life Sanctuary etc., | • | No Reserve for North Cauver the distance of | orest is located with ry Wild life Sanctua of about 25 kms fron | in 500m radial di ry, Udedurgam la 1 the Iease area. | stance. ocated within | | | |

| | | | இயக்குநர் அல | |
|-----|---|---|---|-------|
| 9.8 | Any Interstate Border, Protected areas under the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, Critically Polluted Areas as Identified by Central Pollution | * | There are No inter State border within a really of 5 kms. | いのでは、 |
| | Notified Eco sensitive areas | | | |
| 9.9 | Any Other Structures | : | Nil. | |

10.0 EMPLOYMENT POTENTIAL & WELFARE MEASURES:

| 10.1 | Employment Potential | 1. | As per Mines s | afety under the | e provisions |
|------|-----------------------|----|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | (Management & | | of MMR, 1961 u | under the Mine | s Act, 1952, |
| | Supervisory personal) | | whenever the w | orkers are emp | oloyed more |
| | | | than 10, it is pro- | eferred to have | a qualified |
| | | | Mining Mate to | b keep all the | production |
| | | | workers directly | y under his (| control and |
| | | | supervision. | | |
| | | 2. | The following n | nan power is p | proposed for |
| | | | quarrying Roug | h Stone & Gr | avel during |
| | | | the Ten years | period to a | chieve the |
| | | | proposed produ | ction and to | comply the |
| | | | provisions of the | e Government n | orms. |
| | | 1. | Skilled | Operator | 2 No. |
| | | | | Mechanic | 1 No. |
| | | | | Blaster/Mat | 1 No. |
| | | 2. | Semi – skilled | Driver | 2 Nos |
| | | 3. | Unskilled | Musdoor / | 5 Nos |
| | | | | Labors | |
| | | | | Cleaners | 2Nos |
| | (8): II | | 5.52 | Office Boy | 1No |
| | | 4. | Management & | Supervisory | 2No. |
| | | | staff | | |
| | | | Total = | | 16Nos |

| | | ····· | , | Contra Barrer Sta |
|------|-----|--------------------------|---|--|
| 10.2 | | Welfare Measures | | a constant |
| | a. | Drinking Water | : | Drinking water at the the of 11ths berupertien |
| | | | | shall be provided as per the Rives Butes 1960 It |
| | | | | is proposed to make a borehale for providing |
| | | | | uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other |
| | 1 | | | utilities. |
| | b. | Sanitary facilities | : | Semi-permanent latrines & urinals shall be |
| | | | | maintained at convenient places for use of labours |
| | i - | | | as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines |
| | | | | Rules, 1960 separately for males and females |
| | | | | Washing facilities shall also be arranged as pe |
| H | | | | rule (36) of the Mines Rules, 1960. |
| | c. | First Aid Facility | : | Being a small mine First Aid station as pe |
| | | | | provisions under Rule (44) of the Mines Rule |
| | | | | 1960 will be provided with facilities as per the |
| | | | | third schedule as prescribed. Qualified First Aid |
| | | | | personnel should be appointed or nominated to |
| | | | | attend emergency first aid treatment. |
| | đ. | Labor Health | : | As per Mines Rule, Periodic medica |
| | | | | examination has been arranged for occupationa |
| | | | | health once in a year in addition to attendin |
| | | | 1 | medical treatment of occupational injuries under |
| | | | | the Rule 45 (A), MR, 1960. |
| | e. | Precautionary safety | : | Safety provisions like helmet, goggles, safet |
| | | measures to the Laborers | | shoes, Dust mask, Ear muffs etc have been |
| | | | | provided as per the circulars and amendment |
| | | | | made for Mine labours under the guidance of |
| | | | | DGMS being a mechanized operation. |
| | | | | Necessary training will be conducted once in |
| | | | | year to all the employees with the help of qualifier |
| | | | | and experienced officers to train about the safe and |
| | | | | system at quarrying operation. |

| | | | <u>P</u> / | <u>ART – B</u> | (See | Stat BUB | ्राफ्त में भू | | | |
|--------------|--|-----|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1.0 <u>E</u> | NVIRONMENTAL MAN | AGE | GEMENT PLAN: (* 17 FFR 2023 | | | | | | | |
| 11.1 | Area Land Use Pattern | : | : The land use pattern is given as up | | | | | | | |
| | | | SL, NO. | LAND USE | PRESENT AREA (HECT) | QUAR QUAR (HE | IN USE RYING UOD CCT) | | | |
| | | | 1. | Area under Quarrying | Nil | 1.4 | 5.0 | | | |
| | | | 2. | Infrastructure | Nil | 0.0 |)1.0 | | | |
| | | | 3. | Roads | Nil | 0.0 |)1.0 | | | |
| | | | 4. | Green Belt | Nil | 0.3 | 19.5 | | | |
| | | | 5. | Unutilized Area | 1.86.5 | N | Vil | | | |
| | | | | Total = | 1.86.5Ha | a 1.86 | .5Ha | | | |
| | | | quarry a dept water | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Henc depletion of this a | one & Grav e, it will n area. | vel is propo not affect th | sed up i e groun | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna | : | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Henc depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applico of botanical inte st is noticed in thi | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other l Lease ar erest nor f s area. | vel is proposition of affect the valuable free. Further fauna of 26 | sed up t e groun trees au , neithe oologica | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna Climatic conditions | | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes Gener throug both averag tempe maxim | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Hence depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applied of botanical inter- st is noticed in thi ally sub tropical shout the year a in South west an ge rainfall is about rature ranges from hum of 38 ⁰ C duri | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other d Lease ar erest nor f s area. l climatic nd this D nd North e ut 800mm m 18 ⁰ C du ng the sum | vel is proposition of affect the valuable is real further fauna of zero condition district receive ast monsoor, to 900mm pring winter mer. | sed up t e groun trees ar , neithe oologica prevail ives rai on. Th and th and to | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna Climatic conditions Human Settlement | : | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes Gener throug both averag tempe maxim | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Hence depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applied of botanical inte st is noticed in thi ally sub tropical shout the year a in South west an ge rainfall is about rature ranges from num of 38 ⁰ C during The nearest habital | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other d Lease ar erest nor f s area. l climatic nd this D nd North e ut 800mm m 18 ⁰ C du ng the sum | vel is proposition not affect the valuable in rea. Further fauna of ze condition district receive east monsoor to 900mm wring winter mer. | sed up t e groun trees ar , neithe oologica prevail ives rai on. Th and th and to ion. | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna Climatic conditions Human Settlement | | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes Gener throug both averag tempe maxim | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Hence depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applied of botanical inte st is noticed in thi ally sub tropical shout the year a in South west an ge rainfall is about rature ranges from num of 38°C duri The nearest habita | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other d Lease ar erest nor f s area. l climatic nd this D ad North e ut 800mm m 18 ⁰ C du ng the sum ttions with age | vel is proposition not affect the valuable in rea. Further fauna of ze condition district receive east monsor to 900mm aring winter mer. the populat Distance in Kms | sed up t e groun trees an , neithe oologica prevail ives rai on. Th and th and to ion. Popula tion | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna Climatic conditions Human Settlement | | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes Gener throug both averag tempe maxim | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Hence depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applied of botanical inter- st is noticed in this ally sub tropical phout the year a in South west an ge rainfall is about rature ranges from hum of 38 ⁰ C during the nearest habitant tion Vills h Alnatham | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other d Lease ar erest nor f s area. l climatic nd this D nd North e ut 800mm m 18 ⁰ C du ng the sum tions with age | vel is proposition not affect the valuable in rea. Further fauna of zon condition district receive east monsoon to 900mm ming winter mer. the populat Distance in Kms 1.5Kms | sed up t e groun trees ar , neithe oologica prevail ives rai on. Th and th and to ion. Popula tion 240 | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna Climatic conditions Human Settlement | : | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes Gener throug both averag tempe maxim Direc Nort East | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Hence depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applied of botanical inter- st is noticed in this ally sub tropical ghout the year a in South west and ge rainfall is about rature ranges from hum of 38 ⁰ C durity The nearest habitant tion Villant h Alnatham Athetti | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other d Lease ar erest nor f s area. l climatic nd this D nd North e ut 800mm m 18 ⁰ C du ng the sum tions with age | vel is proposition not affect the valuable in rea. Further fauna of zec condition district receive ast monsoo to 900mm aring winter mer. the populat Distance in Kms 1.5Kms 1.5Kms | sed up t e groun trees ar , neithe oologica prevail ives rai on. Th and th and to ion. Popula tion 240 180 | | | |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna Climatic conditions Human Settlement | | quarry a dept water Excep notice flora interes Gener throug both averag tempe maxim Direc Nort East | ring of Rough Sto h of 39m . Hence depletion of this a t acacia bushes, d in the Applied of botanical inter- st is noticed in this ally sub tropical ghout the year a in South west an ge rainfall is about rature ranges from hum of 38 ⁰ C during the nearest habitant tion Vills h Alnatham Athetti h Venkatesa | one & Grav e, it will n area. no other d Lease ar erest nor f s area. l climatic nd this D nd North e ut 800mm m 18 ⁰ C du ng the sum tions with age | vel is proposition not affect the valuable frea. Further fauna of zon condition district receive east monsoon to 900mm aring winter mer. the populat Distance in Kms 1.5Kms 1.5Kms 1.5Kms 1.7Kms | sed up t e groun trees ar , neithe oologica prevail ives rai on. Th and th and to ion. Popula tion 240 180 420 | | | |

