

# Application Form (Draft EIA Report) For

# Proposed Rough stone Quarry – 2.00.0 Ha

at

S.F.No. 86 (Part – 3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State

Sector No. 1(a) (Sector No. 1 as per NABET) Category of the Project: B1 Cluster Mining Baseline Period: December 2022 - February 2023

*Environmental Consultant* & Laboratory details: Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd,



No 48, 2nd Main road, South extension Ram Nagar, Pallikaranai, Chennai -600100. **Proponent details:** 

M/s. S.R. Enterprises, D. No: 25, Shanthi Nagar, West, 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri 635 109

Date:

From

M/s. S.R. Enterprises, D. No: 25 Shanthi Nagar, West 2nd cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109

То

# The District Environmental Engineer

Tamilnadu Pollution Control Board, Plot No:140A, SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Hosur, Krishnagiri – 635 126.

Sir,

**Sub: Request to conduct Public Hearing** – Environmental Clearance for the "M/s. S.R. Enterprises Rough Stone Quarry" over a total extent of 2.00.00 Ha at S. F. Nos. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu – Reg

# Ref: Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9499/ ToR-1309/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022

Please find enclosed herewith the application of Draft EIA Report along with necessary enclosures towards seeking environmental clearance for the "M/s. S.R. Enterprises Rough Stone Quarry" over a total extent of 2.00.00 Ha at S. F. Nos. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. In this regard, we had obtained the Terms of Reference from State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) TamilNadu; vide reference mentioned above for conducting EIA studies. We wish to inform that the draft EIA report complying with all the conditions mentioned in the TOR has been prepared and the copies of the same are enclosed with this letter. With reference to the above, we kindly request the TNPCB to make the necessary arrangements for **Conducting the Public hearing for the Rough Stone Quarry.** With the above, we request the TNPCB to accept and process our application for conducting the Public Hearing at the earliest.

Thanking you Yours Sincerely

Authorized Signatory Enclosures: Draft EIA report M/s. S.R. Enterprises, D. No: 25 Shanthi Nagar, West 2nd cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109.

# UNDERTAKING

We, M/s. S.R. Enterprises, undertaking that the Draft Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report for Rough Stone Quarry over an extent of 2.00.0 Ha at S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State under project category B1 and Schedule S.No.1(a)

ToR issued by the State Expert Appraisal Committee, TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9499/ ToR-1309/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022.

I, hereby assure that all the information and data provided in the EIA report is accurate, true and correct and owns responsibility for the same.

Place: Krishnagiri

Yours faithfully

M/s. S.R. Enterprises

Date:

Plot No.48A, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar, South Extension, Pallikkaranai, Chennai - 600 100 GST NO. 33AADCE6103A2ZH PAN NO: AADCE6103A



Eco Tech Labs Pvt Ltd

Cell No. 98400 87542 Email : info@ecotechlabs.in Website : www.ecotechlabs.in CIN : U74900TN2014PTC094895

# UNDERTAKING

I, Dr. A. Dhamodharan, Managing Director confirms that this Draft EIA Report of Rough Stone Quarry over an extent of 2.00.0 Ha at S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State has been prepared at M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt. Ltd., Chennai.

I also confirm that I shall be fully accountable for any miss-leading information mentioned in this Report.

A-D) Jamilin

Signature:

Name: Dr. A. Dhamodharan

Designation: Managing Director

Name of the EIA Consultant Organization: M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd., Chennai.

NABET Certificate No: NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147

Date:

Place: Chennai

# Declaration of Experts contributing to the EIA

Declaration by experts contributing to the EIA report for Rough Stone Quarry (minor mineral) mining project of M/s. S.R. Enterprises Rough Stone Quarry over a total extent of 2.00.0 Ha at S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State.

I, hereby certify that I was a part of the EIA team in the following capacity that developed the above EIA.

| Project               | New Rough Stone Quarry - 2.00.0 Ha  |
|-----------------------|---|
| Type & Category       | 1 (a) Mining of Minerals  |
| Project Proponent     | M/s. S.R. Enterprises   |
| Environment           | M/s. Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd.,   |
| Consultant with their | QCI Accreditated  |
| Accreditation Status  |   |
| NABET Certificate     | NABET/ EIA/2124/ SA 0147  |
| No.                   |   |
| EIA Coordinator       | Dr. A. Dhamodharan (Mining of Minerals)   |
| Name                  | A-D Tamin   |
| Signature             |   |
|                       | Dr. A. DHAMODHARAN<br>(NABET APPROVED EIA COORDINATOR)<br>NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147<br>Environmental Consultant<br>Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd<br>Piot No.48A, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar South Extn.<br>Pallikaranal, Chennal - 600 100. |
| Period of Involvement | December 2022 to February 2023  |
| Contact Information   | M/s. Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd.  |
|                       | No. 48, 2nd Main Road,  |
|                       | Ram Nagar South Extension   |
|                       | Pallikaranai, Chennai - 600 100   |
|                       | Mobile: +91 9789906200  |
|                       | E-mail: dhamo@ecotechlabs.in  |

# **Functional Area Experts**

The basic fact division that environment and laboratory are accredited by NABL and Ministry of Environment and Forests, India and by other international bodies, stand testimony to its emphasis.

| S.<br>No. | Functio<br>nal<br>areas | Name of the<br>experts   | Involvement<br>(period and task)   | Signature and<br>date |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 1         | AP                      | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Selection of Baseline Monitoring stations based<br/>on the wind direction.</li> <li>Interpretation of Baseline data by comparing it<br/>with standards prescribed by CPCB against the<br/>type of area.</li> <li>Identification of sources of air pollution and<br/>suggesting mitigation measures to minimize<br/>impact.</li> </ol>   | x.M.f.                |
| 2         | WP                      | Dr. A.<br>Dhamodharan    | <ol> <li>1. Selection of baseline Monitoring Locations for<br/>Ground water analysis and also identifying<br/>nearest surface water to be studied.</li> <li>2. Interpretation of baseline data collected</li> <li>3. Identification of impacts based on the baseline<br/>study conducted and also to the ground water and<br/>nearby surface water due to the proposed project</li> <li>4. Preparation of suitable and appropriate<br/>mitigation plan.</li> <li><i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i></li> </ol> | A-Mumin               |
| 3         | SHW                     | Dr. A.<br>Dhamodharan    | <ul> <li>1. Identification of nature of solid waste generated</li> <li>2. Categorization of the generated waste and<br/>estimating the quantity of waste to be generated<br/>based on the per capita basis. Identification of<br/>impacts of SHW on Environment</li> <li>3. Suggesting suitable mitigation measures by<br/>recommending appropriate disposal method for<br/>each category of waste generated</li> <li>4. Top soil and refuse management</li> <li><i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i></li> </ul> | A-D) Jumin            |

| 4 | SE  | Mr. S. Pandian        | <ol> <li>Primary data collection through the census<br/>questionnaire</li> <li>Obtaining Secondary data from authenticated<br/>sources and incorporating the same in EIA report.</li> <li>Impact assessment &amp; proposing suitable<br/>mitigation plan</li> </ol>   | Hannhur    |
|---|-----|-----------------------|---|------------|
|   |     |                       | 4. CSR budget allocation by discussing with the<br>local body and allotting the same for need based<br>activity.<br><i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i><br><i>*INVOLVES PUBLIC HEARING</i>   |            |
| 5 | EB  | Dr. A.<br>Dhamodharan | <ol> <li>Primary data collection through field survey<br/>and sheet observation for ecology and biodiversity</li> <li>Secondary Collection through various<br/>authenticated sources</li> <li>Prediction of anticipated impacts and<br/>suggesting appropriate mitigation measures.</li> <li>Period: March 2022 – Till now</li> </ol>   | A-D) Jumin |
| 6 | HG  | Dr. T. P.<br>Natesan  | <ol> <li>Study of existing surface drainage<br/>arrangements in the core and buffer zone, impact<br/>due to mining on these drainage courses and<br/>suggestion of mitigative measures</li> <li>Determination of groundwater use pattern,<br/>development of rainwater harvesting program.</li> <li>Storm water management through garland<br/>drainage system.</li> <li>Period: March 2022 – Till now</li> </ol> |            |
| 7 | GEO | Dr. T. P.<br>Natesan  | 1. Field survey for assessing regional and local geology, aquifer distribution, Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program. <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i>   | (m) no li- |

| 8  | SC  | Dr. A.<br>Dhamodharan    | <ol> <li>Interpretation of baseline report</li> <li>Identification of possible impacts on soil,<br/>prediction of soil conservation and suggesting<br/>suitable mitigation measures.</li> </ol>   | A-D) Jamilin |
|----|-----|--------------------------|---|--------------|
|    |     |                          | Period: March 2022 – Till now   |              |
| 9  | AQ  | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Collection of Meteorological data for the baseline study period</li> <li>Plotting wind rose plot and thereby selecting the monitoring locations based on the wind pattern</li> <li>Estimation of sources of air amissions and air</li> </ol> | x.AF.F.      |
|    |     |                          | quality modeling is done  |              |
|    |     |                          | 4. Interpretation of the results obtained   |              |
|    |     |                          | 5. Identification of the impacts and suggesting   |              |
|    |     |                          | Period: March 2022 – Till now   |              |
| 10 |     |                          | <ol> <li>Selection of monitoring locations</li> <li>Interpretation of baseline data</li> </ol>  | 410:14       |
| 10 | INV | MIS. K.<br>Vijavalakshmi | 3. Prediction of impacts due to noise pollution   | Ka           |
|    |     | v ijayalaksiiiii         | and suggestion of appropriate mitigation  |              |
|    |     |                          | Period: May 2022 – Till now   |              |
| 11 | LU  | Dr. T. P.<br>Natesan     | <ol> <li>Collection of Remote sensing satellite data to<br/>study the land use pattern.</li> <li>Primary field survey and limited field<br/>verification for land categorization in the study<br/>area</li> </ol>                                     | (in) not lit |
|    |     |                          | 3. Preparation of Land use map using Satellite data for 10km radius around the project site. <i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i>   |              |
| 12 | RH  | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Identification of the risk</li> <li>Interpreting consequence contours</li> <li>Suggesting risk mitigation measures</li> <li><i>Period: March 2022 – Till now</i></li> </ol>  | KIEL         |

#### Declaration by the Head of the accredited consultant organization/ authorized person

I, Dr. A. Dhamodharan, hereby confirm that the above-mentioned experts prepared the EIA report of mining project at S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State

I also confirm that the consultant organization shall be fully accountable for any misleading information mentioned in this statement.

(J-D) Jamilin 600 100

Signature:

Name: Dr.A. Dhamodharan

**Designation:** Managing Director

Name of the EIA consultant organization: M/s. Eco Tech Labs Private Limited NABET Certificate No: NABET/ EIA/2124/ SA 0147

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### Contents

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY                             |    |
|---|----|
| 1 INTRODUCTION                                |    |
| 1.1 Preamble                                  | 26 |
| 1.2 GENERAL INFORMATION ON MINING OF MINERALS | 26 |
| 1.3 Environmental Clearance                   | 27 |
| 1.4 TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR)                  |    |
| 1.5 Post Environmental Clearance Monitoring   |    |
| 1.5.1 Methodology adopted                     |    |
| 1.6 GENERIC STRUCTURE OF THE EIA DOCUMENT     |    |
| 1.7 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT              |    |
| 1.8 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT          |    |
| 1.8.1 Project Nature, Size & Location         |    |
| 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION                         |    |
| 2.1 General                                   |    |
| 2.1.1 Need for the project:                   |    |
| 2.2 Brief Description of the project          |    |
| 2.2.1 Site Connectivity:                      |    |
| 2.3 LOCATION DETAILS:                         |    |
| 2.3.1 Site Photographs                        |    |
| 2.3.2 Land Use Breakup of the Mine Lease Area |    |
| 2.3.3 Human Settlement                        |    |
| 2.4 LEASEHOLD AREA                            | 43 |
| 2.5 Geology                                   | 43 |
| 2.6 QUALITY OF RESERVES:                      | 45 |
| 2.6.1 Estimation of Reserves                  |    |
| 2.6.2 Geological resources                    |    |
| 2.6.3 Mineable Reserves                       |    |
|   | 1  |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |
| 2.6.4 Year        | wise Production Plan   | 47        |
| 2.7 TYPE O        | F MINING   | 50        |
| 2.7.1 Meth        | od of Working:   |           |
| 2.7.2 Overb       | purden   | 50        |
| 2.7.3 Mach        | ineries to be used   | 50        |
| 2.7.4 Blasti      | ng:  | 51        |
| 2.8 MAN P         | OWER REQUIREMENTS  | 52        |
| 2.8.1 Wate        | r Requirement  | 53        |
| 2.9 Projec        | T IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE                                      | 53        |
| 2.10 Solid V      | WASTE MANAGEMENT   | 54        |
| 2.11 MINE D       | DRAINAGE   | 54        |
| 2.12 Power        | REQUIREMENT  | 54        |
| 2.13 PROJEC       | T Cost   | 54        |
| 2.14 GREEN        | BELT   | 55        |
| 3 DESCRIPT        | ION OF THE ENVIRONMENT   |           |
| 3.1 Gener         | AL:  | 56        |
| 3.1.1 Study       | , Area:  |           |
| 3.1.2 Instru      | iments Used  | 57        |
| 3.1.3 Basel       | ine Data Collection Period:                                    | 57        |
| 3.1.4 Frequ       | ency of Monitoring   | 57        |
| 3.1.5 Secon       | dary data Collection   | 58        |
| 3.1.6 Study       | area details   | 59        |
| 3.1.7 Site C      | Connectivity:  | 60        |
| 3.2 LANDU         | JSE ANALYSIS   | 61        |
| 3.2.1 Land        | Use Classification   | 61        |
| 3.2.2 Meth        | odology  | 61        |
| 3.2.3 Satell      | ite Data   | 62        |
| 3.2.4 Scale       | of mapping   | 62        |
| 3.2.5 Interp      | rretation Technique  | 62        |

| Project       |         | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres                         | Draft EIA    |
|---------------|---------|--|--------------|
| Project Prop  | onent   | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report       |
| 1 rojeci Loca | 11011   | venkalesapuram village, Snoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri Disirici                   |              |
| 3.2.6         | Field   | Verification   | 63           |
| 3.2.7         | Descr   | ription of the Land Use / land cover classes                                     | 64           |
| 3.3 V         | VATEF   | R Environment  | 66           |
| 3.3.1         | Conte   | our & Drainage   | 66           |
| 3.3.2         | Geon    | 10rphology   | 66           |
| 3.3.3         | Geolo   | ngy:   | 67           |
| 3.3.4         | Hydr    | ogeology   | 69           |
| 3.3.5         | Grou    | nd water quality monitoring  | 70           |
| 3.3.6         | Interp  | pretation of results:  | 73           |
| 3.3.7         | Surfa   | ce Water Analysis  | 75           |
| 3.3.8         | Clim    | atology & Meteorology:   | 76           |
| 3.3.9         | Seleci  | tion of Sampling Locations:  |              |
| 3.4 A         | MBIEI   | NT AIR QUALITY   | 79           |
| 3.4.1         | Ambi    | ient Air Quality: Results & Discussion   | 79           |
| 3.4.2         | Inter   | pretation of ambient air quality:  | 81           |
| 3.5 N         | Joise ] | Environment:   |              |
| 3.5.1         | Day 1   | Noise Level (Leq day)  | 84           |
| 3.5.2         | Night   | t Noise Level (Leq Night)  | 84           |
| 3.6 S         | oil Ei  | NVIRONMENT   |              |
| 3.6.1         | Basel   | ine Data:  | 85           |
| 3.7 E         | COLO    | gy and Biodiversity  |              |
| 3.7.1         | Meth    | ods available for floral analysis:   | 88           |
| 3.7.2         | Field   | study& Methodology adopted:  |              |
| 3.7.3         | Study   | v outcome:   | 89           |
| 3.7.4         | Calcu   | ılation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by | Margalef:95  |
| 3.7.5         | Calcu   | ılation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by | Margalef for |
| trees         | 95      |  |              |
| 3.7.6         | Flora   | l study in the Buffer Zone:  | 98           |
| 3.7.7         | Faun    | al Communities   |              |
| 3.8 I         | Эемос   | GRAPHY AND SOCIO ECONOMICS   |              |
|               |         |  | 3            |

| Project    |          | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres                   | Draft EIA     |
|------------|----------|--|---------------|
| Project Pr | roponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report        |
| Project Le | ocation  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District             |               |
| 3.9        | TRAFF    | C IMPACT ASSESSMENT  |               |
| 4 AN       | TICIPA   | TED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS & MITIGATION MEASURE                             | ES105         |
| 4.1        | Introi   | DUCTION  |               |
| 4.2        | LAND     | Environment:   |               |
| 4.3        | WATE     | R ENVIRONMENT:   |               |
| 4.4        | AIR EI   | NVIRONMENT:  |               |
| 4.4.       | 1 Sour   | ce Characterization  | 111           |
| 4.5        | NOISE    | ENVIRONMENT:   | 114           |
| 4.6        | BIOLO    | GICAL ENVIRONMNENT:  | 115           |
| 4.7        | SOCIO    | ECONOMIC ENVIRONMNENT:   | 116           |
| 4.8        | OTHER    | IMPACTS:   | 118           |
| 5 AN       | ALYSIS   | S OF ALTERNATIVES  | 119           |
| 5.1        | Gener    | AL   | 119           |
| 5.1.       | l Anal   | ysis for Alternative Sites and Mining Technology                           |               |
| 6 EN       | VIRON    | MENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM  | 121           |
| 6.1        | Gener    | AL:  | 121           |
| 7 AD       | DITIO    | NAL STUDIES  | 125           |
| 7.1        | Gener    | AL   |               |
| 7.1.       | l Publi  | c Hearing:   |               |
| 7.1.       | 2 Risk   | assessment:  |               |
| 7.1.       | 3 Ident  | ification of Hazard  |               |
| 7.1.       | 4 Gene   | ral Precautionary measures for the Risk involved in the proposed mine:     |               |
| 7.1.       | 5 Safet  | y Team:  |               |
| 7.1.       | 6 Emer   | gency Control Centre   |               |
| 7.2        | DISAST   | ER MANAGEMENT  |               |
| 7.2.       | 1 Emer   | rgency Management Plan For Proposed Mines On Site- Offsite Emergency Prepa | redness Plan: |
|            | 129      |  |               |
| 7.2.       | 1 Onsii  | e off-site emergency Plan:   | <i>130</i>    |

| Project      |          | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|--------------|----------|--|-----------|
| Project P    | roponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project L    | ocation  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |
|              |          |  |           |
| 7.2.         | 2 Emer   | gency Plan:  |           |
| 7.2.         | 3 Emer   | gency Control:   |           |
| 7.3          | NATUR    | AL RESOURCE CONSERVATION                                       |           |
| 7.4          | RESET    | LEMENT AND REHABILITATION:                                     |           |
| 8 PR         | OJECT    | BENEFITS   | 132       |
| 8.1          | Gener    | AL   |           |
| 8.1.         | 1 Phys   | ical Benefits  |           |
| 8.2          | Sociai   | BENEFITS   |           |
| 8.3          | Projec   | CT COST / INVESTMENT DETAILS                                   |           |
| 9 EN         | VIRON    | MENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN   | 134       |
| 9.1          | Introi   | DUCTION  |           |
| 9.2          | Subsid   | ENCE   |           |
| 9.3          | MINE I   | DRAINAGE   |           |
| <i>9.3</i> . | 1 Storn  | n water Management   |           |
| <i>9.3</i> . | 2 Drain  | nage   |           |
| <i>9.3</i> . | 3 Adm    | inistrative and Technical Setup                                |           |
| 10 SU        | MMAR     | Y & CONCLUSION   | 139       |
| 10.1         | Introi   | DUCTION  |           |
| 10.2         | Projec   | CT OVERVIEW  |           |
| 10.3         | JUSTIF   | CATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT                                 |           |
| 11 DIS       | SCLOSU   | JRE OF CONSULTANT  | 144       |
| 11.1         | Introi   | DUCTION  | 144       |
| 11.2         | Есо Ти   | CH LABS PVT. LTD – ENVIRONMENT CONSULTANT                      |           |

| Project<br>Project Pronoueut               | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA<br>Report |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Project Location                           | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District | Кероп               |  |  |
| List Of Tables:                            |  |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 1-1: POST                            | ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING                             |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-1: QUA                             | rry within 500m Radius   |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-2 SALIE                            | NT FEATURES OF THE PROJECT                                     |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-3: LOCA                            | TABLE 2-3: LOCATION DETAILS                                    |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-4: LANI                            | O USE PATTERN  |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-5: HABI                            | TATION   |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-6: DETA                            | AILS OF MINING   | 45                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-7: GEOD                            | LOGICAL RESOURCES  |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-8: MINE                            | EABLE RESERVES   | 47                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-9: YEAF                            | R WISE PRODUCTION PLAN   |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 2-10: LIST                           | r of Machineries used  | 50                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-11: DRI                            | LLING AND BLASTING PARAMETERS                                  | 51                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-12: BLA                            | STING DETAILS  | 52                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-13: MA                             | N POWER REQUIREMENTS   | 52                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-14: WA                             | TER REQUIRMENT   | 53                  |  |  |
| TABLE 2-15: SOL                            | ID WASTE MANAGEMENT  | 54                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-1: FREQ                            | QUENCY OF SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS                                | 57                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-2 STUD                             | Y AREA DETAILS   |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 3-3 LAND                             | USE PATTERN  | 66                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-4 GROU                             | IND WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS                                     | 70                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-5: STAN                            | idard Procedure  | 71                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-6 GROU                             | IND WATER SAMPLING RESULTS                                     | 72                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-7 SURFA                            | ACE WATER SAMPLE RESULTS                                       | 75                  |  |  |
| TABLE 3-8: SELE                            | CTION OF SAMPLING LOCATION                                     |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 3-9 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY              |  |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 3-10 NOIS                            | TABLE 3-10 NOISE ANALYSIS   83                                 |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 3-11 DAY NOISE LEVEL (LEQ DAY)    84 |  |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 3-12 NIG                             | TABLE 3-12 NIGHT NOISE LEVEL (LEQ NIGHT)    84                 |                     |  |  |
| TABLE 3-13 SOIL                            | QUALITY ANALYSIS   |                     |  |  |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |
| TABLE 3-14 SOIL   | QUALITY ANALYSIS   | 86        |
| TABLE 3-15 CAL    | CULATION OF DENSITY, FREQUENCY (%), DOMINANCE, RELATIVE DEN    | ISITY,    |
| RELATIVE F        | FREQUENCY, RELATIVE DOMINANCE & IMPORTANT VALUE INDEX          |           |
| TABLE 3-16 TRE    | E SPECIES IN THE CORE ZONE                                     | 91        |
| TABLE 3-17 SHR    | UBS IN THE CORE ZONE   | 92        |
| TABLE 3-18 HER    | BS & GRASSES IN THE CORE ZONE                                  | 93        |
| TABLE 3-19 CAL    | CULATION OF SPECIES DIVERSITY                                  | 95        |
| TABLE 3-20 LIST   | OF FAUNA SPECIES   | 99        |
| TABLE 3-21: DEM   | MOGRAPHY SURVEY STUDY  |           |
| TABLE 3-22: NO    | OF VEHICLES PER DAY  |           |
| TABLE 3-23: EXI   | STING TRAFFIC SCENARIO AND LOS                                 |           |
| TABLE 4-1 EMISS   | SION FACTORS FOR UNCONTROLLED MINING                           | 113       |
| TABLE 5-1: ALTE   | ERNATIVE FOR TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER PARAMETERS                   |           |
| TABLE 6-1: ENVI   | RONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAMME                                 |           |
| TABLE 6-2: MON    | IITORING SCHEDULE DURING MINING                                |           |
| TABLE 9-1: IMPA   | CTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES                                    |           |
| TABLE 9-2: BUD    | GETARY ALLOCATION FOR EMP DURING MINING                        | 138       |
| TABLE 10-1: PRO   | DJECT OVERVIEW   | 139       |
| TABLE 10-2: AN    | FICIPATE IMPACTS & APPROPRIATE MITIGATION MEASURES             |           |

| Project<br>Project Prononent | Draft EIA<br>Report  |    |
|------------------------------|--|----|
| Project Location             | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |    |
| LIST OF FIGURE               | S:   |    |
| FIGURE 1.1: LOC              | ATION MAP OF THE PROJECT SITE                                  | 31 |
| FIGURE 2.1: LOC              | ATION MAP OF THE PROJECT SITE                                  | 37 |
| FIGURE 2.2: GOO              | OGLE EARTH IMAGE AND COORDINATES OF THE PROJECT SITE           |    |
| FIGURE 2.3: SITE             | CONNECTIVITY   |    |
| FIGURE 2.4: TOP              | O MAP OF PROJECT SITE  | 40 |
| FIGURE 2.5: ENV              | TRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY WITHIN 15KM RADIUS                      | 41 |
| FIGURE 2.6: SITE             | PHOTOGRAPHS  | 42 |
| FIGURE 2.7: GEC              | MORPHOLOGY   | 44 |
| FIGURE 2.8 LITH              | OLOGY  | 45 |
| FIGURE 2.9 YEAR              | R WISE PRODUCTION PLAN   | 49 |
| FIGURE 3.1: SITE             | CONNECTIVITY   | 60 |
| FIGURE 3.2 FLOW              | W CHART SHOWING METHODOLOGY OF LAND USE MAPPING                | 62 |
| FIGURE 3.3 LANI              | d use classes around 10 km radius from the project site        | 65 |
| FIGURE 3.4 GEOD              | MORPHOLOGY WITHIN 10KM FROM THE PROJECT SITE                   | 67 |
| FIGURE 3.5 GEOD              | logy within 10km from the project site                         | 68 |
| FIGURE 3.6 GRO               | und water prospects within 5 km radius of the project site     | 70 |
| FIGURE 3.7 WINI              | D ROSE   | 78 |
| FIGURE 3.8 CON               | CENTRATION OF PM10 ( $\mu$ G/M <sup>3</sup> ) IN STUDY AREA    | 81 |
| FIGURE 3.9 CON               | centration of PM2.5 ( $\mu$ G/m <sup>3</sup> ) in Study Area   | 82 |
| FIGURE 3.10 CON              | NCENTRATION OF SOX ( $\mu$ G/M <sup>3</sup> ) IN STUDY AREA    | 82 |
| FIGURE 3.11 CON              | NCENTRATION OF NOX ( $\mu$ G/M3) IN STUDY AREA                 | 83 |
| FIGURE 3.12 SOI              | L EROSION PATTERN WITHIN 5 KM RADIUS OF THE PROJECT SITE       | 85 |
| FIGURE 3.13 SOC              | TIO ECONOMIC MAP SURROUNDING THE PROJECT SITE.                 |    |
| FIGURE 3.14: SIT             | e Connectivity   |    |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# **ABBREVIATION**

LU -Land use

AP – Air Pollution monitoring, prevention and control

AQ- Meteorology, Air quality modeling and prediction

WP – Water pollution monitoring, prevention and control

EB- Ecology and Biodiversity

NV- Noise & Vibration

SE- Socio-economics

HG- Hydrology, ground water and water conservation

GEO – Geology

RH - Risk assessment and hazards management

SHW –Solid and Hazardous waste management

SC- Soil conservation

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### 1. Project Background:

The Proposed project is in Government Poramboke Land having total extent area of 2.00.00 Ha, located at S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District and Tamil Nadu. The category of project is B1, it is an existing rough stone quarry in Venkatesapuram village. The area is situated on hilly terrain sloping towards the Southeast covered with Rough Stone which does not sustain any type of vegetation.

The quarry operation is proposed to carry out with conventional open cast mechanized mining with a 5.0-meter vertical bench with a bench width of 5.0 meter. The Quarry operation involves shallow jack hammer drilling, slurry blasting, loading and transportation.

The quarry operation is proposed up to depth for 42 m below ground level (2 m Topsoil + 40 m Rough Stone) Surface Ground Level Above Height is 10m and Surface Ground Level Below Depth 32m. The Total Geological reserve is about 6,91,920 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 40,176 m<sup>3</sup> of Topsoil. The Mineable Reserves is about 4,06,265 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 38,740 m<sup>3</sup> of Topsoil. The year wise production/recoverable resources of rough stone and Gravel is about 4,06,265 m<sup>3</sup> and 38,740m<sup>3</sup> for 5 years.

The Mining Plan was approved by the Deputy Director, Geology & Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter Rc.No.546/2022 Mines dated 04.05.2022. The project area does not fall in Hill Area Conservation Authority region. There is no interstate boundary, CRZ zone, Western Ghats, notified Bird sanctuaries, wildlife sanctuaries as per Wildlife protection Act 1972, within the radius of 15 km.

#### 2. Nature & Size of the Project

The Rough Stone Quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Hectares land is located Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Mineral intends to quarry | : Rough stone.     |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| District                  | : Krishnagiri      |
| Taluk                     | : Shoolagiri       |
| Village                   | : Venkatesapuram   |
| S. F. Nos.                | : 86 (Part-3)      |
| Extent                    | : 2.00.00 Hectares |

# Table 1: Brief Description of the Project

| Particulars              | Details   |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Latitude                 | 12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N  |  |  |  |
| Longitude                | 77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56' 34.69"E  |  |  |  |
| Site Flevation above MSI | The altitude of the area is Maximum 868m and Minimum  |  |  |  |
|                          | 858m above MSL.   |  |  |  |
| Topography               | Hilly terrain   |  |  |  |
| Land use of the site     | Government Poramboke land   |  |  |  |
| Extent of lease area     | 2.00.00 Ha  |  |  |  |
| Nearest highway          | MDR 422 – Berigai to Shoolagiri Road – 4.01Km - S   |  |  |  |
| ivearest ingriway        | NH 48 – Hosur to Krishnagiri Road – 8.25Km - SE   |  |  |  |
| Nearest railway station  | Hosur Railway Station – 13.64 km - SW   |  |  |  |
| Nearest airport          | Kempagowda International Airport – 54.09 km - N   |  |  |  |
|                          | Town - Shoolagiri – 11.75 km - SE   |  |  |  |
| Nearest town / city      | City - Hosur – 12.82 km - W   |  |  |  |
|                          | District - Krishnagiri – 35.73 km - SE  |  |  |  |
| Rivers / Canal           | • Ponnaniyar River – 4.85Km - SW  |  |  |  |
|                          | • Muthali lake – 3.98Km – W   |  |  |  |
|                          | • Peddakullu lake – 4.92Km – W  |  |  |  |
| <b>T</b> 1               | • Bukkasagaram lake – 3.54Km – S  |  |  |  |
| Lake                     | • Doraipalli lake – 5.20Km – SSE  |  |  |  |
|                          | • Chennathur lake – 10.20Km – SW  |  |  |  |
|                          | • Lake – 4.13Km – W   |  |  |  |
|                          | ParticularsLatitudeLongitudeLongitudeSite Elevation above MSLTopographyLand use of the siteExtent of lease areaNearest highwayNearest railway stationNearest airportNearest town / cityRivers / CanalLake |  |  |  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

|    |  | •               | Berkai lake – 6.57Km – NE   |
|----|--|-----------------|---|
|    |  | •               | Ponnaiyar River – 4.85Km – SW   |
|    |  | •               | Kelavarapelli Reservoir – 7.61Km - W  |
| 13 | Hills / valleys                          | Nil in          | 15 km radius  |
| 14 | Archaeologically places                  | Nil in          | 15 km radius  |
| 15 | National parks / Wildlife<br>Sanctuaries | Nil in          | 15 Km radius  |
| 16 | Reserved / Protected<br>Forests          | • • • • •       | Berigai Extension RF – 1.02Km – SE<br>Sanamavu RF – 4.49Km – SW<br>Meditepalli RF – 2.23Km – N<br>Settipalli RF – 8.25Km – SE<br>Reserve forest – 3.31Km - SW |
| 17 | Seismicity                               | Propos<br>area) | sed Lease area come under Seismic zone-II (low risk   |
| 18 | Defense Installations                    | Nil in          | 15 Km radius  |

# 3. Need for the Project

- The mining activities as proposed are the backbone of all construction and infrastructure projects as the raw material for construction is available only from such mining. The Rough stone extracted will be transported to be Stone crusher of district Krishnagiri.
- The raw Rough stone as well as the crushed material of stone is in high demand in real estate, construction projects as well as in building construction projects.
- Rough stone is quarried for producing crusher aggregates to the nearby building contractors, road contractors and nearby villagers.
- After quarrying the entire reserves mined out, the area will be used as water reservoir to have an artificial recharge to the nearby wells.
- No damage to the land is caused, no reclamation or back filling is required.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |





| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 2: Google Image of the Project Site

# 4. Charnockite

Charnockite and granitic gneisses are extensively quarried as rough stone which is used as aggregates for construction of building, laying of roads and for preparation of value added products like hollow blocks, pillar stones, M-sand etc. Charnockite occurs as massive bodies, greyish colour, medium to coarse grained, composed quartz, feldspar and orthopyroxene. At places, metamorphic gneissic banding (alternate dark and black colour) in charnockite is noticed. Top portion, it gives gneissic appearance but 1-5m depth below it is typical charnockite of grey colour.

#### 5. Geological resources

The geological resources have been calculated based on the cross-section method.

| GEOLOGICAL RESERVES |       |          |          |       |                      |  |                                 |  |
|---------------------|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Section             | Bench | L<br>(m) | W<br>(m) | D (m) | Volume<br>in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu.m (100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |  |
| VV AR               | Ι     | 81       | 104      | 2     |                      |  | 16848                           |  |
| AI-AD               | II    | 81       | 26       | 5     | 10530                | 10530                                    |                                 |  |

 Table 2. Geological resources

| Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA   |
|--|---|
| M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report  |
| Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |   |
| 1  | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres<br>M/s. S.R. Enterprises<br>Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |

|             | III  | 81    | 78  | 5 | 31590  | 31590  |       |
|-------------|------|-------|-----|---|--------|--------|-------|
|             | IV   | 81    | 104 | 5 | 42120  | 42120  |       |
|             | V    | 81    | 104 | 5 | 42120  | 42120  |       |
|             | VI   | 81    | 104 | 5 | 42120  | 42120  |       |
|             | VII  | 81    | 104 | 5 | 42120  | 42120  |       |
|             | VIII | 81    | 104 | 5 | 42120  | 42120  |       |
|             | IX   | 81    | 104 | 5 | 42120  | 42120  |       |
|             | r    | Fotal |     |   | 294840 | 294840 | 16848 |
|             | Ι    | 81    | 144 | 2 |        |        | 23328 |
|             | II   | 19    | 36  | 5 | 3420   | 3420   |       |
|             | III  | 81    | 108 | 5 | 43740  | 43740  |       |
|             | IV   | 81    | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
| XY-AB       | V    | 81    | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|             | VI   | 81    | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|             | VII  | 81    | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|             | VIII | 81    | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|             | IX   | 81    | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|             | r    | Fotal |     |   | 397080 | 397080 | 23328 |
| Grand Total |      |       |     |   | 691920 | 691920 | 40176 |
|             |      |       |     |   |        |        |       |

 Table 3. Mineable Reserves

| MINEABLE RESERVES |       |          |          |          |  |       |                                 |  |
|-------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|--|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| Section           | Bench | L<br>(m) | W<br>(m) | D<br>(m) | Volume<br>in (Cu.m.) Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu.m (100% |       | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |  |
|                   | Ι     | 149      | 130      | 2        |  |       | 38740                           |  |
|                   | II    | 147      | 53       | 5        | 38955  | 38955 |                                 |  |
|                   | III   | 137      | 108      | 5        | 73980  | 73980 |                                 |  |
| XY-AB             | IV    | 127      | 118      | 5        | 74930  | 74930 |                                 |  |
|                   | V     | 117      | 108      | 5        | 63180  | 63180 |                                 |  |
|                   | VI    | 107      | 98       | 5        | 52430  | 52430 |                                 |  |
|                   | VII   | 97       | 88       | 5        | 42680  | 42680 |                                 |  |

15

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Total |      |    |    |   | 406265 | 406265 | 38740 |
|-------|------|----|----|---|--------|--------|-------|
|       | IX   | 77 | 68 | 5 | 26180  | 26180  |       |
|       | VIII | 87 | 78 | 5 | 33930  | 33930  |       |

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION |         |       |          |          |          |                                |   |                                       |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| YEAR                                | Section | Bench | L<br>(m) | W<br>(m) | D<br>(m) | Volume<br>in (m <sup>3</sup> ) | Recoverable<br>Reserves<br>in m <sup>3</sup> (100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in m <sup>3</sup> |
|                                     |         | Ι     | 149      | 130      | 2        |                                |   | 38740                                 |
| I-YEAR                              |         | II    | 147      | 53       | 5        | 38955                          | 38955   |                                       |
|                                     |         | III   | 137      | 108      | 5        | 73980                          | 73980   |                                       |
| II-YEAR                             |         | IV    | 127      | 118      | 5        | 74930                          | 74930   |                                       |
| III-YEAR                            | XY-AB   | V     | 117      | 108      | 5        | 63180                          | 63180   |                                       |
| IV-YEAR                             |         | VI    | 107      | 98       | 5        | 52430                          | 52430   |                                       |
|                                     |         | VII   | 97       | 88       | 5        | 42680                          | 42680   |                                       |
| V-YEAR                              |         | VIII  | 87       | 78       | 5        | 33930                          | 33930   |                                       |
|                                     |         | IX    | 77       | 68       | 5        | 26180                          | 26180   |                                       |
|                                     | Т       | otal  |          |          | 406265   | 406265                         | 38740   |                                       |

#### Table 4. Year wise Production Plan

# 6. Mining

#### **Opencast mining**

The quarry operation is proposed to carry out with conventional open cast mechanized mining with 5.0meter vertical bench with a bench width of 5.0 meter. The Quarry operation involves shallow jack hammer drilling, blasting, loading and transportation.

### **Process Description**

- > The reserves and resource are arrived based upon the Geological investigation.
- > Removal of Topsoil by Excavators and directly Loaded into Tippers.
- > Removal of Rough Stone by Excavators by Drilling and Blasting.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

- > Shallow Drilling With Jackhammer of 25.5mm Dia.
- > Minimum Blasting With Class 3 Explosives.
- > Loading of Rough Stone By Excavators Into Tippers.

# 7. Water Requirement

Total water requirement for the mining project is 2.0 KLD. Domestic water will be sourced from nearby Venkatesapuram Village and other water will be source from nearby road tankers supply.

| Purpose          | Quantity | Source   |
|------------------|----------|--|
| Drinking Water   | 1.0 KLD  | Packaged Drinking water vendors available in Venkatesapuram which is about 0.82 - W km from project area |
| Green belt       | 0.5 KLD  | Other domestic activities through road tankers supply  |
| Dust suppression | 0.5 KLD  | From road tankers supply   |
| Total            | 2.0 KLD  |  |

#### Table 5. Water Balance

# 8. Manpower

Total manpower required for the project is approximately 18 persons. Workers will be from nearby villages.

#### Table 6. Man Power

| 1. | Skilled            | Operator                       | 2 No. |  |  |
|----|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--|--|
|    |                    | Mechanic                       | 1 No. |  |  |
|    |                    | Blaster/Mat                    | 1 No. |  |  |
| 2. | Semi – skilled     | Driver                         | 2 Nos |  |  |
| 3. | Unskilled          | Musdoor / Labors               | 5 Nos |  |  |
|    |                    | Cleaners                       | 3 Nos |  |  |
|    |                    | Office Boy                     | 1No   |  |  |
| 4. | Management & Super | Management & Supervisory staff |       |  |  |
|    |                    | 18 Nos                         |       |  |  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 9. Solid Waste Management

# Table 7 Solid Waste Management

| S. No | Туре      | Quantity    | Disposal Method                    |
|-------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| 1     | Organic   | 3.24 kg/day | Municipal bin including food waste |
| 2     | Inorganic | 4.86 kg/day | TNPCB authorized recyclers         |

As per CPCB guidelines: MSW per capita/day =0.45 kg/day

# Table 8 500m Radius Cluster Mine

# 1) Details of Existing quarries:

| S.  | Name of the | Village & Taluk | Mineral | S.F. No | Fytent | GO No. | Lease  |
|-----|-------------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| No. | Owner       | Village & Taluk | Winciai | 5.1.110 | LAUIL  | & Date | Period |
| 1.  |             |                 | NIL     |         |        |        |        |

# 2) Details of abandoned/Old Quarries:

| S.  | Nama of the lasses  | Villago  | S E No           | Extont  | CONa & Data                                  | Lease                          |
|-----|---|--|------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|
| No. | Ivalle of the lessee  | vmage  | 5.1.110          | Extent  | GO No. & Date                                | period                         |
| 1.  | M/s. R.A. Blue Metals,<br>No.50, Radhalakshmi<br>Nilaya, Devasandra<br>Main Road, Bangalore<br>- 560036                             | Venkatesapuram<br>village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk | 86 (Part<br>- 4) | 4.00.0  | Rc.No. 68/2016/<br>Mines<br>Dated:10.08.2016 | 22.08.2016<br>to<br>21.08.2021 |
| 2.  | Thiru.P.Selvaraju,<br>S/o.Periyasamy,<br>No.57-B-1, Kalliyannan<br>Nagar,<br>Kumarapalayam,<br>Thiruchengodu,<br>Namakkal District. | Venkatesapuram<br>village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk | 86 (Part<br>- 6) | 2.00.00 | Rc.No. 69/2016/<br>Mines<br>Dated:13.10.2016 | 17.10.2016<br>to<br>16.10.2021 |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 3. | Thiru.J.Shanmugam,<br>S/o. Jaganathan, M/s.<br>S.S. Blue metals, No.4,<br>Pillaiyar Koil street,<br>Marandahalli Post,<br>Palacode taluk,<br>Dharmapuri Dist. | Venkatesapuram<br>village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk | 86 (Part<br>- 7) | 2.00.00 | Rc.No. 70/2016/<br>Mines<br>Dated:28.09.2016 | 03.10.2016<br>to<br>02.10.2016 |
|----|---|--|------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|
|----|---|--|------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|

# 3) Details of Proposed Quarries

| S.<br>No. | Name of the lessee  | Village &<br>Taluk                                 | Mineral        | S.F. No        | Extent  | GO No. &<br>Date                                      | Lease<br>period       |
|-----------|---|--|----------------|----------------|---------|---|-----------------------|
| 1.        | S.R.Enterprises,<br>No.25, Shanthi<br>nagar, west 2 <sup>nd</sup> cross,<br>Hosur taluk,<br>Krishnagiri Dist  | Venkatesapu<br>ram village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | Rough<br>stone | 86<br>(Part-3) | 2.00.0  | Rc.No.<br>546/2022/<br>Mines<br>Dated:04.05.<br>2022  | Instant<br>proposal   |
|           | Thiru.B.Elavarasan,<br>S/o. Baskaran,<br>D.No. 3/83,<br>T.Thurinjihalli<br>village,<br>Thenkaraikottai post,<br>Pappireddipatti<br>taluk, Dharmapuri<br>Dist. | Venkatesapu<br>ram village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | Rough<br>stone | 86<br>(Part-5) | 4.20.0  | Rc.No.<br>1260/2018/<br>Mines<br>Dated:02.01.<br>2018 | Precise<br>area given |
| 3.        | Thiru.A.Brian<br>Balachander, S/o<br>Antony Richard<br>Bhaskar, D.No.<br>2/29, 1 <sup>st</sup> main road,<br>padi, Thiruvallur,<br>Chennai – 600 050          | Venkatesapu<br>ram village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | Rough<br>stone | 86<br>(Part-3) | 2.00.00 | Rc.No.<br>546/2022/<br>Mines<br>Dated:04.05.<br>2022  | Precise<br>area given |

The Total extent of the Existing / Lease expired / Proposed quarries are 17.70.0 Ha

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 10. Land Requirement

The total extent area of the project is 2.00.00 Ha, Government Poramboke land in Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

| S.  | Land Haa        | Present     | Area in use during the  |
|-----|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| No. | Land Use        | Area (Hect) | quarrying period (Hect) |
| 1.  | Quarrying Pit   | 0.14.0      | 1.51.0                  |
| 2.  | Infrastructure  | Nil         | 0.01.0                  |
| 3.  | Roads           | 0.01.0      | 0.01.0                  |
| 4.  | Green Belt      | Nil         | 0.47.0                  |
| 5.  | Unutilized Area | 1.85.0      | Nil                     |
|     | Total           | 2.00.0      | 2.00.0                  |

# Table 9 Land Use Breakup

# 11. Human Settlement

There are no habitations within 300m radius. There are villages located in this area within 5km radius of the quarry.

#### Table 10 Habitation

| SL. NO. | DIRECTION | VILLAGE        | POPULATION | DISTANCE |
|---------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|
| 1       | NW        | Alnatham       | 327        | 1.90 Km  |
| 2       | S         | Bukkasagaram   | 2126       | 3.12 Km  |
| 3       | Е         | Mensandoddi    | 358        | 2.18 Km  |
| 4       | W         | Venkatesapuram | 2873       | 0.82 km  |

# 12. Power Requirement

The Rough Stone Quarry project does not require huge water and electricity for the project. **16 Litre** diesel per hour for excavator for mining and loading for Rough stone needed.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 13. Scope of the Baseline Study

This chapter contains information on existing environmental scenario on the following parameters.

- 1. Micro Meteorology
- 2. Water Environment
- 3. Air Environment
- 4. Noise Environment
- 5. Soil / Land Environment
- 6. Biological Environment
- 7. Socio-economic Environment

# 13.1 Micro - Meteorology

Meteorology plays a vital role in affecting the dispersion of pollutants, once discharged into the atmosphere. Since meteorological factors show wide fluctuations with time, meaningful interpretation can be drawn only from long-term reliable data.

- i) Average Minimum Temperature : 17 °C
- ii) Average Maximum Temperature : 39°C
- iii) Average Annual Rainfall of the area: 968 mm

# 13.2 Air Environment

Ambient air monitoring was carried out on a monthly basis in the surrounding areas of the Mine Lease area to assess the ambient air quality at the source. To know the ambient air quality at a larger distance i.e., in the study area of 5 km. radius, air quality survey has been conducted at 5 locations. Major air pollutants like Particulate Matter (PM10), Sulphur Dioxide (SO2), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) were monitored and the results are summarized below.

The baseline levels of  $PM_{10}$  (57-33 µg/m<sup>3</sup>),  $PM_{2.5}$  (29-14 µg/m<sup>3</sup>),  $SO_2$  (13-4 µg/m<sup>3</sup>),  $NO_2$  (29-10 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), all the parameters are well within the standards prescribed by National Ambient Air Quality during the study period from December 2022 to February 2023.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 13.3 Noise Environment

The maximum Day noise and Night noise were found to be 59 dB(A) and 45 dB(A) respectively in in Sivaraman green Garden. The minimum Day Noise and Night noise were 43 dB(A) and 35 dB(A) respectively which was observed in project site. The observed values are all well within the Standards prescribed by CPCB.

# 13.4 Water Environment

- The average pH ranges from 7.10 7.76.
- TDS value varied from 538 mg/l to 880 mg/l
- Hardness varied from 345 to 523 mg/1
- Chloride varied from 74.1 to 176 mg/1

# 13.5 Land Environment

The analysis results shows that the majority of soil in the project and surrounding area is slightly alkaline in nature and pH value ranges from 6.8 to 8.8 with organic matter 0.19 to 0.32 %. The concentration of Nitrogen, Phosphorus & Potassium has been found to be in good amount in the soil samples.

# 13.6 Biological Environment

The proposed Mining lease area is mostly dry barren ground with small shrubs and bushes. No specific endangered flora & fauna exist within the mining lease area.

# 14. Rehabilitation/ Resettlement

- The overall land of the mine is Government Poramboke land. There is no displacement of the population within the project area and adjacent nearby area. Social development of nearby villages will be considered in this project.
- The mine area does not cover any habitation. Hence the mining activity does not involve any displacement of human settlement.

# 15. Greenbelt Development

1. The development of greenbelt in the peripheral buffer zone of the mine area.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

2. The Green belt has been recommended as one of the major components of Environmental Management Plan, which will improve ecology, environment and quality of the surrounding area.

3. Local trees like Neem, Pungam, Naval etc will be planted along the lease boundary and avenues as well as over non-active dumps at a rate of 200 trees per annum with interval 5m.

4. The rate of survival expected to be 80% in this area

| Table.11 Plantation / Afforestation Program             |          |               |  |
|---|----------|---------------|--|
| Name of species proposed                                | Survival | No of species |  |
| Neem, Pungam, Poovarasu, Naval, Mantharai, Arasa Maram, |          |               |  |
| Magizham, Vilvam, vaagai, Marudha maram, Thandri,       | 800/     | 1000          |  |
| Poovarasu, Manjadi, Usil, Aathi, Panai, Uzha, Illuppai, | 0070     | 1000          |  |
| Eachai, Vanni Maram                                     |          |               |  |
| Total   |          | 1000          |  |

# 16. Anticipated Environmental Impacts

#### 16.1 Air Environment and Mitigation Measures

- 1. Water sprinkling will be done on the roads & unpaved roads.
- 2. Proper mitigation measures like water sprinkling will be adopted to control dust emissions.
- 3. Plantation will be carried out on approach roads, solid waste site & nearby mine premises.
- 4. To control the emissions regular preventive maintenance of equipments will be carried out.

# **16.2 Noise Environment and Mitigation Measures**

- 1. Periodical monitoring of ambient noise will be done as per CPCB guidelines.
- 2. No other equipment except the transportation vehicles and excavator for loading will be allowed.

3. Noise generated by these equipments shall be intermittent and does not cause much adverse impact

# 17. Responsibilities for Environmental Management Cell (EMC)

The responsibilities of the EMC include the following:

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

- i. Environmental Monitoring of the surrounding area
- ii. Developing the green belt/Plantation
- iii. Ensuring minimal use of water
- iv. Proper implementation of pollution control measures

# 18. Environmental Monitoring Program

A monitoring schedule with respect to Ambient Air Quality, Water & Wastewater Quality, Noise Quality as per Tamil Nadu State Pollution Control Board (TNPCB), shall be maintained.

# 19. Project Cost

The total project cost is **Rs 4,73,31,002/-** for deployment of machinery and creation of infrastructural facilities like approach road, mine office / Workers Shed, First Aid Room etc., including electrifications and water supply.

#### **Table 12 Project Cost details**

| S. No. | Description                  | Cost              |
|--------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1      | Fixed Asset Cost             | Rs.3,25,90,000/-  |
| 2      | Operational and Fencing Cost | Rs. 30,00,000/-   |
| 3      | EMP Cost                     | Rs. 81,41,002/-   |
|        | Total                        | Rs. 4,37,31,002/- |

#### 20. Corporate Environmental Responsibility

The Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) fund will be provided to the below activity.

# Table 13 CER Cost

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| S.No. | CER Activity   | CER value (Rs) |
|-------|--|----------------|
| 1.    | Government High School, Venkatesapuram – Provision of                    |                |
|       | <ul> <li>Renovation of Auditorium,</li> </ul>                            |                |
|       | <ul> <li>Renovation of Gents Toilet,</li> </ul>                          |                |
|       | <ul><li>Sports equipment,</li></ul>                                      | 5,00,000       |
|       | <ul> <li>Environmental books for library (in Tamil language),</li> </ul> |                |
|       | <ul> <li>Greenbelt facilities and</li> </ul>                             |                |
|       | Basic amenities such as safe drinking water, furniture.                  |                |
| Total |  | 5,00,000       |

# 21. Benefits of the Project

• There is positive impact on socioeconomics of people living in the villages. Mining operations in the subject area has positive impact by providing direct and indirect jobs opportunities.

• The project is environmentally compatible, financially viable and would be in the interest of the construction industry thereby indirectly benefiting the masses.

• Quarrying in this area is not going to have any negative impact on the social or cultural life of the villagers in the nearby vicinity.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 PREAMBLE

Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) is a process used to identify the environmental, social & economic impacts of a project prior to decision making. It aims to predict environmental impacts at an early stage of project planning and design, find ways and means to reduce adverse impacts, shape projects to suit the local environment and present the prediction options to the proponent. By using EIA, both environmental & economic benefits can be achieved. By considering environmental effects - prediction & mitigation, early benefits in project planning, protection of the environment, optimum utilization of resources, thus saving overall time & cost of the project.

#### 1.2 GENERAL INFORMATION ON MINING OF MINERALS

The Entire district is underlain by the rocks belonging to hard crystalline rock masses of Archaean age. The Archaean rocks in this area are represented by rocks of eastern Ghat complex comprising charnockites, Migmatite complex of composite gneiss. The district is covered by metamorphic crystalline rocks of charnockite, composite gneiss of Archaean age. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to sever folding, crushing and faulting. Charnockites group is occupied by North and Southern part of the basin. The other rock type is encountered by composite granitic gneiss of Epidote hornblende biotite gneiss and hornblende biotite gneiss are occupy in the middle portion of the basin. Charnockite group occupies the high ground as well as plain and it is poorly weathered and jointed. They are generally black grey to dark grey in colour medium to coarse grained texture, and generally massive and un-foliated. A gneissic rock occurs as linear bands in the middle portion of the area and is highly migmatite. Mostly, micaceous with bands of granites, pegmatites, quartz veins the rock is well foliated. The Hornblende biotite gneiss forms the country rock of the area and epidote hornblende gneiss (Proterozoic age) occurs as small isolated outcrops. The crystalline formations are charnockite, granitic gneiss of Archean age have been intruded by dolerite dykes and pegmatite veins. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to very severe folding, crushing and faulting. The crystalline rocks are subjected to tectonic activities under various orogenic cycles resulting in the development of secondary structures such as joints. fissures and cleavages. The intensity of weathering varies from place to place.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments (O.M vide No.F.No.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) Govt of India MOEF&CC on December 12th 2018) project comes under category B1 cluster & schedule 1(a) under item 1

The proposed project is categorized under Category "B1" 1(a) (Cluster) - {Mining of Minerals} as the 500m radius area is more than 5 Ha including the mine lease area. Hence, the project will be considered at SEAC, Tamil Nadu.


| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### 1.4 TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR)

The terms of Reference have been issued by SEAC TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9499/ ToR-1309/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022. 43 additional ToR points were recommended by SEAC TN in addition to the Standard ToR Points. The replies for the same were addressed in this report.

### 1.5 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING

### 1.5.1 Methodology adopted

Post project monitoring will be carried out as per conditions stipulated in environmental clearance letter issued by SEIAA, consent issued by SPCB as well as according to CPCB guidelines. The lease area is considered as core zone and the area lying within 10 km radius from the lease boundary is considered as buffer zone, where some impacts may be observed on physical and biological environment. In the buffer zone slight impact may be observed and that too is occasional.

| S. No. | Description                      | Frequency of Monitoring |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1.     | Ambient Air Quality Monitoring   | Quarterly/ Half Yearly  |
| 2.     | Water level & Quality Monitoring | Quarterly/ Half Yearly  |
| 3.     | Noise Level Monitoring           | Quarterly/ Half Yearly  |
| 4.     | Soil Quality Monitoring          | Yearly                  |
| 5.     | Medical Check-up                 | Yearly                  |

#### Table 1-1: Post Environmental Clearance Monitoring

### 1.6 GENERIC STRUCTURE OF THE EIA DOCUMENT

*Chapter 1:* Introduction. This chapter contains the general information on the mining of minerals, major sources of environmental impacts in respect of mining projects and details of environmental clearance process.

*Chapter 2:* Project Description. In this chapter the proponent should also furnish detailed description of the proposed project, such as the type of the project, need for the project, project location, layout, project activities during construction and operational phases, capacity of the project, project operation i.e., land availability, utilities (power and water supply) and infrastructure facilities such as roads, railways, housing and other requirements. If the project site is near a sensitive area it is to be mentioned clearly why an alternative site could not be considered. The project implementation schedule estimated cost of development as well as operation etc. should also be included.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

*Chapter 3:* Analysis of Alternatives (Technology and Site). This chapter gives details of various alternatives both in respect of location of site and technologies to be deployed, in case the initial scoping exercise considers such a need.

*Chapter 4:* Description of Environment. This chapter should cover baseline data in the project area and study area.

*Chapter 5:* Impact Analysis and mitigation measures. This chapter describes the anticipated impacts on the environment and mitigation measures. The method of assessment of impacts including studies carried out, modelling techniques adopted to assess the impacts where pertinent should be elaborated in this chapter. It should give the details of the impacts on the baseline parameters, both during the construction and operational phases and suggests the mitigation measures to be implemented by the proponent.

*Chapter 6:* Environmental Monitoring Program. This chapter should cover the planned environmental monitoring program. It should also include the technical aspects of monitoring the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

*Chapter 7:* Additional Studies. This chapter should cover the details of the additional studies required in addition to those specified in the ToR and which are necessary to cater to more specific issues applicable to the particular project.

*Chapter 8:* Project Benefits. This chapter should cover the benefits accruing to the locality, neighborhood, region and nation as a whole. It should bring out details of benefits by way of improvements in the physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, employment potential and other tangible benefits.

*Chapter 9:* Environmental Cost Benefit Analysis. This chapter should cover on Environmental Cost Benefit Analysis of the project.

*Chapter 10:* Environmental Management Plan. This chapter should comprehensively present the Environmental Management Plan (EMP), which includes the administrative and technical setup, summary matrix of EMP, the cost involved to implement the EMP, both during the construction and operational phase and provisions made towards the same in the cost estimates of project construction and operation. This chapter should also describe the proposed post-monitoring scheme as well as inter-organizational arrangements for effective implementation of the mitigation measures.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

*Chapter 11:* Summary and Conclusions. This chapter gives the summary of the full EIA report condensed to ten A-4 size pages at the maximum. It should provide the overall justification for implementation of the project and should explain how the adverse effects have been mitigated.

*Chapter 12:* Disclosure of Consultants. This chapter should include the names of the consultants engaged with their brief resume and nature of consultancy rendered.

#### 1.7 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT

| Project Proponent          | : M/s. S.R. Enterprises                  |
|----------------------------|--|
| Status of the Proponent    | : Partnership Firm                       |
| Proponent's Name & Address | : M/s. S.R. Enterprises,                 |
|                            | D.No: 25, Shanthi Nagar,                 |
|                            | West, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur Taluk |
|                            | Krishnagiri – 635 109.                   |

#### 1.8 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

#### 1.8.1 Project Nature, Size & Location

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments (O.M vide No.F.No.L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) Govt of India MOEF&CC on December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2018) project comes under category B1 cluster & schedule 1(a) under item 1.

Proposed proposal pertains to Rough stone mining project by mechanized open cast method on allotted mine lease area at Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk of Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. It is an elevated terrain. The total allotted mine lease for the proposed project is 2.00.00 Ha with their maximum production capacity i.e., 4,06,265 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 38,740m<sup>3</sup> of Topsoil.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprisres       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 1.1: Location Map of the Project site

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 2 **Project Description**

This chapter furnishes detailed description of the proposed project, such as the type of the project, need for the project, project location, layout, project activities during mining, capacity of the project, project operation i.e., land availability, utilities (power and water supply) and infrastructure facilities such as roads, railways, housing and other requirements. The project implementation schedule estimated cost for carrying out entire mining activity is included.

### 2.1 GENERAL

Proposed proposal pertains to Rough stone mining project by open cast mechanized method on allotted mine lease area at Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk of Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu. It is a hilly terrain. We have obtained a fresh mining plan from Department of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri District for 2.00.00 Ha land area in the S.F.Nos. 86 (Part-3) for a proposed mining depth of 42m Topsoil 2m + Rough stone 40m. (Surface Ground Level Above Height is 10m and Surface Ground Level Below Depth is 32m). and five years production of 4,06,265 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 38,740m<sup>3</sup> of Topsoil.

### Type of the project:

As per EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments (O.M vide No.F.No. L-11011/175/2018-IA-II(M) Govt of India MOEF&CC on December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2018) project comes under category B1 cluster & schedule 1(a) under item 1. The project required to be appraised at state level by State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, Tamil Nadu. Environment Clearance study will involve preparation of draft EIA report on the basis of baseline & impact assessment study is carried out. Also, before appraisal, under 7(III) of EIA notification 2006, the project involves the Public Consultation and the same will be conducted under SPCB (TN) in Krishnagiri District. The proceedings of the same will be incorporated in the Final EIA Report.

The mines within 500m radius from the project site is listed below.

### Table 2-1: Quarry within 500m Radius

### 1) Details of Existing quarries:

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| S.<br>No. | Name of the<br>Owner | Village & Taluk | Mineral | S.F. No | Extent | GO No.<br>& Date | Lease<br>Period |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1.        | NIL                  |                 |         |         |        |                  |                 |

## 2) Details of abandoned/Old Quarries:

| S.<br>No. | Name of the lessee   | Village  | S.F. No          | Extent  | GO No. & Date                                | Lease period                |
|-----------|--|--|------------------|---------|--|-----------------------------|
| 1.        | M/s. R.A. Blue<br>Metals, No.50,<br>Radhalakshmi<br>Nilaya, Devasandra<br>Main Road,<br>Bangalore - 560036   | Venkatesapuram<br>village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk | 86 (Part<br>- 4) | 4.00.0  | Rc.No. 68/2016/<br>Mines<br>Dated:10.08.2016 | 22.08.2016 to<br>21.08.2021 |
| 2.        | Thiru.P.Selvaraju,<br>S/o.Periyasamy,<br>No.57-B-1,<br>Kalliyannan Nagar,<br>Kumarapalayam,<br>Thiruchengodu,<br>Namakkal District.                              | Venkatesapuram<br>village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk | 86 (Part<br>- 6) | 2.00.00 | Rc.No. 69/2016/<br>Mines<br>Dated:13.10.2016 | 17.10.2016 to<br>16.10.2021 |
| 3.        | Thiru.J.Shanmugam,<br>S/o. Jaganathan,<br>M/s. S.S. Blue<br>metals, No.4,<br>Pillaiyar Koil street,<br>Marandahalli Post,<br>Palacode taluk,<br>Dharmapuri Dist. | Venkatesapuram<br>village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk | 86 (Part<br>- 7) | 2.00.00 | Rc.No. 70/2016/<br>Mines<br>Dated:28.09.2016 | 03.10.2016 to<br>02.10.2016 |

# 3) Details of Proposed Quarries

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| S.<br>No. | Name of the lessee  | Village &<br>Taluk                                 | Mineral        | S.F. No        | Extent  | GO No. &<br>Date                                      | Lease<br>period       |
|-----------|---|--|----------------|----------------|---------|---|-----------------------|
| 1.        | S.R.Enterprises,<br>No.25, Shanthi<br>nagar, west 2 <sup>nd</sup> cross,<br>Hosur taluk,<br>Krishnagiri Dist  | Venkatesapu<br>ram village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | Rough<br>stone | 86<br>(Part-3) | 2.00.0  | Rc.No.<br>546/2022/<br>Mines<br>Dated:04.05.<br>2022  | Instant<br>proposal   |
| 2.        | Thiru.B.Elavarasan,<br>S/o. Baskaran,<br>D.No. 3/83,<br>T.Thurinjihalli<br>village,<br>Thenkaraikottai post,<br>Pappireddipatti<br>taluk, Dharmapuri<br>Dist. | Venkatesapu<br>ram village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | Rough<br>stone | 86<br>(Part-5) | 4.20.0  | Rc.No.<br>1260/2018/<br>Mines<br>Dated:02.01.<br>2018 | Precise<br>area given |
| 3.        | Thiru.A.Brian<br>Balachander, S/o<br>Antony Richard<br>Bhaskar, D.No.<br>2/29, 1 <sup>st</sup> main road,<br>padi, Thiruvallur,<br>Chennai – 600 050          | Venkatesapu<br>ram village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | Rough<br>stone | 86<br>(Part-3) | 2.00.00 | Rc.No.<br>546/2022/<br>Mines<br>Dated:04.05.<br>2022  | Precise<br>area given |

The Total extent of the Existing / Lease expired / Proposed quarries are 17.70.0 Ha

#### 2.1.1 *Need for the project:*

The Entire district is underlain by the rocks belonging to hard crystalline rock masses of Archaean age. The Archaean rocks in this area are represented by rocks of eastern Ghat complex comprising charnockites, Migmatite complex of composite gneiss. The district is covered by metamorphic crystalline rocks of charnockite, composite gneiss of Archaean age. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to sever folding, crushing and faulting. Charnockites group is occupied by North and Southern part of the basin. The other rock type is encountered by composite granitic gneiss of Epidote hornblende biotite gneiss and hornblende biotite gneiss are occupy in the middle portion of the

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

basin. Charnockite group occupies the high ground as well as plain and it is poorly weathered and jointed. They are generally black grey to dark grey in colour medium to coarse grained texture, and generally massive and un-foliated. A gneissic rock occurs as linear bands in the middle portion of the area and is highly migmatite. Mostly, micaceous with bands of granites, pegmatites, guartz veins the rock is well foliated. The Hornblende biotite gneiss forms the country rock of the area and epidote hornblende gneiss (Proterozoic age) occurs as small isolated outcrops. The crystalline formations are charnockite, granitic gneiss of Archean age have been intruded by dolerite dykes and pegmatite veins. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to very severe folding, crushing and faulting. The crystalline rocks are subjected to tectonic activities under various orogenic cycles resulting in the development of secondary structures such as joints. fissures and cleavages. The intensity of weathering varies from place to place.

#### 2.2 <u>BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT</u> Table 2-2 Salient Features of the Project

| S. No. | Description              | Details   |  |
|--------|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1      | Project Name             | Rough Stone Quarry-2.00.00 ha   |  |
| 2      | Proponent                | M/s. S.R. Enterprisres  |  |
| 3      | Mining Lease Area Extent | 2.00.00На   |  |
| 4      | Location                 | S.F.Nos. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village,                              |  |
|        |                          | Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.                                   |  |
| 5      | Latitude                 | 12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N  |  |
| 6      | Longitude                | 77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56' 34.69"E  |  |
| 7      | Topography               | Hilly terrain   |  |
| 8      | Site Elevation above MSL | The altitude of the area is Maximum 868m and                              |  |
|        |                          | Minimum 858m above MSL.   |  |
| 9      | Topo sheet No.           | 57- H/14  |  |
| 10     | Minerals of Mine         | Rough Stone Quarry  |  |
| 11     | Proposed production of M | 4,06,265 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone & 38,740 m <sup>3</sup> of Topsoil |  |
| 12     | Ultimate depth of Mining | 42 m (2m Topsoil + 40 Rough stone) 10m AGL +                              |  |
|        |                          | 32m BGL   |  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 13 | Method of Mining       | Open cast, mechanized mining                                    |
|----|------------------------|---|
| 14 | Water demand           | 2.0 KLD   |
| 15 | Source of water        | Water will be supplied through tankers supply                   |
| 16 | Manpower               | 18 Nos.   |
| 17 | Mining Lease           | Precise Area Communication Letter received from                 |
|    |                        | District Collector, Krishnagiri vide letter                     |
|    |                        | Rc.No.546/2022 Mines dated 04.05.2022                           |
| 18 | Mining Plan Approval   | Mining Plan was approved by the Deputy Director,                |
|    |                        | Dept. of Geology & Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter              |
|    |                        | Rc.No.546/2022 Mines dated 29.06.2022                           |
| 19 | Production details     | Geological resources: 6,91,920m <sup>3</sup> of Rough stone &   |
|    |                        | Proposed year wise recoverable reserves: 4,06,265               |
|    |                        | m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone                                   |
| 20 | Boundary Fencing       | 10 m barrier all along the boundary Fencing will be             |
|    |                        | provided.   |
| 21 | Disposal of overburden | The entire lease area covers 2.0m of Topsoil and                |
|    |                        | estimated quantity of Topsoil is 38,740m <sup>3</sup> . Topsoil |
|    |                        | formation will be removed and transported to the                |
|    |                        | needy users, only after obtaining permission and                |
|    |                        | paying necessary seigniorage fees to the                        |
|    |                        | Government.   |
| 22 | Ground water           | The ground Water Level is noticed at the depth of               |
|    |                        | 70m below Ground Level by monitoring nearby                     |
|    |                        | bore hole, Mining depth taken as 42m (Surface                   |
|    |                        | Ground Level Above Height 10m & Surface                         |
|    |                        | Ground Level Below Depth 32m). Now, the                         |
|    |                        | proposed quarry depth is above the water table.                 |
|    |                        | Hence, quarrying may not affect the ground water.               |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 23 | Habitations within |        | There is no Habitation within 300m radius of the |
|----|--------------------|--------|--|
|    | 300m radius        | of the | project site.                                    |
|    | Project Site       |        |  |
| 24 | Drinking water     |        | Water will be supplied through tankers from      |
|    |                    |        | Venkatesapuram village which is 0.82 Km of the   |
|    |                    |        | project area                                     |



Figure 2.1: Location Map of the Project Site

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 2.2: Google Earth Image and Coordinates of the Project Site

### 2.2.1 Site Connectivity:

The site is connected to MDR 422 – Berigai to Shoolagiri Road – 4.89Km - SE.

NH 48 – Hosur to Krishnagiri Road – 7.01Km - SW

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 2.3: Site Connectivity

## 2.3 LOCATION DETAILS:

### Table 2-3: Location Details

| S. No | Particulars              | Details   |
|-------|--------------------------|---|
| 1.    | Latitude                 | 12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N`                                 |
| 2.    | Longitude                | 77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56' 34.69"E                                  |
| 3.    | Site Elevation above MSL | The altitude of the area is Maximum 868m<br>Minimum 858m above MSL. |
| 4.    | Topography               | Hilly terrain   |
| 5.    | Land use of the site     | Government Poramboke land   |
| 6.    | Extent of lease area     | 2.00.00 Ha  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 2.4: Topo Map of Project Site

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 2.5: Environmental Sensitivity within 15km radius

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | ponent M/s. S.R. Enterprises                                   |           |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 2.3.1 Site Photographs

The site photographs of the project site are as follows



### Figure 2.6: Site Photographs

### 2.3.2 Land Use Breakup of the Mine Lease Area

The Mine Lease area is Elevated terrain. The land use pattern of the mine lease area as follows.

| S.No | Land Use        | Present Area<br>(Ha) | Area in use during the quarrying period (Ha) |
|------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 1    | Quarrying Pit   | 0.68.0               | 1.47.0                                       |
| 2    | Infrastructure  | Nil                  | 0.01.0                                       |
| 3    | Roads           | 0.01.0               | 0.01.0                                       |
| 4    | Green Belt      | Nil                  | 1.01.0                                       |
| 5    | Unutilized Area | 1.81.0               | Nil  |
|      | Total           | 2.00.00 Ha           | 2.00.00 Ha                                   |

### Table 2-4: Land use pattern

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 2.3.3 Human Settlement

There are no habitations within the radius of 300m. The nearby habitations are as follows

| SL. NO. | DIRECTION | VILLAGE        | POPULATION | DISTANCE |
|---------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|
| 1       | NW        | Alnatham       | 327        | 1.86 Km  |
| 2       | S         | Bukkasagaram   | 2126       | 3.37 Km  |
| 3       | E         | Mensandoddi    | 358        | 2.12 Km  |
| 4       | W         | Venkatesapuram | 2873       | 0.87 km  |

### Table 2-5: Habitation

### 2.4 LEASEHOLD AREA

The Rough Stone Quarry mine of 2.00.00 Ha is a Government Poramboke land. The lease area falls in S.F No: 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District. There is no reserve forest or protected forest land within the lease area. There is neither human settlement within 300m radius from the lease area.