| | | | State BUB BILL |
|------|--|---|--|
| 11.6 | Plan for Air, Dust Suppression | - | Air or dust expected to be generated from drilling process, hauling roads, places of electvated electron of and by water spraying. For the sampling of any high, volume and sampler (Model VFC-PM10) was used (10 meter above and 5 meter away from road) and the particulates were collected on what man GFA glass fiber filters dried in a hot air oven at 105°C for 1hr and weighed. The average flow rate was about 1.1 cubic meters |
| 11.7 | Plan for Noise Control | | Quarrying of Rough Stone & Gravel will be carried out by drilling and blasting by using low power explosives, and hence, noise is very minimum However, periodical noise level monitoring will be carried out to check the noise level in and around the quarry site. In order to assess the extent of noise pollution due to vehicular traffic different zones viz., Silence zone, Residential Zone, Commercial zone, Traffic signals and Industrial zones were identified in urban and suburban areas of Krishnagiri. Adequate number of observations were made in all the selected sites by using the sound level meter (LT Lutron SL- 4001). |
| 11.8 | Environmental Impact Assessment Statement Describing Impact on mining on the Ten years. | | Factors to be considered for EIA are, 1. Dust generation, 2. Land degradation 3. Stabilization and vegetation of dumps 4. Adverse effect on water regime 5. Socio economic benefits arising out of Mining. 6. Noise and Vibration. |
| | a. Dust | - | Dust is expected to be generated from drilling hauling roads; place of excavation etc and it will be suppressed by periodical wetting of lands. |

| | | | லுக்குநர் |
|-------|------------------------------|---|---|
| | b. Land degradation | : | Land degradation is by means of surling the trees and |
| | | | removal of fertile soil does not rise. Proposed usage |
| | | | of land for Ten years shall be less than 4.86.50Ha |
| | | | Afforestation will be started during the firstryear of |
| | | | mining operation itself. |
| | c. Stabilization and | : | The soil will be spread over the non-active dumps |
| | vegetation of | | along the slope and edges to plant tree saplings to |
| | dumps | | form vegetal cover over the dumps. Such vegetal |
| | | | cover will prevent erosion of dumps during rainy |
| | | | seasons. |
| | d. Socio economic | ; | 1. To provide Employment opportunities of the |
| | benefits arising out | | nearby villagers. |
| | ormining | | villagers. |
| | e. Noise and vibration | : | Since, no deep hole blasting is proposed with small |
| | | | dia explosives are used for breaking the hard rock and |
| | | | boulders, the noise and vibration will be very |
| | | | minimum and are within the permissible limits. |
| 11.9 | Proposal for Waste | : | There is no requirement for waste management as |
| | Management | | there is 100% recovery percentage. |
| 11.10 | Proposal of Reclamation | : | The present mining is proposed to a depth of 39m. |
| | of Land affected during | | The mined out area will be fenced on top of open cast |
| | mining activities and at the | | working with S1 fencing. Low lying areas with water |
| | end of mining. | | logging shall be used for fish culture. No immediate |
| | | | proposals for closure of pit as the rough stone persist |
| | | | still at deeper level. |
| 11.11 | Program for Afforestation | : | Trees like tamarind, casuarinas etc were planted along |
| | | | the lease boundary and avenues as well as over non |
| | | | active dumps at a rate 60 trees per annum with an |
| | | | interval of 5m. The rate of survival expected to be |
| | | | 70% in this area. |

ł

| 11.12 | Proposed Financial Estimate / | : | Self Colling and Colling |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|
| | Budget for (EMP) Environment | | 20 B |
| | Management | | ((±(17 FEB 2023)))) |
| | Fixed Asset Cost: | | ுல் விருஷ்ணமி |
| | 1. Land Cost | : | Rs.18,00,000/- (Amount for Phile Land) |
| | 2. Labour Shed | : | Rs.1,20,000/- |
| | 3. Sanitary Facility | : | Rs. 80,000/- |
| | 4. Fencing cost | : | Rs.1,00,000/- |
| | Total= | : | Rs.21,00,000/- |
| | Operational Cost: Machinery cost | : | Rs.30,00,000/- |
| | EMP Cost: | | |
| | 1. Drinking water facility | : | Rs. 1,20,000/- |
| | 2. Safety kits | : | Rs. 50,000/- |
| | 3. Water sprinkling | : | Rs. 90,000/- |
| | 4. Afforestation | : | Rs. 30,000/- |
| | 5. Water quality test | : | Rs. 30,000/- |
| | 6. Air quality test | : | Rs. 30,000/- |
| | 7. Noise/vibration test | | Rs. 30,000/- |
| | Total= | : | Rs. 3,80,000/- |
| | Total Project Cost | : | Rs.54,80,000/- |
| 2.0 <u>M</u> | NE CLOSURE PLAN: | | |
| 12.1 | Steps proposed for phased : The | e pr | esent mining is proposed to a depth of |
| | restoration, reclamation of 391 | n. T | he mined out area will be fenced on top of |
| | already mined out area. | n ca | ast working with S1 fencing to arrest the |

| 12.1 | restoration, reclamation of already mined out area. | • | 39m. The mined out area will be fenced on top of open cast working with S1 fencing to arrest the |
|------|---|---|--|
| | | | entry of cattle's and public in to the quarry site. |
| 12.2 | Measures to be under taken on mine closure as per Act & Rules | * | Measures will be taken as per the Acts and Rules. The quarried pit will be fenced by using Barbed wire fencing. Green belt development at the rate of 60 trees per year will be proposed. |
| 12.3 | Mitigation measures to be undertaken for safety and restoration/ reclamation of the already mined out area | • | It is a fresh Rough Stone & Gravel quarry with a mineable depth of 39m only and hence, no need of mitigation and restoration / reclamation of the applied lease area. |

13.0 ANY OTHER DETAILS INTEND TO FURNISH BY THE APPLICANT

0

0

0

0

6

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0000

0

۲

0

0

- (i) Permission will be obtained from the Director of Mines Safet, for extracting the Rough Stone & Gravel from the Boundary barriers and from slopes, the sport that
- (ii) Care and precautionary measures will be taken for the safety of wakers as per a main Rules and Acts.
- (iii)The applicant will endeavor every attempt to quarry the Rough Stone & Gravel economically without any wastage and to improve the environment and ecology.
- (iv)Accordingly, Mining Plan is prepared under Rule 41 & 42 as amended in Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 by incorporating the conditions imposed in the precise area communication letter and by incorporating all the details proposed in the letter to obtain environment clearance from State Environment Impact Assessment Authority.
- (v) This Mining Plan is prepared for the Applied Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry for a period of Ten Years.

ANASEKAR, M.Sc. (Geo) Qualified Person

இயக்குநர் அல

This Mining Plan is epproved based on guidelines / Instruction issued and in corporation of the particulars specified in the letter Roc. No. uputy Director of Goology and Mining, Krishnagiri and ubject to further fulfillment of the conditions laid down under Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 and Minor Mineral Conservation and Development Rule 2010.

> DEPUTY DIRECTOR Geology and Mining, Collectorate, Krishnagiri,

7.02

This Mining Plan is approved subject to the conditions / Stipulation Indicated in the Mining Plan Approval

Letter Roc. No. 646/22, Dated 17.2-2



ந.க.எண். 646/2021/கனிமம் நாள்: 🤧) .01.2023.

குறிப்பானண

பொருள் :

6

0

0

0

۲

۲

0

0

0

0

۲

0

0

0

0

۲

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

கனிமங்களும் சுரங்கங்களும் - சிறு கனிமம் - சாதாரண கற்கள் - கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம் - சூளகிரி வட்டம் -மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராமம் - பட்டா புல எண். 79 (1.86.50) ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பில் சாதாரண கற்குவாரி செய்ய திரு.B.ஸ்ரீகர் என்பவர் விண்ணப்பம் அளித்தது - வருவாய்துறை, புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை மற்றும் வனத்துறை பலத்தணிக்கை அறிக்கை சமர்பிக்கப்பட்டது - தகுதியான நிலப்பரப்பாக கருதி ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்க திட்டம் மற்றும் சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணைய இசைவிணை பெற்று சமர்பிக்கக் கோருதல் - தொடர்பாக.

பார்வை :

- 1. அரசாணை எண்.208 தொழில் துறை நாள் 21.09.2020-ல்
 - திரு. B. ஸ்ரீகர், த/பெ.பாரதி, க/எண். 25, சாந்தி நகர்(மேற்கு), 2-வது கிராஸ், ஒசூர் - 635 109 என்பவரின் விண்ணப்பம் நாள்: 30.01.2021.
 - உதவி இயக்குநர்(கூ.பொ), புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி ந.க.646/2021/கனிமம், நாள்:02.07.2021.
 - வட்டாட்சியர், சூளகிரி கடிதம் ந.க.1378/2021/அ2 நாள்:31.07.2021 மற்றும் 28.04.2022
 - 5. வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர், ஓசூர் கடிதம் ந.க.எண்.2068/2021/பி2 நாள்: 06.07.2022.
 - அரசு ஆணை (3D) எண்.243 தொழில், முதலீட்டு ஊக்குவிப்பு மற்றும் வர்த்தகம் (எம்எம்இ-2) துறை நாள்: 14.12.2022.
 - வன உயிரினக்காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் கடித ந.க.எண்.13119/2022/எல் நாள்:10.01.2023.
 - உதவி புவியியலாளர் (கனியம்) புலத்தணிக்கை அறிக்கை நாள். 28.01.2023.
 - 9. மற்றும் உரிய ஆவணங்கள்

பார்வைகளின் மீது கனிவான கவனம் வேண்டப்படுகிறது.

2. கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், தனகிரி வட்டம், மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராமம், பட்டா புல எண்.79-ல் 1.86.50 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பில் சாதாரண வகை கற்குவாரி செய்ய உரிமம் வழங்க கோரி திரு.8.ஸ்ரீகர் என்பவர் 30.01.2021 நாளிட்ட விண்ணப்பத்தினை உரிய ஆவணங்களுடன் சமர்ப்பித்துள்ளார்.
4. எனவே, வட்டாட்சியர், தளகிரி, வருவாய் கோட்டோட்சியர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி, ഖണ உயிரின காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் உதவி புஷியில்லாளா (குனிமம்) លញ់ញាច់ ஆகியோரின் பரிந்துரை மற்றும் நிபந்தனைகளின் அடிப்படையில், கிருணைகிரி மாவட்டம், தளகிரி வட்டம், மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராமம், பட்டா பல எண்.79-ல் 1.86.50 ஹெக்டோ் பரப்பளவில் விண்ணப்பதாரா் திரு.B.ஸ்ரீகர் என்பவருக்கு் 1959ம் வருட தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம விதிகள், விதி எண். 19-ன் மேற்கண்ட Lile. நிபந்தனைகளுக்குட்பட்டு 10 (பத்து) வருட காலத்திற்கு பேற்பரப்பு கிராவல் மண் மற்றும் சாதாரண வகை கற்குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்குவதற்குரிய தகுதியான நிலப்பரப்பாக கருதப்படுகிறது.