### 2.5 <u>GEOLOGY</u>

Krishnagiri District is underlain by crystalline metamorphic complex in the western parts of district and sedimentary tract in eastern side. An area of 4551 Sq.km is covered by crystalline rocks (63%) and 2671 Sq.km is covered by sediments (37%).

The general geological sequence of formation is given below:

- Quaternary Laterites, Sands and Clays
- Tertiary Sandstone, Gravels and Clays
- Cretaceous Limestone,
- Calcareous Sandstone and Clay unconformity.
- Archaean Charnockites, Gneisses, Granites, Dolerites and Pegmatite

The major part of the area is covered by metamorphic crystalline rocks of charnockite, granitic gneiss of Archaean age intruded by dolerite dykes and pegmatite veins. These rocks are highly metamorphosed and have been subjected to very severe folding, crushing and faulting. Ground Water occurs under phreatic conditions and wherever there are deep seated fractures, it occurs under semi-confined to confined conditions.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

Occurrence of Ground Water in hard rock depends upon the intensity and depth of weathering, fractures and fissures present in the rocks. Granites and gneisses yield moderately compared to the yield in Charnockites. The depth of well in hard rock generally ranges between 8 and 15m below ground level. Generally, yield in open wells ranges from 30 to 250m<sup>3</sup> /day and in bore well between 260 and 430 m3 /day. The weathered thickness varies from 2.5 m to 42m in general. There are 3 to 5 fracture zones within 100 m and 1 to 4 fracture zones between 100 and 200 m.

The Cretaceous formation is represented by Arenaceous Limestone, Calcareous sand - stone and marl. The Tertiary formation is an argillaceous comprising of Silty clay stones, argillaceous Limestone. The Quaternary deposits represented by the river deposits of Ponnaiyar and Varahanadhi spread over as patches in Villupuram District. The alluvium consists of unconsolidated sands, gravelly sands, clays and clayey sands. The thickness of the sands ranges between 15 and 25 m in the alluvial formation which also form potential aquifers. In some areas, sandstone of tertiary formation are potential groundwater reservoirs.



Figure 2.7: Geomorphology

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 2.8 Lithology

### 2.6 QUALITY OF RESERVES:

The mining lease area is 2.00.00 Ha, with production capacity of 4,06,265 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 38,740m<sup>3</sup> of Topsoil. Due to its significant role in the domestic as well as infrastructural market, making the mining of Stone along with associated minor minerals is economically viable.

### Table 2-6: Details of Mining

| S. No | Particulars                      | Details                                 |
|-------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1     | Method of Mining                 | Open Cast mechanized                    |
| 2     | Geological resources             | 6,91,920 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone. |
| 3     | Recoverable Reserves             | 4,06,265 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone. |
| 4     | Proposed Production              | 4,06,265 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone. |
| 5     | Elevation Range of the Mine Site | The altitude of the area is Maximum     |
| 5     | Lievation Range of the Wine Site | 868m and Minimum 858m above MSL         |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 2.6.1 *Estimation of Reserves*

The practical method of the systematic geological mapping and delineation of Rough stone (Charnockite) within the field was done and careful evaluation of body luster, physical properties, engineering properties, commercial aspects, etc. The Topographical, Geological plan and sections demarcated the commercial marketable Rough stone (Charnockite) deposit has been prepared in 1:1000 scale and the estimated balance Geological resources as 6,91,920 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone.

#### 2.6.2 *Geological resources*

#### **Rough Stone:**

Geological resources is estimated at 6,91,920 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone up to a depth of 42.0m. 2m Topsoil + 40m Rough stone (10m AGL + 32m BGL).

| GEOLOGICAL RESERVES |       |        |             |        |            |                        |                            |  |  |  |
|---------------------|-------|--------|-------------|--------|------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Section             | Bonch | Length | Width Depth |        | Volume     | Recoverable            | Topsoil                    |  |  |  |
| Section             | Denen | in (m) | in (m)      | in (m) | in (Cu.m.) | Reserve in Cu.m (100%) | (Gravel) in m <sup>3</sup> |  |  |  |
|                     | Ι     | 81     | 104         | 2      |            |                        | 16848                      |  |  |  |
|                     | II    | 81     | 26          | 5      | 10530      | 10530                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | III   | 81     | 78          | 5      | 31590      | 31590                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | IV    | 81     | 104         | 5      | 42120      | 42120                  |                            |  |  |  |
| XY-AB               | V     | 81     | 104         | 5      | 42120      | 42120                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | VI    | 81     | 104         | 5      | 42120      | 42120                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | VII   | 81     | 104         | 5      | 42120      | 42120                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | VIII  | 81     | 104         | 5      | 42120      | 42120                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | IX    | 81     | 104         | 5      | 42120      | 42120                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     |       | Total= |             |        | 294840     | 294840                 | 16848                      |  |  |  |
|                     | Ι     | 81     | 144         | 2      |            |                        | 23328                      |  |  |  |
|                     | II    | 19     | 36          | 5      | 3420       | 3420                   |                            |  |  |  |
| XY-AB               | III   | 81     | 108         | 5      | 43740      | 43740                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | IV    | 81     | 144         | 5      | 58320      | 58320                  |                            |  |  |  |
|                     | V     | 81     | 144         | 5      | 58320      | 58320                  |                            |  |  |  |

Table 2-7: Geological resources

| Project Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises |                      |   |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Project Proponent   | Report               |   |  |  |  |
| <b>Project Location</b>   |                      |   |  |  |  |
| 0   |                      |   |  |  |  |
| 1/1   | 01 144 5 50200 50200 | I |  |  |  |

| GRAND Total= |      |    |     |   | 691920 | 691920 | 40176 |
|--------------|------|----|-----|---|--------|--------|-------|
| Total=       |      |    |     |   | 397080 | 397080 | 23328 |
|              | IX   | 81 | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|              | VIII | 81 | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|              | VII  | 81 | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |
|              | V1   | 81 | 144 | 5 | 58320  | 58320  |       |

#### 2.6.3 Mineable Reserves

The available mineable reserves are calculated by deducting 7.5m & 10m Safety distance and bench loss. In this regard, since the adjacent also to be under the new lease area necessary action will be taken to get permission from DGMS in future comply regulation under 111(3) of MMR.1961.

| MINABLE RESERVES |        |       |                  |                 |                 |               |                        |                        |
|------------------|--------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Section          | Bench  | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in  | Recoverable<br>Reserve | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in |
|                  |        | 1.10  | 1.0.0            |                 | (Cu.m.)         | in Cu.m(100%) | Cu.m.                  |                        |
|                  | I      | 149   | 130              | 2               |                 |               | 38740                  |                        |
|                  | II     | 147   | 53               | 5               | 38955           | 38955         |                        |                        |
|                  | III    | 137   | 108              | 5               | 73980           | 73980         |                        |                        |
|                  | IV     | 127   | 118              | 5               | 74930           | 74930         |                        |                        |
| XY-AB            | V      | 117   | 108              | 5               | 63180           | 63180         |                        |                        |
|                  | VI     | 107   | 98               | 5               | 52430           | 52430         |                        |                        |
|                  | VII    | 97    | 88               | 5               | 42680           | 42680         |                        |                        |
|                  | VIII   | 87    | 78               | 5               | 33930           | 33930         |                        |                        |
|                  | IX     | 77    | 68               | 5               | 26180           | 26180         |                        |                        |
|                  | Total= |       |                  |                 |                 | 406265        | 38740                  |                        |

### Table 2-8: Mineable Reserves

### 2.6.4 Year wise Production Plan

The year wise production to be carry out 4,06,265m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone for the period of five years.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### Table 2-9: Year wise Production Plan

| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION |                            |       |                  |                 |                 |                   |   |                                       |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| YEAR                                | Section                    | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (m³) | Recoverable<br>Reserves<br>in m <sup>3</sup> (100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in m <sup>3</sup> |
|                                     |                            | Ι     | 149              | 130             | 2               |                   |   | 38740                                 |
| I-YEAR                              |                            | II    | 147              | 53              | 5               | 38955             | 38955   |                                       |
|                                     |                            | III   | 137              | 108             | 5               | 73980             | 73980   |                                       |
| II-YEAR                             |                            | IV    | 127              | 118             | 5               | 74930             | 74930   |                                       |
| III-YEAR                            | XY-AB                      | V     | 117              | 108             | 5               | 63180             | 63180   |                                       |
| IVVEAD                              |                            | VI    | 107              | 98              | 5               | 52430             | 52430   |                                       |
| IV-ILAK                             |                            | VII   | 97               | 88              | 5               | 42680             | 42680   |                                       |
| V-YEAR                              |                            | VIII  | 87               | 78              | 5               | 33930             | 33930   |                                       |
|                                     |                            | IX    | 77               | 68              | 5               | 26180             | 26180   |                                       |
|                                     | Total= 406265 406265 38740 |       |                  |                 |                 |                   |   |                                       |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R.Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |





| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### 2.7 <u>TYPE OF MINING</u>

The proposed project is an open cast mechanized mining with one 2.0 m bench for Topsoil followed by a 5.0m vertical bench with a bench width not less than the bench height. However, as far as the quarrying of Rough Stone is concerned, observance of the provisions of regulations 106(2) (b) as above is seldom possible due to various inherent Petro genetic factors coupled with mining difficulties. Hence, it is proposed to obtain relaxation to the provisions of the above regulation from the Director of Mines Safety for which necessary provision is available with the Regulation 106(2) (b) of MMR-1961, under Mines Act- 1952.

### 2.7.1 *Method of Working:*

The Rough stone are proposed to quarry at 5m bench height & 5m bench width with conventional Open cast mechanized method. The quarry operation involves Shallow jack hammer drilling, Blasting, Loading & transportation of Rough Stone to the nearby crusher units/road formation works. The production of Rough Stone in this quarry involves the following method which is typical for Rough Stone quarrying in contrast to other major mineral mining.

Splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rocks by jackhammer drilling and blasting by manually braking and loading the Rough Stone from pit head to the needy crushing units/civil works for the needy sectors.

### 2.7.2 **Overburden**

The entire lease area covers 2.0m of Topsoil and estimated quantity of Topsoil is 38,740m<sup>3</sup>. Topsoil formation will be removed and transported to the needy users, only after obtaining permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to the Government.

### 2.7.3 Machineries to be used

Type of machineries proposed for quarrying operation for the entire project is listed below.

|                      | Table 2-10: List of Machineries used  |  |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| For Mining operation | Excavator of 1.2 Cu.m bucket capacity |  |
| Loading Equipment    | Jack Hammer (25.5 mm dia)             |  |
|                      | Tractor mounted compressor            |  |
| Transportation       | Tipper 2 Nos. of 10 M.T capacity      |  |

## Table 2-10: List of Machineries used

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### 2.7.4 Blasting:

### 2.7.4.1 Blasting Pattern:

The quarrying operation will be carried out by Mechanized Opencast method in conjunction with conventional method of mining using jack hammer drilling and blasting for shattering effect and loosen the rough stone.

### 2.7.4.2 Drilling & Blasting:

Drilling and Blasting Parameters are as follows.

#### Table 2-11: Drilling and Blasting Parameters

| 1  | Diameter of the hole             | 32-36 mm                          |
|----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2  | Spacing                          | 60 Cms                            |
| 3  | Depth                            | 1 to 1.5 m                        |
| 4  | Charge / Hole                    | D.Cord with water or 70gms of gun |
|    |                                  | powder or Gelatine.               |
| 5  | Pattern of hole                  | Zig Zag                           |
| 6  | Inclination of hole              | 70° from the horizontal.          |
| 7  | Quantity of rock broken          | 0.45 MT x 2.6 = 1.17 MT           |
| 8  | Quantity of rock broken per day  | 362.8m <sup>3</sup>               |
| 9  | Control Blasting efficiency @90% | 1.17 x 90% = 1.05 MT / hole       |
| 10 | Charge per hole                  | 140 gms of 25mm dia catridge      |

### 2.7.4.3 Types of Explosives to be used:

A small diameter of 25mm Slurry explosives are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling or primary blasting is proposed.

### 2.7.4.4 Measures to minimize ground vibration due to blasting:

The quarry is situated more than 1 km from the nearby villages. Controlled blasting measures will be adopted for minimizing the ground vibration and fly of rocks. Shallow depths jackhammer drilling & blasting is proposed to be carried out with minimum use of explosive mainly to give shattering effect in rough stone for easy excavation and to control fly of rock.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### Table 2-12: Blasting Details

| Parameters         | Details                                |
|--------------------|--|
| Diameter of holes  | 32-36mm                                |
| Spacing            | 60 cms                                 |
| Powder factor      | 6 to 7 tons/kg of explosives           |
| Pattern of hole    | Zig Zag                                |
| Charge/hole        | D.Cord with water or 70gms of gun powd |
|                    | Gelatine.                              |
| Blasted at daytime | 5 to 6 pm                              |

### 2.7.4.5 Storage & Safety measures taken during blasting:

The project proponent "M/s. S.R. Enterprises" will engage an authorized explosive agency to carry out the small amount of blasting and it will be supervised by Permit Mines Manager. The copy of the explosive certificate is attached as *Annexure*.

#### 2.8 MAN POWER REQUIREMENTS

The manpower requirement to meet out the production Schedule and the machinery strength envisaged in the mining plan and to comply with the statutory provisions of the Mines Safety Regulations is as follows.

|       |                | Operators               | 2 Nos  |
|-------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|
| 1.    | Skilled        | Mechanic                | 1 No   |
|       |                | Blaster / Mat           | 1 No   |
| 2.    | Semi – skilled | Drivers                 | 2 Nos  |
|       |                | Musdoor / Labors        | 5 Nos  |
| 3.    | Unskilled      | Cleaners                | 3 Nos  |
|       |                | Office Boy              | 1 No   |
| 4.    | Managemo       | ent & Supervisory staff | 3 Nos  |
| Total |                |                         | 18 Nos |

#### Table 2-13: Man Power Requirements

No child less than 18 years will be entertained during quarrying operations.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 2.8.1 *Water Requirement*

Total water requirement for the mining project is 2.0 KLD. Domestic water will be sourced from nearby Venkatesapuram village and other water will be source from nearby road tankers supply.

### Table 2-14: Water Requirment

| Purpose          | Quantity | Sources   |
|------------------|----------|---|
| Drinking Water   | 1.0 KLD  | Packaged Drinking water vendors available in<br>Venkatesapuram village. |
| Green belt       | 0.5 KLD  | Other domestic activities through road tankers supply                   |
| Dust suppression | 0.5 KLD  | From road tankers supply  |
| Total            | 2.0 KLD  |   |

### 2.9 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

The implementation schedule of the proposed Mine Lease of M/s. S.R. Enterprises (2.00.00 ha) is as follows.

### Table 2-15: Mining Schedule

| MINING SCHEDULE                                |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Activity                                       | Dec-23 | Dec-24 | Dec-25 | Dec-26 | Dec-27 |
| Site Clearance                                 |        |        |        |        |        |
| Excavation - Top Soil Removal/Overburden       |        |        |        |        |        |
| I Year Production – 112935 Cum - Rough Stone & |        |        |        |        |        |
| 38740 Topsoil                                  |        |        |        |        |        |
| II Year Production – 74930 Cum - Rough Stone   |        |        |        |        |        |
| III Year Production – 63180 Cum - Rough Stone  |        |        |        |        |        |
| IV Year Production - 95110 Cum - Rough Stone   |        |        |        |        |        |
| V Year Production 60110 Cum - Rough Stone      |        |        |        |        |        |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 2.10 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT Table 2-15: Solid Waste Management

| S. No | Туре      | Quantity    | Disposal Method                    |
|-------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| 1     | Organic   | 3.24 kg/day | Municipal bin including food waste |
| 2     | Inorganic | 4.86 kg/day | TNPCB authorized recyclers         |

As per CPCB guidelines: MSW per capita/day =0.45 kg/day

### 2.11 MINE DRAINAGE

The quarry operation is proposed up to a depth of 42 m (10m AGL + 32m BGL). The water table is below 70 m from the ground level which is observed from the nearby bore wells and bore wells of this area. Hence the ground water will not be affected in any manner due to the quarrying operation during the entire lease period.

#### 2.12 POWER REQUIREMENT

This rough stone quarry project does not require huge water and electricity for the project.

16 Litre diesel per hour for excavator for mining and loading for Rough Stone needed.

### 2.13 PROJECT COST

| 1 | A. Fixed Asset Cost:        |   |                   |
|---|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|
|   | 1. Land Cost                | : | Rs. 3,23,00,000/- |
|   | 2. Labour Shed              | : | Rs. 1,40,000/-    |
|   | 3. Sanitary Facility        | : | Rs. 70,000/-      |
|   | 4. Refilling/Fencing cost   | : | Rs.80,000/-       |
|   | Total=                      |   | Rs.3,25,90,000/-  |
| 2 | <b>B.</b> Operational Cost: |   |                   |
|   | Machinery cost              | : | Rs.30,00,000/-    |
| 3 | C. EMP Cost:                |   |                   |
|   | Display board in site;      | : | Rs.81,41,002/-    |
|   | Monitoring-Air, Water,      | : |                   |
|   | Noise; Dust Suppression -   | : |                   |
|   | Water sprinkling by own     | : |                   |
|   | water tankers; Vehicle      | : |                   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.000 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises       | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 2.14 GREENBELT

1. The development of greenbelt in the peripheral buffer zone of the mine area.

2. Green belt has been recommended as one of the major components of Environmental Management plan, which will improve ecology, environment and quality of the surrounding area.

3. Local trees like, Neem, Pungam, Naval etc will be planted along the lease boundary and avenues as well as over non-active dumps at a rate of 200 trees per annum with interval 5m.

4. The rate of survival expected to be 80% in this area

## Table. 2-17 Plantation/ Afforestation Program

| Name of species proposed                                 | Survival | No of species |
|--|----------|---------------|
| Neem, Pungam, Poovarasu, Naval, Mantharai, Arasa Maram,  |          |               |
| Magizham, Vilvam, vaagai, Marudha maram, Thandri,        | 80%      | 1000          |
| Poovarasu, Quaker buttons, Thethankottai maram, Manjadi, |          |               |
| Usil, Aathi, Panai, Uzha, Illuppai, Eachai, Vanni Maram  |          |               |
| Total  | 1000     |               |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

# 3 Description of the Environment

### 3.1 GENERAL:

The method of mining for extracting rough stone quarry is required to be selected in such a manner to ensure sustainable development. Mining activities invariably affect the existing environmental status of the site. It has both adverse and beneficial effects. In order to maintain the environmental commensuration with the mining operation, it is essential to undertake studies on the existing environmental scenario and assess the impact on different environmental components. This would help in formulating suitable management plans and sustainable resource extraction.

To understand the existing environmental scenario, Baseline data helps in identification, prediction and evaluation of impacts in Environmental Impact assessment. Through field study, baseline data are collected considering various factors of the project. This includes-

- Physical- the area, the soil properties, the geological characteristics, the topography, etc
- Chemical- water, air, noise and soil pollution levels, etc.
- Biological- the biodiversity of the area, types of flora and fauna, species richness, species distribution, types of ecosystems, presence or absence of endangered species and/or sensitive ecosystems etc.
- Socioeconomic- demography, social structure, economic conditions, developmental capabilities, displacement of locals, etc.

### 3.1.1 Study Area:

The study area for the mining projects is as follows:

- Mine lease area as the "core zone"
- A study area of 10 km radius from the project boundary is designated as buffer Zone and for the study of Socio-economic status, 10 km radius from the boundary limits of the mine lease area has been selected.

We have obtained Terms of Reference from SEIAA vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9499/ ToR-1309/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022. The baseline monitoring is carried out in December 2022 to February 2023 and the analysis is briefed in the EIA report. The proponent has engaged M/s. Ecotech labs Pvt. Ltd for carrying out the existing baseline study.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

### 3.1.2 Instruments Used

The following instruments were used at the site for baseline data collection.

- 1. Respirable Dust Sampler with attachment for gaseous Pollutants, Envirotech APM 460, APM411.
- 2. Fine Particulate Matter (FPM) Sampler, APM 550
- 4. Sound Level Meter Model SL-4010
- 5. 2000 series watchdog automatic weathering monitoring station

### 3.1.3 Baseline Data Collection Period:

The baseline data is collected in accordance with the CPCB Guidelines. The Baseline study is carried out from December 2022 to February 2023.

### 3.1.4 Frequency of Monitoring

| Attributes                       | Sampling     | Frequency                            |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Air environment – Meteorological | Project site | 1 hourly continuous                  |
| (wind speed, wind direction,     |              |                                      |
| rainfall, humidity, temperature) |              |                                      |
| Air environment – Pollutants     | 5 locations  | 24 hourly twice a week               |
| PM 10                            |              | 4 hourly.                            |
| PM 2.5                           |              | Twice a week, One non-monsoon season |
| SO <sub>2</sub>                  |              | 8 hourly, twice a week               |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                  |              | 24 hourly, twice a week              |
| Noise                            | 5 locations  | 24 hourly Once in 5 locations        |
| Water (Ground water)             | 5 locations  | Once in 5 locations                  |
| pH, Temperature, Turbidity,      |              |                                      |
| Magnesium Hardness, Total        |              |                                      |
| Alkalinity, Chloride, Sulphate,  |              |                                      |
| Fluoride, Nitrate, Sodium,       |              |                                      |

### Table 3-1: Frequency of Sampling and Analysis

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| Potassium, Salinity, Total nitrogen,<br>Total Coliforms, Fecal Coliforms   |   |                     |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Water (surface water)<br>pH, Temperature, Turbidity,<br>Magnesium Hardness, Total<br>Alkalinity, Chloride, Sulphate,<br>Fluoride, Nitrate, Sodium,<br>Potassium, Salinity, Total nitrogen,<br>Total Coliforms, Fecal Coliforms | Sample<br>from<br>nearby<br>lakes/river | One-time Sampling   |
| Soil<br>(Organic matter, Texture, pH,<br>Electrical Conductivity,<br>Permeability, Water holding<br>capacity, Porosity)  | 5 locations                             | Once in 5 locations |
| Ecology and biodiversity Study   | Study area<br>covering 10<br>km radius  | One-time Sampling   |
| Socio-Economic study<br>(Population, Literacy Level,<br>employment, Infrastructure like<br>school, hospitals & commercial<br>establishments)   | Villages<br>around 10<br>km radius      | One-time Sampling   |

### 3.1.5 Secondary data Collection

Apart from the primary data, Secondary data is also used for the collection; collation; synthesis and interpretation.

- Flora & Faunal Study
- Land use study

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

- Demography and socio-economic analysis
- Meteorological data, from Indian Meteorological Department (IMD)

### 3.1.6 Study area details

## Table 3-2 Study area details

| S. No | Description   | Details  | Source                          |  |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1.    | Project Location  | S.F.Nos. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village,<br>Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.  | Field<br>Study                  |  |
| 2.    | Latitude &<br>Longitude   | Latitude: 12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N<br>Longitude: 77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56' 34.69"E  | Topo<br>Sheet                   |  |
| 3.    | Topo Sheet No.  | 57- H/14   | Survey of<br>India<br>Toposheet |  |
| 4.    | Mine Lease<br>Area  | 2.00.00 Ha   |                                 |  |
|       | Demog   | graphy in the study area (as per Census 2011)  | 1                               |  |
| 5.    | Total<br>Population   | 2,873  | Census                          |  |
| 6.    | Total Number of<br>Households   | 650  | Survey of<br>India              |  |
| 7.    | Maximum<br>Temperature (°C)   | 34   |                                 |  |
| 8.    | Minimum<br>Temperature (°C)   | 24   |                                 |  |
| 9.    | Ecological<br>Sensitive Areas -<br>Wetlands,<br>watercourses or<br>other<br>waterbodies,<br>coastal zone,<br>biospheres,<br>mountains,<br>forests | <ul> <li>Muthali lake – 5.98Km – W</li> <li>Peddakullu lake – 4.92Km – W</li> <li>Bukkasagaram lake – 3.54Km – S</li> <li>Doraipalli lake – 5.20Km – SSE</li> <li>Chennathur lake – 10.20Km – SW</li> <li>Lake – 4.13Km – W</li> </ul> | Google<br>Earth/Fie<br>ld Study |  |
|       |   | <ul> <li>Berkai lake – 6.57Km – NE</li> <li>Ponnaiyar River – 4.85Km – SW</li> <li>Kelavarapelli Reservoir – 7.61Km - W</li> </ul>   |                                 |  |
| 10.   | Densely<br>Populated area   | Hosur - 12.82 Km -SE   |                                 |  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

|     |   | S.<br>No. | Places   | Dist. From<br>Project Site |                 |
|-----|---|-----------|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
|     | Areas occupied<br>by sensitive<br>man-made land |           | Schools & College  |                            |                 |
|     |   | 1         | Adhiyaman college of<br>Agriculture & Research,<br>Athimugam.<br>Government Higher | 4.20Km - E                 | Google          |
| 11. | uses (hospitals,<br>schools, places             |           | Secondary School,<br>Bukkasagaram.   | 2.89Km - S                 | Earth/<br>Field |
|     | of worship,<br>community                        | 3         | Government High school,<br>Venkatesapuram.   | 1.22Km - W                 | Study           |
|     | facilities)                                     |           | Hospitals  |                            |                 |
|     |   | 1         | Government Hospital,<br>Athimugam  | 4.04Km - E                 |                 |
|     |   | 2         | Government Hospital,<br>Kamandoddi   | 7.46Km - S                 |                 |

### 3.1.7 *Site Connectivity:*

MDR 422 – Berigai to Shoolagiri Road – 4.01Km – S & NH 48 – Hosur to Krishnagiri Road – 8.25Km - SW



Figure 3.1: Site Connectivity

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

#### 3.2 LAND USE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2.1 Land Use Classification

Land Use / Land Cover - Land Use refers to man's activity and the various uses, which are carried on land. Land Cover refers to natural vegetation, water bodies, rock/soil, artificial cover and others, resulting due to land transformation. The present Land Use/Land Classification map is developed with following objectives. The main objective of the study is to classify the different land use within 10 km from the project boundary.

#### 3.2.2 Methodology

Information of land use and land cover is important for many planning and management activities concerning the surface of the earth (Agarwal and Garg, 2000). Land use refers to man's activities on land, which are directly related to land (Anderson et al., 1976). The land use and the land cover determine the infiltration capacity. Barren surfaces are poor retainers of water as compared to grasslands and forests, which not only hold water for longer periods on the surface, but at the same time allow it to percolate down.

The terms 'land use' and 'land cover' (LULC) are often used to describe maps that provide information about the types of features found on the earth's surface (land cover) and the human activity that is associated with them (land use). Satellite remote sensing is being used for determining different types of land use classes as it provides a means of assessing a large area with limited time and resources. However, satellite images do not record land cover details directly and they are measured based on the solar energy reflected from each area on the land. The amount of multi spectral energy in multi wavelengths depends on the type of material at the earth's surface and the objective is to associate particular land cover with each of these reflected energies, which is achieved using either visual or digital interpretation. In the present study the task is to study in detail the land use and land cover in and around the project site. The study envisages different LULC around the proposed project area and the procedure adopted is as below.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |



#### Figure 3.2 Flow Chart showing Methodology of Land use mapping

#### 3.2.3 Satellite Data

Sentinal 2 multispectral satellite data of 2020 was utilized for the present study. Details of satellite data is given below. The rectification of imagery was carried out to bring the digital data on the earth coordinate system by means of ground control point (GCP) assignments/SOI topo sheets.

#### 3.2.4 Scale of mapping

Considering the user defined scale of mapping, 1:50000 Sentinal 2 data was used for Land use / Land cover mapping of 10 km radius for proposed site. The description of the land use categories for 10 km radius and the statistics are given for 10 km radius.

#### 3.2.5 Interpretation Technique

Standard on screen visual interpretation procedure was followed. The various Land use / Land cover classes interpreted along with the SOI topographical maps during the initial rapid reconnaissance of the study area. The physiognomic expressions conceived by image elements of color, tone, texture,

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

size, shape, pattern, shadow, location and associated features are used to interpret the FCC imagery. Image interpretation keys were developed for each of the LU/LC classes in terms of image elements.

June 2016 FCC imagery (Digital data) of the study area was interpreted for the relevant land use classes. On screen visual interpretation coupled with supervised image classification techniques are used to prepare the land use classification.

- 1. Digitization of the study area (10 km radius from the proposed site) from the topo maps
- 2. In the present study the sentinal satellite image and SOI topo sheets of 57-H/14 have been procured and interpreted using the ERDAS imaging and ARC-GIS software adopting the necessary interpretation techniques.
- 3. Satellite data interpretation and vectorization of the resulting units
- 4. Adopting the available guidelines from manual of LULC mapping using Satellite imagery (NRSA, 1989)
- 5. Field checking and ground truth validation
- 6. Composition of final LULC map

The LULC Classification has been done at three levels where level -1 being the broad classification about the land covers that is Built-up land, agriculture land, waste land, wetlands, and water bodies. These are followed by level –II where built-up land is divided into towns/cities as well as villages. The Agriculture land is divided into different classes such as cropland, Fallow, Plantation, while wastelands are broadly divided into, Land with scrub and without Scrub and Mining and Industrial wasteland. The wetlands are classified into inland wetlands, coastal wetlands and islands. The water bodies are classified further into River/stream, Canal, Tanks and bay. In the present study level II classification has been undertaken. The SOI Topo map is presented in Annexure and Satellite imagery of 10 km radius from the project site is presented Annexure.

### 3.2.6 Field Verification

Field verification involved collection, verification and record of the different surface features that create specific spectral signatures / image expressions on FCC. In the study area, doubtful areas identified in course of interpretation of imagery is systematically listed and transferred on to the corresponding SOI topographical maps for ground verification. In addition to these, traverse routes were planned with reference to SOI topographical maps to verify interpreted LU/LC classes in such a
| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

manner that all the different classes are covered by at least 5 sampling areas, evenly distributed in the area. Ground truth details involving LU/LC classes and other ancillary information about crop growth stage, exposed soils, landform, nature and type of land degradation are recorded and the different land use classes are taken the Land use map is presented in Annexure.

## 3.2.7 Description of the Land Use / land cover classes

## 3.2.7.1 Water

Areas where water was predominantly present throughout the year; may not cover areas with sporadic or ephemeral water; contains little to no sparse vegetation, no rock outcrop nor built up features like docks; examples: rivers, ponds, lakes, oceans, flooded salt plains.

## 3.2.7.2 Trees

Any significant clustering of tall (~15-m or higher) dense vegetation, typically with a closed or dense canopy; examples: wooded vegetation, clusters of dense tall vegetation within savannas, plantations, swamp or mangroves (dense/tall vegetation with ephemeral water or canopy too thick to detect water underneath).

## 3.2.7.3 Grass

Open areas covered in homogenous grasses with little to no taller vegetation; wild cereals and grasses with no obvious human plotting (i.e., not a plotted field); examples: natural meadows and fields with sparse to no tree cover, open savanna with few to no trees, parks/golf courses/lawns, pastures.

## 3.2.7.4 Flooded vegetation

Mix of small clusters of plants or single plants dispersed on a landscape that shows exposed soil or rock; scrub-filled clearings within dense forests that are clearly not taller than trees; examples: moderate to sparse cover of bushes, shrubs and tufts of grass, savannas with very sparse grasses, trees or other plants.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

# 3.2.7.5 Crops

Humans planted/plotted cereals, grasses, and crops not at tree height; examples: corn, wheat, soy, fallow plots of structured land.

# 3.2.7.6 Scrub/Shrub

Mix of small clusters of plants or single plants dispersed on a landscape that shows exposed soil or rock; scrub-filled clearings within dense forests that are clearly not taller than trees; examples: moderate to sparse cover of bushes, shrubs and tufts of grass, savannas with very sparse grasses, trees or other plants.

# 3.2.7.7 Built Area

Human made structures; major road and rail networks; large homogenous impervious surfaces including parking structures, office buildings and residential housing; examples: houses, dense villages / towns / cities, paved roads, asphalt.



Figure 3.3 Land use classes around 10 km radius from the project site

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

#### 3.2.7.8 Different Land use classes around 10 km radius from the project site

| Sl.No | Categories    | Area in Sq.m | Percentage |
|-------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| 1     | Water Body    | 3.17         | 0.99       |
| 2     | Trees         | 6.84         | 2.13       |
| 3     | Grass         | 0.09         | 0.03       |
| 4     | Crops         | 178.23       | 55.80      |
| 5     | Scrub/Shrub   | 80.13        | 25.0       |
| 6     | Built-up Area | 50.87        | 15.87      |
| 7     | Barren Land   | 0.53         | 0.17       |

#### Table 3-3 Land use pattern

#### 3.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT

#### 3.3.1 Contour & Drainage

The altitude of the area is Maximum 868m and Minimum 858m above MSL.

#### 3.3.2 Geomorphology

The prominent geomorphic units identified in the district through interpretation of satellite imagery are structural hills in the southwestern part of the district, denudational landforms like buried pediments in the plains and inselbergs and plateaus represented by conical hills aligned with major lineaments. Krishnagiri district forms part of the upland plateau region with many hill ranges and undulating plains. The western part of the district has hill ranges of Mysore plateau with a chain of undulating hills and deep valleys extending in NNE-SSW direction. The plains of the district have an average elevation of 488 m amsl. The plateau region along the western boundary and the northwestern part of the district has an average elevation of 914 m amsl. The Guthrayan Durg with an elevation of 1395 m amsl is the highest peak in the district.

## Soils

Soils have been classified into Black soil, mixed soil, red loamy soil, gravelly and sandy soils. Red loamy and sandy soils are predominant in Hosur taluk. Vast stretches of loam soils and black soils occur in Krishnagiri district.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |



## Figure 3.4 Geomorphology within 10km from the project site

## 3.3.3 *Geology:*

The geological formations of the district belong mainly to Archaean age along with rock of Proterozoic age. The former is represented by Khondalite Group of rocks, Charnockite Group of rocks, Migmatites Complex, Sathyamangalam Group of rocks, while the latter is represented by Alkaline rocks. The Khondalite Group includes garnet sillimanite gneiss and quartzite which occur as small patches. The migmatite complex includes garnet ferrous quartzofeldspathic gneiss and horn blends biotite gneiss, the former exposed on the western part of the district. The Sathyamangalam Group includes fuchsite quartzite, sillimanite mica schist and amphibolites. The Bhavani Group in this area includes fissile hornblende-biotite gneiss, granitoid gneiss and pink migmatite. Amphibolites with barbed ferruginous quartzite and associated quartzo-feldspathic rocks (Champion Gneiss) represent

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

the Kolar group and are found west and southwest of Veppanapalli. Following this there are basic intrusions occurring as dykes.

The Charnockite Group occupies a major part of the south-west portion of this district with small bands of garnetiferous quartzo-feldspathicgneiss, Granite gneiss and dolerite dykes. The North-East andNorthernpartof the District mainly consist of granite gneiss with small patches of Pink Migmatite, hornblende-biotite gneiss and dolerite dykes. The Eastern part of the district consists of Epidote-Hornblende Gneiss, Ultra Mafics, Syenite and Carbonatite.

The Alkaline Complex is represented by epidote-horn blende gneiss, ultramafics, syenite and carbonatite and these are distributed in the eastern part of the district. Innumerable basic dykes and felsites, quartz, barites and pegmatite veins form part of the Alkali Complex.



Figure 3.5 Geology within 10km from the project site

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

## 3.3.4 Hydrogeology

Krishnagiri district is underlined by Archaean crystalline formations with Recent alluvial deposits of limited areal extent and thickness along the courses of major rivers (Plate-II). The occurrence and movement of ground water are controlled by various factors such as physiography, climate, geology and structural features. Weathered, and fractured crystalline rocks constitute the important aquifer systems in the district.

Ground water generally occurs under phreatic conditions in the weathered mantle and under semiconfined conditions in the fractured zones at deeper levels. The thickness of weathered zones in the district ranges from less than a meter to more than 15 m. The yield of large diameter dug wells in the district, tapping the weathered mantle of crystalline rocks ranges from 100 to 500 lpm. These wells normally sustain in pumping for 2 to 6 hours per day, depending upon the local topography and characteristics of the weathered mantle.

The depth to water level (DTW) during pre-monsoon (May 2006) ranged between 0.5 and 9.9 m bgl (Plate-III) in the district. In major part of the district the DTW is more than 5mbgl. Whereas it ranged between 2 and 9.9 m bgl (Plate-IV) during post monsoon, in the district and the DTW is in the range of 5 - 10 m bgl in the entire district except a few isolated pockets.

The yield of successful exploratory wells drilled in the district ranged from 0.78 lps to 26 lps. As per the studies the wells drilled in granitic gneiss have higher yields than the wells drilled in charnockites. The specific capacity of the wells ranged from 1.2 to 118.0 lpm/m/dd. The piezometric head of fracture zones varied between 0.50 and 18.45 m bgl.

## **Aquifer Parameters:**

The transmissivity values of fracture zones ranged from 1 to 188  $m^2$  /day with low to very low permeability values.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |



Figure 3.6 Ground water prospects within 5 km radius of the project site

## 3.3.5 Ground water quality monitoring

Ground water quality monitoring is done in the following locations and analysis will be done for physical, chemical & Biological parameters.

| Environmental Parameters: | Ground water Quality Analysis                                |
|---------------------------|--|
| Monitoring Period         | December 2022 to February 2023                               |
| Design Criteria           | Based on the Environmental settings in the study area        |
| Monitoring Locations      | Project Site – GW 1  |
|                           | Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul Jamath– GW 2                    |
|                           | Sri PattalammaDevi Temple, Payarkuttalai- GW 3               |
|                           | Govt.Hr Sec School, Bukkasagaram - GW 4                      |
|                           | Sivaraman Green Garden– GW5                                  |
| Methodology               | Water Samples were collected in 5 Litre fresh cans as per IS |
|                           | 3025 Part I and transported to the laboratory in Iceboxes    |
| Frequency of Monitoring   | Once in a season   |

## Table 3-4 Ground water Quality Analysis

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

## 3.3.5.1 Sampling Procedure

Quality of ground water was compared with IS: 10500: 1991 (Reaffirmed 1993 With Amendment NO-3 July 2010) for drinking purposes. Water samples were collected as Grab sample from five sampling locations in a 5-liter plastic jerry can and 250 ml sterilized clean glass/pet bottle for complete physico-chemical and bacteriological tests respectively. The samples were analyzed as per standard procedure / method given in IS: 3025 (Revised Part) and standard method for examination of water and wastewater Ed. 21st, published jointly by APHA.

| S. No | Parameters                            | Test Method  |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1     | pH (at 25°C)                          | IS:3025(P -11)1983 RA: 2012                            |
| 2     | Electrical Conductivity               | IS:3025(P -14) 2013                                    |
| 3     | Colour                                | IS:3025 (P -4)1983 RA: 2012                            |
| 4     | Turbidity                             | IS:3025(P -10)1984 RA: 2012                            |
| 5     | Total Dissolved Solids                | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn.2012-2540-C                  |
| 6     | Total Suspended Solids                | IS:3025(P-17)-1984 RA:2012                             |
| 7     | Total Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>   | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn.2012-2340-C                  |
| 8     | Calcium as Ca                         | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn2012.3500 Ca-B                |
| 9     | Magnesium as Mg                       | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn.2012-3500 Mg-B               |
| 10    | Chloride as Cl                        | IS:3025(P -32)-1988 RA: 2014                           |
| 11    | Sulphate as SO <sub>4</sub>           | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn.2012-4500 SO <sub>4</sub> -E |
| 12    | Total Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn.2012-2320-B                  |
| 13    | Iron as Fe                            | IS:3025(P -53):2003 RA: 2014                           |
| 14    | Silica as SiO <sub>2</sub>            | IS:3025(P -35)1988 RA: 2014                            |
| 15    | Fluoride as F                         | APHA 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edn.2012-4500-F-D                |
| 16    | Nitrate as NO <sub>3</sub>            | IS:3025(P -34):1988 RA: 2014                           |
| 17    | Sodium as Na                          | IS:3025(P -45):1993 RA: 2014                           |
| 18    | Potassium as K                        | IS:3025(P -45):1993 RA: 2014                           |
| 19    | Coliform                              | IS:1622:1981:RA:2014                                   |
| 20    | E.coli                                | IS:1622:1981:RA:2014                                   |

## Table 3-5: Standard Procedure

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

# Table 3-6 Ground water sampling results

| S.<br>No | Parameters                                    | Units         | GW1              | GW2 GW3               |                  | GW4              | GW5              |
|----------|---|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1        | pH (at 25°C)                                  | -             | 7.10             | 7.69                  | 7.40             | 7.65             | 7.76             |
| 2        | Electrical<br>Conductivity                    | µS/cm         | 1547             | 998                   | 1309             | 1276             | 1024             |
| 3        | Colour  | Hazen<br>Unit | 4.0              | 2.0                   | 1.0              | 2.0              | 3                |
| 4        | Turbidity                                     | NTU           | BQL(LOQ:1)       | BQL(LOQ:1)            | BQL(LOQ:1)       | BQL(LOQ:1)       | BQL(LOQ:1)       |
| 5        | Total<br>Dissolved<br>Solids                  | mg/L          | 880              | 538                   | 862              | 739              | 598              |
| 6        | Total<br>Suspended<br>Solids                  | mg/L          | BQL(LOQ:2)       | BQL(LOQ:2) BQL(LOQ:2) |                  | BQL(LOQ:2)       | BQL(LOQ:2)       |
| 7        | Total<br>Hardness as<br>CaCO <sub>3</sub>     | mg/L          | 523              | 345                   | 444              | 495              | 375              |
| 8        | Calcium<br>Hardness as<br>CaCO3               | mg/L          | 360              | 192                   | 285              | 333              | 211              |
| 9        | Magnesium<br>Hardness as<br>CaCO <sub>3</sub> | mg/L          | 162              | 152                   | 158              | 162              | 161              |
| 10       | Calcium as<br>Ca                              | mg/L          | 144              | 77.2                  | 114              | 133              | 76               |
| 11       | Magnesium<br>as Mg                            | Mg/L          | 39.6             | 37.1                  | 38.5             | 39.4             | 38.6             |
| 12       | Chloride as<br>Cl                             | mg/L          | 161              | 89.5                  | 170              | 176              | 74.1             |
| 13       | Sulphate as SO4                               | mg/L          | 131              | 45.3                  | 122              | 82.2             | 71.4             |
| 14       | Total<br>Alkalinity as<br>CaCO <sub>3</sub>   | mg/L          | 331              | 281                   | 313              | 123              | 286              |
| 15       | Iron as Fe                                    | mg/L          | BQL<br>(LOQ:0.1) | BQL<br>(LOQ:0.1)      | BQL<br>(LOQ:0.1) | BQL<br>(LOQ:0.1) | BQL<br>(LOQ:0.1) |
| 16       | Silica as<br>SiO2                             | mg/L          | 32.7             | 20.2                  | 25.8             | 21.3             | 29.5             |
| 17       | Fluoride as<br>F                              | Mg/L          | 0.62             | 0.57                  | 0.41             | 0.69             | 0.37             |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| 18 | Nitrate as<br>NO <sub>3</sub> | Mg/L | 14.7 | 17.7 | 41.5 | 46.3 | 51.6 |
|----|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 19 | Potassium as<br>K             | mg/L | 9.81 | 4.12 | 11.5 | 22.1 | 4.8  |
| 20 | Sodium as<br>Na               | mg/L | 145  | 78.9 | 154  | 149  | 61.3 |

## 3.3.6 Interpretation of results:

## 3.3.6.1 Physical parameters of water:

The basic physical parameters of water include

## **Colour:**

Value observed in Project Site (True/Apparent Color): 1 Hazen unit.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 5 Hazen units and 15 Hazen units respectively. The value in the project site is as same as the acceptable limits prescribed by IS 10500: 2012 (referred as "*Standards*" from herein).

## **Odour & Taste:**

The water is oduorless. The taste of the water is slightly salty which is due to the presence of hardness in water, which is attributed to the presence of calcium and magnesium in the water. As per the standards, the odour and taste should be agreeable.

## pH:

Value observed in the Project Site: 7.10

Acceptable and permissible limits: 6.5-8.5. The pH value is the measure of acid – base equilibrium. The value of pH in the project site clearly indicates that water is slightly neutral in nature.

## Turbidity:

Value observed in the Project Site: less than 1. Acceptable and permissible limits: 1 NTU & 5 NTU respectively. The value of turbidity generally indicates the presence of phytoplankton and other sediments.

## **Total Dissolved Solids:**

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

Value observed in the Project Site: 880 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 500 mg/L and 2000 mg/L respectively.

TDS is the presence of inorganic salts and small amounts of organic matter present in the water. This is mainly due to the result of surface runoff as the cations and anions in the topsoil is carried away by the water.

## 3.3.6.2 Chemical parameters of water:

The chemical parameters of the drinking water include,

## Calcium:

Value observed in the Project Site: 144 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 75mg/L and 200 mg/L respectively.

Calcium is an essential macronutrient. The value of the calcium is within the prescribed permissible standards. The higher level of calcium may cause hardening in domestic equipment and will also reduce the detergent efficiency. Higher levels of calcium will lead to constipation, gas, and bloating. Apart from that, extra calcium may also increase the risk of kidney stones. If the calcium deposit in blood is high, it may lead to hypercalcemia.

## Magnesium:

Value observed in the Project Site: 39.6 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 30 mg/L and 100 mg/L respectively.

The value of Magnesium in the project site is higher than the acceptable limit and less than the permissible limit. The increase in the level of magnesium will cause diarrhea and vomiting in children.

# Chloride

Value observed in the project site: 161 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 250 mg/L and 1000 mg/L respectively.

The chloride level in the project site is within the acceptable and permissible limit. If the level of chloride is more, it may cause galvanic and pitting corrosion, increases level of metals. It imparts bitter taste to the water.

# Total Alkalinity as CaCO<sub>3</sub>:

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

Value observed in the project site: 331 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits: 200 mg/L and 600 mg/L respectively.

Total Alkalinity is the measure of the concentration of all alkaline substances dissolved in the water which includes carbonates, bicarbonates and hydroxides. The value of the total alkalinity is slightly greater in the project site, which will impart soda taste to the water.

## Hardness:

Value observed in the Project Site: 523 mg/L.

Acceptable and permissible limits:200 mg/L and 600 mg/L respectively.

The value of Hardness in the project site is higher than acceptable limit but within the permissible limit. The increase in the level of hardness may cause corrosion and scaling problems, increased soap consumption and it also contributes to the salty taste of water.

## 3.3.7 Surface Water Analysis

Surface water samples were taken from **Bukkasagaram and Muthali** lake. The results are summarized below.

| S. No | Parameters                              | Units      | Bukkasagaram lake | Muthali lake |
|-------|---|------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 1     | pH (at 25°C)                            | -          | 7.82              | 7.66         |
| 2     | Electrical Conductivity                 | µS/cm      | 411               | 155          |
| 3     | Colour                                  | Hazen Unit | 28                | 35           |
| 4     | Turbidity                               | NTU        | 4.1               | 8.2          |
| 5     | Total Dissolved Solids                  | mg/L       | 226               | 105          |
| 6     | Total Suspended Solids                  | mg/L       | 6.5               | 12.5         |
| 7     | Total Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>     | mg/L       | 121               | 56.4         |
| 8     | Calcium Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>   | mg/L       | 89.1              | 34.7         |
| 9     | Magnesium Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> | mg/L       | 32                | 21.7         |
| 10    | Calcium as Ca                           | mg/L       | 36                | 13.9         |
| 11    | Magnesium as Mg                         | mg/L       | 7.53              | 5.26         |

# Table 3-7 Surface Water Sample Results

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| 12 | Chloride as Cl                        | mg/L | 31    | 7.83 |
|----|---------------------------------------|------|-------|------|
| 13 | Sulphate as SO <sub>4</sub>           | mg/L | 41.80 | 20.8 |
| 14 | Total Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> | mg/L | 99    | 50.1 |
| 15 | Iron as Fe                            | mg/L | 3     | 4.2  |
| 16 | Silica as SiO <sub>2</sub>            | mg/L | 7.52  | 2.78 |
| 17 | Fluoride as F                         | mg/l | 0.51  | 0.58 |
| 18 | Nitrate as NO <sub>2</sub>            | mg/l | 16.0  | 16.9 |
| 19 | Potassium as K                        | mg/L | 2.31  | 1.42 |
| 20 | Sodium as Na                          | mg/L | 28.1  | 5.85 |
| 21 | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N          | mg/L | 11.8  | 8.85 |
| 22 | Biochemical oxygen Demand @ 27c       |      | 9.81  | 9.22 |
| 23 | Chemical Oxygen Demand                |      | 34.1  | 28.5 |
| 24 | Dissolved Oxygen                      |      | 5.2   | 5.4  |

**Inference:** The surface water quality is compared with the CPCB Water Quality Criteria against A, B, C, D & E class of water. From the test result, it is found that both the water does not fit Class A (Drinking Water Source without conventional treatment but after disinfection). But they can be used for outdoor bathing as it meets the requirements shown for class B water.

## 3.3.8 *Climatology & Meteorology:*

Climate and meteorology of a place can play an important role in the implementation of any developmental project. Meteorology is also the key to understand local air quality as there is an essential relationship between meteorology and atmospheric dispersion involving wind in the broadest sense of the term.

The year may broadly be divided into four seasons:

| Winter season       | : | December to February |
|---------------------|---|----------------------|
| Pre-monsoon season  | : | March to May         |
| Monsoon season      | : | July to September    |
| Post-monsoon season | : | October to November  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

## i) Climate

Eastern part of the district experiences hot climate and Western part has a contrasting pleasant cold climate. The district is hot and dry in summer i.e., from March to June. From July to November is the rainy season and between December to February winter prevails with very cold and misty.

## ii) Temperature

The maximum temperature is around 36°C and minimum temperature is 28°C.

## iii) Rainfall:

Krishnagiri receives rainfall from both the northeast and the southwest monsoons. Monsoon season is from the months of July to November. During this time, temperature is mild and pleasant. Heavy rainfall is expected in short intervals during this period. December to February are winter months. This district gets maximum rainfall in November (274.7mm).

## KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT -NORMAL AND ACTUAL RAINFALL

Unit in mm.

| Year  | Jan  | Feb | Mar  | Apr  | May   | Jun  | Jul   | Aug   | Sep   | Oct   | Nov   | Dec  |
|-------|------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| 1 041 | R/F  | R/F | R/F  | R/F  | R/F   | R/F  | R/F   | R/F   | R/F   | R/F   | R/F   | R/F  |
| 2017  | 5.7  | 0   | 48.7 | 37.9 | 198.6 | 19.1 | 24.6  | 189.7 | 291.7 | 219   | 54.5  | 56.2 |
| 2018  | 0    | 1.3 | 34.9 | 14.4 | 114.5 | 41.1 | 10.5  | 18.5  | 152.1 | 85.2  | 33.2  | 4.8  |
| 2019  | 13.2 | 1.2 | 4.5  | 47.2 | 96.5  | 33.6 | 34.6  | 94.7  | 138.6 | 177.7 | 48.7  | 39.5 |
| 2020  | 0.3  | 0   | 6.9  | 61.7 | 57.9  | 59   | 147.2 | 66.8  | 142.1 | 142   | 77    | 42.6 |
| 2021  | 40.1 | 5.8 | 0    | 46.6 | 75.7  | 32.4 | 137.7 | 70.2  | 134.9 | 140.4 | 282.6 | 19.1 |

Source: IMD

## Metrological Data

The meteorological data – Temperature, rainfall, Wind Speed, Wind direction are recorded through AWS by setting it up in the site.

## vi) Wind Rose Diagram

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

The wind rose denotes a class of diagrams designed to display the distribution of wind direction at a given location over a period of time. Wind roses are also useful as they project a large quantity of data in a simple graphical plot.

The wind speed & wind direction data are taken and wind rose is plotted for December 2022 to February 2023.



Figure 3.7 Wind rose.

## 3.3.9 Selection of Sampling Locations:

Four Monitoring locations along with the project site is selected based on Wind Direction & Wind Speed. All the monitoring locations are chosen in the downwind direction.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

## 3.4 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

## Table 3-8: Selection of Sampling Location

| Environmental Parameters: Ambient Air |  |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Monitoring Period                     | December 2022 to February 2023   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Design Criteria                       | The monitoring stations are selected based on factors like topography/terrain, prevailing meteorological conditions like predominant wind direction (December 2022 to February 2023), etc., play a vital role in the selection of air sampling stations. Based on these criteria, 5 air sampling station were selected in the area as shown below. |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring Locations                  | Location & Code  | Distance (km)      | Direction |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Project Site   | -                  | -         |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul<br>Jamath   | 3.04 km            | Е         |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Sri PattalammaDevi, Temple,<br>Payarkuttalai   | 4.90 km            | W         |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Govt.Hr Sec School,<br>Bukkasagaram  | 2.91 km            | S         |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Sivaraman Green Garden   | 4.11 km            | N         |  |  |  |  |
| Methodology                           | Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10) - Gravimetric (IS 5182: Part<br>23:2006)Particulate Matter PM2.5 - Gravimetric (Fine particulate matter)<br>Sulphur Dioxide - Calorimetric (West & Gaeke Method) (IS 5182:<br>Part 02: 2001)Nitrogen Dioxide - Calorimetric (Modified Jacob & Hocheiser<br>Method) (IS 5182: Part 06:2006)                    |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of Monitoring               | 2 days in a week, 4 weeks in a mont  | th for 3 months in | a season. |  |  |  |  |

## 3.4.1 Ambient Air Quality: Results & Discussion

The test results of the ambient air quality monitored in project site and other four locations is summarized below.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

# Table 3-9 Ambient Air Quality

|                                   |  | PM 10 (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |     | PM 2.5 (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |                  |     | <b>SO</b> <sub>2</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |                                 |                |     | NOx ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) |       |                |     |     |                    |                |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|------------------|-----|---|---------------------------------|----------------|-----|---------------------|-------|----------------|-----|-----|--------------------|----------------|
| Code                              | Location                                     | Min                        | Max | Avg                         | 98 percentiles   | Min | Max   | Avg                             | 98 percentiles | Min | Max                 | Avg   | 98 percentiles | Min | Max | Avg                | 98 percentiles |
| AAQ 1                             | Project Site                                 | 33                         | 47  | 38.2                        | 45.1             | 14  | 21  | 17.0                            | 20.7           | 5   | 8                   | 6.6   | 8.0            | 10  | 19  | 14.3               | 18.97          |
| AAQ 2                             | Sri PattalammaDevi,<br>Temple, Payarkuttalai | 39                         | 50  | 44.9                        | 49.7             | 17  | 23  | 20.4                            | 23.1           | 4   | 11                  | 7.0   | 10.22          | 10  | 24  | 15.3               | 22.43          |
| AAQ 3                             | Sivamurugan Green<br>Garden                  | 46                         | 57  | 51.3                        | 56.6             | 18  | 29  | 23.3                            | 27.9           | 6   | 13                  | 8.2   | 12.04          | 12  | 27  | 17.5               | 26.31          |
| AAQ 4                             | Athimugam Masjid<br>Al Sunnatul Jamath       | 41                         | 53  | 48.1                        | 52.6             | 18  | 25  | 21.8                            | 24.7           | 4   | 10                  | 6.7   | 9.17           | 10  | 21  | 14.8               | 20.43          |
| AAQ 5                             | Govt.Hr Sec School,<br>Bukkasagaram          | 47                         | 57  | 52.1                        | 56.4             | 21  | 27  | 23.6                            | 26.8           | 7   | 13                  | 9.2   | 13.13          | 14  | 29  | 20.7               | 28.44          |
| NAAQ Standards - Residential Area |  |                            | 100 | ) (µg/m                     | 1 <sup>3</sup> ) |     | 60  | (μ <mark>g/m<sup>3</sup></mark> | )              |     | 80                  | (µg/m | <sup>3</sup> ) |     | 80  | (μg/m <sup>3</sup> | )              |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

## 3.4.2 Interpretation of ambient air quality:

To assess the impact, AAQ were monitored in project site and four locations.

#### **Observation:**

The Maximum value of PM10 (  $57(\mu g/m^3)$ , PM 2.5(  $29(\mu g/m^3)$ , SOx (  $13(\mu g/m^3)$ , NOx ( $29(\mu g/m^3)$  is observed in different places.

## Inference:

The monitoring results for PM10, PM2.5, Sox, NOx was found to be high in Sri PattalammaDevi, Temple, Payarkuttalai and Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul Jamath which is due to high movement of vehicles. The observed values are all well within the Standards prescribed by NAAQ.



## Figure 3.8 Concentration of PM10 (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in Study Area

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |



## Figure 3.9 Concentration of PM2.5 (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in Study Area





| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |



# Figure 3.11 Concentration of NOx (µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in Study Area

## 3.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT:

## Table 3-10 Noise Analysis

| Environmental Parameters: Noise Analysis |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Monitoring Period                        | December 2022 to February 2023                                      |  |  |  |
| Design Criteria                          | Based on the Sensitivity of the area                                |  |  |  |
| Monitoring Locations                     | Project Site – N 1  |  |  |  |
|  | Sri Pattalamma Devi, Temple, Payarkuttalai – N 2                    |  |  |  |
|  | Govt.Hr Sec School, Bukkasagaram - N 3                              |  |  |  |
|  | Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul Jamath - N 4                           |  |  |  |
|  | Sivaraman green Garden – N 5  |  |  |  |
| Methodology                              | Noise level measurements were taken at the selected locations using |  |  |  |
|  | noise level meter both during day and night time. Noise level       |  |  |  |
|  | measurements were taken continuously for 24 hours at hourly         |  |  |  |
|  | intervals   |  |  |  |
| Frequency of Monitoring                  | Noise samples were collected from 5 locations - Once in a season    |  |  |  |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

Ambient Noise Levels are monitored in the chosen 5 Locations including the project Site and the monitoring results are summarized below

## 3.5.1 Day Noise Level (Leq day)

| Location                         | Leq day in dB(A) |     |         |  |  |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|---------|--|--|
|                                  | Max              | Min | Average |  |  |
| Project Site                     | 53               | 42  | 48      |  |  |
| Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul     |                  |     |         |  |  |
| Jamath                           | 54               | 45  | 50      |  |  |
| Sri PattalammaDevi, Temple,      |                  |     |         |  |  |
| Payarkuttalai                    | 56               | 44  | 51      |  |  |
| Govt.Hr Sec School, Bukkasagaram | 57               | 45  | 53      |  |  |
| Sivaraman green Garden           | 59               | 45  | 54      |  |  |

## Table 3-11 Day Noise Level (Leq day)

## 3.5.2 Night Noise Level (Leq Night)

## Table 3-12 Night Noise Level (Leq Night)

|                                  | Leq Night in dB(A) |     |         |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----|---------|--|
| Location                         | Max                | Min | Average |  |
| Project Site                     | 43                 | 35  | 38      |  |
| Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul     |                    |     |         |  |
| Jamath                           | 44                 | 39  | 41      |  |
| Sri PattalammaDevi, Temple,      |                    |     |         |  |
| Payarkuttalai                    | 44                 | 37  | 41      |  |
| Govt.Hr Sec School, Bukkasagaram | 45                 | 40  | 42      |  |
| Sivaraman green Garden           | 45                 | 40  | 43      |  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

#### **Observation:**

The maximum Day noise and Night noise were found to be 59 dB(A) and 45 dB(A) respectively in Sivaraman green Garden. The minimum Day Noise and Night noise were 40 dB(A) and 35 dB(A) respectively which was observed in project site. The observed values are all well within the Standards prescribed by CPCB.

## 3.6 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

Soil environment is studied for 10 km radius from the project site. The 5 km radius image shows that the soil is not affected by any kind of erosion.



Figure 3.12 Soil Erosion pattern within 5 km radius of the project site

## 3.6.1 Baseline Data:

The present study of the soil quality establishes the baseline characteristics which will help in future in identifying the incremental concentrations if any, due to the operation Phase

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

of the proposed project. The sampling locations have been identified with the following objectives:

- To determine the impact of proposed project on soil characteristics and
- To determine the impact on soils more importantly from agricultural productivity point of view.

# Table 3-13 Soil Quality Analysis

| Environmental Parameters: Soil Quality Analysis |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Monitoring Period                               | December 2022 to February 2023                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design Criteria                                 | Based on the environmental settings of the study area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring Locations                            | Project Site – SQ 1                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Athimugam Masjid Al Sunnatul Jamath-SQ 2              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Sri PattalammaDevi Temple, Payarkuttalai-SQ 3         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Govt.Hr.sec school, Bukkasagaram-SQ4                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Sivaraman green Garden -SQ 5                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methodology                                     | Composite soil samples using sampling augers and      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | field capacity apparatus                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of Monitoring                         | Soil samples were collected from 5 locations Once in  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | a season  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To assess the soil quality of the study area, 5 monitoring stations were selected and the results are summarized below.

## Table 3-14 Soil Quality Analysis

| Parameters                 | Unit  | SQ 1 | SQ 2 | SQ 3 | SQ 4 | SQ 5 |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| pН                         | -     | 7.95 | 8.80 | 7.02 | 7.60 | 6.80 |
| Electrical<br>Conductivity | ms/cm | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| Water holding<br>Capacity  | ml/L  | 8.54 | 9.56 | 7.50 | 8.60 | 9.97 |
| Chloride                   | mg/Kg | 88.3 | 271  | 95.5 | 102  | 57.1 |
| Calcium                    | mg/Kg | 39.4 | 67.8 | 39.7 | 36.5 | 19.0 |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| Sodium         | mg/Kg             | 252  | 275  | 173  | 120  | 114  |
|----------------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Potassium      | mg/Kg             | 312  | 335  | 219  | 206  | 242  |
| Organic matter | %                 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.32 |
| Magnesium      | mg/Kg             | 35.2 | 72.4 | 51.2 | 47.8 | 28.6 |
| Sulphate       | mg/Kg             | 71.2 | 244  | 29.6 | 36.4 | 29.3 |
| CEC            | meq/100g          | 10.4 | 14.8 | 11.4 | 12.8 | 13.5 |
| Carbonate      | mg/Kg             | NIL  | NIL  | NIL  | NIL  | NIL  |
| Bi-Carbonate   | mg/Kg             | 304  | 346  | 304  | 210  | 136  |
| TKN            | %                 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.35 |
| Bulk density   | g/cm <sup>3</sup> | 1.21 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.22 | 1.23 |
| Phosphorous    | mg/Kg             | 146  | 167  | 152  | 145  | 178  |
| Sand           | %                 | 65.7 | 46.5 | 57   | 42.7 | 22.7 |
| Clay           | %                 | 15   | 10.0 | 2    | 5.05 | 25   |
| Silt           | %                 | 21   | 44.5 | 41.0 | 52.2 | 52.3 |
| SAR            | meq/Kg            | 1.76 | 1.80 | 2.10 | 0.17 | 1.90 |
| silicon        | %                 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.74 | 0.85 |

#### 3.6.1.1 Physical Properties:

Regular cultivation practices increase the bulk density of soils thus inducing compaction. This results in reduction in water percolation rate and penetration of roots through soils. The soils with low bulk density have favorable physical conditions whereas those with high bulk density exhibit poor physical conditions for agriculture crops. The bulk density of the soil in the study area ranged between 1.20 to 1.31 meq/100g which indicates favorable physical condition for plant growth. The water holding capacity was found in the range of 7.50 ml/l to 9.97ml/l.

#### **3.6.1.2** Chemical Properties:

Chemical characteristics of soils include pH, exchangeable cations and fertility status in the form of NPK values and organic matter. The value of the pH ranges from 6.80 to 8.80, which it indicates majority of pH of the soil is slightly alkaline. The soil in the project site is sodic in nature, which challenges because they tend to have very poor structure which limits or prevents water

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

infiltration and drainage. The organic matter varies from 0.19 to 0.32 %, which indicates the soil is slightly unfertile.

## 3.7 ECOLOGY AND BIODIVERSITY

Ecology and Biodiversity is studied for 10 km radius around the project site. Project site and 2km around the project site is considered as core zone and from 2 km to 10 km radius, it is considered as buffer zone.

- Primary field survey is carried out for the assessment of flora and fauna in the core zone.
- Secondary data from Journals/Literature were studied and compiled to understand the species present in the buffer zone.

## 3.7.1 Methods available for floral analysis:

## 3.7.1.1 Plot Sampling Methods

- > Quadrat 2D shape (e.g. square or rectangle, or other shape) used as a sampling unit
- > Transect
  - Line transects feature only a length dimension, usually defined by a tape stretched across the area to be sampled.
  - Belt transects have a width as well as length.
  - Pace-transects are established when the observer strides along an imaginary line across the sample site and uses their foot placement to determine specific sampling points.

## 3.7.1.2 Plot less Sampling Methods

- Closest individual method Distance is measured from each random point to the nearest individual.
- Nearest neighbour method Distance is measured from an individual to its nearest neighbour.
- Random pairs method Distance is measured from one individual to another on the opposite side of the sample point.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

Point-centered quarter (PCQ) method - Distance is measured from the sampling point to the nearest individual in each quadrat.

## 3.7.2 Field study & Methodology adopted:

To assess the suitability of the methodology, a random field survey was done. Field survey was conducted around a 2 km radius from the project site and five locations were chosen based on the species density. Quadrat method is chosen for the proposed study as compared to other sampling methods, because they are relatively simple to use. Quadrat plots are uniform in size and shape and distributed randomly throughout the sample area, which makes the study design straightforward. They are also one of the most affordable techniques because they require very few materials.

## 3.7.3 Study outcome:

Phyto-sociological parameters, such as *Density, Frequency, Basal Area, Abundance and Importance Value Index* of individual species (Trees) were determined in randomly placed quadrate of different sizes in the study area. Relative frequency, relative basal area and relative density were calculated and the sum of these three represented Importance Value Index (IVI) for various species. For shrubs, herbs and grasses, *Density, Frequency, Relative Density & Relative Frequency were found*.

Sample plots were selected in such a way to get maximum representation of different types of vegetation and plots were laid out in different parts of the study area of 2 km radius. Analysis of the vegetation will help in determining the relative importance of each species in the study area and to reveal if any economically valuable species is threatened in the process.