5. மேலும், தமிழ்நாடு சிறு கனிம சலுகை விதிகள்-1959 விதி எண். 41-ன்படி குவாரிப்பணி மேற்கொள்வது தொடர்பாக வரைவு சுரங்க திட்டத்தினை 90 தினங்களுக்குள் சமர்ப்பிக்குமாறு மனுதாரரைக் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளப்படுகிறது. மேலும் ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டத்தின் தொடர்ச்சியாக 1959ம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகள், விதி எண்.42-ன் படி சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின் இசைவினைப் பெற்று சமர்பிக்கும் பட்சத்தில் மட்டுமே குவாரி உரியம் வழங்கப்படும் என இதன் மூலம் தெரிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

91.01.23 00 X

BUBBLE PROVIDENT

FEB 2023

17

\$

துன்ண் இயக்குநா, புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி.

3/2/12 310183

பெறுநர்:

0

۲

0

0

0

0

0

۲

۲

0

0

۲

۲

0

0

0

٢

0

0

۲

0

0

0

0

٢

திரு. B. ஸ்ரீகர், த/பெ. பாரதி, ் க/எண். 25, சாந்தி நகர்(மேற்கு), 2-வது கிராஸ், ஒசூர் ~ 635 109.

நகல்:

ஆணையர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, சென்னை.

2. மாலட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி - தகவலுக்காக

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) Qualified Person







தமிழக அரசு

வருவாய்த் துறை

உரிமையாளர்கள் பெயர்

நில உரிமை விபரங்கள் : இ. எண் 10(1)

மாவட்டம் : கிருஷ்ணகிரி

9

0

0

0

0

.

0

0

۲

۲

0

٥

0

0

0

6

0

0

٢

0

0

6

0

6

0

۲

6

C

வருவாய் கிராமம் : மிடிதேபள்ளி

பட்டா எண் : 686

6

Eller Ball

*

សា

100m

中国の

17 FEB 2023

டூருஷ்ணகி

Sensible sorias

energe and a series

W

| . ດ | லங்கட்டய்ய | U L | | យភតា | l B | டி.ரவிக்குமார் | | 12.5 |
|---------|------------|----------------|---------|------------|---------|----------------|---------|---------------------|
| புல எண் | உட்பிரிவு | புன் | វិទាប់ | நன் |)គណ | மற்ற | ഞഖ | குறிப்புரைகள் |
| | = | ՍԴԱԿ | தீர்வை | பரப்பு | தீர்வை | иліц | தீர்வை | |
| | | ஹெறக் - ஏர் | ரு - பை | ஹெக் - ஏர் | ரூ - பை | ஹேக் – ஏர் | ரு - பை | |
| 79 | ~ | 1 - 86.50 | 4.ó1 | | •/6 | | | 2020/0103/31/134577 |
| | | 1 - 86,50 | 4.01 | | -Re-M- | | | |

| ឲ្យញាំប់ បុ 2 ៖ | ý. | |
|------------------------|--|--|
| | மேற்கண்ட தகவல் / சான்றிதழ் நசுல் விவரங்கள் மின் பதிவேட்டிலிருந்து பெறப்பட்டவை. இவற்றை தாங்கள் https://eservices.tn.gov.in என்ற இணைய தளத்தில் 31/11/070/00686/150070 என்ற குறிப்பு எண்ணை உள்ளீடு செய்து உறுதி செய்துகொள்ளவும். | |
| | ?. இத் தகவல்கள் 15-06-2021 அன்று 11:14:47 AM நேரத்தில் அச்சடிக்கப்பட்டது. | |
| | 3.கைப்பேசி கேமராவின்2D barcode படிப்பான் மூலம் படித்து 3G/GPRS வழி இணையதளத்தில் சரிபார்க்கவும் | |

1.4

COMMON SERVICES CENTRE SRI SARAVANA CI. HORUR GJSTON. HORUR GJSTON.

| | agià cu | ாவியில் | . 8 | Aco | 9 (2019 9 8) 1011 | | d | FB mA | 8) | வட்டம் | Юцв | 500mm |
|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| A Beu | លាវិវត្តំ ទី រុលនោភទេ | டிட்டத்தி ரின் வி | inna Ingia | 1 | | யான்றின் பெயர் | - | | ழதல் மே | | | |
| நிய அளவை மன் | 2.ાંપ્રિક્ષીના શસ્ત્ર | แตน้าเ. | (ditenti | ចុច្រ ចុមកករធំ ស្វាលិលនូវ (34២ ចំពោះវារំ | கைப்பற்ற தாரருடைய பெயரும் என்னும் அல்லது அறுமோக தாரகுடைய பெயர் | திவத்தின் எந்த பகுதி வனது எருபாயாரால யாலது எருப்புயாளால | เมเกิศในบันโนดีสายครั้ง | எத்த பாதுலா செய்யப்பட்டது பாதற்றில் அறுவடை செய்யப்பட்டது. | ບເຜີເຖືາຜ່າ ດີເປເຍນ້ຳ. | เป็นการการ / อุญญณาตาน นกระทานสาวนร่า | ≜ ពេមសោយកថា ឯកបំរំកំថល់ 25,4511718. | விளன் <i>ச்ச</i> வ் அளவு விரும் காடு. |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | - | (8) | (9) | (10) | | (12) |
| 19 | - | 1.10 | ADI | | 4. 290000 | 56 0 | | | 5Ave | 1 | 1- | |
| | | - | | 1 | 14 | | | _ | - | | - | 10. |
| | | | | | * | - | - 4 | 0 111896 A | | HEPAL | Office: | - |
| | | | | | | | | CPUUL | p 1+1-1+. | Xdiahnadi | d fu | |
| 2 | | | _ | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | _ | | |
| 1 | | | - | | | - | - 3 | | | | + | + |
| | | | | | | - | | - | - | - | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | - | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | - | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | - | | | | | | _ | | - | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | - | | |
| 80/30 | -R.F. | 111-A- | 10-23 | 3,00,0 | DO Cos,-GBP-Mdu. | 7-2010 | _ | 1000 | 1 | | | |

0 0 0

e

٩.

เม็น (รุยละส. 70. 019 10 7 FEB 2023¹² 5 6 ? 3 9 4 3 * 63 சா. என்றைம் எரங்க ந. பை ஹெ.ஏர்ஸ்.கு. பை þ 8-3 75-5 3 75 5 2 15 1 78-1 σ 4 112.0 தம்மையா \$-3 0 36-0 0 77 279 எ- வெங்க 5 2 15 -2 σ Ū, ... டோப்பா (1). சங்கரப்பா (2) -11-5 4 52 2 01 172 பா. மாரன். 4 86 5 8-3 5 2 -15 1 79 Ÿ. Ø Ι., ... 64 64 ஞா. குட்எப்பா. 0 30-0 8-3 5 2 15 0 \$0-1 ø 4 ... 154 ஞா-"பெத்த 0 81-0 1 74 8-3 5 2 15 -2 :U 4. <u>់សាប់ស្ក</u> đ٢ 2 11 0 38 1 ÷. 2 68 0 5 76 136 த பச்சையப்ப 5 8-3 2 15 ¥1 σ 4 ... செட்டி. 136 த. பச்சையப்ப 09 44.0 3 5 15 1 8-3 2 \$2-1 7 1. 4 Qria. 5 73 241 Jr . 90 116 66 5 5 2 45 2 8-3 -2 ч g சந்திரப்பா. \$ 82 10.5 4 • 189 தி- முனியம்மா. 1 65 0 76-5 15 8-3 5 2 83-1 σ ч 4 94 ł 164 சொ. மல்லம்மா. 0 67.0 1 44 5 5 2 8-3 -2 σ 14 2 ... ł 43.5 09 3 1 151 செ பெத்த 0 \$0.0 72 L 5 -tž 8-3 $\mathbf{2}$ 1 84 - 1 44 G லக்கமய்யா. [5] கி. பெத்த E 11-0 2 38 z 15 5 8-3 ņ -2 4 Ø . . லக்ஷமய்யா. -2 3 S. DMAINASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) 91-0 4 10 L Qualified Person 0.62 26 334 வெங்கட 15 39 26-0 24 புல் காவல் 8-3 5 0 \$5-1 ч Ţ ---1 ரமனாப்பர-பட்டாசலுகை Sirma. TEVE 47.5 Haditon 14 -2 ЧØ 11.6 2 Ŋ ... 5 ch (7 . village Administrative officer 24 26 53:73-5 32, MIDITHEPALLI Shoolaght The Krisboneid-91 Des 85/1- Rateper best Corrected a 20.10.87 G ForTahr 284 16/00

0

0

0

0

0



BILLOBICH RITHERALS TAMIL NADU

CONNDIA &

ANONUDIG

D. Ravikumar Bargalore

I. Duddukuri Ravikumar S/o. Venkataiah, aged about 42 years, residing at No. 17/18, Sapthagiri Nilaya, K.R. Puram, Vinayaka Nagar, Near Vinayaka Temple, Bangalore North Krishnarajapura, Bangalore, Karnataka-560036. Do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows.

I am residing in the above mention address. The land over an extent of Ac.4.61 Cents in Sy.No.79 stands registered in my name vide patta No.686 in Midithepalli Village records. 1, hereby giving my consent to Mr.SRIKAR, S/o.Bharathy, residing at Door No.25, Santhi Nagar West 2nd Cross, Hosur-635109, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. to carry out rough stone quarrying activity in the above mentioned lands for a period of twelve years from 24.06.2021 to 23.06,2033. I have no objection for the grant of rough stone quarry lease in favour of Thiru. TABLE the above said lands. I assure that I will appear before the District Collector



0

0

0

0

0

0

6

0

0

0

0

0

0

۲

0

0

0

O

0

0

0

O

0

0

0

0

K. PARTHIBAN, B.A., B.L. Advocate & Notory No:154/1, Taluk Office Road, Hosur Krishnagirl D1-635109

city of the registered holder of the lands and to sign the legse deed. ß Signature

2010 பககுநா

FB

ទី៤៤-ទាំទទារ អាអា

TWENTY

RUPEES

92AB 670217

STAMP VENDOR D. Dis No 734/81/2003 HOSUR - 635 109.