# Table 3-15 Calculation of Density, Frequency (%), Dominance, Relative Density,Relative Frequency, Relative Dominance & Important Value Index

| Parameters    | Formula  |
|---------------|--|
| Density       | Total No. of individuals of species/ Total No. of Quadrats used in   |
|               | sampling   |
| Frequency (%) | (Total No. of Quadrats in which species occur/ Total No. of Quadrats |
|               | studied) * 100   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| Dominance             | Total Basal Area /Total area sampled                                 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Abundance             | Total No. of individuals of species/ No. of Quadrats in which they   |
|                       | occur  |
| Relative Density      | (Total No. of individuals of species/Sum of all individuals of all   |
|                       | species) * 100   |
| Relative Frequency    | (Total No. of Quadrats in which species occur/ Total No. of Quadrats |
|                       | occupied by all species) * 100                                       |
| Relative Dominance    | Dominance of a given species/Total Dominance of all species          |
| Important Value Index | Relative Density + Relative Frequency + Relative Dominance           |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

# Table 3-16 Tree Species in the core Zone

| S. No. | Scientific Name       | Local Name   | Total No. of<br>species | Total of<br>Quadrants with<br>species | Total No. of<br>Quadrants | Density | Frequency (%) | Abundance | Dominance | Relative Density | Relative<br>Frequency | Relative<br>Dominance | IVI   | IUCN<br>Conservation<br>Status |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| 1      | Ficus Carica          | Athi Maram   | 2                       | 2                                     | 6                         | 0.33    | 33.33         | 1         | 0.28      | 1.68             | 2.17                  | 4.45                  | 8.31  | Least<br>Concern               |
| 2      | Cocos nucifera        | Thennai      | 10                      | 6                                     | 6                         | 1.67    | 100.0         | 1.67      | 0.15      | 8.40             | 6.52                  | 2.39                  | 17.32 | Not<br>assessed                |
| 3      | Azadirachta indica    | Veppam       | 17                      | 6                                     | 6                         | 2.83    | 100.0         | 2.83      | 0.13      | 14.2<br>9        | 6.52                  | 1.98                  | 22.79 | Not<br>assessed                |
| 4      | Tamarindus indica     | Puli         | 10                      | 6                                     | 6                         | 1.67    | 100.0         | 1.66      | 0.20      | 8.40             | 6.52                  | 3.09                  | 18.02 | Not<br>assessed                |
| 5      | Mangifera indica      | Mamaram      | 7                       | 6                                     | 6                         | 1.17    | 100.0         | 1.16      | 0.07      | 5.88             | 6.52                  | 1.11                  | 13.52 | Data<br>insufficient           |
| 6      | Morinda pubescens     | Nuna         | 6                       | 6                                     | 6                         | 1.00    | 100.0         | 1         | 0.24      | 5.04             | 6.52                  | 3.74                  | 15.31 | Not<br>assessed                |
| 7      | Couroupita guianensis | Nagalingam   | 5                       | 3                                     | 6                         | 0.83    | 50.00         | 1.67      | 0.14      | 4.20             | 3.26                  | 2.18                  | 9.64  | Not<br>assessed                |
| 8      | Bombax ceiba          | Sittan       | 4                       | 4                                     | 6                         | 0.67    | 66.67         | 1         | 0.08      | 3.36             | 4.35                  | 1.27                  | 8.98  | Not<br>assessed                |
| 9      | Acacia nilotica       | Karuvelai    | 4                       | 4                                     | 6                         | 0.67    | 66.67         | 1         | 0.28      | 3.36             | 4.35                  | 4.45                  | 12.16 | Least<br>Concern               |
| 10     | Bambusa vulgaris      | Moongil      | 4                       | 4                                     | 6                         | 0.67    | 66.67         | 1         | 0.50      | 3.36             | 4.35                  | 7.92                  | 15.63 | Not<br>assessed                |
| 11     | Syzygium cumini       | naval        | 5                       | 1                                     | 6                         | 0.83    | 16.67         | 5         | 0.11      | 4.20             | 1.09                  | 1.79                  | 5.07  | Not<br>assessed                |
| 12     | Carica papaya         | Рарауа       | 3                       | 3                                     | 6                         | 0.50    | 50.00         | 1         | 0.09      | 2.52             | 3.26                  | 1.43                  | 7.21  | Not<br>assessed                |
| 13     | Psidium guajava       | Guava        | 3                       | 3                                     | 6                         | 0.50    | 50.00         | 1         | 0.23      | 2.52             | 3.26                  | 3.61                  | 9.39  | Not<br>assessed                |
| 14     | Cassia siamea         | ManjalKonrai | 3                       | 2                                     | 6                         | 0.50    | 33.33         | 1.5       | 0.07      | 2.52             | 2.17                  | 1.11                  | 5.81  | Least<br>Concern               |
| 15     | Ficus religiosa       | Arasa maram  | 3                       | 3                                     | 6                         | 0.50    | 50.00         | 1         | 0.09      | 2.52             | 3.26                  | 1.35                  | 7.13  | Not<br>assessed                |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| 16 | Musa paradise            | Vaazhai                           | 3   | 3  | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.08 | 2.52 | 3.26  | 1.19  | 6.97  | Not      |
|----|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|----|---|------|-------|---|------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
|    | 1                        |                                   |     |    |   |      |       |   |      |      |       |       |       | assessed |
| 17 | Prosopis juliflora       | Vaelikaruvai                      | 3   | 3  | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.21 | 2.52 | 3.26  | 3.34  | 9.13  | Not      |
|    |                          |                                   |     |    |   |      |       |   |      |      |       |       |       | assessed |
| 18 | Tectona grandis          | Thekku                            | 3   | 3  | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.12 | 2.52 | 3.26  | 1.88  | 7.66  | Not      |
|    |                          |                                   |     | -  |   |      |       |   |      |      |       |       |       | assessed |
| 19 | Thespesia populnea       | Poovarasam                        | 3   | 3  | 6 | 0.50 | 50.00 | 1 | 0.15 | 2.52 | 3.26  | 2.39  | 8.18  | Not      |
|    |                          |                                   | -   |    |   |      |       |   |      |      |       |       |       | assessed |
| 20 | Causuarina equisetifolia | Savukku                           | 2   | 2  | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.21 | 1.68 | 2.17  | 3.34  | 7.20  | Not      |
|    |                          |                                   |     |    |   |      |       |   |      | 1 (0 |       |       | 0.1.6 | assessed |
| 21 | Alstonia scholaris       | Elilaipalai                       | 2   | 2  | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.27 | 1.68 | 2.17  | 4.31  | 8.16  | Least    |
|    | A 1'                     | 0.1                               | 1   | 1  |   | 0.17 | 14.47 | 1 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 1.00  | ( ) ( | 0.00  | Concern  |
| 22 | Anacardium               | Cashew                            | 1   | 1  | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 1.09  | 6.96  | 8.88  | Not      |
|    | occidentale              |                                   |     |    |   |      |       |   |      |      |       |       |       | assessed |
| 23 | Artocarpus               | Palaa                             | 2   | 2  | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.18 | 1.68 | 2.17  | 2.85  | 6.70  | Not      |
|    | heterophyllus            |                                   |     |    |   |      |       |   |      |      |       |       |       | assessed |
| 24 | A egle marmelos          | Vilvam                            | 1   | 1  | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.84 | 1 00  | 2 50  | 1 13  | Not      |
| 24 | Acgie marmetos           | v II valii                        | 1   | 1  | 0 | 0.17 | 10.07 | 1 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 1.09  | 2.50  | 4.45  | assessed |
| 25 | Delonix elata            | Perungondrai                      | 1   | 1  | 6 | 0.17 | 16 67 | 1 | 0.17 | 0.84 | 1 09  | 2 62  | 4 54  | Least    |
| 20 | Deromik chutu            | rerungonarai                      | -   | -  | Ŭ | 0.17 | 10.07 | 1 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 1.07  | 2.02  | 1.0 1 | Concern  |
| 26 | Pithecellobium dulce     | Kodukapuli                        | 1   | 1  | 6 | 0.17 | 16.67 | 1 | 0.14 | 0.84 | 1.09  | 2.18  | 4.11  | Not      |
|    |                          | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | _   | -  | Ũ | 0.11 | 10.07 | - |      | 0.01 | 2.007 |       |       | assessed |
| 27 | Citrus medica            | Elumichai                         | 2   | 2  | 6 | 0.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0.23 | 1.68 | 2.17  | 3.61  | 7.46  | Not      |
|    |                          |                                   |     |    | 5 |      |       |   |      |      |       | 2.01  |       | assessed |
|    |                          | Total                             | 110 | 83 |   |      |       |   | 5.02 |      |       |       |       |          |

## Table 3-17 Shrubs in the Core Zone

| S.<br>No. | Scientific Name     | Local Name  | Total No. of<br>species | Total of<br>Quadrants<br>with species | Total No. of<br>Quadrants | Density | Frequency (%) | Abundance | Relative<br>Density | Relative<br>Frequency | IUCN<br>Conservation<br>Status |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1         | Jatropagossypifolia | Kaatamanaku | 32                      | 17                                    | 24                        | 1.17    | 0.71          | 1.65      | 14.43               | 17.17                 | Not Assessed                   |
| 2         | Calotropis gigantea | Erukam      | 16                      | 12                                    | 24                        | 0.58    | 0.50          | 1.17      | 7.22                | 12.12                 | Not Assessed                   |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| 3  | Tabernaemontanadivaricata | Crepe Jasmine   | 4  | 3  | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1    | 1.55  | 3.03  | Not Assessed  |
|----|---------------------------|-----------------|----|----|----|------|------|------|-------|-------|---------------|
| 4  | Catharanthus roseus       | Nithyakalyani   | 4  | 3  | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1    | 1.55  | 3.03  | Not Assessed  |
| 5  | Datura metal              | Ummattangani    | 7  | 4  | 24 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 1.25 | 2.58  | 4.04  | Not Assessed  |
| 6  | Robiniapseudoacacia       | Black locust    | 15 | 5  | 24 | 0.71 | 0.21 | 3.4  | 8.76  | 5.05  | Least Concern |
| 7  | Acalypha indica           | Kuppaimeni      | 18 | 8  | 24 | 0.83 | 0.33 | 2.5  | 10.31 | 8.08  | Not Assessed  |
| 8  | Stachytarpheaurticifolia  | Rat tail        | 13 | 9  | 24 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 1.67 | 7.73  | 9.09  | Not Assessed  |
| 9  | Woodfordiafruiticosa      | Velakkai        | 4  | 3  | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1    | 1.55  | 3.03  | Least Concern |
| 10 | Hibiscus rosa sinensis    | Sembaruthi      | 3  | 2  | 24 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 1.5  | 1.55  | 2.02  | Not Assessed  |
| 11 | Lantana camara            | Unnichedi       | 8  | 6  | 24 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 1.5  | 4.64  | 6.06  | Not Assessed  |
| 12 | Parthenium hysterophorous | Vishapoondu     | 45 | 13 | 24 | 2.08 | 0.54 | 3.85 | 25.77 | 13.13 | Not Assessed  |
| 13 | Euphorbia geniculata      | Amman Pacharisi | 5  | 3  | 24 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1    | 1.55  | 3.03  | Not Assessed  |

# Table 3-18 Herbs & Grasses in the core zone

| S. No. | Scientific Name      | Local Name       | Total No. of<br>species | Total of<br>Quadrants<br>with species | Total No. of<br>Quadrants | Density | Frequency<br>(%) | Abundance | Relative<br>Density | Relative<br>Frequency | IUCN<br>Conservatio<br>n status |
|--------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1      | Helicteresisora      | Valampuri        | 4                       | 2                                     | 30                        | 0.07    | 0.07             | 1         | 0.79                | 2.15                  | Not assessed                    |
| 2      | Tridax procumbens    | Vettukaayathalai | 7                       | 4                                     | 30                        | 0.17    | 0.13             | 1.25      | 1.98                | 4.30                  | Not assessed                    |
| 3      | Heraculem spondylium | Hog Weed         | 19                      | 10                                    | 30                        | 0.67    | 0.33             | 2         | 7.94                | 10.75                 | Not assessed                    |
| 4      | Tridax procumbens    | Cuminipachai     | 18                      | 4                                     | 30                        | 0.50    | 0.13             | 3.75      | 5.95                | 4.30                  | Not assessed                    |
| 5      | Senna occidentalis   | Nattamsakarai    | 30                      | 4                                     | 30                        | 0.83    | 0.13             | 6.25      | 9.92                | 4.30                  | Not assessed                    |
| 6      | Plumbago zeylanica   | Chittiramoolam   | 12                      | 3                                     | 30                        | 0.10    | 0.10             | 1         | 1.19                | 3.23                  | Not assessed                    |
| 7      | Scrophularia nodosa  | Sarakkothini     | 18                      | 7                                     | 30                        | 0.50    | 0.23             | 2.14      | 5.95                | 7.53                  | Not assessed                    |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA Report |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |                  |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |                  |

| 8  | Viburnum dentatum   | Viburnum               | 7  | 5  | 30 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 1     | 1.98  | 5.38  | Least concern |
|----|---------------------|------------------------|----|----|----|------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| 9  | Cynodondactylon     | Arugu                  | 15 | 6  | 30 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 2     | 4.76  | 6.45  | Not assessed  |
| 10 | Euphorbia hirta     | Amman Pacharisi        | 7  | 4  | 30 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 1.25  | 1.98  | 4.30  | Not assessed  |
| 11 | Sida cordifolia     | Maanikham              | 50 | 4  | 30 | 1.50 | 0.13 | 11.25 | 17.86 | 4.30  | Not assessed  |
| 12 | Sida acuta          | Malaidangi             | 12 | 3  | 30 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 3.33  | 3.97  | 3.23  | Not assessed  |
| 13 | Laportea canadensis | Peruganchori           | 28 | 20 | 30 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 1.5   | 11.90 | 21.51 | Not assessed  |
| 14 | Sporobolus fertilis | Giant Parramatta Grass | 10 | 4  | 30 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 2.25  | 3.57  | 4.30  | Not assessed  |
| 15 | Tephrosia purpurea  | Kavali                 | 23 | 4  | 30 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 5     | 7.94  | 4.30  | Not assessed  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

## 3.7.4 Calculation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by Margalef:

Biodiversity index is a quantitative measure that reflects how many different types of species, there are in a dataset, and simultaneously takes into account how evenly the basic entities (such as individuals) are distributed among those types of species. The value of biodiversity index increases both when the number of types increases and when evenness increases. For a given number of type of species, the value of a biodiversity index is maximized when all type of species are equally abundant. Interpretation of Vegetation results in the study area is given below.

| Description                          | Formula  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Species diversity – Shannon – Wiener | $H=\Sigma[(p_i)*ln(p_i)]$  |
| Index                                | Where $p_{\mathrm{i}}$ : Proportion of total sample represented by species |
|                                      | i:number of individuals of species i/ total number of samples              |
| Evenness                             | H/H <sub>max</sub>   |
|                                      | $H_{max} = ln(s) = maximum diversity possible$                             |
|                                      | S=No. of species   |
| Species Richness by Margalef         | $RI = S-1/\ln N$   |
|                                      | Where S = Total Number of species in the community                         |
|                                      | N = Total Number of individuals of all species in the                      |
|                                      | community  |

## Table 3-19 Calculation of species diversity

# 3.7.5 Calculation of species diversity by Shannon – wiener Index, Evenness and richness by Margalef for trees

#### i. Species Diversity

| Scientific Name       | entific Name Common |         | Pi       | ln (Pi)  | Pi x ln (Pi) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
|                       | Name                | Species |          |          |              |
| Ficus Carica          | Athi Maram          | 2       | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286     |
| Cocos nucifera        | Thennai             | 10      | 0.090909 | -2.3979  | -0.21799     |
| Azadirachta indica    | Veppam              | 17      | 0.154545 | -1.86727 | -0.28858     |
| Tamarindus indica     | Puli                | 10      | 0.090909 | -2.3979  | -0.21799     |
| Mangifera indica      | Mamaram             | 7       | 0.063636 | -2.75457 | -0.17529     |
| Morinda pubescens     | Nuna                | 6       | 0.054545 | -2.90872 | -0.15866     |
| Couroupita guianensis | Nagalingam          | 5       | 0.045455 | -3.09104 | -0.1405      |
| Bombax ceiba          | Sittan              | 4       | 0.036364 | -3.31419 | -0.12052     |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Acacia nilotica          | Karuvelai    | 4   | 0.036364 | -3.31419 | -0.12052    |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----|----------|----------|-------------|
| Bambusa vulgaris         | Moongil      | 4   | 0.036364 | -3.31419 | -0.12052    |
| Syzygium cumini          | naval        | 5   | 0.045455 | -3.09104 | -0.1405     |
| Carica papaya            | Papaya       | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Psidium guajava          | Guava        | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Cassia siamea            | ManjalKonrai | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Ficus religiosa          | Arasa maram  | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Musa paradise            | Vaazhai      | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Prosopis juliflora       | Vaelikaruvai | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Tectona grandis          | Thekku       | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Thespesia populnea       | Poovarasam   | 3   | 0.027273 | -3.60187 | -0.09823    |
| Causuarina equisetifolia | Savukku      | 2   | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286    |
| Alstonia scholaris       | Elilaipalai  | 2   | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286    |
| Anacardium occidentale   | Cashew       | 1   | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273    |
| Artocarpus heterophyllus | Palaa        | 2   | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286    |
| Aegle marmelos           | Vilvam       | 1   | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273    |
| Delonix elata            | Perungondrai | 1   | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273    |
| Pithecellobium dulce     | Kodukapuli   | 1   | 0.009091 | -4.70048 | -0.04273    |
| Citrus medica            | Elumichai    | 2   | 0.018182 | -4.00733 | -0.07286    |
| Total                    |              | 110 |          |          | -3.02215005 |

H (Shannon Diversity Index) =3.02

# Shrubs

| Scientific Name           | Common Name        | No. of<br>Species | Pi       | ln (Pi)  | Pi x ln (Pi) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Jatropagossypifolia       | Kaatamanaku        | 32                | 0.183908 | -1.69332 | -0.31142     |
| Calotropis gigantea       | Erukam             | 16                | 0.091954 | -2.38647 | -0.21945     |
| Tabernaemontanadivaricata | Crepe Jasmine      | 4                 | 0.022989 | -3.77276 | -0.08673     |
| Catharanthus roseus       | Nithyakalyani      | 4                 | 0.022989 | -3.77276 | -0.08673     |
| Datura metal              | Ummattangani       | 7                 | 0.04023  | -3.21315 | -0.12926     |
| Robiniapseudoacacia       | Black locust       | 15                | 0.086207 | -2.45101 | -0.21129     |
| Acalypha indica           | Kuppaimeni         | 18                | 0.103448 | -2.26868 | -0.23469     |
| Stachytarpheaurticifolia  | Rat tail           | 13                | 0.074713 | -2.59411 | -0.19381     |
| Woodfordiafruiticosa      | Velakkai           | 4                 | 0.022989 | -3.77276 | -0.08673     |
| Hibiscus rosa sinensis    | Sembaruthi         | 3                 | 0.017241 | -4.06044 | -0.07001     |
| Lantana camara            | Unnichedi          | 8                 | 0.045977 | -3.07961 | -0.14159     |
| Parthenium hysterophorous | Vishapoondu        | 45                | 0.258621 | -1.35239 | -0.34976     |
| Euphorbia geniculata      | Amman<br>Pacharisi | 5                 | 0.028736 | -3.54962 | -0.102       |
| Total                     |                    | 174               |          |          | -2.2234      |

H (Shannon Diversity Index) =2.22

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### Herbs

| Scientific Name         | Common Name               | No. of Species | Pi       | ln (Pi)  | Pi x ln (Pi) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Helicteresisora         | Valampuri                 | 4              | 0.015385 | -4.17439 | -0.06422     |
| Tridax procumbens       | Vettukaayathalai          | 7              | 0.026923 | -3.61477 | -0.09732     |
| Heraculem<br>spondylium | Hog Weed                  | 19             | 0.073077 | -2.61624 | -0.19119     |
| Tridax procumbens       | Cuminipachai              | 18             | 0.069231 | -2.67031 | -0.18487     |
| Senna occidentalis      | Nattamsakarai             | 30             | 0.115385 | -2.15948 | -0.24917     |
| Plumbago zeylanica      | Chittiramoolam            | 12             | 0.046154 | -3.07577 | -0.14196     |
| Scrophularia<br>nodosa  | Sarakkothini              | 18             | 0.069231 | -2.67031 | -0.18487     |
| Viburnum dentatum       | Viburnum                  | 7              | 0.026923 | -3.61477 | -0.09732     |
| Cynodondactylon         | Arugu                     | 15             | 0.057692 | -2.85263 | -0.16457     |
| Euphorbia hirta         | Amman Pacharisi           | 7              | 0.026923 | -3.61477 | -0.09732     |
| Sida cordifolia         | Maanikham                 | 50             | 0.192308 | -1.64866 | -0.31705     |
| Sida acuta              | Malaidangi                | 12             | 0.046154 | -3.07577 | -0.14196     |
| Laportea canadensis     | Peruganchori              | 28             | 0.107692 | -2.22848 | -0.23999     |
| Sporobolus fertilis     | Giant Parramatta<br>Grass | 10             | 0.038462 | -3.2581  | -0.12531     |
| Tephrosia purpurea      | Kavali                    | 23             | 0.088462 | -2.42519 | -0.21454     |
| Total                   |                           | 260            |          |          | -2.51        |

H (Shannon Diversity Index) =2.51

## i. Species diversity calculation

| Details | H    | Hmax | Evenness | Species Richness (Margalef) |
|---------|------|------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Trees   | 3.02 | 3.36 | 0.89     | 5.95                        |
| Shrubs  | 2.22 | 2.56 | 0.86     | 2.32                        |
| Herbs   | 2.51 | 2.70 | 0.92     | 2.51                        |

From the above, it can be interpreted that herb community has higher diversity. While the tree community shows less diversity. It is also observed that most of the quadrates have controlled generation of plant species with older strands. Higher herb species diversity can be interpreted as a greater number of successful species and a more stable ecosystem where more ecological niches are available, environmental change is less likely to be damaging to the ecosystem. Species richness is high for herb community when compared with tree and shrubs.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

## 3.7.6 Floral study in the Buffer Zone:

Economically important Flora of the study area

**Agricultural crops:** Paddy, Maize, Ragi, Banana, Sugarcane, Cotton, Tamarind, Coconut, Mango, Groundnut, Vegetables and Flowers by the local people.

**Medicinal species:** The nearby area is also endowed with the several medicinal species which are commonly available in the shrub forest and waste lands. The common medicinal species of the region are Asparagus racemosus (satamulli), Aegle marmelos (golden apple), Azadirachta indica (Neem) etc.

**Rare and endangered floral species:** There are no rare or endangered or threatened (RET) species of in the study area. During the vegetation survey, there are no any species which are endangered or threatened under IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural resources) guidelines.

#### 3.7.7 Faunal Communities

Both direct and indirect observation methods were used to survey the fauna.

• Point Survey Method: Observations were made in each site for 15 minutes duration.

Roadside Counts: The observer traveled by motor vehicles from site to site, all sightings were recorded (this was done both in the day and night time). An index of abundance of each species was also established.

Pellet and Track Counts: All possible animal tracks and pellets were identified and recorded (South Wood, 1978).

Additionally, survey of relevant literature was also done to consolidate the list of fauna distributed in the buffer zone.

Based on the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 (WPA 1972, Anonymous. 1991, Upadhyay 1995, Chaturvedi and Chaturvedi 1996) species were short-listed as Schedule II or I and considered herein as endangered species. Species listed in Ghosh (1994) are considered as Indian Red List species.

#### Methodology Adopted:

Point Survey method was adopted for this development project where observations were made in each site for 15 minutes duration (10 times).

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### Study in the core zone:

Point Survey method was adopted for the study within 2 km radius and the following species were observed.

**Mammals:** No wild mammalian species was directly sighted during the field survey. Discussion with local villagers located around the study area also could not confirm presence of any wild animal in that area. Three stripped Palm Squirrel, Common Indian Hare, Common mongoose, Common Mouse etc were observed during primary survey.

**Avifauna:** Since birds are considered to be the indicators for monitoring and understanding human impacts on ecological systems (Lawton, 1996) attempt was made to gather quantitative data on the avifauna by walk through survey within the entire study area and surrounding areas. From the primary survey, a total of 26 species of avifauna were identified and recorded in the study area. The diversity of avifauna from this region was found to be quite high and encouraging.

The list of fauna species found in the study area is mentioned in Table below.

| Scientific Name        | Common Name         | Schedule of wild life | IUCN conservation |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|                        |                     | protection act        | status            |
| Mammals                |                     |                       |                   |
| Funambulus pennanti    | Palm Squirrel       | IV                    | Least Concern     |
| Mus rattus             | Indian rat          | IV                    | Not listed        |
| Bandicota bengalensis  | Indian mole rat     | IV                    | Least Concern     |
| Funambulus             | Three stripped palm | IV                    | Least Concern     |
| palmarum               | squirrel            |                       |                   |
| Herestes edwardsii     | Common Mangoose     | IV                    | Not listed        |
| Mus musculus           | Common Mouse        | IV                    | Least Concern     |
| Bandicota indica       | Rat                 | IV                    | Least Concern     |
| Lepus nigricollis      | Indian Hare         | IV                    | Least Concern     |
| Felis catus            | Cat                 | Not listed            | Not listed        |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Indian dog          | Not listed            | Not listed        |
| Bos Indicus            | Indian Cow          | Not listed            | Not listed        |
| Bubalus bubalis        | Buffalo             | Ι                     | Not listed        |

## Table 3-20 List of fauna species
| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Sus scrofa domesticus  | Domestic pig          | Not listed | Not listed    |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Birds                  |                       |            |               |  |  |  |
| Milvus migrans         | Black kite            | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Saxicoloides fulicatus | Indian Robin          | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Pycnonotus cafer       | Red vented Bulbul     | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Phragamaticola aedon   | Thick billed warbler  | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Pericrocotus           | Small Minivet         | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| cinnamomeus            |                       |            |               |  |  |  |
| Eudynamys              | Koel                  | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| scolopaceus            |                       |            |               |  |  |  |
| Psittacula krameni     | Rose ringed parakeet  | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Dicrurus marcocercus   | Black drongo          | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Columba livia          | Rock pigeon           | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Corvus splendens       | House crow            | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Alcedo atthis          | Small blue kingfisher | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Cuculus canorus        | Common Cukoo          | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Reptiles & Amphibians  |                       |            |               |  |  |  |
| Chameleon              | Chameleon             | IV         | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| zeylanicum             |                       |            |               |  |  |  |
| Calotes versicolor     | Common garden         | II         | Not listed    |  |  |  |
|                        | lizard                |            |               |  |  |  |
| Bungarus caeruleus     | Common krait          | IV         | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| Ophisops leschenaultia | Snake eyed lizard     |            | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| Bufo melanostictus     | Toad                  | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Ptyas mucosa           | Rat snakes            | IV         | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Hemidactylus sp.       | House lizard          |            | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| Butterflies            | Butterflies           |            |               |  |  |  |
| Danaus chrysippus      | Plain Tiger           |            | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| Papilio demoleus       | Common lime           |            | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| Euploea core           | Common crow           |            | Least concern |  |  |  |
| Danaus genutia         | Common tiger          |            | Not listed    |  |  |  |
| Eurema brigitta        | Small grass yellow    |            | Least concern |  |  |  |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 3.8 DEMOGRAPHY AND SOCIO ECONOMICS

The demography survey study is done within 10km radius from the project site.



#### Figure 3.13 Socio Economic map surrounding the project site.

The population, Household, Sex ratio, Literacy rate, SC, ST details for all the villages in the study area is listed below:

#### Table 3-21: Demography Survey Study

Source: Census of India, 2011

| S.No | Villages         | Household | Population | Sex  | Ratio  | Litera | cy Rate | SC  | ST |
|------|------------------|-----------|------------|------|--------|--------|---------|-----|----|
|      |                  |           |            | Male | Female | Male   | Female  |     |    |
| 1    | Kariyasandiram   | 95        | 346        | 184  | 162    | 23     | 24      | 0   | 0  |
| 2    | Amuthugondapalli | 120       | 543        | 274  | 269    | 131    | 97      | 228 | 0  |
| 3    | Koladasapuram    | 221       | 857        | 429  | 428    | 276    | 216     | 390 | 0  |
| 4    | Midithepalli     | 287       | 1287       | 667  | 620    | 369    | 261     | 278 | 31 |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 5  | Kumbalam       | 164  | 761  | 394  | 367  | 254  | 159  | 0    | 95  |
|----|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| 6  | Athimugam      | 937  | 4540 | 2339 | 2201 | 1317 | 980  | 334  | 17  |
| 7  | Venkatesapuram | 650  | 2873 | 1484 | 1389 | 960  | 695  | 583  | 0   |
| 8  | Advanapalli    | 58   | 239  | 123  | 116  | 75   | 50   | 1    | 0   |
| 9  | Sudugondapalli | 87   | 447  | 229  | 218  | 128  | 89   | 95   | 0   |
| 10 | Palavanapalli  | 258  | 1096 | 540  | 556  | 349  | 288  | 370  | 0   |
| 11 | Nandimangalam  | 591  | 2602 | 1314 | 1288 | 797  | 609  | 713  | 0   |
| 12 | Pathamuthali   | 205  | 967  | 499  | 468  | 275  | 198  | 392  | 0   |
| 13 | Muthalli       | 108  | 444  | 223  | 221  | 132  | 90   | 130  | 0   |
| 14 | Dhasapalli     | 152  | 894  | 443  | 451  | 202  | 161  | 1    | 0   |
| 15 | Alur           | 678  | 3018 | 1569 | 1449 | 1058 | 736  | 178  | 5   |
| 16 | Bukkasagaram   | 460  | 2126 | 1109 | 1017 | 742  | 471  | 319  | 0   |
| 17 | Doripalli      | 852  | 3681 | 1898 | 1783 | 1165 | 848  | 596  | 0   |
| 18 | A.Settipalli   | 605  | 2764 | 1428 | 1336 | 960  | 635  | 509  | 11  |
| 19 | Moranapalli    | 2174 | 9160 | 4855 | 4305 | 3403 | 2439 | 1503 | 13  |
| 20 | Maruthanapalli | 1093 | 4816 | 2532 | 2284 | 1547 | 1054 | 422  | 0   |
| 21 | Shoolagiri     | 2101 | 9530 | 4788 | 4742 | 3480 | 2923 | 1487 | 0   |
| 22 | Onalvadi       | 1607 | 6656 | 3411 | 3245 | 2475 | 1968 | 1360 | 0   |
| 23 | Sanamavu       | 925  | 4248 | 2182 | 2066 | 1487 | 1062 | 659  | 183 |
| 24 | Halekotta      | 707  | 2990 | 1535 | 1455 | 1071 | 760  | 209  | 83  |
| 25 | Samanapalli    | 721  | 3198 | 1635 | 1563 | 922  | 730  | 304  | 0   |

#### 3.9 TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Traffic data collected continuously for 24 hours by visual observation and counting of vehicles under three categories, viz., heavy motor vehicles, light motor vehicles and two/three wheelers. As traffic densities on the roads are high, two skilled persons were deployed simultaneously at each station during each shift- one person on each of the two directions for counting the traffic. At the end of each hour, fresh counting and recording was undertaken. Total numbers of vehicles per hour under the three categories were determined.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |



Figure 3.14: Site Connectivity

| <b>S</b> . | Vehicles       | Number of        | Passenger       | Total Number of Vehicle |
|------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| No         | Distribution   | Vehicles         | <b>Car Unit</b> | in PCU                  |
|            |                | Distribution/Day | (PCU)           |                         |
|            |                | MDR-422          | -               | MDR-422                 |
| 1          | Cars           | 813              | 1               | 813                     |
| 2          | Buses          | 294              | 3               | 882                     |
| 3          | Trucks         | 325              | 3               | 975                     |
| 4          | Two wheelers   | 967              | 0.5             | 483.5                   |
| 5          | Three wheelers | 409              | 1.5             | 613.5                   |
|            | Total          | 2808             | -               | 3767                    |

## Table 3-22: No. of Vehicles per Day

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Road    | V (Volume<br>in<br>PCU/hr) | C (Capacity in<br>PCU/hr) | Existing V/C<br>Ratio | LOS |
|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| MDR-422 | 3767/24=157                | 413                       | 0.38                  | В   |

**Note:** The existing level may be "Very Good" for MDR=422.

| V/C     | LOS | Performance         |
|---------|-----|---------------------|
| 0.0-0.2 | А   | Excellent           |
| 0.2-0.4 | В   | Very Good           |
| 0.4-0.6 | С   | Good/ Average/ Fair |
| 0.6-0.8 | D   | Poor                |
| 0.8-1.0 | Е   | Very Poor           |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 4 Anticipated Environmental Impacts & Mitigation Measures

This chapter describes the anticipated impacts on the environment and mitigation measures. The method of assessment of impacts including studies carried out, modeling techniques adopted to assess the impacts where pertinent should be elaborated in this chapter. It should give the details of the impacts on the baseline parameters, both during the construction and operational phases and suggests the mitigation measures to be implemented by the proponent.

#### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

An environmental impact is defined as any change to the environment, whether adverse or beneficial, resulting from a facility's activities, products, or services. The anticipation of the possible & potential Environmental impact due to the proposed project is a key step in EIA. Based on the impacts assessed, appropriate mitigation measures should be adopted to maintain the environment with less or no damage.

Environmental Impacts can be group into Primary impacts & Secondary Impacts

Primary Impacts: These impacts are directly attributed by the project

*Secondary Impacts:* These are those which are induced by primary impacts and include the associated investments and changed patterns of the social and economic activities by the action. Assessment of impacts is done for the following Environmental Parameters:

- ➢ Land Environment
- ➢ Water Environment
- > Air Environment
- Noise Environment
- Biological Environment
- Socio Economic Environment

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

## 4.2 LAND ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect                |   |   |  | Impa  | act  |  |                                    |  |                             | Mitigation Measures   |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Mining of rough stone | Tł  | ne propo  | sed 2.0  | 00.00   | Ha   | mine   | loca                               | ted                                    | in                          | The proposed project site is not prone to any   |
|                       | Venkatesapuram Village having 4,06,265 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough    |   |  |   |  | 4,06,265                                     | ugh                                | kind of soil erosion (Source: Bhuvan). |                             |   |
|                       | Stone & 38,740 m <sup>3</sup> of Topsoil respectively. The quarry |   |  |   |  |  |                                    |  |                             |   |
|                       | op  | peration is   | proposed   | d to ca   | arry c                                       | out with                                     | conv                               | entio                                  | nal                         | In addition, garland drainage of 1m x 1m will   |
|                       | op  | en cast m   | echanize   | d mini  | ing w  | vith 5.0                                     | meter                              | vert                                   | ical                        | be provided to avoid storm water run- off.  |
|                       | be  | nch and b   | ench wic   | lth of 5  | 5.0 m  | neter. A                                     | t the                              | end o                                  | of 5                        |   |
|                       | ye  | ars, minin  | g lease ar   | ea will   | l be co                                      | onverted                                     | linto                              | ultim                                  | nate                        | It is proposed to plant 1000 Nos of native  |
|                       | pi  | t.  |  |   |  |  |                                    |  |                             | species (Neem, Magizham, Tamarind,  |
|                       |   |   |  |   |  | <b>E</b> MOLO                                |                                    |  |                             | Elandhai and Vilvam) along the roads, outer   |
|                       |   | U   | LTIMAT   | <u>E PIT</u>                                      | <u>' DIN</u>                                 | IENSIO                                       | N                                  |  |                             | periphery of the mining area which enhances   |
|                       |   | Section   | Bench  | L (n  | n)   | W (m)  | <b>D</b> (                         | (m)                                    |                             | the binding property of the soil.   |
|                       |   | PIT   | Ι  | 149   | .0   | 130.0  | 42                                 | 2.0                                    |                             |   |
|                       | The<br>lan<br>min<br>Imp<br>are<br>stae                           | e main im<br>d degradat<br>ning of Ro<br>pact on soi<br>no waste<br>ck emission | pact of o<br>ion. The<br>ugh Ston<br>l of the st<br>ewater go<br>ns. | open c<br>land is<br>e Quan<br>tudy ar<br>enerate | cast n<br>s bou:<br>rry.<br>cea w:<br>ced, h | nining o<br>nd to be<br>ill be min<br>eavy m | on lan<br>excav<br>nimal<br>etal i | d-uso<br>vated<br>as th<br>nfusi       | e is<br>for<br>nere<br>.on, | It is proposed to improve the affected land<br>wherever possible for better land use, so as to<br>support vegetation and creation of water<br>reservoir in the ultimate pit after quarrying.<br>The entire lease area is covered 2.0m of Topsoil<br>and estimated quantity of Topsoil is 38740m <sup>3</sup> .<br>Topsoil formation will be removed and<br>transported to the needy users, only after |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Impact due to transformation of terrain characteristics    | obtaining permission and paying necessary  |
|--|--|
| over the large area results in soil degradation.           | seigniorage fees to the Government.  |
|  | The source of dust generation is majorly due to  |
|  | drilling, blasting, loading & unloading of the   |
| Solid waste will be generated from the mining activity     | mined-out mineral, the impact will be  |
| as there will be refuse also generation of domestic waste. | mitigated by water sprinkling regularly once in  |
| If it is not properly managed, may cause odor and          | 3hrs.  |
| health problem to the workers.                             |  |
|  | The proposed mining activity is carried out in   |
|  | hilly terrain where The altitude of the area is  |
|  | Maximum 868m and Minimum 858m above  |
|  | MSL.   |
|  |  |
|  | After removal of minerals, undulating portion  |
|  | will be created. Excavated area or ultimate pit  |
|  | at the end of the mine period will be converted  |
|  | into water reservoir. Two tier tree belts will be  |
|  | planted along the safety distance.   |
|  |  |
|  | The 100% recovery is achieved by extracting  |
|  | the entire mineable reserve. Hence there will be   |
|  | no refuse generation due to the mining activity.   |
|  | Apart from that, a very meagre quantity of   |
|  | domestic waste will be generated in the project.   |
|  | which will be handed over to the local body on   |
|  | daily basis.   |
|  | Impact due to transformation of terrain characteristics<br>over the large area results in soil degradation.<br>Solid waste will be generated from the mining activity<br>as there will be refuse also generation of domestic waste.<br>If it is not properly managed, may cause odor and<br>health problem to the workers. |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### 4.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect                      | Impact  | Mitigation Measures                                |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | The mining in the area may cause ground water         | The water table will not be intersected during     |
| and unloading,              | contamination due to intersection of the water table  | mining, as the ultimate depth is limited upto      |
| Transportation of the       | and mine runoff.                                      | 42.0m (10m AGL + 32 BGL), whereas the              |
| excavated mineral.          |   | ground water table is at 70m below the ground      |
|                             |   | level. The municipal wastewater will be            |
|                             |   | disposed into septic tanks of 5 cum and soak pit.  |
|                             |   | No chemicals consisting of toxic elements will     |
|                             |   | be used for carrying out mining activity.          |
|                             | The ground water depletion may occur due to mining    | The ground water table is at a depth of 70m        |
|                             | activity  | BGL, the mining operation will not affect the      |
|                             |   | aquifer. The ultimate pit at the end of the mining |
|                             |   | operation will be used for rainwater storage, the  |
|                             |   | stored water will be used for green belt           |
|                             |   | development and further the stored water will be   |
|                             |   | used for domestic purposes (other than drinking)   |
|                             |   | after proper treatment.                            |
|                             | Chemicals consisting of nitrate used for blasting may | Further, the run-off water will be stored in       |
|                             | pollute the surface run off.                          | sumps and after proper treatment; water will be    |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

|   | used   | in    | the    | mining    | operation    | for   | dust    |
|---|--------|-------|--------|-----------|--------------|-------|---------|
|   | suppr  | essio | on.    |           |              |       |         |
|   | Provi  | sion  | of ur  | inals/Lat | rines along  | with  | septic  |
| Improper management of Domestic wastewater in           | tank i | follo | wed b  | oy soak p | it arrangem  | ent w | vill be |
| the Mine lease may create unhygienic conditions in      | provi  | ded i | n the  | Mine Le   | ase area for | the p | roper   |
| the site thereby causing health impacts to the labours. | mana   | gem   | ent of | wastewa   | ter          |       |         |

## 4.4 AIR ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect                      | Impact  | Mitigation Measures                                |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | Impacts during Operation Phase                                    | Mitigation Measures during Operation Phase         |
| and unloading,              | During mining operation, fugitive dust and other air              | It is proposed to plant 1000 Nos of native species |
| Transportation of the       | pollutants like particulate matter ( $PM_{10} \& PM_{2.5}$ ) will | (40% inside lease area & 60% outside lease area)   |
| excavated mineral.          | be generated.   | along the haul roads, outer periphery within the   |
|                             |   | lease area to prevent the impact of dust in        |
|                             | The main source of pollutants arises due to drilling              | consultation with Forest department for the        |
|                             | and blasting. 2 No of Tipper will be used for loading             | plantation of trees (Neem, Magizham,               |
|                             | and unloading, 1 No of Excavator (1.20 m <sup>3</sup> bucket      | Tamarind, Elandhai and Vilvam) in two tier to      |
|                             | capacity (with rock breaker attachment) will be used              | combat air pollution and with herbs (Nerium) in    |
|                             | for excavation of the mineral which contributes to the            | between the tree species.                          |
|                             | generation of fugitive dust. In addition, blasting will           | Planning transportation routes of the mined out    |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| be done using explosives leading to the generation of   | mineral, so as to reach the nearest paved roads   |
|---|---|
| dust.   | (an approach road) by shortest route connecting   |
|   | to MDR 422.   |
|   | Alternatively, gravelled road may be<br>constructed between mine lease area and nearest<br>paved road connectivity. The speed of trucks<br>plying on the haul road will be limited to<br>20km/hr to avoid generation of dust.<br>The trucks will be covered by tarpaulin. |
| <ul><li><i>Effect on Human</i></li><li>Adverse effect on human health of working</li></ul>  | Overloading will be avoided.  |
| <ul> <li>labourers and neighbouring villagers like effect on breathing and respiratory system, damage to lung tissue, influenza or asthma.</li> <li>Dust generation due to loading and unloading of mineral and due to transportation can also affect the workers as well as nearby villagers.</li> </ul> | Personal Protective Equipments (PPEs) like eye<br>goggles, dust mask, leather gloves, safety shoes<br>& boots will be provided to the workers engaged<br>at dust generation points like excavation and<br>loading points.   |
| <ul> <li><u>Effect on Plants</u></li> <li>Stomatal index may be minimized due to dust deposit on leaf.</li> </ul>   | 0.5 KLD of water will be proposed for sprinkling<br>on unpaved roads to avoid dust generation<br>during transportation.   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### Air Quality Modeling:

The AERMOD is actually a modeling system with three separate components:

- AERMOD (AERMIC Dispersion Model),
- AERMAP (AERMOD Terrain Preprocessor)
- AERMET (AERMOD Meteorological Preprocessor)

#### 4.4.1 *Source Characterization*

A detailed listing of all emission sources and their corresponding modelling input release parameters and emission rates is listed this

report. A general description of how each source type was treated is presented below.

The emission Sources from the proposed operation are

#### **Point Sources:**

Point sources for mining operations are typically include dust collectors, hot water heaters, and emergency generator(s). Since at the present project the following sources are anticipated.

- 1. Hydraulic excavator -1.2 Cum Bucket Capacity (with Rock Breaker Attachment)
- 2. Jack Hammer 25.5 mm Dia
- 3. Tipper
- 4. Tractor Mounted Compressor
- 5. Drilling and excavation with Accessories

#### **Road Sources:**

A road network was developed to depict the anticipated haul truck routes and truck discharge locations during the mine operations. The anticipated emissions from the road sources and corresponding anticipated impact during the monitoring period of December 2022 to February 2023 emissions were estimated. Emissions due to haul road and general plant traffic on the unpaved road network were

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

modelled as volume sources. The model volume source parameter for the haul roads initially utilized USEPA developed emission factors for hauling trucking. The haul road sources utilized source to source spacing of 6 meters along the simulated haul roads. The initial lateral dimension of the sources were set to 3 m were used as an input to replicated a 2 truck travel adjacent for a typical mining scenario. The parameters considered for the hauling operation include the following,

- size of haul trucks commonly used
- degree of dust control/compaction of permanent haul roads

#### Other fugitive particulate emission sources:

Other fugitive particulate emission sources that were modelled as volume sources include the following:

- Fugitive emissions from trucks unloading at the primary crusher were represented by a single volume source. The release height was set to 0 meters (dump pocket is at grade level).
- Fugitive emissions due to wind erosion is not considered as the mining area is predominately rocky surface with minimal wind erosion. If an wind erosion is anticipated to occur, it would be localized.
- Fugitive emissions from transfer points were represented by single volume sources. The release heights for these sources were set to the actual height of the truck transfer process.

#### Post Project Scenario

Emissions from operations will result from process equipment and mining operations. Process equipment was modeled at maximum capacity. Emissions from mining were based upon the mining rate and haul truck travel necessary to transport the stones and waste from the pit to the storage area.

Predicted maximum ground level concentrations considering micro meteorological data of March to May 2022 are superimposed on the maximum baseline concentrations obtained during the study period to estimate the post project scenario, which would prevail at

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

the post operational phase. The overall scenario with predicted concentrations over the maximum baseline concentrations is shown in the following table along with isopleths.

| Activity              | Emi          | ission Factor   | Refe   | rences   |  |
|-----------------------|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| Topsoil handling      | Scraper      | 0.029<br>Kg TSPM/<br>average time between<br>spray application      | USEPA (2008)   | Jose I. Huertas & Dumar A.<br>Camacho & Maria E. Huertas,<br>Standardized emissions  |  |
|                       | Bulldozing   | 15.048<br>kg PM10/<br>Hr excavation                                 | USEPA (2008)   |  |  |
|                       | Loading      | 2.3237E-04<br>kg PM10/<br>average time between<br>spray application | USEPA (2006a)  | open-pit mining areas<br>Environmental Scienc  |  |
|                       | Haulage      | 0.69718<br>kg PM10/VKT  | USEPA (2006a)<br>Cowherd (1988)  |  |  |
|                       | Wet drilling | 8.00E-5 lbs PM10/<br>Ton produce                                    | EPA. August, 2004. Sect<br>Processing and Pulverized   | ion 11.19.2, Crushed Stone<br>Mineral Processing. In:  |  |
| Rough stone<br>mining | Loading      | 1.00E-4 lbs PM10/<br>Ton produce                                    | Compilation of Air Pollutant<br>Stationary Point and Area Source<br>Environmental Protection Ag<br>Planning and Standards. Re<br>Carolina. | Emission Factors, Volume 1:<br>ces, Fifth Edition, AP-42. U.S.<br>gency, Office of Air Quality<br>esearch Triangle Park, North |  |

### Table 4-1 Emission Factors for uncontrolled mining

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### 4.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT:

| Aspect                      | Impact   | Mitigation Measures                                |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | Usage of Equipments (Excavator, Tipper, Jack           | • The machinery will be maintained in good         |
| and unloading,              | Hammer), Machinery and trucks used for                 | running condition so that noise will be reduced    |
| Transportation of the       | transportation will generate noise.                    | to minimum possible level.                         |
| excavated mineral.          |  | • Awareness will be imparted to the workers        |
|                             | Noise from the machinery can cause hypertension,       | once in six months about the permissible noise     |
|                             | high stress level, hearing loss, sleep disturbance etc | level and effect of maximum exposure to those      |
|                             | due to prolonged exposure.                             | levels. Adequate silencers will be provided in all |
|                             |  | the diesel engines of vehicles.                    |
|                             |  | • It will be ensured that all transportation       |
|                             |  | vehicles carry a valid PUC Certificates.           |
|                             |  | • Speed of trucks entering or leaving the mine     |
|                             |  | will be limited to moderate speed (20km/hr) to     |
|                             | Number of vehicles will be increased due to the        | prevent undue noise from empty vehicles.           |
|                             | proposed mining activity hence vehicle may collate     | The noise generated by the machinery will be       |
|                             | which may result in unwanted sound and can also        | reduced by proper lubrication of the machinery     |
|                             | cause impact on human health like breathing and        | and other equipments.                              |
|                             | respiratory system, damage to lung tissue, influenza   | • It is proposed to plant 1000 Nos. of native      |
|                             | or asthma.   | species (Neem, Mandharai, Athi, Tamarind,          |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

|  | Ashoka, Casuarinas and Villam) to reduce the    |
|--|---|
|  | impact of noise in the study area. The          |
|  | development of green belts around the periphery |
|  | of the mine will be implemented to attenuate    |
|  | noise.  |
|  | • The trucks will be diverted on two roads viz. |
|  | MDR 422 and a District Road to avoid traffic    |
|  | congestion.                                     |
|  | • Health check-up camps will be organized       |
|  | once in six month.                              |
|  | • Use of personal protective devices i.e.,      |
|  | earmuffs and earplugs by workers, who are       |
|  | working in high noise generating areas.         |
|  | • Provision of quiet areas, where employees     |
|  | can get relief from workplace noise.            |
|  | •   |

## 4.6 **BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMNENT:**

| Aspect         | Impacts   | Mitigation Measures                             |
|----------------|---|---|
| Site Clearance | Loss of habitat due to site clearance which may lead to | The proposed mining lease is already a dry land |
|                | ecological disturbance.                                 | hence no site clearance is required. Only few   |
|                |   | shrubs and herbs like parthenium sp., prosopis  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

|                   |   | juliflora were present.                             |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Planting of trees | Development of afforestation in the mine lease area     | 10 m safety distance will be provided all along the |
|                   | will have a positive impact as the land was initially a | boundary of the mine lease area and safety.         |
|                   | barren.   | Around 0.47.0 Ha of land is utilized for greenbelt  |
|                   |   | development (1000 Nos - 5 years). This will         |
|                   |   | attract avifauna thus enhancing the existing        |
|                   |   | ecological environment.                             |

## 4.7 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMNENT:

| Aspect                      | Impact  | Mitigation Measures                             |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Proposed implementation     | Land acquisition for the implementation of the        | The proposed project is a Government            |
| of Mining activity          | project may result in loss of assets, which in return | Poramboke land and the land is vacant where     |
|                             | will make the PAP to shift, losing their normal       | there are no human settlement within 300m       |
|                             | routine and livelihood                                | radius. Hence the project does not involve      |
|                             |   | Rehabilitation and resettlement                 |
| Drilling, Blasting, Loading | The mining activities may cause dust emission, noise  | No human activity is envisaged near the project |
| and Transportation of the   | pollution thereby causing disturbance to the local    | site. The nearest human settlement is observed  |
| mined out mineral           | habitat   | in Venkatesapuram village which is 0.82 km      |
|                             |   | from site                                       |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Grazing and Rearing      | The Grazing and rearing of local animals like Sheep, | It is proposed to use gravelled road and nearest     |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| activities in the nearby | Goat and cows is observed in the nearby villages,    | paved road and preferred not to use unpaved          |  |
| villages                 | which may be affected due to the project as the      | roads. In addition to that, the speed of trucks will |  |
|                          | movement of the vehicles may affect/injure the       | be limited to 20km/hr to avoid any accidents.        |  |
|                          | animals  |  |  |
| Employment opportunity   | The project will improve the livelihood of the local | After the development of the proposed mine, it       |  |
|                          | people   | will improve the livelihood of local people and      |  |
|                          |  | also provide the direct and indirect employment      |  |
|                          |  | opportunities. The rough stone for the               |  |
|                          |  | infrastructural development in the area will be      |  |
|                          |  | made available from the local markets at             |  |
|                          |  | reasonably lower price.                              |  |
| Corporate Environmental  | The proposed project will help in natural resource   | As a part of CER i.e., 5.0 Lakhs will be allocated.  |  |
| Responsibility           | augmentation & Community resource development.       | Government High School, Venkatesapuram               |  |
|                          |  | Provision of   |  |
|                          |  | Renovation of Auditorium,                            |  |
|                          |  | Renovation of Gents Toilet,                          |  |
|                          |  | Sports equipment,                                    |  |
|                          |  | Environmental books for library (in Tamil            |  |
|                          |  | language), Greenbelt facilities and Basic            |  |
|                          |  | amenities such as safe drinking water, furniture.    |  |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

## 4.8 OTHER IMPACTS:

| S. No | Aspect          | Impact                    | Mitigation measure                          |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1.    | Risk due to the | Accidents may occur in    | Proper PPE kit (Safety jacket, Helmet,      |
|       | proposed mining | the mine area             | Safety Shoes, Gloves) etc will be provided  |
|       |                 |                           | to each and every employee in the mine      |
|       |                 |                           | lease concerning the safety of each labour. |
| 2.    | Blasting        | Injury to the labours due | Alarm system in the form of Siren will be   |
|       |                 | to the blasting activity  | engaged in the project site to caution the  |
|       |                 |                           | blasting activity. In addition to that, the |
|       |                 |                           | blasting activity will be scheduled at      |
|       |                 |                           | particular time - 5 P.M to 6 P.M (or        |
|       |                 |                           | whenever required) so that the employees    |
|       |                 |                           | will be aware of the activity. Smoking will |
|       |                 |                           | be banned in the site and sign boards will  |
|       |                 |                           | be displayed in various places at site.     |
| 3.    | Screening of    | Labours will be checked   | All the labours will be checked and         |
|       | Labours         | for health condition      | screened for health before employing        |
|       |                 | before employing them in  | them.                                       |
|       |                 | mining activity           | After employing them, periodical medical    |
|       |                 |                           | check-ups will be held once in every six    |
|       |                 |                           | months.                                     |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 5 Analysis Of Alternatives

#### 5.1 GENERAL

Analysis of alternative is a significant aspect in planning and designing any project. Cost benefit analysis should be worked out along with other parameters while choosing an alternative in such a way that the production is maximum and the mining operation is environment friendly and cost effective. The mine plan and mine closure plan has been approved by the Deputy Director, Department of Mining and Geology, Krishnagiri District prior to submission of the Form-1 and PFR.

ToR issued by the SEIAA-TN vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9499/ ToR-1309/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022. The study for alternative analysis involves in-depth examination of site and technology.

### 5.1.1 Analysis for Alternative Sites and Mining Technology

#### 5.1.1.1 Alternative Site

The proposed project is the mining of Rough Stone Quarry and is proposed after prospecting the area. In other words, these can be implemented in the mineral available zone. Since the mining block has been allotted in principal by the State Government, there is no case for studying and exploring any other site as an alternative.

#### 5.1.1.2 Alternative Technology

The open cast mining could be manual/ mechanized depending upon the geological and topographical setup of the mineral (ROM) to be won and the daily/annual targeted production.

| S.  | Particular | Alternative | Alternative | Remarks                                     |  |
|-----|------------|-------------|-------------|---|--|
| No. |            | Option 1    | Option 2    |   |  |
| 1.  | Technology | Opencast    | Opencast    | Opencast mechanized Involving               |  |
|     |            | semi        | mechanized  | drilling and blasting are preferred.        |  |
|     |            | mechanized  | mining      |   |  |
|     |            | mining      |             | Benefits:<br>Material is hard so to make it |  |

| <b>Fable 5-1:</b> | Alternative fo | r Technology | and other | <b>Parameters</b> |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|
|                   |                |              |           |                   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 2. | Employment                 | Local<br>employment. | Outsource<br>employment | Local employment is preferred.<br>Benefits:<br>Provides employment to local<br>people along with financial<br>benefits<br>No residential building/<br>housing is required.                            |
|----|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 3. | Labour<br>transportation   | Public<br>transport  | Private transport       | Local labours will be deployed<br>from Venkatesapuram village so<br>they will either reach mine site<br>by bicycle or by foot.<br>Benefits:<br>Cost of transportation of labors<br>will be negligible |
| 4. | Material<br>transportation | Public<br>transport  | Private transport       | Material will be transported<br>through trucks/trolleys on the<br>contract basis<br>Benefits:<br>It will give indirect employment.  |
| 5. | Water                      | Tanker<br>supplier   | Ground water/           | Tanker supply will be preferred.<br>Water will be sourced from<br>Venkatesapuram village which is<br>0.87 km from site.   |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 6 Environmental Monitoring Program

## 6.1 **GENERAL:**

This chapter covers the planned environmental monitoring program. It also includes the technical aspects of monitoring the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

Monitoring is important to measure the efficiency of control measures. Post project monitoring of environmental parameters is of key importance to assess the status of environment. The monitoring program will serve as an indicator for identifying environmental degradation due to operation of the project and help in selection of appropriate mitigation measures to safeguard the environment.

Regular monitoring is as important as control of pollution since the efficacy of control measures can only be determined by monitoring. The project proponent has awarded **M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd** for carrying out the post project environmental monitoring (PPM) and timely compliance report submission to various regulatory authorities.

Therefore, a regular monitoring programme of the environmental parameters is essential to take into account the changes in the environmental quality. The objectives of monitoring are to:-

- Verify effectiveness of planning decisions;
- Measure effectiveness of operational procedures;
- Confirm statutory and corporate compliance; and
- Identify unexpected changes.

| Table 6-1: | Environmental | Monitoring | Programme |
|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
|            |               |            | -         |

| Parameters        | Sampling    | Frequency               | Location            |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Air environment – | 5 locations | 24 hourly twice a week  | 1. Project site     |
| Pollutants        |             | 4 hourly.               | 2. Athimugam Masjid |
| PM 10             |             | Twice a week, One non   | Al Sunnatul Jamath  |
| PM 2.5            |             | monsoon season          | 3. Sri Pattalamma   |
| SO <sub>2</sub>   |             | 8 hourly, twice a week  | Devi,Temple,        |
|                   |             | 24 hourly, twice a week | Payarkuttalai       |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| NO <sub>x</sub>                                  |             |                     | 4. Govt.Hr Sec School, |
|--|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|  |             |                     | Bukkasagaram           |
|  |             |                     | 5. Sivaraman green     |
|  |             |                     | garden                 |
| Noise  | 5 locations | 24 hourly Once in 5 | 1. Project site        |
|  |             | locations           | 2 Athimugam Masiid     |
|  |             | locutions           | Al Sunnatul Jamath     |
|  |             |                     |                        |
|  |             |                     | 3. Sri                 |
|  |             |                     | PattalammaDevi,Tem     |
|  |             |                     | ple, Payarkuttalai     |
|  |             |                     | 4. Govt.Hr Sec School, |
|  |             |                     | Bukkasagaram           |
|  |             |                     | 5. Sivaraman green     |
|  |             |                     | garden                 |
| Water (Ground                                    | 5 locations | Once in 5 locations | 1. Project site        |
| water)   |             |                     | 2. Athimugam Masjid    |
| • pH   |             |                     | Al Sunnatul Iamath     |
| Temperature                                      |             |                     | 3 Sri                  |
| <ul> <li>Turbidity</li> <li>Magnesium</li> </ul> |             |                     | PattalammaDevi Tem     |
| Hardness   |             |                     | nla Davarlauttalai     |
| • Total  |             |                     |                        |
| Alkalinity     Chloride                          |             |                     | 4. Govt.Hr Sec School, |
| Sulphate   |             |                     | Bukkasagaram           |
| • Fluoride                                       |             |                     | 5. Sivaraman green     |
| <ul><li>Nitrate</li><li>Sodium</li></ul>         |             |                     | garden                 |
| Potassium  |             |                     |                        |
| • Salinity                                       |             |                     |                        |
| • lotal  |             |                     |                        |
| • Total  |             |                     |                        |
| Coliforms  |             |                     |                        |
| • Fecal  |             |                     |                        |
| Coliforms  |             |                     |                        |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Water (surface water)   | Sample from   | One time Sampling   | 1. Bukkasagaram                  |
|---|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| <ul><li> pH</li><li> Temperature</li></ul>  | nearby        |                     | Lake – 3.54 km, S                |
| <ul> <li>Turbidity</li> <li>Magnesium<br/>Hardness</li> <li>Total<br/>Alkalinity</li> <li>Chloride</li> <li>Sulphate</li> <li>Fluoride</li> <li>Nitrate</li> <li>Sodium</li> <li>Potassium</li> <li>Salinity</li> <li>Total<br/>nitrogen</li> <li>Total<br/>Coliforms</li> <li>Fecal<br/>Coliforms</li> </ul> | lakes/river   |                     | 2. Muthali Lake –<br>4.57 km, NW |
| Soil  | 5 locations   | Once in 5 locations | 1. Project site                  |
| (Organic matter,  |               |                     | 2. Athimugam Masjid              |
| Texture, pH,  |               |                     | Al Sunnatul Jamath               |
| Electrical  |               |                     | 3. Sri                           |
| Conductivity,   |               |                     | PattalammaDevi,Tem               |
| Permeability, Water   |               |                     | ple, Payarkuttalai               |
| holding capacity,   |               |                     | 4. Govt.Hr Sec School,           |
| Porosity)   |               |                     | Bukkasagaram                     |
|   |               |                     | 5. Sivaraman green               |
|   |               |                     | garden                           |
| Ecology and   | Study area    | One time Sampling   |                                  |
| biodiversity Study  | covering 5 km |                     |                                  |
|   | radius        |                     |                                  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| Socio- Economic       | Villages    | One time Sampling |  |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|--|
| study                 | around 5 km |                   |  |
| (Population, Literacy | radius      |                   |  |
| Level, employment,    |             |                   |  |
| Infrastructure like   |             |                   |  |
| school, hospitals &   |             |                   |  |
| commercial            |             |                   |  |
| establishments)       |             |                   |  |

## Table 6-2: Monitoring Schedule during Mining

| S. No. | Attributes    | Parameters                    | Frequency   | Location     |
|--------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1.     | Ambient Air   | PM 10                         | Once in a   | Project Site |
|        | Quality at    | PM 2.5                        | Month       |              |
|        | Mine Site &   | SO <sub>2</sub>               |             |              |
|        | Fugitive Dust | NO                            |             |              |
|        | Sampling      | X                             |             |              |
| 2.     | Ground water  | Drinking Water Parameters, As | Half yearly | Project Site |
|        | Quality       | per IS - 10500: 2012          |             |              |
| 3.     | Surface Water | Class will be assessed as per | Half yearly | Project Site |
|        | Quality       | the CPCB Guidelines           |             |              |
| 4.     | Soil Quality  | (Organic matter, Texture, pH, | Half yearly | Project Site |
|        |               | Electrical Conductivity,      |             |              |
|        |               | Permeability, Water holding   |             |              |
|        |               | capacity, Porosity)           |             |              |
| 5.     | Noise Level   | Noise level in dB(A)          | Half yearly | Project Site |
|        | Monitoring    | Quarterly/half yearly         |             |              |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 7 Additional Studies

#### 7.1 GENERAL

This chapter covers the details of the additional studies viz. Risk assessment, Disaster Management, Public Hearing, Rehabilitation and Resettlement.

#### 7.1.1 Public Hearing:

As the proposed mining project falls under 1(a), Category B1 – Cluster Mining (includes

## Existing Quarries - Nil

## Abandoned / Old quarries:

- 1. M/s. R.A.Blue Metals 4.00.0 Ha
- 2. Thiru.J.Shanmugam 2.00.00 Ha
- 3. Thiru.P.Selvaraju 2.00.00 Ha

#### Proposed Quarries:-

- 1. S.R.Enterprises 2.00.0 Ha
- 2. Thiru.B. Elavarasan 4.20.0 Ha
- 3. Thiru.A.Brian Balachander 2.50.00 Ha

The Total extent of the Existing / Proposed quarries are 17.70.0 Ha.

Hence under 7(III) of EIA notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments, the project involves the Public Consultation and the same will be conducted under SPCB (TN) in Krishnagiri District. The proceedings of the same will be incorporated in the Final EIA Report.

#### 7.1.2 Risk assessment:

For mining projects to be successful, it should meet not only the production requirements, but also maintain the highest safety standards for all the workers. The industry has to identify the hazards, assess the associated risks and bring the risks to tolerable level regularly. Mining has considerable safety risk to miners. Unsafe conditions and practices in mines lead to a number of accidents and causes loss and injury to human lives, damage property, interrupt production etc. Risk assessment is a systematic method of identifying and analyzing the hazards associated with an activity and establishing a level of risk. The hazards cannot be completely eliminated, and thus there is a need to define and estimate an accident risk level possible to be presented either in quantitative or qualitative way.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 7.1.3 Identification of Hazard

#### 7.1.3.1 Blasting Pattern:

The quarrying operation will be carried out by Opencast Semi Mechanized method in conjunction with conventional method of mining using Jack Hammer drilling and blasting for shattering effect and loosen the Rough Stone.

#### 7.1.3.2 Drilling and Blasting:

| Diameter of Hole        | 32-36mm                |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Spacing between holes   | 60 cms                 |
| Depth                   | 1 to 1.5 m             |
| Pattern of hole         | Zigzag                 |
| Inclination of holes    | 70° from horizontal    |
| Use of delay detonators | 25 milli-second delays |
| Detonating fuse         | "Detonating" Cord      |

Drilling and Blasting parameters are as follows:

#### a. Types of explosives to be used:

Small dia of 25mm Slurry explosives are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling or Primary blasting is proposed.

#### b. Measures proposed to minimize ground vibration due to Blasting:

The quarry is situated more than 0.87 km from the nearby villages. Controlled blasting measures will be adopted for minimizing ground vibration and fly of rock. Shallow depths jackhammer drilling & blasting is proposed to be carried out with minimum use of explosive mainly to give the shattering effect in rough stone for easy excavation and to control fly of rocks.

| Diameter of Holes | = | 32-36mm                      |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Powder factor     | = | 6 to 7 Tons/Kg of explosives |
| Depth             | = | 1 to 1.5 m                   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

Charge/Hole = D.Cord with water or 70gms of gun powder or Gelatine. Blasted at day time = 5 to 6 PM

Storage and safety measures to be taken while blasting: The proponent will engage an authorized explosive agency to carry out the small amount of blasting and it will be supervised by competent and statutory Foreman/Permit Mines Manager.

*Heavy Machineries:* The following heavy machineries will be used in the proposed area:

- For Mining Excavator of 1.2 Cum Bucket capacity (with Rock Breaker attachment), Jack Hammers (25.5 mm Dia) of 4 Nos.
- Loading Equipment Excavator of 1.2 Cum Bucket Capacity (with Bucket attachment)
- Transportation (includes within the mine and mine to destination) Tipper 2 Nos. of 10
   M.T capacity (from quarry to needy peoples and local crushers)

#### a. Risk:

Most of the accidents during transport of mined out mineral using other heavy vehicles are often attributed to mechanical failures and human errors.

#### b. Mitigation measures to minimize the risk

- At the time of loading no person will be allowed within the swing radius of the excavation.
- The dumpers/ trucks will stand near the loading equipment and fully braked when the muck is filled in it.
- The truck would be brought to a lower level so that the loading operation suits to the ergonomic condition of the workers.
- The workers will be provided with helmets, gloves and safety boots; loading and unloading operations will be carried out only during daylight.
- All the mining machineries will be regularly maintained and checked such as brakes, lights and horns to keep in the efficient working order.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 7.1.4 General Precautionary measures for the Risk involved in the proposed mine:

- In order to take care of above hazard/disaster, the following control measures will be adopted:
- All safety precautions and provisions of Mine Act,1952, Metalliferous Mines Regulation, 1961 and Mines Rules, 1955 will be strictly followed during all mining operations;
- Entry of unauthorized persons will be prohibited;
- Firefighting and first-aid provisions in the ECC and mining area;
- Provisions of all the safety appliances such as safety boot, helmets, goggles etc. will be made available to the workers (16 Nos.) and regular inspection for their use;
- In case of eventuality, first aid will be given by the senior safety office in the mine area initially to the injured person. The safety officer will give notice of accident as per Rule-23 of Mines Act-1952;
- The safety officer (common for 3 mines within 500m radius) will be responsible for coordination between management district authorities/DGMS etc. Regarding general safety as per Rule-181 of MMR 1961, "No person shall negligently or will fully do anything likely to endanger life or limb in the mine, or negligible or will fully omit to do anything necessary for the safety of the mine or of the persons employed there in". The workers will be provided with protective foot wear and safety helmets;
- Cleaning of mine faces will be regularly done;
- Handling of explosives, charging and blasting will be carried out by highly skilled labors only;
- Regular maintenance and testing of all mining equipment as per manufacturer's guidelines;
- Suppression of dust by sprinkling water on the haulage roads;

#### 7.1.5 Safety Team:

The effective implementation of compliance of Safety Rules/ Statutory Provisions will be ensured. The safety officer will be engaged, meeting the requirement of Mines Act and their duties and responsibilities. The safety officer will be responsible for identification of the hazardous conditions and unsafe acts of workers and advice on corrective actions, conduct safety audit, organize training programs and provide professional expert advice on various

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

issues related to occupational safety and health. Organizing safety training will be conducted to employees and contractor labors periodically.

#### 7.1.6 Emergency Control Centre

The emergency control center will be provided to handle the emergency. The site main controller, key personnel and the senior officers of the fire and police services will attend it. The center will be equipped to receive and transmit information and directions from and to the incident controller and other areas of the works, as well as outside. The emergency control center will be sited in an area of minimum risk. This common Emergency control center will be used for the mines around the 500m radius

#### 7.2 DISASTER MANAGEMENT

The possible risks in the case of stone along with associated minor minerals mining projects are fly rock, vibration failure of pit, slope and waste dump, accidents due to transportation. Mining and allied activities are associated with several potential hazards to both the employees and the public at large. Safety of the mine and the employees is taken care of by the mining rules & regulations, which are well defined with laid down procedure for safety, which when scrupulously followed, safety is ensured not only to manpower but also to machines & working environment.

## 7.2.1 Emergency Management Plan For Proposed Mines On Site- Offsite Emergency Preparedness Plan:

The emergency plan delineates the procedures for dealing with accidents or unexpected events and natural calamities arising from mining activity. An experience of any accidents that have occurred in other manufacturing/mining projects is considered to prepare this plan. This Emergency plan should be periodically reviewed and modified. It should also be changed based on the observations of emergency mock drills and experience of handling actual emergencies.

Major objectives of this onsite – offsite emergency plan are:

> To take necessary proactive and preventive actions to avoid the emergency.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### The main aim of any emergency plan should be to prevent emergency situations.

To train the manpower to handle the emergencies of the following nature:

- Onsite (Within ML boundary)
- Offsite (Outside ML boundary)

## 7.2.1 Onsite off-site emergency Plan:

#### 1- Emergency on account of:

- ➤ Fire
- ➢ Explosion
- > Major accidents involving man-made collapse of the mining edges.
- > Snake bites, attack by honey bees or attack by wild animals.

#### 2- Disaster due to natural calamities like:

- > Flood/ heavy rains which can involve natural landslides.
- ➢ Earth quake
- Cyclone
- ➢ Lightening

#### 7.2.2 Emergency Plan:

- The mining operations should be immediately stopped in case of any emergency. A siren will be sounded during emergency time.
- An emergency assembly point will be created and all the workers will guide visitors or contractors to approach assembly point.
- Emergency vehicle (Ambulance) will be available in the nearby place, in proximity to the three mines and will rush to the emergency control centre at the blowing of emergency siren. The driver of emergency vehicle will follow the instructions of Incident Controller/Site Main Controller.
- Workers will be trained for the precautions to be taken during natural disasters like heavy rain, floods, earthquake and cyclone.
- All escape routes from mines to the assembly point or any other safe location will be made and the escape plan will be displayed in many places in the mine area

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 7.2.3 Emergency Control:

- Shut down of mining operations: Raising the alarm or siren followed by immediate safe shut down of the power supply, and isolation of affected areas.
- > Treatment of injured: First aid and hospitalization of injured persons
- Protection of environment and property: During mitigation, efforts will be made to prevent impacts on environment and property to the extent possible.
- Preserving all evidences and records: This will be done to enable a thorough investigation of the true causes of the emergency.
- Ensuring safety of personnel prior to restarting of operations: Efforts required will be made to ensure that work environment is safe prior to restarting the work.

### 7.3 NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION

There are no natural resources within the premises. The conservation strategies for energy will be followed in the proposed mine lease area. The pollutants of the mine will be minimized by adopting appropriate mitigation measures as mentioned Chapter 5 to prevent the effects on nearest water bodies. No surface runoff from the project site will be let into the nearest water bodies.

#### 7.4 **RESETTLEMENT AND REHABILITATION:**

The proposed Mine lease area is Government Poramboke land. There is no displacement of the population within the project area and adjacent nearby area and hence Rehabilitation & Resettlement is not applicable.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

## 8 **Project Benefits**

#### 8.1 GENERAL

This chapter covers the benefits accruing to the locality, neighborhood, region and nation as a whole. It brings out the details of benefits by way of improvements in the physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, employment potential and other tangible benefits.

#### 8.1.1 Physical Benefits

The opening of the proposed project will enhance the following physical infrastructure facilities in the adjoining areas:

*Market:* Generating useful economical resource for construction. Due to demand supply chain, excavated mineral (Rough stone) will sold in the market in the affordable price.

*Infrastructure:* The excavated rough stone will be used for *Laying Roads, Building & Construction Projects, Bridges.* 

*Enhancement of Green Cover & Green Belt Development*: As a part of reclamation plan, native tree species will be planted along the safety boundary of the mine lease area. A suitable combination of trees that can grow fast and also have good leaf cover will be adopted to develop the green belt. It is proposed to plant 500 numbers of native species along with some fruit bearing and medicinal trees during the mining plan period.

#### 8.2 SOCIAL BENEFITS

The mining in the area will create rural employment. During site visit, it has been observed that the economic conditions of the villages in the study area is quite normal. After the development of the proposed mine, it will improve the livelihood of local people and also provide the indirect employment opportunities. The rough stone for the infrastructural development in the area will be made available from the local markets at reasonably lower price.

As a part of CER, i.e., 5 Lakhs will be allocated. The detailed agenda, which is to be executed has been framed. The salient features of the programmes are as follows:

Developing Sports facilities and providing Smart board, Library, Environmental books for library (in Tamil language), Greenbelt facilities Basic amenities such as safe drinking water, Hygienic Toilet facilities & Furniture to Government High School, Venkatesapuram.