K.A KRISHNA MUUR

the fly.

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) Qualified Person



P

O

S. DHANASEKAR, M. Sc. (Geo) Qualified Person



FACULTY OF SCIENCE

பெரியார் பல்கலைக்கழக ஆட்சிக்குழு 2003 ஆம் ஆண்டு ஏப்ரல் மாதம் நடந்த பயன்பாட்டு புவியமைப்பியல் தேர்வில் S தனசேகர் என்பவர் முதல் வகுப்பில் தேர்ச்சி பெற்றார் என்று தக்க தேர்வாளர்கள் சான்றளித்தபடி அறிவியல் நிறைஞர் என்னும் பட்டத்தை அவருக்குப் பல்கலைக்கழக இலச்சினையுடன் வழங்குகிறது.

The Syndicate of the Perigar University hereby makes known that DHANASEKARS has been admitted to the DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE in APPLIED GEOLOGY

he/she having been certified by duly appointed Examiners to be qualified to receive the same and was placed in the FIRST CLASS at the Examination held in APRIL 2003



0

0

0

۲

Ġ

6

0

(ŝ

0

0

0

6

0

6

6

S

0

۲

O

G

Gi

C

0

6

0

6

0

۲

நாள் Dated 15-09-2004 சேலம் 636011, தமிழ்தாடு இத்தியா. Salem 636011, TamilNadu, India.

Given under the seal of this University

Lighan anti Registrar V

NatarCalitati Vice-Chancellor

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) Qualified Person



TO MINHOMSOEVER IT MAY CONCERN

6

۲

0

0

٩

C

0

65

6

0

0

0

0

0

0

6

۲

C

¢

O

0

0

6

0

G

0

6

PRITHVI MINERALS

This is to certify that SHRI S. DHANASEKAR, S/o. Shri A. Sundaram residing at No.8/3, Kullappan Street, Omalur Taluk, Salem District - 636 455 is working in our mines for the date of 15.10.2003 to till date as Geologist. During the above tenure of service his execution of the assigned work is exemplary and worth mentioning. We wish him success in his future endeavours.

For PRITHVI MINERALS,

(T.P. THANGAVEL.) Partner

HANASEKAR, M.Sc., (GBO) Qualified Person



C

C

C

e

C

S.DHANASEKAR, M.Sc., (Geo) Qualified Person



| LOCATION PLAN NOT TO SCALE PREPARED BY: I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE IAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE S.DHANASEKAR.M.Sc., QUALIFIED PERSON | INDEX QUARRY LEASE AREA : TOPO SHEET NO.: 57-H/13, LATITUDE : 12° 46' 1.9743" N to 12° 45' 52.1189 LONGITUDE : 77° 57' 3.0289" E to 77° 56' 59.2536 LOCATION OF QUARRY EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. | PLATE NO:I DATE OF SURVEY: 06-02-2023 APPLICANT ADDRESS: THIRU.B. SRIKAR, S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. | W BE SPETAL |
|--|---|--|-------------|
|--|---|--|-------------|

77° 57' 3,0289" E



| PREPARED BY: I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORREC TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEREE S.DHANASEKAR.M.Sc., QUALIFIED PERSON | ROUTE MAP Not to scale | INDEX QUARRY LEASE AREA ROAD | LOCATION OF QUARRY EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI, | APPLICANT ADDRESS: THIRU.B. SRIKAR, S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. | S STEINORA |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|------------|
| CATE: CEE | | | | ST), 109. | 291 |



77° 57' 3.0289" E



| PLATE NO.IB DATE OF SURVEY OF CRETING THE OF SURVEY OF THIR U.B. SHUGAR, WT FEB 2003 S.S. BHARAA D.No. 25, SHUGAR, WT FEB 2003 S.F. NO. 25, SHUGAR, WT FEB 2003 S.F. NO. 25, SHUGAR, WT REAL TALUK S. SHUGAR, WT REAL S.F. NO. 27, WILLAGE MIDDITHEPALLI TALUK S. SHUGAR, WT REAL S.F. NO. 27, WILLAGE MIDDITHEPALLI TOPO SHEET NO. 57, WILLAGE TO THE SUBST S.F. NO. 2000 TOPO SHEET NO. 57, WILL THE ST. 2000 TOPO SHEET NO. 57, WILL THE ST. 2000 SCALE: 12, 46, 1974, WILL THE ST. 2000 SCALE: 12, 46, 1974, WILL THE ST. 2000 TOPO SHEET MAP OF THE LEASE AREA SCALE: 150,000 PREPARED BY: IDDITHE BEST OF MY KNOWLEIXIE IDDITHE BEST OF MY KNOWLEIXIE S.DIHANASEKAR.MSS QUALIFIED WERSON |
|---|
|---|



| EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. |
|--|
|--|



^{12° 45&#}x27; 52.1734" N 77° 57' 1.7042" E







| $\mathbb{E}_{\substack{\text{R} \\ \text{R} \\$ | $\sum_{n=1\\ n \neq n $ | | 18600 | 306900 | 30690D | | | TOTAL | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| $\mathbb{E}_{\text{R}, 0^{\text{R}}} = \mathbb{E}_{(1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,$ | $\frac{\operatorname{SECTION}}{\operatorname{MING}} \operatorname{ALONG}_{\operatorname{Sect}} \operatorname{A}_{\operatorname{Sect}} \operatorname{A}_{\operatorname{Sec}} \operatorname{A}_{\operatorname{Sec}}$ | | | 40000 | 10000 | U | | | 5 | | | |
| $\mathbb{E}_{\text{Sub}} = \mathbb{E}_{\text{Sub}} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Sub}$ | $\frac{V_{\text{reg}}}{V_{\text{reg}}} = \frac{V_{\text{reg}}}{V_{\text{reg}}} = $ | | | 46500 | 46500 | n (r | | | | | | |
| $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{R}} = $ | $\sum_{n \neq 1 \\ n \neq 1 \\$ | | | 40300 | 40500 | ιU | , o | | | | | |
| $\mathbb{E}_{e_{1}} \mathbb{E}_{e_{2}} E$ | $\sum_{n \ n \ n \ n \ n \ n \ n \ n \ n \ n \$ | | | 46500 | 46500 | 101 | 60 | 155 | i s | | | |
| $\mathbb{E}_{\substack{\text{B} \text{B} \text{B} \text{B} \text{B} \text{B} \text{B} \text{B}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{SECTION}_{ALONG} X - Y}{\operatorname{SECTION}_{ALONG} X - H} \underbrace{\operatorname{SECTION}_{ALONG} X - Y}_{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H} \underbrace{\operatorname{SECTION}_{ALONG} A - H}_{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H} \underbrace{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H}_{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H}_{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H} \underbrace{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H}_{\operatorname{Section}_{Along} H}_{\operatorname{Section}_{Alo$ | | | 46500 | 46500 | Ś | 60 | 155 | < | | | |
| $\mathbb{E}_{n, n} = \mathbb{E}_{n, n} \mathbb{E}_{n, n} = \mathbb{E}_{n, n$ | $\sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{n}+$ | | | 46500 | 46500 | S | 60 | 155 | ĸ | | | |
| $\frac{\operatorname{SCTION}_{\operatorname{NONC}} \operatorname{C-V}_{\operatorname{SCM}}}{\operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SCTION}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SCTION}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SCTION}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \xrightarrow{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{\operatorname{SCM}} \operatorname{SCM}_{SC$ | $\frac{\operatorname{SECTION}_{A,D,WG} \operatorname{V-Y}_{A,W}}{\operatorname{VI}_{A,D,WG} \operatorname{V}_{A,W}} = \frac{\operatorname{VI}_{A,W}}{\operatorname{VI}_{A,D,WG}} \operatorname{V}_{A,W} = \frac{\operatorname{VI}_{A,W}}{\operatorname{VI}_{A,W}} \operatorname{VI}_{A,W} = \frac{\operatorname{VI}_{A,W}}{\operatorname{VI}_{A,W}} = \operatorname$ | | | 27900 | 27900 | ω | 60 | 155 | E | | | |
| $\sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2}, \dots, n_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2}, \dots, n_{2}, \dots, n_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2}, \dots, n_{2}, \dots, n_{2}}} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2}, \dots, n_$ | $\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$ | | 18600 | 100110 | 10010 | 2 | 60 | 155 | - | | | |
| $\sum_{\substack{n \\ n \\ n \\ nn}} \sum_{\substack{n \\ nn}} \sum_{nn} \sum_{\substack{n \\ nn}} \sum_{nn} \sum_{nn}$ | $\frac{\text{SECTION ALONG X-Y}}{\text{Min}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y})}{\text{Nin}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y})}{\text{Nin}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y})}{\text{Nin}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y})}{\text{Nin}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y})}{\text{Nin}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 \text{ ALONG X-Y})}{\text{Nin}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nin}} (1000 ALONG X$ | | 25600 | 458240 | 458240 | - | | TOTAL | 1 | | | |
| $\sum_{n,n \\ n \ nn \ nn \ nn \ nn \ nn \ nn \ $ | $\frac{V_{AB}}{V_{AB}} = \frac{V_{AB}}{V_{AB}} = V_$ | | | 64000 | 64000 | ы | 80 | 160 | × | - | | |
| $\sum_{n \\ n \\$ | $\frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}}{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}}{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}}{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}}{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}} = \frac{\operatorname{E}_{\operatorname{rots}}$ | | | 64000 | 64000 | м (| 80 | 160 | | | | |
| $\sum_{n \in A \\ n \neq n \\$ | $\frac{V_{\text{r}}}{V_{\text{r}}} = \frac{V_{\text{r}}}{V_{\text{r}}} = V_$ | | | 54000 | 54000 | л | 80 | 160 | | | | |
| $\sum_{n,n' \\ n \\ n \\ nn \\ nn \\ nn \\ nn \\ nn \\ $ | $\frac{\operatorname{SECTION}}{\operatorname{SECTION}} \operatorname{ALONG} A-B = \left(\operatorname{Gam}_{\operatorname{H}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{H}} + \frac{1}{H$ | | | £4000 | 640 0 0 | J. | 80 | 160 | 4 | | | |
| $\sum_{n \\ n \\$ | $\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$ | | | 54000 | 64000 | л | 8 | 160 | < : | XV-AR | | |
| $\sum_{\substack{n \\ n \\ n \\ nn}} \frac{1}{1} + $ | $\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$ | | | 64000 | 64000 | л | 80 | 160 | 2 | | | |
| $\underbrace{\operatorname{Bin}}_{\operatorname{Sin}} \stackrel{\operatorname{K}}{\operatorname{Sin}} \stackrel{\operatorname{K}}}{\operatorname{Sin}} \stackrel{\operatorname{K}}{\operatorname{Sin}} \stackrel{\operatorname{K}}}{\operatorname{Sin}} \stackrel{\operatorname{K}}{\operatorname{Sin}} \operatorname{Sin}} \operatorname{Sin} \operatorname{Sin}} \operatorname{Sin}} \operatorname{Sin}} $ | $\frac{1}{10^{10}} \frac{1}{10^{10}} $ | | | 54000 | 64000 | лг | 20 1 | 141 | ≣⊧ | | | |
| $\frac{\operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{R}}}{\operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{R}}} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{R}}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{R}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{R}}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{R}}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{ND POINT} \\ Section Banch Length With Depth Volume In Reserves in In Sections In In Reserves in In Reserve$ | | 72900 | 222 | 0, 10 | - L | 2 C | 100 | ≠ ⊢ | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{Bellocity} \\ \text{Bellocity} \\$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{F}_{\text{old}} \\ \text{F}_{o$ | | Gravel In m3 | Reserves in m3 © 100% | Volume In M3 | Depth In (m) | Width in (m) | Length in (m) | Bench | Section | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{R}_{\text{n}} & \text{O}^{\text{N}} \\ \text{Stand} & \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{Stand} & \text{SECTION ALONG C-Y} \\ \text{Stand} & \text{Stand} & \text{SECTION ALONG C-Y} \\ \text{Stand} & \text{Stand} & \text{SECTION ALONG C-Y} \\ \text{Stand} & \text{Stand} $ | $\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \\ n \neq n$ | | | S | RESERVE | ICAL | OLOG) | GE | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{R}_{\text{L}} \\ \text{R}_{\text{R}} \\ \text{R}_{$ | $\sum_{n=1}^{r} CTION ALONG X-Y$ | | 100,000 | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{Stand } & \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{Stand } & \text{SECTION ALONG } A-B \\ \text{Stand } & \text{Stand } A+A + A + A + A + A + A + A + A + A +$ | $SECTION ALONG X-Y$ $SECTION ALONG X-Y$ $SECTION ALONG X-Y$ $SECTION ALONG A-B$ O_{LB} $SECTION ALONG A-B$ O_{LB} $SECTION ALONG C-D$ O_{LB} $SECTION ALONG C-D$ O_{LB} $SECTION ALONG C-D$ $SECTION $ | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 60m + + | | | | 38,0m | | 80m + + + + + | | 638.0m |
| $\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\operatorname{SECTION}}_{\operatorname{R}} \operatorname{LONG}} X = Y \\ \underset{\operatorname{SEM}}{\operatorname{SEM}} & \underbrace{\operatorname{SECTION}}_{\operatorname{ALONG}} X = Y \\ \underset{\operatorname{SEM}}{\operatorname{SEM}} & \underbrace{\operatorname{SECTION}}_{\operatorname{ALONG}} A = B \\ \underset{\operatorname{SEM}}{\operatorname{SEM}} & \underbrace{\operatorname{SECTION}}_{\operatorname{SEM}} A = B \\ \underset{\operatorname{SEM}}{\operatorname{SEM}} & \operatorname{SECTION}_{\operatorname{SEM}} A = B \\ \underset{\operatorname{SEM}}{\operatorname{SEM}} & \operatorname{SECTION} A = B \\ \underset{\operatorname{SEM}}{\operatorname{SEM} & \operatorname{SECTION} A = B \\ \operatorname$ | $ \frac{\text{SECTION ALONG X-Y}}{\text{MB POINT}} = \frac{1}{1000} = $ | | MD.648 | 60m + + | | | 8 5 | 46,0m 43.0m | | 80m + + + + | * * * | 843.0m |
| $\mathbb{R}_{n} \xrightarrow{\text{CTION ALONG X-Y}}_{\text{Stan}} \xrightarrow{\text{SECTION ALONG X-Y}}_{\text{Stan}} \xrightarrow{\text{Stan}} \text{St$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{Sign } \\ Section Along Alo$ | | 853.0m | 60m + + | | | . 3 | 53.0m | | 80m + + + + | * * * | 853,0m |
| $\mathbb{R}_{n} = \mathbb{R}_{n} $ | Simple Product Produ | | 850.0m | | | 18.0m | 8 | 58.0m | | | | 858.0m |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{Signs} \\ $ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{Min of } \\ \\text{Min of } \\ \text{Min of } \\ \text{Min of } \\ \\text{Min of } $ | | 863.0m | 60m×+ | | | 8 | 63,0m | | | | 863,0m |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{Salam} \\ Mathematical and a state of the sector of t$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } A-B \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } A-B \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } A-B \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } A-B \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } A-B \\ SECTION ALON$ | | Bigg Dim | 60m | | | R : | 68.0m | | V. | 32m | 868.0m |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG C-D} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG C-D} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG C-D} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG A-B} \\ \text{SECTION ALONG C-D} \\ SECTION ALONG$ | $ \frac{\text{SECTION ALONG X-Y}}{\text{ALONG A-B}} = \frac{\text{SECTION ALONG X-Y}}{\text{ALONG X-Y}} $ | | | | | | C.A. | 73 (m | | | BUG | 873 fm |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\$ | $ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow $ | | , Ie | ALUNG C- | SECTION | 5 | | ₽ | Ξ | ALONG A-B | ASECTION | į |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\$ | $ \begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{Market H} \\$ | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{RL} & \text{QLB} \\ \text{RL} & \text{RL} & \text{QLB} \\ \text{RL} & \text{QLB} \\ \text{RL} & \text{RL} \\ \text{RL} & \text{RL} $ | $\stackrel{\text{SECTION ALONG X-Y}}{\underset{\substack{\text{MiD polyr}\\Mid 4++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ SECTION ALON$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{ADD} \\ $ | | + | | | - | * | 0 | 160m- | *** | * * * | 833.0m |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{In } \\ $ | | | 1 | | | 4 | | 160m | | | 838.0m |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR1} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR1} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR1} \\ \text{SR1} \\ \text{SR0m} \\ \text{SR1} \\ \text$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{Subsection Along X-Y} \\ Subsection A$ | | | +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | | + | 4 | | 160m- | | | 843,0m |
| $R_{L} \times R_{L} \times R_{L$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG } X-Y \\ \text{In } \\ \text{OLB} \\ \text{In } \\$ | | | 1 + | | - | 4 | | 160m- | | | 646,0m |
| RL X = 0LB = 0LB = 0LB = 0LB = 0LD | $\begin{array}{c} \text{SECTION ALONG X-Y} \\ \text{In } \\ $ | | | 1 | | | | | 160m | | | 653.0m |
| RL QLB RL QLB BT3.0m BE3.0m SECTION ALONG X-Y MID POINT AB CD SECTION ALONG X-Y AB CD AB CD | $\frac{X}{2} + \frac{X}{2} + \frac{X}$ | | | | | | 101 | | 10011 | | | 658.0m |
| RL X RL OLB SECTION ALONG X-Y BRION VL + + V + + + 1000 ALONG X-Y | IL X IL OLB IL OLB IM VIL T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T | | | - | | | | | | | | 863.0m |
| RL X ABI OLD SECTION ALONG X-Y NID POINT ABI CD | In ALONG X-Y | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | 1 | < | | | < | 160m | + + + > | + + + + | 868.0m |
| RL X ALLONG X-Y | ALD NID POINT | | | AB CD | 1 | | | | | | | 873.0m |
| | | | | ALONG X- | NOLION | la . | | | | | | |
| | | | N N | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | + + + + + + |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------|--|
| QUALIFIED PERSON | PREPARED BY: I DO HEREBY CLIKITEY THAT THE PLATE HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE | GEOLOGICAL SECTIONS SCALE: 1:1000 | INDEX QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY 7.5m,10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE GRAVEL V ROUGH STONE | LOCATION OF QUARRY EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. | APPLICANT ADDRESS: THIRU.B. SRIKAR, S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. | PLATE NO:III-A DATE OF SURVEY: 06-02-2023 | TOTAL DEPTH = 39m | HIT FEB 202 HIT F |



| | V-YE | IV-VI | IV (11) | 11-YE | | | I-YE | | | YEA | | | | P: |
|-------------|-------|-------|---------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | AR | EAR | EAR | AR | | | AR | | | R | | ++++ | | ++++ |
| Total | XY-CD | XY-AB | XY-CD | XY-AB | XY-CD | 1 | | XY-AB | | Section | YEA | TION A | | * * + + |
| (First Five | N | < | Ħ | Z | П | н | Ħ | П | П | Bench | RWISE DE | ++++ | | ++++ |
| (I-VIVoare | 143 | 148 | 148 | 153 | 148 | 148 | 153 | 153 | 153 | Length in (m) | VELOP ME | | | ++++ |
| - | 35 | 55 | 45 | 65 | 41 | 45 | 65 | 25 | 65 | Width In (m) | NT AND P | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | | 153m + + + + + + + + + |
| | л | S | ო | ъ | ω | N | თ | 2 | 2 | Depth In (m) | RODUCT | | | + + + + |
| 224329 | 25025 | 40700 | 33300 | 49725 | 18204 | | 49725 | 7650 | | Valume In (Cu.m.) | ION (First Five (| RL 853.0m 863.0m 863.0m 863.0m 863.0m +++++ 848.0m 848.0m ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | | ++++ ++++ S |
| DCEVCC | 25025 | 40700 | 33300 | 49725 | 18204 | : | 49725 | 7650 | | Recoverable Reserve in Cu.m(100%) | I-V)Years) | ++++ ++++ +++++ +++++ | | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| 22240 | | | | | | 13320 | | | 19890 | Gravel | | ++++++ ++++++ ++++++ E | | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| | | | | V - Year PROPOSED EXCAVATION | IV - Year PROPOSED EXCAVATION | | III - Year PROPOSED EXCAVATION | II • Year PROPOSED EXCAVATION | 1 - Year PROPOSED EXCAVATION | | | RL 873.0m 863.0m 853.0m 843.0m 843.0m 839.0m 839.0m | TOTAL DEPTH = 19m | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION SECTIONS (First Five (I-V)Years) SCALE: 1:1000 PREPARED BY: I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRISCIT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE S.DHANASEKAR,M.Sc., QUALIFIED PERSON | INDEX QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY 7.5m,10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE GRAVEL V ROUGH STONE | APPLICANT ADDRESS: THIRU.B. SRIKAR, S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. LOCATION OF QUARRY EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. | PLATE NO:IV-A1 DATE OF SURVEY: 06-02-2023 | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|