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft ELA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### 8.3 PROJECT COST / INVESTMENT DETAILS

| 1 | D. Fixed Asset Cost:      |   |   |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
|   | Land Cost                 | : | Rs. 3,23,00,000/- (Leased tender amount |
|   |                           |   | for Government Poramboke Land)          |
|   | • Labour Shed             | : | Rs. 1,40,000/-                          |
|   | Sanitary Facility         | : | Rs. 70,000/-                            |
|   | Refilling/Fencing cost    | : | Rs. 80,000/-                            |
|   | Total=                    |   |   |
|   |                           |   | Rs.3,25,90,000/-                        |
| 2 | E. Operational Cost:      | : | Rs.30,00,000/-                          |
|   | Machinery cost            |   |   |
| 3 | F. EMP Cost:              |   |   |
|   | Display board in site;    | : | Rs. 81,41,002/-                         |
|   | Monitoring-Air, Water,    | : |   |
|   | Noise; Dust Supression -  | : |   |
|   | Water sprinkling by own   | : |   |
|   | water tankers; Vehicle    | : |   |
|   | Tyres Wash; Green Belt    | : |   |
|   | Development; Road         | : |   |
|   | Development &             | : |   |
|   | Management;               | : |   |
|   | Occupational Health And   | : |   |
|   | Safety; Solid Waste       |   |   |
|   | Management; Strom         |   |   |
|   | Water; Renewable Energy,  |   |   |
|   | CCTV Installation, Salary |   |   |
|   | for mines manager and     |   |   |
|   | blaster                   |   |   |
|   | Total Project Cost(A+B+C) | : | Rs. 4,37,31,002/-                       |
|   |                           |   |   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

## 9 Environmental Management Plan

#### 9.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter comprehensively presents the Environmental Management Plan (EMP), which includes the administrative and technical setup, summary matrix of EMP, the cost involved to implement the EMP, during various Mining activities and provisions made towards the same in the cost estimates of project. This chapter describes the proposed monitoring scheme as well as inter-organizational arrangements for effective implementation of the mitigation measures.

#### 9.2 SUBSIDENCE

Mining will be carried out by opencast mechanized mining method with drilling & blasting as per mining plan approved by Department of Mining and Geology, Krishnagiri. Subsidence/slope failures are not envisaged because there are no loose strata overlying the deposit (mineral to be excavated). The bench height will be average 5m. The individual bench slope has been proposed to be kept at 60<sup>o</sup> from horizontal. Moreover, all safety standards/ safeguards will be implemented as per guidelines prescribed by Director General of Mines Safety.

#### 9.3 MINE DRAINAGE

#### 9.3.1 Storm water Management

The following measures will be taken with respect to the prevailing site conditions.

- Storm water drains with silt traps of size 1m x 1m will be suitably constructed all along the periphery of the pit area to collect the run-off from the mine area and divert into the pit.
- All measures will be taken not to disturb the existing drainage pattern adjacent to the mine lease area.
- The storm water collected from the mine area will be utilized for dust suppression on haul roads, plantation within the premises, etc.,

#### 9.3.2 Drainage

Local workers will be deployed for the project. But, urinals and Latrines will be provided and the same will be connected to septic tank followed by soak pit arrangement. No domestic waste will be deposited into the nearby area. Regular checking will be carried out to find any

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

blockage due to silting or accumulation of loose materials. The drains will also be checked for any damage in lining / stone pitching, etc.

#### 9.3.3 Administrative and Technical Setup

The Environment Management Plan (EMP) will consist of all mitigation measures for each component of the environment due to the activities increased during mining operation to minimize adverse environmental impacts resulting from the activities of the project.

To carry out the above activities, M/s. S.R. Enterprises will work in association with M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd.
| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| S. No | Impacts on               | Activity   | Anticipated impacts   | Mitigation measures   |
|-------|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| 1     | Environment              | /Aspect  | D · · · ·   |   |
| 1.    | Aır                      | Emission   | During mining<br>operation, fugitive dust<br>and other air pollutants<br>like particulate matter<br>(PM10 & PM 2.5) will be<br>generated.   | Planting of trees along the<br>safety distance of the Mine<br>Lease Area<br>Water will be sprinkled in<br>the site as dust suppression<br>measure.  |
| 2.    | Water                    | Wastewater<br>Generation   | Improper management<br>of Domestic wastewater<br>in the Mine lease may<br>create unhygienic<br>conditions in the site<br>thereby causing health<br>impacts to the labors  | Provision of<br>urinals/Latrines along with<br>septic tank followed by soak<br>pit arrangement will be<br>provided in the Mine Lease<br>area for the proper<br>management of wastewater.  |
| 3.    | Noise                    | Mining<br>activities like<br>drilling,<br>blasting,<br>loading and<br>transportatio<br>n | Noise from the<br>machinery can cause<br>hypertension, high stress<br>level, hearing loss, sleep<br>disturbance etc due to<br>prolonged exposure.<br>Apart from Mining<br>activities like drilling,<br>blasting may generate<br>noise | Use of personal protective<br>devices i.e., earmuffs and<br>earplugs by workers, who<br>are working in high noise<br>generating areas.  |
| 4.    | Land                     | Improper<br>management<br>of Storm<br>water Runoff                                       | Storm water Runoff<br>may result in Soil<br>Erosion   | Garland drainage of 1m x<br>1m will be provided to<br>avoid storm water run- off.   |
| 5.    | Social<br>Responsibility | Mining<br>workers  | Unhygienic site<br>sanitation facilities may<br>cause health damage to<br>workers.  | The objective is to ensure<br>health and safety of the<br>workers with effective<br>provisions for the basic<br>facilities of sanitation,<br>drinking water, safety of<br>equipments or machinery<br>etc. The following will be<br>done in the site |

# Table 9-1: Impacts and mitigation measures

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft ELA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| <ul> <li>by comprising with the safety procedures, norms and guidelines (as applicable) as outlined in the National Building Code of India, Bureau of Indian Standards.</li> <li>Provide adequate number of decentralized latrines and urinals</li> <li>Providing Septic tank along with Soak pit arrangement</li> <li>Providing First Aid room, conducting frequent health checkups to labor and conducting frequent fires. Firefighting extinguishers and buckets of sand will be provided in the construction materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material construction materials</li> <li>Use of farfetched construction materials</li> <li>Use of locally available</li> </ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption construction materials may lead to cover       Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to cover       • Use of construction materials may lead to cover   | By complying with    |
|---|---|----------------------|
| 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials       Use of farfetched construction materials       • Use of locally available construction materials   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to cover       •       •  | by comprying with    |
| <ul> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials consumption</li> <li>Building materials construction materials</li> <li>Building materials construction materials</li> <li>Building materials construction materials</li> </ul>   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials may had to cover the cov | the safety           |
| 6.       Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservation       Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Construction<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Bu | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to outer the outer than the locally available construction materials than the local than than than than than than than than   | procedures, norms    |
| 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials       Use of farfetched construction materials       • Use of locally available construction materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may had to over the construction materials          | and guidelines (as   |
| 6.       Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservation       Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Buildi | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may had to out on the start of the st          | applicable) as       |
| 6.       Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservation       Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Building<br>Code of India,<br>Bureau of Indian<br>Standards.         9       National Building<br>Code of India,<br>Bureau of Indian<br>Standards.         9       Provide adequate<br>number of<br>decentralized<br>latrines and urinals         9       Provide adequate<br>number of<br>decentralized<br>latrines and urinals         9       Provide adequate<br>number of<br>decentralized<br>latrines and urinals         9       Providing Septic<br>tank along with Soak<br>pit arrangement         9       Providing First Aid<br>room, conducting<br>frequent health<br>checkups to labor<br>and conducting free<br>medical camps         9       Providing safety<br>helmet, Gloves,<br>Jacket & Boots         9       Providing measures<br>to prevent fires.<br>Firefighting<br>extinguishers and<br>buckets of sand will<br>be provided in the<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to over the server.       • Use of the server.  | outlined in the      |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction<br>materials• Use of<br>farfetched<br>construction<br>materials• Use of<br>farfetched<br>construction<br>materials   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to over the server.       • Use of the server.  | National Building    |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction materialsStandards.9Provide<br>adequate<br>number<br>of<br>decentralized<br>latrines and urinalsProviding<br>Septic<br>tank along with Soak<br>pit arrangement<br>Providing First Aid<br>room, conducting<br>frequent<br>health<br>checkups to labor<br>and conducting free<br>medical camps<br>Providing safety<br>helmet, Gloves,<br>Jacket & Boots6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction<br>materialsUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction<br>materials  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials materials   | Code of India,       |
| <ul> <li>Standards.</li> <li>Provide adequate<br/>number of<br/>decentralized<br/>latrines and urinals</li> <li>Providing Septic<br/>tank along with Soak<br/>pit arrangement</li> <li>Providing First Aid<br/>room, conducting<br/>frequent health<br/>checkups to labor<br/>and conducting free<br/>medical camps</li> <li>Providing masures<br/>to prevent fires.<br/>Firefighting<br/>extinguishers and<br/>buckets of sand will<br/>be provide in the<br/>construction materials</li> <li>Building<br/>materials<br/>resource<br/>conservation</li> <li>Building</li> <li>Building<br/>materials</li> <li>Building<br/>materials</li> <li>Building<br/>materials</li> <li>Provide adequate<br/>number of<br/>decentralized<br/>latrines and urinals</li> <li>Providing Septic<br/>tank along with Soak<br/>pit arrangement</li> <li>Providing First Aid<br/>room, conducting<br/>frequent health<br/>checkups to labor<br/>and conducting free<br/>medical camps</li> <li>Providing measures<br/>to prevent fires.<br/>Firefighting<br/>extinguishers and<br/>buckets of sand will<br/>be provided in the<br/>construction materials</li> <li>Use of locally<br/>available<br/>construction<br/>materials.</li> </ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials       V P         6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building material construction materials       Use of farfetched construction materials       • Use of construction materials  | Bureau of Indian     |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction materialsVProvide adequate<br>number of<br>decentralized<br>lattines and urinalsVProviding<br>Septic<br>tank along with Soak<br>pit arrangementVProviding<br>resource<br>requent<br>health<br>checkups to labor<br>and conducting free<br>medical campsVProviding<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materialsVProvide<br>adequate<br>number<br>of<br>decentralized<br>lattines and urinals0.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>construction materialsUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction materialsUse of<br>safety<br>helmet, Gloves,<br>Jacket & Boots  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials       V P         6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials       V P   | Standards.           |
| <ul> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material consumption</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building conservation</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building conservation</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building conservation</li> <li>Building conservation</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building conservation</li> <li>Building conservation</li></ul>   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials may lead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials materials may lead to over   | Provide adequate     |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>construction<br>materialsUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction<br>materialsUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction<br>materials• Use of<br>lacentralized<br>latrines and urinals<br>• Providing<br>resource<br>conservation  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials may bead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may bead to over   | number of            |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of<br>farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of<br>construction<br>materials<br>construction<br>materials  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials  | decentralized        |
| <ul> <li>A minute time time time time time time time ti</li></ul>   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials materials materials materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials materials  | latrines and urinals |
| <ul> <li>A second s</li></ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials materials       • Use of construction materials   | Providing Sentic     |
| <ul> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material consumption</li> <li>Building Material consumption</li> <li>Building Construction materials</li> <li>Building Material construction materials</li> </ul>   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials may lead to over the source construction materials may lead to over the source construction materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials materials materials   | tank along with Soak |
| <ul> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material consumption</li> </ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials may head to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may head to over   | nit arrangement      |
| <ul> <li>6. Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Building Material construction materials</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> </ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials may lead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over   | Providing First Aid  |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials         6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building material construction materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials   | room conducting      |
| <ul> <li>6. Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building materials</li> <li>Building consumption</li> <li>Building materials</li> </ul>   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption construction materials may lead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over   | frequent health      |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption conservation       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials materials materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials materials  | ilequeili ileaitii   |
| <ul> <li>and conducting free medical camps</li> <li>✓ Providing safety helmet, Gloves, Jacket &amp; Boots</li> <li>✓ Providing measures to prevent fires. Firefighting extinguishers and buckets of sand will be provided in the construction site</li> <li>6. Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material construction materials than the locally available construction materials.</li> </ul>   | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption construction materials may lead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over   | checkups to labor    |
| <ul> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building material consumption the locally available construction materials than the locally available construction materials</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> </ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption construction materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials   | and conducting free  |
| <ul> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material consumption conservation</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> <li>Building Material construction materials</li> <li>Building materials resource conservation</li> </ul>  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption       Use of farfetched construction materials than the locally available construction materials may lead to over       • U   | medical camps        |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials are to over       Use of farfetched construction materials are to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials are to over  | Providing safety     |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material consumption construction materials materials       Use of farfetched of farfetched for construction materials materials       • Use of farfetched for construction materials         materials       material consumption       • Use of farfetched for construction materials       • Use of farfetched for construction materials         materials       • Use of farfetched for construction materials       • Use of farfetched for construction materials   | helmet, Gloves,      |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials may lead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over   | Jacket & Boots       |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials may lead to over       Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials may lead to over   |                      |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials are construction materials materials may lead to over       • Use of farfetched over   | Providing measures   |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials materials       Use of farfetched construction materials are construction materials materials       • Use of farfetched construction materials materials   | to prevent fires.    |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.       Building materials resource conservation       Building Material construction materials materials materials construction materials materials may lead to over       • Use of farfetched construction materials materials materials may lead to over  | Firefighting         |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.     Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservation     Building<br>Material<br>consumption     Use of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials<br>may lead to over     •  | extinguishers and    |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials<br>may lead to overb<br>b<br>construction   | buckets of sand will |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials.  | 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials<br>may<br>may<br>leadconstruction<br>materials<br>materials   | be provided in the   |
| 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials• Use of locally<br>available<br>construction<br>materials   | 6.Building<br>materials<br>resource<br>conservationBuilding<br>Material<br>consumptionUse of farfetched<br>construction materials<br>than the locally available<br>construction materials<br>may<br>lead• U<br>tar  | construction site    |
| materials<br>resource<br>conservationMaterial<br>consumptionconstruction<br>than the locally available<br>construction<br>materialsavailable<br>construction<br>materials   | materials<br>resource<br>conservationMaterial<br>consumptionconstruction<br>than the locally available<br>constructionar<br>constructionmaxlead<br>constructionto<br>cover  | Use of locally       |
| resource consumption than the locally available construction materials.   | resource consumption than the locally available conservation materials max lead to over   | available            |
| conservation construction materials materials.  | conservation construction materials m   | construction         |
|   | may lead to over  | materials.           |
| may lead to over  |   |                      |
| exploitation of natural   | exploitation of natural   |                      |
| resources & increase in   | resources & increase in   |                      |
| carbon footnrint  | carbon footprint.   |                      |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# Table 9-2: Budgetary Allocation for EMP during Mining

| Year    | Description   | Cost (Rs) |
|---------|---|-----------|
|         | Display board in site; Monitoring-Air, Water, Noise; Dust Supression -Water |           |
|         | sprinkling by own water tankers; Vehicle Tyres Wash; Green Belt             |           |
| 5 Years | Development; Road Development & Management; Occupational Health             | 81,41,002 |
|         | And Safety; Solid Waste Management; Strom Water; Renewable Energy,          |           |
|         | CCTV Installation, Salary for mines manager and blaster                     |           |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# 10 Summary & Conclusion

This chapter summarizes the overall justification for implementation of the project and explains how the potential impacts are mitigated.

#### 10.1 INTRODUCTION

M/s. S.R. Enterprises site is a cluster of six mining projects. The individual mine lease area is 2.00.00Ha of Rough Stone Quarry located at S.F.Nos. 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk in Krishnagiri District.

#### **10.2 PROJECT OVERVIEW**

#### Table 10-1: Project Overview

| S. No. | Description                 | Details  |
|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1      | Project Name                | Rough Stone Quarry-2.00.00 ha  |
| 2      | Proponent                   | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  |
| 3      | Mining Lease Area Extent    | 2.00.00 Ha   |
| 4      | Location                    | S.F.Nos. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram<br>Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri<br>District. |
| 5      | Latitude                    | 12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N   |
| 6      | Longitude                   | 77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56' 34.69"E   |
| 7      | Topography                  | Hilly terrain  |
| 8      | Site Elevation above MSL    | The altitude of the area is Maximum 868m and Minimum 858m above MSL.                       |
| 9      | Topo sheet No.              | 57- H/14   |
| 10     | Minerals of Mine            | Rough Stone Quarry   |
| 11     | Proposed production of Mine | 4,06,265 m <sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 38,740m <sup>3</sup> of Topsoil                 |
| 12     | Ultimate depth of Mining    | 42 m (10m AGL + 32m BGL)   |
| 13     | Method of Mining            | Open cast, mechanized mining   |
| 14     | Water demand                | 2.0 KLD  |
| 15     | Source of water             | Water will be supplied through tankers supply  |

| Project           | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| Project Location  | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 16 | Manpower  | 18 Nos.  |
|----|---|--|
| 17 | Mining Lease  | Precise Area Communication Letter<br>received from District Collector,<br>Krishnagiri vide letter Rc.No.546/2022<br>Mines dated 04.05.2022   |
| 18 | Mining Plan Approval                                  | Mining Plan was approved by the Deputy<br>Director, Dept. of Geology & Mining,<br>Krishnagiri vide letter Rc.No.546/2022<br>Mines dated 29.06.2022   |
| 19 | Production details                                    | Geological resources: 691920m <sup>3</sup> Proposed<br>year wise recoverable reserves: 406265m <sup>3</sup><br>of Rough Stone  |
| 20 | Boundary Fencing                                      | 10 m barrier all along the boundary Fencing will be provided.  |
| 21 | Disposal of overburden                                | The entire lease area covers 2.0m of<br>Topsoil and estimated quantity of Topsoil<br>is 38740m <sup>3</sup> . Topsoil formation will be<br>removed and transported to the needy<br>users, only after obtaining permission and<br>paying necessary seigniorage fees to the<br>Government.   |
| 22 | Ground water  | The quarry operation is proposed up to a<br>depth of 42m (10m AGL + 32m BGL).<br>The water table is below 70m from ground<br>level which is observed from the nearby<br>open wells and bore wells. Hence the<br>ground water will not be affected in any<br>manner due to the quarrying operation<br>during the entire lease period. |
| 23 | Habitations within 300m radius<br>of the Project Site | There is no Habitation within 300m radius of the project site.   |
| 24 | Drinking water  | Water will be supplied through tankers<br>from Venkatesapuram village which is<br>0.82 Km – West of the proposed project<br>site.  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### **10.3 JUSTIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT**

The said project plays a significant role in the domestic as well as infrastructural market. To achieve a huge infrastructure being envisaged by Government of India, particularly in road and housing sector, there is a need for basic building materials. The rough stone form the primary building material.

Rough stone is one of the most valuable natural building materials. Aggregates are mostly used for building roads and footpaths Aggregates – stone used for its strong physical properties – crushed and sorted into various sizes for use in concrete, coated with bitumen to make asphalt or used 'dry' as bulk fill in construction. Mostly used in roads, concrete and building products. Aggregates represent about 98% of quarry output, most of which is used in road construction, maintenance and repair. Much of this goes to the production of asphalt; the remainder is used 'dry' without the addition of other materials to provide a sturdy base for roads.

Since Krishnagiri, a city known for its small-scale industries and also the soil in the area near project site is not very fertile making it unsuitable for carrying out agricultural activities. The topography near the lease area is barren dry lands showing only less chance for crop growth and development of vegetation. In addition to that, geological resources of rough stone is abundant in the lease area which is evident from the mine activities carried out in the nearby sites.

| S. No. | Potential Impact                                | Mitigation Measure                        |
|--------|---|---|
| 1      | The main impact in the air environment is       | Proper mitigation measures like water     |
|        | dust emission during various mining             | sprinkling on haul roads will be adopted  |
|        | activities such drilling, blasting, excavation, | to control dust emissions.                |
|        | loading and transportation. The dust            | To control the emissions regular          |
|        | emission may affect the quality of ambient      | preventive maintenance of equipments      |
|        | air in the and around the mine area. The        | will be carried out on contractual basis. |
|        | increased emission may cause respiratory &      | Plantation will be carried out along      |
|        | Cardiovascular problems in human health         | approach roads & mine premises.           |

#### Table 10-2: Anticipate Impacts & Appropriate Mitigation Measures

| Project Proponent M/s. S.R. Enterprises                                   | Report                              |
|---|-------------------------------------|
|   | 1.1.1                               |
| roject Location   venkatesapuram village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri D |                                     |
| 2 Waste water will be generated due to mining No w                        | ste water will be generated from    |
| activity and from other domestic activities. the m                        | ning activity of minor minerals as  |
| These may contaminate the ground water the pr                             | pject only involves lifting of over |
| leading to ground water. The mining burde                                 | from mine site. The wastewater      |
| activity may affect the ground water table gener                          | ted from the domestic activity will |
| be d  | sposed off safely through the       |
| prope   | ed septic tank.                     |
| Minir   | g will not intersect ground water   |
| table.  | Hence the water table will not be   |
| impao   | ed due to the proposed project      |
| 3 Noise will be generated in the mine area Period                         | ical monitoring of noise will be    |
| during various mining activities such as done.                            |                                     |
| blasting, drilling, excavation. During No                                 | other equipments except the         |
| transportation of the mined out mineral, transp                           | ortation vehicles and Excavator     |
| there may be noise generation due to the (as &                            | when required) for loading will be  |
| movement of vehicles. This may impact the allow                           | d at site.                          |
| health condition of the workers by creating Noise                         | generated by these equipments       |
| headache shall  | e intermittent and does not cause   |
| much  | adverse impact.                     |
| Planta  | tion will be carried out along      |
| appro   | ch roads. The plantation            |
| minin   | izes propagation of noise and also  |
| arrest  | lust.                               |
| 4 Solid waste will be generated from the The                              | 00% recovery is achieved by         |
| mining activity as there will be refuse after extract                     | ing the entire mineable reserve.    |
| 95% recovery and also generation of Hence                                 | there will be no refuse generation  |
| domestic waste due t  | the mining activity. Apart from     |
| that, a   | very meagre quantity of domestic    |
| waste   | will be generated in the project,   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

|   |   | which will be handed over to the local    |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
|   |   | body on daily basis.                      |  |  |
| 5 | During mining activities, there are chances | Dust masks will be provided as            |  |  |
|   | of workers getting health issues or may be  | additional personal protection            |  |  |
|   | prone to accidents                          | equipment to the workers working in the   |  |  |
|   |   | dust prone area.                          |  |  |
|   |   | Periodical trainings will be conducted to |  |  |
|   |   | create awareness about the occupational   |  |  |
|   |   | health hazards due to activities like     |  |  |
|   |   | blasting, drilling, excavation            |  |  |
|   |   | Workers health related problem if any,    |  |  |
|   |   | will be properly addressed.               |  |  |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

### 11 Disclosure of Consultant

#### 11.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents the details of the environmental consultants engaged, their background and the brief description of the key personnel involved in the project. Specific studies on the mining project have been carried out by engaging engineers/experts of Ecotech Labs Pvt. Ltd, Chennai. Ecotech Labs Pvt. Ltd (ETL), Chennai is NABET accredited consultancy organization. ETL is equipped with in-house, spacious laboratory, accredited by NABL (National Accreditation Board for Testing & Calibration Laboratories), Department of Science & Technology, Government of India and MoEF & CC.

#### 11.2 ECO TECH LABS PVT. LTD – ENVIRONMENT CONSULTANT

Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd is a multi-disciplinary testing and research laboratory in India. Eco Tech labs provides high quality services in environmental consultancy, engineering solution, chemical and microbiological laboratory analysis of food, water and environment (Air, Water, Soil) with highest accuracy.

#### The Quality policy

•We at Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd. engaged in providing Environmental consulting services and we are committed to strengthen our capabilities in all areas of our operations in line with customer requirements & expectations, applicable legal requirements & stakeholders expectations.

•We are committed to establish and maintain Quality Management System (QMS) for continual improvement in processes and Services

•We are committed to provide customized solutions in realistic, time bound and cost effective to achieve highest degree of customer satisfaction and Environmental improvement.

•We shall establish, maintain & periodically review our documented management systems, objectives and performance in consultation with our employees and prevailing best practices.

• Effective communication of organization's policy and objectives to employees and seeking feedbacks from all our employees and concerned stakeholders for continual improvement.

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

# Declaration by Experts contributing to the EIA of Rough Stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s.S.R. Enterprises at S.F.No. 86 (Part-3), Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State

I, hereby, certify that I was a part of the EIA team in the following capacity that developed the above EIA.

EIA Coordinator: Dr. A. Dhamodharan

lowin

Dr. A. DHAMODHARAN (NABET APPROVED EIA COORDINATOR) NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147 Environmental Consultant Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd Plot No.48A, 2nd Mein Roed, Ram Nagar South Extn. Pellikaranal, Chennal - 600 100.

Signature:

Period of involvement: 01.12.2021 to Till now

Contact information: M/s. Ecotech Labs Pvt Ltd.,

No. 48, 2<sup>nd</sup> Main road, Ram Nagar South Extension,

Pallikaranai

| S. No. | Funct<br>ional<br>areas | Name of the<br>experts   | Involvement<br>(period and task)  | Signature and<br>date |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 1      | AP                      | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Selection of Baseline Monitoring stations based<br/>on the wind direction</li> <li>Interpretation of Baseline data by comparing it<br/>with standards prescribed by CPCB against the<br/>type of area</li> <li>Identification of sources of air pollution and<br/>suggesting mitigation measures to minimize<br/>impact</li> <li>Period: December 2021 – Till now</li> </ol> | r.H.f.                |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| - |     |                |   |             |
|---|-----|----------------|---|-------------|
|   |     |                | 1. Selection of baseline Monitoring Locations for   |             |
| 2 | WP  | Dr. A.         | Ground water analysis and also identifying          | A-Drawlin   |
|   |     | Dhamodharan    | 2 Interpretation of baseline data collected         | 1 - 1       |
|   |     |                | 3. Identification of impacts based on the baseline  |             |
|   |     |                | study conducted and also to the ground water and    |             |
|   |     |                | nearby surface water due to the proposed project    |             |
|   |     |                | A Preparation of suitable and appropriate           |             |
|   |     |                | mitigation plan                                     |             |
|   |     |                | Period: December 2021 – Till now                    |             |
|   |     |                | 1 Identification of nature of solid waste generated |             |
|   |     |                | 2 Categorization of the generated waste and         | A-D Jamilin |
| 3 | SHW | Dr. A.         | 2. Categorization of the generated waste and        | <i></i>     |
|   |     | Dhamodharan    | based on the per capita basis Identification of     |             |
|   |     |                | impacts of SHW on Environment                       |             |
|   |     |                | 3 Suggesting suitable mitigation measures by        |             |
|   |     |                | recommending appropriate disposal method for        |             |
|   |     |                | each category of waste generated                    |             |
|   |     |                | 4. Top soil and refuse management                   |             |
|   |     |                | Period: December 2021 – Till now                    |             |
|   |     |                | 1. Primary data collection through the census       |             |
| 4 | SE  | Mr. S. Pandian | questionnaire                                       | Blanky      |
| - | 02  |                | 2. Obtaining Secondary data from authenticated      | 5000        |
|   |     |                | sources and incorporating the same in EIA report.   |             |
|   |     |                | 3. Impact assessment & proposing suitable           |             |
|   |     |                | mitigation plan                                     |             |
|   |     |                | 4. CSR budget allocation by discussing with the     |             |
|   |     |                | local body and allotting the same for need based    |             |
|   |     |                | activity.   |             |
|   |     |                | Period: December 2021 – Till now                    |             |
|   |     |                | *Involves Public Hearing                            |             |
|   |     |                | 1. Primary data collection through field survey     |             |
| 5 | EB  | Dr. A.         | and sheet observation for ecology and biodiversity  | A-D mailin  |
|   |     | Dhamodharan    | 2. Secondary Collection through various             | 10 01 10-   |
|   |     |                | authenticated sources                               |             |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 6 | HG  | Dr. T. P.<br>Natesan     | <ul> <li>3. Prediction of anticipated impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation measures.</li> <li><i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i></li> <li>1. Study of existing surface drainage arrangements in the core and buffer zone, impact due to mining on these drainage courses and suggestion of mitigative measures</li> <li>2. Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program.</li> <li>Storm water management through garland drainage system.</li> <li><i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i></li> </ul> |            |
|---|-----|--------------------------|--|------------|
| 7 | GEO | Dr. T. P.<br>Natesan     | <ol> <li>Field survey for assessing regional and local geology, aquifer distribution, Determination of groundwater use pattern, development of rainwater harvesting program.</li> <li><i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i></li> </ol>   | (m) coli-+ |
| 8 | SC  | Dr. A.<br>Dhamodharan    | <ol> <li>Interpretation of baseline report</li> <li>Identification of possible impacts on soil,<br/>prediction of soil conservation and suggesting<br/>suitable mitigation measures.</li> <li>Period: December 2021 – Till now</li> </ol>  | A-D) Junin |
| 9 | AQ  | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Collection of Meteorological data for the baseline study period</li> <li>Plotting wind rose plot and thereby selecting the monitoring locations based on the wind pattern</li> <li>Estimation of sources of air emissions and air quality modeling is done</li> <li>Interpretation of the results obtained</li> <li>Identification of the impacts and suggesting suitable mitigation measures.</li> <li>Period: December 2021 – Till now</li> </ol>   | c.H.F.     |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

| 10 | NV | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Selection of monitoring locations</li> <li>Interpretation of baseline data</li> <li>Prediction of impacts due to noise pollution<br/>and suggestion of appropriate mitigation<br/>measures</li> <li>Period: May 2022 – Till now</li> </ol>   | - Klail |
|----|----|--------------------------|---|---------|
| 11 | LU | Dr. T. P.<br>Natesan     | <ol> <li>Collection of Remote sensing satellite data to<br/>study the land use pattern.</li> <li>Primary field survey and limited field<br/>verification for land categorization in the study<br/>area</li> <li>Preparation of Land use map using Satellite<br/>data for 10km radius around the project site.</li> <li><i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i></li> </ol> |         |
| 12 | RH | Mrs. K.<br>Vijayalakshmi | <ol> <li>Identification of the risk</li> <li>Interpreting consequence contours</li> <li>Suggesting risk mitigation measures</li> <li><i>Period: December 2021 – Till now</i></li> </ol>   | Kleik   |

| Project                 | Rough stone Quarry- 2.00.00 Ha by M/s. S.R. Enterprises        | Draft EIA |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Proponent       | M/s. S.R. Enterprises  | Report    |
| <b>Project Location</b> | Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District |           |

#### Declaration by the Head of the accredited consultant organization/ authorized person

I, Dr. A. Dhamodharan, hereby, confirm that the above-mentioned experts prepared the EIA report of mining project at Survey Numbers. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District. I also confirm that the consultant organization shall be fully accountable for any misleading information mentioned in this statement.

A-D) Jamilin 600 100

Signature:

Name: Dr. A. Dhamodharan
Designation: Managing Director
Name of the EIA consultant organization: M/s. Eco Tech Labs Private Limited
NABET Certificate No. & Issue Date: NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147

# **ANNEXURE-I**

# STANDARD TOR CONDITIONS WITH ADDITIONAL TOR POINTS



#### THIRU.DEEPAK S. BILGI, I.F.S. MEMBER SECRETARY

.S. सल्यमेव व

#### STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY-TAMILNADU

3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Panagal Maaligai, No.1, Jeenis Road, Saidapet, Chennai - 600 015. Phone No. 044-24359973 Fax No. 044-24359975

#### TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR)

#### Lr No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.9499/ToR- 1309 /2022 Dated:07.12.2022.

To

M/s S.R.Enterprises D.No: 25, Shanthi Nagar, West 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri - 635 109

#### Sir / Madam,

- Sub: SEIAA, Tamil Nadu Terms of Reference with public Hearing (ToR) for the Proposed Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Ha in S. F No. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. S.R. Enterprises - under project category – "B1" and Schedule S.No.1 (a) – ToR issued along with Public Hearing - preparation of EIA report – Regarding.
- Ref: 1. Online proposal No.SIA/TN/MIN/402134/2022, dated 10.10.2022.
  - 2. Your application submitted for Terms of Reference dated: 12.10.2022.
  - 3. Minutes of the 331st SEAC meeting held on 24.11.2022.
  - 4. Minutes of the 576th Authority meeting held on 07.12.2022.

Kindly refer to your proposal submitted to the State Level Impact Assessment Authority for Terms of Reference.

The proponent, M/s. S.R. Enterprises has submitted application for Terms of Reference (ToR) in Form-I, Pre- Feasibility report for the Proposed Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Ha in S. F No. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu.

MEMBER SECRETARY

#### Discussion by SEAC and the Remarks:-

Proposed Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Ha in S. F No. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. S.R. Enterprises- for Terms of Reference.

#### (SIA/TN/MIN/402134/2022dated 10.10.2022)

The proposal was placed in this 331<sup>st</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 24.11.2022. The details of the project furnished by the proponent are available in the website (parivesh.nic.in).

#### The SEAC noted the following:

- The project proponent M/s. S.R. Enterprises has applied for Terms of Reference for the Proposed Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Ha in S. F No. 86 (Part-3) Venkatesapuram Village of Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu
- The project/activity is covered under Category "B1" of Item 1(a) "Mining of Mineral Projects" of the Schedule to the EIA Notification, 2006.
- 3. As per mining plan, the lease period is 5 years. The mining plan is for the period of five years & the production should not exceed 4,25,695 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone and 38,740m<sup>3</sup> of Top soil (Gravel) with an ultimate depth of mining 47m (2 m Topsoil (Gravel) + 45m Rough stone) [Surface ground level is 10m and surface ground level below depth is 37m]. The annual peak production 112935 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone (1<sup>st</sup>year).

Based on the presentation made by the proponent, SEAC recommended to grant of Terms of Reference (TOR) with Public Hearing subject to the following TORs in addition to the standard terms of reference for EIA study for non-coal mining projects and details issued by the MOEF & CC to be included in EIA/EMP Report:

- The Proponent shall submit the 'Production & Development Plan' prepared as a part of the approved Mining Plan, duly signed by the concerned QP & approved by the concerned AD (Geology & Mining) during the EIA appraisal.
- 2. The Proponent shall develop greenbelt and construct the garland drainage around the boundary of the proposed quarry and the photographs/videography indicating the same shall be shown during the EIA appraisal.
- 3. The proponent shall furnish a revised EMP budget for entire life of proposed mining. i.e. for 10 years of mining lease period as per the format prescribed.
- The Proponent shall carry out Bio diversity study through reputed Institution and the same shall be included in EIA Report.