| | | | | | | | | | RL 873,0m 858,0m 858,0m 858,0m 848,0m 833,0m | | RL OLS 873.0m OLS 863.0m ★ 863.0m ★ 863.0 |
|-------------|-------|--------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|---|
| | | X YEAR | IX-YEAR | VIII-YEAR | VII-YEAR | VI-YEAR | YEAR | | +++++ < +++++ ++++ | | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| | XY-CD | XY-AB | XY-CD | XY-AB | XY-CD | XY-AB | Section | YEARWISE D | 10N ALO) -45m | | |
| | X | VIII | IV | Ч | < | 5 | Bench | EVELOPN | + C A- | | |
| e (VI-A)Tea | 123 | 133 | 133 | 138 | 138 | 143 | Length in (m) | NENT AND | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | | |
| | 15 | 25 | 15 | 35 | 25 | 45 | Width In (m) | | 8 873.0m 863.0m 853.0m 848.0m 848.0m 848.0m 848.0m 848.0m | | 143m 138m 133m |
| | 5 | л | л | ហ | 5 | м. | Depth In (m) | TION (Se | 22 22 22 23 37 37 37 35 35 | | |
| 109400 | 9225 | 16625 | 5266 | 24150 | 17250 | 32175 | Volume in (Cu.m.) | cond Flve (VI-X | Se Se Se CTI(| | |
| 103400 | 9225 | 16625 | 9975 | 24150 | 17250 | in Cu.m(100%) 32175 | Recoverable Reserve |)Years) | N ALONG C | | AB CD |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ++ |
| | | | | IX-YEAR PROPOSED EXCAVATION | VIII-YEAR PROPOSED EXCAVATION | VII-YEAR PROPOSED EXCAVATION | VI-YEAR PROPOSED EXCAVATION | | 10m 10m 10m | TOTAL DEPTH = 20m | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |

| PREPARED BY: I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE S.DHANASEKAR,M.Sc., QUALIFIED PERSON | VEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION SECTIONS (Second Five (VI-X)Years) SCALE: 1:1000 | INDEX QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY 7.5m,10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE GRAVEL V ROUGH STONE | S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. OCATION OF QUARRY EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. | PLATE NO:IV-B1 DATE OF SURVEY: 06-02-2023 APPLICANT ADDRESS: THIRU, B. SRIKAR. | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|---|---|---|---|---|--|





| 500M RADIUS | \Box | ADJACENT QUARRY |
|-----------------------|------------|--|
| 300M RADIUS | () | INFRASTRUCTURES |
| 60M RADIUS | \bigcirc | ENVIRONMENT PLAN |
| APPROACH ROAD | | APPLICANT ADDRESS: |
| QUARRY ROAD | | THIRU.B. SRIKAR, S/o.BHARATHY, PREPARED BY |
| TREES | 0.0.0 | D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, I DO HEREBY CERTINAL THAT DE PLAG |
| CRUSHER UNIT | | KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. HAS BEEN CHECKED B NEW ND IS CORE OF TO THE BEST OF MEANWLEDGE |
| DRY AGRICULTURAL LAND | ~ ~ ~ ~ | EXTENT : 1.86.50 Ha. |
| WIND DIRECTION | S.C. | S.F.NO : 79 VILLAGE : MIDITHEPALLI S.DHANASEKAR,M.Sc., |
| SHRUB | 花花花 | TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. 303 |
| | | |



| | RL 068 673.0m 663.0m 673.0m 663.0m 4+ 663.0m 7- 663.0m 4+ 843.0m 7+ 843.0m 7+ 7+ 843.0m 7+ 7+ 844.0m 7+ 7+ 7+ 843.0m 7+ 7+ 7+ 843.0m 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ 7+ | R R R R R R R R R R R R R R |
|--|---|--|
| Section XY-CD | ION ALONG 65m 65m 45m 35m | |
| MINEAE Bench Length in (m) Width in (m) I 153 65 II 153 65 II 153 65 II 153 65 VI 148 55 VI 138 35 VII 138 35 VII 148 45 III 148 45 VII 133 35 VI 133 15 TOTAL 133 15 | A-B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B | 153m 153m 148m 148m 133m 133m |
| SLE RESERVES Depth in (m) Volume In M3 Re 2 7650 ma 2 7650 ma 5 49725 ma 5 49725 ma 5 32175 s 5 16625 s 2 18204 s 3 18204 s 5 17250 s 5 17250 s 5 17250 s 5 103754 s | RL C SEC 873.0m 0LB 868.0m 4 863.0m 4 853.0m 4 848.0m 4 843.0m 4 843.0m 4 833.0m 4 843.0m 4 843.0m 4 844.0m 4 845.0m 4 846.0m 4 846.0m 4 846.0m 4 846.0m 4 846.0m 4 846.0m 4 8 | |
| Mineable eserves in beserves in d 100% Gravel in m3 2000 19890 7650 19890 49725 19890 32175 24150 32175 19890 32175 19890 32175 19890 16625 13320 18204 13320 18204 13320 17250 13320 17250 13320 233794 33310 | 2TTION ALONG C | CTION ALONG MID POINT AB CD ++++ |
| ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION = 300.0m(L) X 55.0m[W]Avg X 39.0m(D) | H + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | $\begin{array}{c} X-Y \\ & 148m \\ & -148m \\ & -143m \\ & -138m \\ & -143m \\ & -1$ |

C

| CONCEPTU MINE CLOS SCALE: H PREPARED BY: I DO JUEREBY CER INAS BEEN CHECKED TO THE BEST TO THE BEST OUALI | QUARRY LEASE 7.5m,10.0m SA GRAVEL ROUGH STONE ULTIMATE PIT PROPOSED WA | APPLICANT AI THIRU.B. SRIK S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHAN 2nd CROSS, HQ KRISHNAGIRI LOCATION OF EXTENT S.F.NO VILLAGE TALUK DISTRICT | PLATE NO:VII- DATE OF SU | A REAL |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| AL & FINAL JRE SECTIONS 08-1:1000 08-1:500 09-1:500 09-00 THE PLATE 19 ME AND IS CORRICT 09-00 WIN KNOWLEDGT 19 DERSON | INDEX BOUNDARY ETY DISTANCE | <u>DRESS:</u> R, HI NAGAR (WEST), SUR TALUK, JISTRICT -635 109. UARRY : 1.86.50 Ha. : 79 : MIDJTHEPALLI : SHOOLAGIRI : KRISHNAGIRI. | T FEB 2023 | யக்குநர் அலு _க ் |



| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 833.0m + + + | 843.0m + + + + + | 848.0m + + | | 663.0m | 868.4m | 873.0m | SECT | 803.0m T T T T T T | | 843.0m + + + | 848.0m | 853,0m | | | | | QLB | RLX |
|--------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|------|--------------|--------|--------|-------|---|------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | : | XY-CD | | | | | | | | | XY-AB | | | | | Section | | | | | 631 | -68m | | | | ION ALON | | | | | | | the same party have been been provided in the | | | | |
| GRAN | | 1 | | < | 2 | Ш | Ħ | | _ | × | | 5 | 1 | < | 2 | | Η | н | Bench | MINEA | | -48m | 53m | | | | | | [G A-] | | | | i I | | | | | | | |
| DTAL | 123 | 128 | 133 | 138 | 143 | 148 | 148 | 148 | OTAL | 128 | 133 | 138 | 143 | 148 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 | Length in (m) | BLE R | | | | | | | 4 | | u | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | R7 | s Β Ι | 38 | 43 | 48 | ς ω | ដ | ŝ | | 48 | 53 | 58 | 63 | 68 | 73 | 73 | 25 | 73 | Width In (m) | ESERV | 11 1001, 10011 | unraea | 843.0m | 848,0m | m0.858 | m1.636 | Bigging Bigging | | 2 | | 133 | | 143m | 11041 | 1.12m | S3m | 53m | - | | |
| _ | U | ب | u | თ | S | и | ω | N | | <u>и</u> | S | u | м | 5 | ŋ | и | 2 | 2 | Depth In (m) | IES CO | | | | | _ | | _ | | | 28m | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190352 | 17220 | 21120 | 25270 | 29670 | 34320 | 39220 | 23532 | | 320690 | 30720 | 35245 | 40020 | 45045 | 50320 | 55845 | 55845 | 7650 | | Volume In M3 | MMON E | 11100-00 | Ki3.0m | 843.0m | 848,0m | 858.0m | 663.0m | 868.0m | RL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190352 | 17220 | 21120 | 25270 | 29670 | 34320 | 39220 | 23532 | | 320690 | 30720 | 35745 | 40020 | 45045 | 50320 | 55845 | 55845 | 7650 | | Mineable Reserves in m3 @ 100% | OUNDARY | | +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | + + + + + + | · + · + · + | + | | < | | SECTION | | | | | | | | | | | SECTION |
| 15688 | | | | | | | | 15688 | 22338 | | | | 11/1 | | | | | 22338 | Grave! In m3 | | | + 28m | 38m | 43m | 18m | 53m | Sim | BIO 7 C MORA | ALONG C-D | | | | | | | | | AB CD | NID POINT | ALONG X-Y |
| | | | | | | | | | | | = 300.0m(L) X 63.0m(W)Avg X 39.0m(L | ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION | | | | | | | | | 033.UIII | 833 fm | 843,0m | 653,0m 648,0m | 858.9m | 963, (Im | Braum | | | 123m | 128m | 133m | - 138m | 143m | | 148m | 148m | THE DI- DO DO -DO NOT ANY INF THE DATA AND ALL MAY THE UNIT WE WANT AND AND | | |

| | | +++ |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 7.5m,10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE GRAVEL | PLATE NO:VIII-A DATE OF SURVEY: 06-02-2023 APPLICANT ADDRESS: THIRU.B. SRIKAR, S/o.BHARATHY, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR (WEST), 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -635 109. LOCATION OF QUARRY EXTENT 1.86.50 Ha. S.F.NO 79 VILLAGE MIDITHEPALLI TALUK SHOOLAGIRI DISTRICT KRISHNAGIRI. | A FEB 200 A FEB 200 |

307

T }



ANNEXURE-VII VAO CERTIFICATE

Thiru. B. SRIKAR, Rough Stone & Gravel quarry in the S.F.No.79 over an extent of 1.86.50ha. in Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.



GENERAL VIEW OF THE APPLIED LEASE AREA

B. Srikar (Deponent)

VILLAN T MIDDITHEPALLI (VIII & PO) Shoolagiri Taluk Krishnagiri Dist

சான்று

கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், சுளகிரி பேரிகை வட்டம். உள்வட்டம், மிடிதேப்பள்ளி கிராம பல எண்:79 விஸ்.1.86.50 ஹெக்டோ் பட்டா நிலமாகும். இதில் 1.86.50 ஹெக்டோில் குவாரி அமைய உள்ள Thiru.B.SRIKAR என்ற நிறுவனத்திலிருந்து 500 மீட்டர் சுற்றளவில் கிராம நத்தமோ, குடியிருப்புகளோ, ஏரியோ, தேவாலயமோ, வழிப்பாட்டுத்தளங்களோ, மசூதியோ, புராதன சின்னங்களோ, குழிகளோ, கல்விக் கூடங்களோ, ஆறுகள், പ്പട്ടെ அரசு கட்டிடங்களோ இல்லை என்பதை புலத்தணிக்கையின் மூலம் 🤇 அறிந்து சான்றளிக்கப்படுகிறது.

கிராழ ADDITHEPAR Britton B.O.) Gagni Taluk Krishnagin Disi VIISINIAUN UISI

ANNEXURE-VIII BLASTING AGREEMENT



VISHNU EXPLOSIVES



Cell: 98427 44073, 94437 44073

No.235/9, R.G. Nagar Engineer's Colony Extension, Jagir Reddipatty, Salem - 636 302.

Date

То

Thiru. B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District-635 109.

Sir,

Sub: Willingness to do Explosives Blasting Works - Reg.

With respect to the above subject, we would like to introduce myself as the Explosives Blasting Contractors, for which our LICENCE NO: E/HQ/TN/22/335(E64278) & E/SC/TN/22/463(E37227) S.F.No.344/3B, Paiyur Village, Krishnagiri Taluk magazine is situated in No.273-A, Keel Paiyur Village, Kaveripattinam, Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu-635 112.

We were engaged in professional blasting contract works with all facilities and License holders to carry out blasting works in specified time and period covered under Explosives Rules, 2008.

We kindly request yourself to engage us to do Explosives Blasting Works in your proposed Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry situated at S.F.No: 79 in Midithepalli Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District over an extent of 1.86.50 hectares.

SERVING BEST AT ALL TIMES

Thanking you.

For VISHNU EXPLOSIVES,

For Vishmu Explosives NY Christon Proprietar

Enclosure: Magazine License Copy.

| | अनुशोध्ते प्ररुप एल. इ3 | HUCENCE FORMED | -3 | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | ांधस्यानगवा नियम् २००४ की असुरासी - | อำนุการีกรรฐสุรราชสุรภ | <u>នេះ ជាស្ន័ប្រ</u> | |
| a comparate for | מיים היי אלו אין היי היי היי היי היי האות היי אלו אין איי איד אייי היי היי הלי היי היי היי היי היי היי היי | ettuden (S. of Fisplan nes Ri Next an Bank Next III. Brail | Ale holds website | X |
| 1101114-00 | Electrice to provider the device spinor Electrice to provider the devices provider | प्रदेश की सिरमी स्टोप्समा से प्रति (स. फी सीसक 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 1 | a manazarta ma mazarta | (C) |
| - अनुझोप्ते स. (Licence No.) : F/HQ/17 - वॉपिक कॉस रुपए (Annuar Fice Rs): 140 | 9/22/335(£64278) 309.4 | | | |
| for an encourse boundaries that a form | | | | |
| checkers actual Scanod 19 | | | | |
| Més Vishna Explosives (अधिभाग Parvar Parvar Vilage, Knyerpalits 635172 | 7 Occupier : Stri G.V.Sal Supromanian an PO., Town Village - Kaceripetinam, De |) – S (i V & Vestiwanatkan) street-ERISHNAGIRE Staw | Ru No. 1750A Keei Faral Nada Piwode | |
| с | | | ~ 四型 | 新加速 |
| का अनुहोन्दे अनुदर्श की जाती है। | | | | |
|) अनुज्ञप्तिधारी की प्रस्थिति - Status of I | icenses - Proprietorship Firm | | | |
| ें अनुइम्बि निम्नलिखित प्रयोजनों के लिए । | विधिमान्य है। 👘 🖓 🕬 | sees for use of Sofery Fune. | Defonation Fase, Nitrate mixe | are, Store, Math |
| i teened is valid only for the fullows | ng nurpose Em | ulsion Explasives. Detonal | कर, - के उपयोग के लिए | aara - 1999 (199 |
| ा अनुसंधत वस्पभटका के निमालाखत के राज्याज्य is sand 55 the following bi | त्यमा, प्रकार और मात्रा के लिए विधिमान्य है। | | | |
| di di | The set of | | **** | ***** |
| (S) 244 | Martine and Descrimone | देगे और प्राधन (जिनकी जिनकी | उप-प्रभागः मात्रा के सं ए | क समग्र में |
| 1 Nitrate m | sture - Sturry and Emulsion Laplosives | vinus ac (DC/SIOI) | Quantify at an | it one time |
| | Detonators | o.,3 | 0 (4490) | 1445 |
| | Safety Fase | 6.J | 0 3 00 3 | dats. |
| No. (The state of the second second | and therein of man sale is a set of | - Harris | 9 <u>58636</u> 3 | VIIIS |
| (b) Quantity of explosives to be puchas | and in a calendar month on the able for the second | the state of a state of the sta | | 20 tion es |
| ⁵ निम्नलिस्रित रेखाचित्र (रेखाचित्रों) रो अनु the hormed premises shall conform 6 अन्वयिष्ठ प्रोरेस्ट दिवालीकेत एवं प्रदानि 6 | इन्द्र परिसर की पुष्टि होती है। to the following drawing(s) की हैं। The last | ा छन् रखावन के छाव जुलान | wing No.) & HQ TN 225-34664 1110-2521 | 278) |
| Survey No. 344/3B - 314 (Town Vil | lage) Phivor Village, Koverinattiean | marwing address | THE PLET OF A | |
| विला (District) KRISH दूरभाष (Phone) 984274 | NAGIRI TEQ (Suite) 4073 S HC (EMaily | Tamil Nada | Printer Consister Printer (Pensier Biottorias | 625132 |
| 7 अनुज्ञप्ति यरिसर में निभ्रसिखित सुविधाएँ The locensed premises consist of following the second premises and the second premises are second premises and the second premises and the second premises are second premises and the second premises are second premises and the second premises are second premises | . अंतर्विष्ट हो। इन्होंगर दिनीपाल- | in magazine room, g lobby | and a defendation storage much | |
| ⁸ अनुहर्ति समय समय पर यथासंशोधित जणवादी के अधीन रहने हाए अनटन के | । विस्कृटिक अधिनिर्यम्, १८८३ और उनके अधी 1 जन्मी है। | कविनेषित विस्कोटक विद्यम 🤌 | क लग्वधा, प्रानें और अनिवित्तर | मने विदिध्य वि |
| The ficence is granted subject to the | provision of hypicsyllas Act 1884 as amend | si from time to time and the | People Roles, 2008 (runal d | bete tools r |
| conditions additional conditions and | the following Anteroregy. | | | the Constant |
| । उपयुक्त कम से ४ में यथा कार | त रेखासन (स्थान् सांत्रमाण सुद्धी आर आज्य) | ववरण दर्शित, रुए। सुए९ 🍂 | and the second se | |
| ्रमुझाण्डि प्राधिकारी व्यारस हस्र | जन्म के सामग्री के कि सामग्री के समय के समय के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री क सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री की सामग्री आज आत्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के सामग्री के साम | 111, 549, Philore. 知识1 | | |
| Conditions and Additional C | anditions of the difference arguned in the heen | sing authority | | |
| 3 GRI Wells (Mr2) Dostance Fr | arm DE-2 | | 9 | |
| ः सह जनुसान तलल्य ३१,माथ २०१५ तफ | ignum statement the incluse shall remain | still designs of March | 2015. | |
| यह अनुसाख, अग्रालयम या उसके अधा या गर्दि अनुरुख परिसर घोजना या उसरे Firs Reamers hable to be suspended above, annually policies and bein | न विराधत नियमा या चनुरुवा २ के भुम भुक रे संतप्र उपबंध में दर्शित विराध के अनुरुप न का रुपने दर्श के बार violation of the Act of | पत नामुहोसर भा के जवान ह ही पिए जाने पर निर्दावन था प्रह दिलेक named base grider का . | तथा उपवणित इस अनुझोप्ते की फर्न रेसंहत की आ सकती है. यहां वह ल देव condmons of the factive of w | िको अप्रेक्तापण कर्ण ग्रिडो। 1 विषण वस्त्री संस्थ |
| unached hereto | and the second | er ere not tolent constitution | so one accordance shown in the h | A DRAWING STREET, BURNING STREET, |
| ADDE THE COLOR TRANSPORTS | | | y och | Mr. ALL |
| vo vost | | मुख्य | विस्फोटक नियंत्रक ² , Chief Co | invitor of hyptosmon |
| Amendments : | | | | |
| Change in Postal Address dated 26 Aurabagest of Ouanny, of Ecolosis | 04/2017 cs:Monthly Parchase Lawis Harshill 03 7/ar/M | 115 | | 1 |
| Amendment of Quantity of Explosive | es/Monthly Parchase - inni dated 24/04 21 | is. | | |
| Ansolution of Quantity of Explosive Ansolution in Drawing Facilities 6 | es Monthly Parenass, Limit dated - i 1/30/26 framises dated - 11/00/2021 | 21 | | |
| Fransfers : | Concernation of the state of the second | | | |
| Change in Lacensee Name/Address 5 | Status dated = 08/16/2023 | | | |
| | नयानाकरण के पृष्ट Space for Endors | त्रकन के लिए स्थान ament of Renoval | 9 | |
| ज्यतिकारण को जाउंगर | Transfer 104 consistent | C | | |
| - नवक्ररभ का साराख Date of Renewal | র্পনাদের কা বার্যার্থ Date of Expiry | अनुद्धाम् Second | प्राथकारी क इस्टाक्षर और स्टोम्प | |
| | and the second se | - WARREN CONTRACTOR | er overstene stendere en bigget. | |
| 28/0213020 | 31.03-2025 | | 56- | |
| | and a second second | Coati | and at Fager Gran Welling | |
| | | | M | |
| कानुनी चेतावनी | : विस्फोटकों को मलत ढंग से चलान या उन | का हरूपयोग विधि के अध | न गंभीर दाहिक अपराध होता. | |
| Statutory Warn | ing : Mishandling and misuse of explosiv | is shall constitute serious o | riminal offence under the law. | |
| | | | | |

I

Note :- This is system generated document does not require physical signature. Applicant may take printout for their records.

http://10.0.54) 11/IntFxp/FxplosivesI icenceI F3Hindi asp91 etterGenerate/IVN=V

IF IN SAME
ANNEXURE-IX AFFIDAVIT AND CER DETAILS



Krishnagiri District-635 109, do hereby solemnly declare and sincerely affirm that, I have applied for getting environment clearance to SEIAA, Tamil Nadu for quarry lease for Rough Stone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 1.86.50 Ha with Survey No. 79, in Midithepalli village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu.

- 1. I swear to state and confirm that none of the following is situated within 10km radius of the quarry site for which, i have applied for environmental clearance,
 - a. Notified Protected areas under the wild life (Protection) Act, 1972 (NBWL).
 - b. Critically polluted areas as notified by the central pollution control board constituted under
 - water (Prevention and control of Pollution) Act 1974.
 - c. Eco sensitive area as notified.



0

 \bigcirc

2. The following Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) activities will be completed before commencement of the quarrying activities.

| CER Activity | Project cost (Rs) | CER cost (Rs) | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Carrying out various | | | | |
| developmental works in the | Ba 54 00 0000 | 5. 6.69.9994 | | |
| nearby region based on the | KS.54,80,000/- | Rs.3,00,000/- | | |
| need of the locals. | | | | |
| Total cost Allocation | Rs.54,80,000/- | Rs.3,00,000/- | | |

3. Details of quarry within 500m radius from the applied area:

| a. Existing Quarries | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|----------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| S.No | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | SF.No. | Extent in Hectare | Rc.No. & Date | Lease Period |
| 1. | Thiru. D. Sreenivasalu, S/o. Venkateshwarlu, No. Radha Lakshmi Nilayam, Devachandra Main Road, Bangalore. | Midithepalli village & Shoolagiri Taluk | 80/1, 80/2. | 3.17.08 | Rc.No.1305 / 2018/ Mines Dated:20.12.2022 | 20.12.2022 To 19.12.2032 |
| 2. | Thiru. Venkat Reddy, S/o. (Late) Uthama Reddy, Kolar Taluk, Uddanahalli, Chakkarasanahalli, Karnataka. | Midithepalli village & Shoolagiri Taluk | 81/2, 82/1. | 2.05.92 | Rc.No.1308 / 2018/ Mines Dated:31.10.2022 | 31.10.2022 To 30.10.2032 |

| b. Details of Expired/ Old Quarries | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| S.No | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | SF.No. | Extent in Hectare | Rc.No. & Date | Lease Period | |
| 1. | M/s. Sarva Infra Pvt. Ltd, 540, 3 rd floor, CMH Road, Indira Nagar, Bagalore. | Midithepalli village & Shoolagirì Taluk | 70/1B, 70/1C. | 4.05.0 | Rc.No.09 / 2014/ Mines Dated:26.10.2015 | 28.10.2015 To 27.10.2020 | |



| c. Details of Proposed Quarries | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| S.No | Name of the lessee | Village & Taluk | SF.No. | Extent in Hectare | Rc.No. & Date | Lease Period |
| 1 | Thiru. B. Srikar, S/o. Bharathy, No.25, Shanthi Nagar (West), 2 nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri - 635 109, | Midithepalli Village & Shollagiri Taluk | 79 | 1.86.0 Ha. | * | Instant Proposal |

- 4. There will not be hindrance or disturbance to the people living on enrooted/ nearby my quarry site while transporting the mineral and due to quarrying activities.
- 5. There is no approved habitation within 300m radius from the periphery of my applied quarry.
- 6. I swear that afforestation will be carried out during the course of quarrying operation and maintained.
- 7. Insurance coverage will be arranged for the laborers working in my quarry site.

NOTARIAL

NOTARIA

- 8. The existing road from the main road to quarry is in good condition and the same will be maintained and utilized for Transportation of Rough Stone & Gravel.
- 9. I will not engage any child labor in my quarry site and I am aware that engaging child labor is punishable under the law.
- 10. All types of safety / protective equipment will be provided and used by all the laborers working in my quarry.
- 11. No permanent structures, temple etc., are located within 500m radius from the periphery of my quarry.

I ensure to do the social and Environment commitment as mentioned in the Mining plan to the best of my knowledge.

B. Sirikar (Deponent)

NO:14, A.V.Martsk let Cikle, Mean Some College, hereiter in 1997 - 1996 - 630 005

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists f, \partial V \cdot (Orrgent orbit.g. orbit.d2
& ferentianovir short orbit.g. orbit.d2
& ferentianovir short orbit.
& forther orgen orgen orbit.
& forther orgen orgen$$

ANNEXURE-X NABET CERTIFICATE





National Accreditation Board for Education and Training



Certificate of Accreditation

Eco Tech Labs Pvt Ltd.,

48, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar South Extension, Pallikaranai, Chennai- 600100, T.N.

The organization is accredited as **Category-A** under the QCI-NABET Scheme for Accreditation of EIA Consultant Organization, Version 3: for preparing EIA-EMP reports in the following Sectors –

| S. | Sector Description | | Sector (as per) | | | |
|--|--|----|-----------------|------|--|--|
| No | | | MoEFCC | Cat. | | |
| 1 | Mining of minerals - including Open cast only | 1 | 1 (a) (i) | В | | |
| 2 | Thermal power plants | 4 | 1(d) | А | | |
| 3 | Coal washeries | 6 | 2 (a) | В | | |
| 4 | Metallurgical industries - Ferrous only | 8 | 3 (a) | В | | |
| 5 | Synthetic organic chemicals industry (dyes & dye intermediates; bulk drugs and intermediates excluding drug formulations; synthetic rubbers; basic organic chemicals, other synthetic organic chemicals and chemical intermediates) | 21 | 5 (f) | A | | |
| 6 | Airports | 29 | 7 (a) | А | | |
| 7 | Industrial estates/ parks/ complexes/areas, export processing Zones (EPZs), Special Economic Zones (SEZs), Biotech Parks, Leather Complexes | 31 | 7 (c) | A | | |
| 8 | Building and construction projects | 38 | 8 (a) | В | | |
| 9 | Townships and Area development projects | 39 | 8 (b) | В | | |
| Note: Names of approved EIA Coordinators and Eurotional Area Exports are mentioned in SAAC minutes dated Apr. 20, 2021 and | | | | | | |

Note: Names of approved EIA Coordinators and Functional Area Experts are mentioned in SAAC minutes dated Apr. 20, 2021 and supplementary minutes dated Oct.19, 2021 posted on QCI-NABET website

The Accreditation shall remain in force subject to continued compliance to the terms and conditions mentioned in QCI-NABET's letter of accreditation bearing no. QCI/NABET/ENV/ACO/22/2217 dated Jan. 19, 2022. The accreditation needs to be renewed before the expiry date by Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd., Chennai following due process of assessment.





Sr. Director, NABET Dated: Jan. 19, 2022 Certificate No. NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147 Valid up to Sep. 15, 2023

For the updated List of Accredited EIA Consultant Organizations with approved Sectors please refer to QCI-NABET website.







QCI/NABET/ENV/ACO/23/2877

September 15, 2023

Τo,

Eco Tech Labs Pvt Ltd., 48, 2nd main road, Ram Nagar South Extn, Pallikaranai, Chennai-600100, Tamil Nadu (**Kind Attention**: Mr. A Dhamodharan)

Sub.: Extension of Validity of Accreditation till December 14, 2023– regarding Ref.: 1. Certificate no. NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147 2. Request e-mail dated September 11, 2023

Dear Sir,

This has reference to the Accreditation of your organization under the QCI-NABET EIA Scheme and your request email dated May 15, 2023. It is to inform your good self that the validity of **Eco Tech Labs Pvt Ltd.**, is hereby extended till **December 14, 2023**, or the completion of the accreditation process, whichever is earlier.

2. The above extension is subject to the submission of required documents/information concerning your existing application, timely submission/closure of NC/Obs (if any), and applicable fee (pending if any) during the application process.

3. You are requested not to use this letter after the expiry of the above-stated date.

With best regards.

(A K Jha) Senior Director QCI-NABET