MEMBER SECRET

- 5. The structures within the radius of (i) 100 m, (ii) 300 m, and (iii) 500 m shall be enumerated with details such as dwelling houses with number of occupants, whether it belongs to the owner (or) not, places of worship, industries, factories, sheds, etc.
- 6. In the case of proposed lease in an existing (or old) quarry where the benches are not formed (or) partially formed as per the approved Mining Plan, the Project Proponent (PP) shall prepare and submit an 'Action Plan' for carrying out the realignment of the benches in the proposed quarry lease after it is approved by the concerned Asst. Director of Geology and Mining during the time of appraisal for obtaining the EC.
- 7. The Proponent shall submit a conceptual 'Slope Stability Assessment' for the proposed quarry during the appraisal while obtaining the EC, as the depth of the proposed working is extended beyond 30 m below ground level.
- 8. The Proponent shall furnish the affidavit stating that the blasting operation in the proposed quarry is carried out by the statutory competent person as per the MMR 1961 such as blaster, mining mate, mine foreman, II/I Class mines manager appointed by the proponent.
- 9. The Proponent shall present a conceptual design for carrying out only controlled blasting operation involving line drilling and muffle blasting in the proposed quarry such that the blast-induced ground vibrations are controlled as well as no fly rock travel beyond 30 m from the blast site.
- 10. The EIA Coordinators shall obtain and furnish the details of quarry/quarries operated by the proponent in the past, either in the same location or elsewhere in the State with video and photographic evidences.
- 11. If the proponent has already carried out the mining activity in the proposed mining lease area after 15.01.2016, then the proponent shall furnish the following details from AD/DD, mines,
  - a. What was the period of the operation and stoppage of the earlier mines with last work permit issued by the AD/DD mines?
  - b. Quantity of minerals mined out.
  - c. Highest production achieved in any one year
  - d. Detail of approved depth of mining.
  - e. Actual depth of the mining achieved earlier.
  - f. Name of the person already mined in that leases area.
  - g. If EC and CTO already obtained, the copy of the same shall be submitted.
  - Whether the mining was carried out as per the approved mine plan (or EC if issued) with stipulated benches.

MEMBER SECRETARY

- 12. All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High Resolution Imagery/Topo sheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology, lithology and geology of the mining lease area should be provided. Such an Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).
- 13. The Proponent shall carry out Drone video survey covering the cluster, Green belt, fencing etc.,
- 14. The proponent shall furnish photographs of adequate fencing, green belt along the periphery including replantation of existing trees & safety distance between the adjacent quarries & water bodies nearby provided as per the approved mining plan.
- 15. The Project Proponent shall provide the details of mineral reserves and mineable reserves, planned production capacity, proposed working methodology with justifications, the anticipated impacts of the mining operations on the surrounding environment and the remedial measures for the same.
- 16. The Project Proponent shall provide the Organization chart indicating the appointment of various statutory officials and other competent persons to be appointed as per the provisions of Mines Act'1952 and the MMR, 1961 for carrying out the quarrying operations scientifically and systematically in order to ensure safety and to protect the environment.
- 17. The Project Proponent shall conduct the hydro-geological study considering the contour map of the water table detailing the number of ground water pumping & open wells, and surface water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc. within 1 km (radius) along with the collected water level data for both monsoon and non-monsoon seasons from the PWD/TWAD so as to assess the impacts on the wells due to mining activity. Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided.
- 18. The Pproponent shall furnish the baseline data for the environmental and ecological parameters with regard to surface water/ground water quality, air quality, soil quality & flora/fauna including traffic/vehicular movement study.
- 19. The Proponent shall carry out the Cumulative impact study due to mining operations carried out in the quarry specifically with reference to the specific environment in terms of soil health, biodiversity, air pollution, water pollution, climate change and flood control & health impacts. Accordingly, the Environment Management plan should be prepared keeping the concerned quarry and the surrounding habitations in the mind.
- 20. Rain water harvesting management with recharging details along with water balance (both

MEMBER SECRETARY

monsoon & non-monsoon) be submitted.

- 21. Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- 22. Details of the land for storage of Overburden/Waste Dumps (or) Rejects outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be provided.
- 23. Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' (or) the Project areas which attracts the court restrictions for mining operations, should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the TNPCB (or) Dept. of Geology and Mining should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.
- 24. Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.
- 25. Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated.
- 26. A tree survey study shall be carried out (nos., name of the species, age, diameter etc.,) both within the mining lease applied area & 300m buffer zone and its management during mining activity.
- 27. A detailed mine closure plan for the proposed project shall be included in EIA/EMP report which should be site-specific.
- 28. Public Hearing points raised and commitments of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project and to be submitted to SEIAA/SEAC with regard to the Office Memorandum of MoEF& CC accordingly.
- The Public hearing advertisement shall be published in one major National daily and one most circulated vernacular daily.
- 30. The Proponent shall produce/display the EIA report, Executive summery and other related information with respect to public hearing in Tamil Language also.
- 31. As a part of the study of flora and fauna around the vicinity of the proposed site, the EIA coordinator shall strive to educate the local students on the importance of preserving local flora and fauna by involving them in the study, wherever possible.

MEMBER SECRETARY

- 32. The purpose of Green belt around the project is to capture the fugitive emissions, carbon sequestration and to attenuate the noise generated, in addition to improving the aesthetics. A wide range of indigenous plant species should be planted as given in the Appendix-I in consultation with the DFO, State Agriculture University. The plant species with dense/moderate canopy of native origin should be chosen. Species of small/medium/tall trees alternating with shrubs should be planted in a mixed manner.
- 33. Taller/one year old Saplings raised in appropriate size of bags, preferably eco-friendly bags should be planted as per the advice of local forest authorities/botanist/Horticulturist with regard to site specific choices. The proponent shall earmark the greenbelt area with GPS coordinates all along the boundary of the project site with at least 3 meters wide and in between blocks in an organized manner
- 34. A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report for the complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till the end of the lease period.
- 35. A Risk Assessment and management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report for the complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till the end of the lease period.
- 36. Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.
- 37. Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 38. The Socio-economic studies should be carried out within a 5 km buffer zone from the mining activity. Measures of socio-economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.
- 39. Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 40. Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.
- 41. If any quarrying operations were carried out in the proposed quarrying site for which now the EC is sought, the Project Proponent shall furnish the detailed compliance to EC conditions



given in the previous EC with the site photographs which shall duly be certified by MoEF&CC, Regional Office, Chennai (or) the concerned DEE/TNPCB.

- 42. The Proponent shall prepare the EMP for the entire life of mine and also furnish the sworn affidavit stating to abide the EMP for the entire life of mine.
- 43. Concealing any factual information or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this Terms of Conditions besides attracting penal provisions in the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

| No | Scientific Name          | Tamil Name         | Tamil Name                 |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 1  | Aegle marmelos           | Vilvam             | ஷிஸ்வம்                    |
| 2  | Adonaanthera pavonina    | Manjadi            | மத்சாடி.<br>ஆனைக்குன்றிமணி |
| 3  | Albizia lebbeck          | Vaagai             | வாகை                       |
| 4  | Albizia amara            | Usil               | உசல்                       |
| 5  | Bauhinia purpurea        | Mantharai          | மந்தாரை                    |
| 6  | Bauhinia racemosa        | Aathi              | ஆத்தி                      |
| 7  | Bauhinia tomentos        | Iruvathi           | இருவாத்தி                  |
| 8  | Buchanania axillaris     | Kattuma            | காட்டுமா                   |
| 9  | Borassus flabellifer     | Panai              | பலை                        |
| 10 | Butea monosperma         | Murukkamaram       | முருக்கமரம்                |
| 11 | Bobax ceiba              | Ilavu, Sevvilavu   | இலவு                       |
| 12 | Calophyllum inophyllum   | Punnai             | புன்னை                     |
| 13 | Cassia fistula           | Sarakondrai        | சரக்கொன்றை                 |
| 14 | Cassia roxburghii        | Sengondrai         | செங்கொன்றை                 |
| 15 | Chloroxylon sweitenia    | Purasamaram        | upa wid                    |
| 16 | Cochlospermum religiosum | Kongu, Manjalllavu | கோங்கு, மஞ்சள்<br>இலவு     |
| 17 | Cordia dichotoma         | Naruvuli           | தகுவுளி.                   |
| 18 | Creteva adansoni         | Mavalingum         | மாவிலங்கம்                 |
| 19 | Dillenia indica          | Uva, Uzha          | 2_71                       |
| 20 | Dillenia pentagyna       | SiruUva, Sitruzha  | சிறு உசா                   |
| 21 | Diospyro sebenum         | Karungali          | கருங்காலி                  |
| 22 | Diospyro schloroxylon    | Vaganai            | ഡ <b>ന ക</b> .ഞംഞा         |
| 23 | Ficus amplissima         | Kalltchi           | නබා මුෂ්ජි                 |
| 24 | Hibiscus tiliacoou       | Aatrupoovarasu     | ஆற்றப்புரைக                |
| 25 | Hardwickia binata        | Aacha              | ्यु के मन                  |
| 26 | Holoptelia integrifolia  | Aayili             | ஆயா மரம், ஆயிலி            |
| 27 | Lannea coromandelica     | Odhiam             | குதியம்                    |
| 28 | Lagerstroemia speciosa   | Poo Marudhu        | பு மருது                   |
| 29 | Lepisanthus tetraphylla  | Neikottaimaram     | நெப் கொட்டடை மரம்          |
| 30 | Limonia acidissima       | Vila maram         | ബിനെ ഗാൾ                   |
| 31 | Litsea glutinos          | Pisinpattai        | அரம்பா. பிசின்பட்டை        |
| 32 | Madhuca longifolia       | Illuppai           | இலுப்பை                    |
| 33 | Manilkara hexandra       | UlakkaiPaalai      | உலக்கை பாலை                |
| 34 | Minusops clengi          | Magizhamaram       | மகிழமரம்                   |
| 35 | Mitragyna parvifolia     | Kadambu            | TLOU                       |
| 36 | Morinda pubescens        | Nuna               | Plenu                      |
| 37 | Morinda citrifolia       | Vellai Nuna        | வெள்ளை நுணா                |
| 38 | Phoenix sylvestre        | Eachai             | RASIDGID                   |
| 39 | Pongamia pinnat          | Pungam             | LINAGO                     |

Appendix -I List of Native Trees Suggested for Planting

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

| 100 | -  |                  | and the second |
|-----|--|------------------|--|
| 40  | Premna mollissima  | Munnai           | முன்னன   |
| 41  | Premna serratifolia  | Narumunai        | 519 முன்னை   |
| 42  | Premna tomentosa   | Malaipoovarasu   | மலை புவாக  |
| 43  | Prosopis cinerea   | Vanni maram      | வன்னி மரம்   |
| 44  | Pterocarpus marsupium  | Vengai           | வேங்கை   |
| 45  | Pterospermum canescens   | Vennangu, Tada   | வெண்ணாங்கு   |
| 46  | Pterospermum xylocarpum  | Polavu           | ปลงญ   |
| 47  | Puthranjiwa roxburghi  | Karipala         | கறிபாலா  |
| 48  | Salvadora persica  | Ugaa Maram       | லாகா மரம்  |
| 49  | Sapindus emarginatus   | Manipungan,      | மணிப்புங்கள்   |
|     | A state of the second stat | Soapukai         | சோப்புக்காய்   |
| 50  | Saraca asoca   | Asoca            | அசோகா  |
| 51  | Streblus asper   | Piray maram      | பிராய் மரம்  |
| 52  | Strychnos nuxvomic   | Yetti            | எட்டி  |
| 53  | Strychnos potatorum  | Therthang Kottai | தேத்தான் தொட்டை  |
| 54  | Syzygium cumini  | Naval            | தாவல்  |
| 55  | Terminalia belleric  | Thandri          | தான்றி   |
| 56  | Terminalia arjuna  | Ven marudhu      | வெண் மருது   |
| 57  | Toona ciliate  | Sandhana vembu   | சந்தன வேம்பு   |
| 58  | Thespesia populnea   | Puvarasu         | பூவரசு   |
| 59  | Walsuratrifoliata  | valsura          | வால்கரா  |
| 60  | Wrightia tinctoria   | Veppalai         | வெப்பாலை   |
| 61  | Pithecellobium dulce   | Kodukkapuli      | கொடுக்காப்புளி   |
|     |  |                  |  |

# Discussion by SEIAA and the Remarks:-

The subject was placed in 576<sup>th</sup> authority meeting held on 07.12.2022. The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in 331<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting held on 24.11.2022. After detailed discussions, the Authority accepts the recommendation of SEAC and decided to grant **Terms of Reference (ToR)** along with Public Hearing under cluster for undertaking the combined Environment Impact Assessment Study and preparation of separate Environment Management Plan subject to the conditions as recommended by SEAC & normal conditions in addition to the conditions in 'Annexure B' of this minute.

 Restricting the ultimate depth of mining up to 42m BGL (2m Topsoil + 40m Rough stone) and quantity of 4,06,265 cu.m of Rough Stone are permitted for mining over a period of five years considering the environmental impacts due to the mining, safety precautionary measures of the working personnel and following the principle of the sustainable mining.

MEMBER SECRE

#### Annexure 'B'

- Cluster Management Committee, which must include all the proponents in the cluster as members including the existing as well as proposed quarry.
- 2. The members must coordinate among themselves for the effective implementation of EMP as committed including Green Belt Development, Water sprinkling, tree plantation, blasting etc.,
- The List of members of the committee formed shall be submitted to AD/Mines before the execution of mining lease and the same shall be updated every year to the AD/Mines.
- 4. Detailed Operational Plan must be submitted which must include the blasting frequency with respect to the nearby quarry situated in the cluster, the usage of haul roads by the individual quarry in the form of route map and network.
- 5. The committee shall deliberate on risk management plan pertaining to the cluster in a holistic manner especially during natural calamities like intense rain and the mitigation measures considering the inundation of the cluster and evacuation plan.
- 6. The Cluster Management Committee shall form Environmental Policy to practice sustainable mining in a scientific and systematic manner in accordance with the law. The role played by the committee in implementing the environmental policy devised shall be given in detail.
- 7. The committee shall furnish action plan regarding the restoration strategy with respect to the individual quarry falling under the cluster in a holistic manner.
- 8. The committee shall furnish the Emergency Management plan within the cluster.
- The committee shall deliberate on the health of the workers/staff involved in the mining as well as the health of the public.
- 10. Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued from reputed research institutions on the following
  - a) Soil health & bio-diversity.
  - b) Climate change leading to Droughts, Floods etc.
  - c) Pollution leading to release of Greenhouse gases (GHG), rise in Temperature, & Livelihood of the local people.
  - d) Possibilities of water contamination and impact on aquatic ecosystem health.
  - e) Agriculture, Forestry & Traditional practices.
  - f) Hydrothermal/Geothermal effect due to destruction in the Environment.
  - g) Bio-geochemical processes and its foot prints including environmental stress.
  - h) Sediment geochemistry in the surface streams.

MEMBER SECRETARY

- 11. The committee shall furnish an action plan to achieve sustainable development goals with reference to water, sanitation & safety.
- 12. The committee shall furnish the fire safety and evacuation plan in the case of fire accidents.
- The measures taken to control Noise, Air, Water, Dust Control and steps adopted to efficiently utilise the Energy shall be furnished.
- 14. Details of type of vegetations including no. of trees & shrubs within the proposed mining area and. If so, transplantation of such vegetations all along the boundary of the proposed mining area shall committed mentioned in EMP.
- 15. Impact on surrounding agricultural fields around the proposed mining Area.
- 16. Erosion Control measures.
- 17. Impact on soil flora & vegetation around the project site.
- 18. Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area on the nearby Villages, Water-bodies/ Rivers, & any ecological fragile areas.
- 19. The project proponent shall furnish VAO certificate with reference to 300m radius regard to approved habitations, schools, Archaeological sites, Structures, railway lines, roads, water bodies such as streams, odai, vaari, canal, channel, river, lake pond, tank etc.
- 20. As per the MoEF& CC office memorandum F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated: 30.09.2020 and 20.10.2020 the proponent shall address the concerns raised during the public consultation and all the activities proposed shall be part of the Environment Management Plan.
- 21. The Environmental Impact Assessment shall study in detail the carbon emission and also suggest the measures to mitigate carbon emission including development of carbon sinks and temperature reduction including control of other emission and climate mitigation activities.
- 22. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study the biodiversity, the natural ecosystem, the soil micro flora, fauna and soil seed banks and suggest measures to maintain the natural Ecosystem.
- Action should specifically suggest for sustainable management of the area and restoration of ecosystem for flow of goods and services.
- The project proponent shall study impact on fish habitats and the food WEB/ food chain in the water body and Reservoir.
- 25. The Terms of Reference should specifically study impact on soil health, soil erosion, the soil physical, chemical components and microbial components.
- 26. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on forest, vegetation, endemic, vulnerable and endangered indigenous flora and fauna.

MEMBER SECRETARY

- 27. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on standing trees and the existing trees should be numbered and action suggested for protection.
- The Environmental Impact Assessment should study on wetlands, water bodies, rivers streams, lakes and farmer sites.
- 29. The Environmental Impact Assessment should hold detailed study on EMP with budget for Green belt development and mine closure plan including disaster management plan.
- 30. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on climate change, temperature rise, pollution and above soil & below soil carbon stock.
- 31. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on protected areas, Reserve Forests, National Parks, Corridors and Wildlife pathways, near project site.
- 32. The project proponent shall study and furnish the impact of project on plantations in adjoining patta lands, Horticulture, Agriculture and livestock.
- 33. The project proponent shall study and furnish the details on potential fragmentation impact of natural environment, by the activities.
- 34. The project proponent shall study and furnish the impact on aquatic plants and animals in water bodies and possible scars on the landscape, damages to nearby caves, heritage site, and archaeological sites possible land form changes visual and aesthetic impacts.
- 35. The project proponent shall study and furnish the possible pollution due to plastic and microplastic on the environment. The ecological risks and impacts of plastic & microplastics on aquatic environment and fresh water systems due to activities, contemplated during mining may be investigated and reported.
- 36. The project proponent shall detailed study on impact of mining on Reserve forests free ranging wildlife.
- 37. Hydro-geological study considering the contour map of the water table detailing the number of ground water pumping & open wells, and surface water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc. within 1 km (radius) so as to assess the impacts on the nearby waterbodies due to mining activity. Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided, covering the entire mine lease period.
- 38. To furnish disaster management plan and disaster mitigation measures in regard to all aspects to avoid/reduce vulnerability to hazards & to cope with disaster/untoward accidents in & around the proposed mine lease area due to the proposed method of mining activity & its

MEMBER SECRETARY

related activities covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

- To furnish risk assessment and management plan including anticipated vulnerabilities during operational and post operational phases of Mining.
- 40. Detailed Mine Closure Plan covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.
- 41. Detailed Environment Management Plan along with adaptation, mitigation & remedial strategies covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

#### A. STANDARD TERMS OF REFERENCE

- Year-wise production details since 1994 should be given, clearly stating the highest production achieved in any one year prior to 1994. It may also be categorically informed whether there had been any increase in production after the EIA Notification 1994 came into force, w.r.t. the highest production achieved prior to 1994.
- A copy of the document in support of the fact that the Proponent is the rightful lessee of the mine should be given.
- 3) All documents including approved mine plan, EIA and Public Hearing should be compatible with one another in terms of the mine lease area, production levels, waste generation and its management, mining technology etc. and should be in the name of the lessee.
- 4) All corner coordinates of the mine lease area, superimposed on a High Resolution Imagery/ topo sheet, topographic sheet, geomorphology and geology of the area should be provided. Such an Imagery of the proposed area should clearly show the land use and other ecological features of the study area (core and buffer zone).
- 5) Information should be provided in Survey of India Topo sheet in 1:50,000 scale indicating geological map of the area, geomorphology of land forms of the area, existing minerals and mining history of the area, important water bodies, streams and rivers and soil characteristics.
- 6) Details about the land proposed for mining activities should be given with information as to whether mining conforms to the land use policy of the State; land diversion for mining should have approval from State land use board or the concerned authority.
- 7) It should be clearly stated whether the proponent Company has a well laid down Environment Policy approved by its Board of Directors? If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA Report with description of the prescribed operating process/procedures to bring into focus any infringement/deviation/violation of the environmental or forest norms/ conditions? The



hierarchical system or administrative order of the Company to deal with the environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the EC conditions may also be given. The system of reporting of non-compliances / violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the Company and/or shareholders or stakeholders at large, may also be detailed in the EIA Report.

- 8) Issues relating to Mine Safety, including subsidence study in case of underground mining and slope study in case of open cast mining, blasting study etc. should be detailed. The proposed safeguard measures in each case should also be provided.
- 9) The study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the mine lease from lease periphery and the data contained in the EIA such as waste generation etc. should be for the life of the mine / lease period.
- 10) Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated. Land use plan of the mine lease area should be prepared to encompass preoperational, operational and post operational phases and submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
- 11) Details of the land for any Over Burden Dumps outside the mine lease, such as extent of land area, distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R issues, if any, should be given.
- 12) Certificate from the Competent Authority in the State Forest Department should be provided, confirming the involvement of forest land, if any, in the project area. In the event of any contrary claim by the Project Proponent regarding the status of forests, the site may be inspected by the State Forest Department along with the Regional Office of the Ministry to ascertain the status of forests, based on which, the Certificate in this regard as mentioned above be issued. In all such cases, it would be desirable for representative of the State Forest Department to assist the Expert Appraisal Committees.
- 13) Status of forestry clearance for the broken up area and virgin forestland involved in the Project including deposition of Net Present Value (NPV) and Compensatory Afforestation (CA) should be indicated. A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished.
- Implementation status of recognition of forest rights under the Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 should be indicated.
- 15) The vegetation in the RF / PF areas in the study area, with necessary details, should be given.
- 16) A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the Mining Project on wildlife of the study area and details furnished. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

protected area and accordingly, detailed mitigative measures required, should be worked out with cost implications and submitted.

- 17) Location of National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Wildlife Corridors, Ramsar site Tiger/ Elephant Reserves/(existing as well as proposed), if any, within 10 km of the mine lease should be clearly indicated, supported by a location map duly authenticated by Chief Wildlife Warden. Necessary clearance, as may be applicable to such projects due to proximity of the ecologically sensitive areas as mentioned above, should be obtained from the Standing Committee of National Board of Wildlife and copy furnished.
- 18) A detailed biological study of the study area [core zone and buffer zone (10 km radius of the periphery of the mine lease)] shall be carried out. Details of flora and fauna, endangered, endemic and RET Species duly authenticated, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished based on such primary field survey, clearly indicating the Schedule of the fauna present. In case of any scheduled-I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan along with budgetary provisions for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.
- 19) Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' or the Project areas likely to come under the 'Aravali Range', (attracting court restrictions for mining operations), should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the SPCB or State Mining Department should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.
- 20) Similarly, for Coastal Projects, a CRZ map duly authenticated by one of the authorized agencies demarcating LTL. HTL, CRZ area, location of the mine lease with respect to CRZ, coastal features such as mangroves, if any, should be furnished. (Note: The Mining Projects falling under CRZ would also need to obtain approval of the concerned Coastal Zone Management Authority).
- 21) R&R Plan/compensation details for the Project Affected People (PAP) should be furnished. While preparing the R&R Plan, the relevant State/National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs /STs and other weaker sections of the society in the study area, a need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirements, and action programmes prepared and submitted accordingly, integrating the sectoral programmes of line departments of the State Government. It may be clearly brought out whether the village(s) located in the mine lease area will be shifted or not. The issues relating to



shifting of village(s) including their R&R and socio-economic aspects should be discussed in the Report.

- 22) One season (non-monsoon) [i.e. March-May (Summer Season); October-December (post monsoon season); December-February (winter season)]primary baseline data on ambient air quality as per CPCB Notification of 2009, water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna shall be collected and the AAQ and other data so compiled presented date-wise in the EIA and EMP Report. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be such as to represent whole of the study area and justified keeping in view the pre-dominant downwind direction and location of sensitive receptors. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the mine lease in the pre-dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given.
- 23) Air quality modeling should be carried out for prediction of impact of the project on the air quality of the area. It should also take into account the impact of movement of Vehicles for transportation of mineral. The details of the model used and input parameters used for modeling should be provided. The air quality contours may be shown on a location map clearly indicating the location of the site, location of sensitive receptors, if any, and the habitation. The wind roses showing pre-dominant wind direction may also be indicated on the map.
- 24) The water requirement for the Project, its availability and source should be furnished. A detailed water balance should also be provided. Fresh water requirement for the Project should be indicated.
- 25) Necessary clearance from the Competent Authority for drawl of requisite quantity of water for the Project should be provided.
- 26) Description of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the Project should be given. Details of rainwater harvesting proposed in the Project, if any, should be provided.
- 27) Impact of the Project on the water quality, both surface and groundwater, should be assessed and necessary safeguard measures, if any required, should be provided.
- 28) Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided. In case the working will intersect groundwater table, a detailed Hydro Geological Study should be undertaken and Report furnished. The Report inter-alia, shall include details of the aquifers present and impact of mining activities on these aquifers. Necessary permission from Central Ground Water Authority for working below ground water and for pumping of ground water should also be obtained and copy furnished.

MEMBER SECRETARY

- 29) Details of any stream, seasonal or otherwise, passing through the lease area and modification / diversion proposed, if any, and the impact of the same on the hydrology should be brought out.
- 30) Information on site elevation, working depth, groundwater table etc. Should be provided both in AMSL and bgl. A schematic diagram may also be provided for the same.
- 31) A time bound Progressive Greenbelt Development Plan shall be prepared in a tabular form (indicating the linear and quantitative coverage, plant species and time frame) and submitted, keeping in mind, the same will have to be executed up front on commencement of the Project. Phase-wise plan of plantation and compensatory afforestation should be charted clearly indicating the area to be covered under plantation and the species to be planted. The details of plantation already done should be given. The plant species selected for green belt should have greater ecological value and should be of good utility value to the local population with emphasis on local and native species and the species which are tolerant to pollution.
- 32) Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the Project should be indicated. Projected increase in truck traffic as a result of the Project in the present road network (including those outside the Project area) should be worked out, indicating whether it is capable of handling the incremental load. Arrangement for improving the infrastructure, if contemplated (including action to be taken by other agencies such as State Government) should be covered. Project Proponent shall conduct Impact of Transportation study as per Indian Road Congress Guidelines.
- 33) Details of the onsite shelter and facilities to be provided to the mine workers should be included in the EIA Report.
- 34) Conceptual post mining land use and Reclamation and Restoration of mined out areas (with plans and with adequate number of sections) should be given in the EIA report.
- 35) Occupational Health impacts of the Project should be anticipated and the proposed preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details of pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP. The project specific occupational health mitigation measures with required facilities proposed in the mining area may be detailed.
- 36) Public health implications of the Project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocations.
- 37) Measures of socio economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by the Project Proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frames for implementation.

MEMBER SECRETARY

- 38) Detailed Environmental Management Plan (EMP) to mitigate the environmental impacts which, should inter-alia include the impacts of change of land use, loss of agricultural and grazing land, if any, occupational health impacts besides other impacts specific to the proposed Project.
- 39) Public Hearing points raised and commitment of the Project Proponent on the same along with time bound Action Plan with budgetary provisions to implement the same should be provided and also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP Report of the Project.
- 40) Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction /order passed by any Court of Law against the Project should be given.
- 41) The cost of the Project (capital cost and recurring cost) as well as the cost towards implementation of EMP should be clearly spelt out.
- 42) A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared and included in the EIA/EMP Report.
- 43) Benefits of the Project if the Project is implemented should be spelt out. The benefits of the Project shall clearly indicate environmental, social, economic, employment potential, etc.
- 44) Besides the above, the below mentioned general points are also to be followed:
  - a) Executive Summary of the EIA/EMP Report
  - b) All documents to be properly referenced with index and continuous page numbering.
  - c) Where data are presented in the Report especially in Tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
  - Project Proponent shall enclose all the analysis/testing reports of water, air, soil, noise etc. using the MoEF&CC/NABL accredited laboratories. All the original analysis/testing reports should be available during appraisal of the Project.
  - e) Where the documents provided are in a language other than English, an English translation should be provided.
  - f) The Questionnaire for environmental appraisal of mining projects as devised earlier by the Ministry shall also be filled and submitted.
  - g) While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the Proponents and instructions for the Consultants issued by MoEF&CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA. II(I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry, should be followed.
  - h) Changes, if any made in the basic scope and project parameters (as submitted in Form-I and the PFR for securing the TOR) should be brought to the attention of MoEF&CC with reasons for such changes and permission should be sought, as the ToR may also have to be altered. Post Public Hearing changes in structure and content of the draft EIA/EMP (other than modifications arising out of the P.H. process) will entail conducting the PH

MEMBER SECRETARY SEIAA-TN

again with the revised documentation.

- As per the circular no. J-11011/618/2010-IA. II(I) dated 30.5.2012, certified report of the status of compliance of the conditions stipulated in the Environment Clearance for the existing operations of the project, should be obtained from the Regional Office of Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, as may be applicable.
- j) The EIA report should also include (i) surface plan of the area indicating contours of main topographic features, drainage and mining area, (ii) geological maps and sections and (iii) sections of the mine pit and external dumps, if any, clearly showing the land features of the adjoining area.

# In addition to the above, the following shall be furnished:-

# The Executive summary of the EIA/EMP report in about 8-10 pages should be prepared incorporating the information on following points:

- 1. Project name and location (Village, District, State, Industrial Estate (if applicable).
- Process description in brief, specifically indicating the gaseous emission, liquid effluent and solid and hazardous wastes.
- 3. Measures for mitigating the impact on the environment and mode of discharge or disposal.
- 4. Capital cost of the project, estimated time of completion.
- The proponent shall furnish the contour map of the water table detailing the number of wells located around the site and impacts on the wells due to mining activity.
- 6. A detailed study of the lithology of the mining lease area shall be furnished.
- 7. Details of village map, "A" register and FMB sketch shall be furnished.
- Detailed mining closure plan for the proposed project approved by the Geology of Mining department shall be shall be submitted along with EIA report.
- 9. Obtain a letter /certificate from the Assistant Director of Geology and Mining standing that there is no other Minerals/resources like sand in the quarrying area within the approved depth of mining and below depth of mining and the same shall be furnished in the EIA report.
- EIA report should strictly follow the Environmental Impact Assessment Guidance Manual for Mining of Minerals published February 2010.
- 11. Detail plan on rehabilitation and reclamation carried out for the stabilization and restoration of the mined areas.
- 12. The EIA study report shall include the surrounding mining activity, if any.
- 13. Modeling study for Air, Water and noise shall be carried out in this field and incremental increase

MEMBER SECRETARY

168

in the above study shall be substantiated with mitigation measures.

- 14. A study on the geological resources available shall be carried out and reported.
- 15. A specific study on agriculture & livelihood shall be carried out and reported.
- 16. Impact of soil erosion, soil physical chemical and biological property changes may be assumed.
- 17. Site selected for the project Nature of land Agricultural (single/double crop), barren, Govt./ private land, status of is acquisition, nearby (in 2-3 km.) water body, population, with in 10km other industries, forest, eco-sensitive zones, accessibility, (note - in case of industrial estate this information may not be necessary)
- 18. Baseline environmental data air quality, surface and ground water quality, soil characteristic, flora and fauna, socio-economic condition of the nearby population
- 19. Identification of hazards in handling, processing and storage of hazardous material and safety system provided to mitigate the risk.
- 20. Likely impact of the project on air, water, land, flora-fauna and nearby population
- 21. Emergency preparedness plan in case of natural or in plant emergencies
- 22. Issues raised during public hearing (if applicable) and response given
- 23. CER plan with proposed expenditure.
- 24. Occupational Health Measures
- 25. Post project monitoring plan
- 26. The project proponent shall carry out detailed hydro geological study through intuitions/NABET Accredited agencies.
- 27. A detailed report on the green belt development already undertaken is to be furnished and also submit the proposal for green belt activities.
- 28. The proponent shall propose the suitable control measure to control the fugitive emissions during the operations of the mines.
- 29. A specific study should include impact on flora & fauna, disturbance to migratory pattern of animals.
- 30. Reserve funds should be earmarked for proper closure plan.
- 31. A detailed plan on plastic waste management shall be furnished. Further, the proponent should strictly comply with, Tamil Nadu Government Order (Ms) No.84 Environment and forests (EC.2) Department dated 25.06.2018 regarding ban on one time use and throw away plastics irrespective of thickness with effect from 01.01.2019 under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. In this connection, the project proponent has to furnish the action plan.

MEMBER SECRETARY

# Besides the above, the below mentioned general points should also be followed:-

- a. A note confirming compliance of the TOR, with cross referencing of the relevant sections / pages of the EIA report should be provided.
- b. All documents may be properly referenced with index, page numbers and continuous page numbering.
- c. Where data are presented in the report especially in tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
- d. While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the proponents and instructions for the consultants issued by MoEF & CC vide O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA.II (I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry should also be followed.
- e. The consultants involved in the preparation of EIA/EMP report after accreditation with Quality Council of India (QCI)/National Accreditation Board of Education and Training (NABET) would need to include a certificate in this regard in the EIA/EMP reports prepared by them and data provided by other organization/Laboratories including their status of approvals etc. In this regard circular no F. No.J -11013/77/2004-IA-II(I) dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2009, 18<sup>th</sup> March 2010, 28<sup>th</sup> May 2010, 28<sup>th</sup> June 2010, 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010 & 30<sup>th</sup> September 2011 posted on the Ministry's website http://www.moef.nic.in/ may be referred.
  - After preparing the EIA (as per the generic structure prescribed in Appendix-III of the EIA Notification, 2006) covering the above mentioned points, the proponent willtake further necessary action for obtaining environmental clearance in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification, 2006.
  - The final EIA report shall be submitted to the SEIAA, Tamil Nadu for obtaining Environmental Clearance.
  - The TORs with public hearing prescribed shall be <u>valid for a period of three years</u> from the date of issue, for submission of the EIA/EMP report as per OMNo.J-11013/41/2006-IA-II(I) (part) dated 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

MEMBER SECRET

170

Copy to:

- The Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Environment & Forests Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai - 9
- The Chairman, Central Pollution Control Board, Parivesh Bhavan, CBD Cum-Office Complex, East Arjun Nagar, New Delhi 110032.
- 3. The Member Secretary, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, 76, Mount Salai, Guindy, Chennai-600 032.
- The APCCF (C), Regional Office, MoEF & CC (SZ), 34, HEPC Building, 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Cathedral Garden Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai -34.
- Monitoring Cell, IA Division, Ministry of Environment, Forests & CC, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi 110003

15 Protes

- 6. The District Collector, Krishnagiri District.
- 7. Stock File.
#### **COMPLIANCE OF TOR CONDITIONS**

Point wise compliance of ToR points issued by SEIAA, TN vide letter No. SEIAA-TN/F. No. 9499/SEAC/ToR-1309/2022 Dated: 07.12.2022 for Mining of Minor Minerals in the Mine of Proposed Rough stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.00.0 Ha at S.F.No.86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State.

| ToR  | Description                        | Desmonse                                 | Page Ref. in |
|------|------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| Ref. | Description                        | Kesponse                                 | EIA Report   |
| 1    | Year-wise production details since | This is a existing mining project of     |              |
|      | 1994 should be given, clearly      | Proposed Rough stone quarry.             | Chapter-2    |
|      | stating the highest production     |  |              |
|      | achieved in any one year prior to  | Precise Area Communication Letter        | Table No.2.9 |
|      | 1994. It may also be categorically | received from Deputy Director,           | Page No.48   |
|      | informed whether there had been    | Department of Geology and Mining,        |              |
|      | any increase in production after   | Krishnagiri vide letter                  |              |
|      | the EIA Notification, 1994 came    | Rc.No.546/2022 Mines dated               |              |
|      | into force w.r.t. the highest      | 04.05.2022.                              |              |
|      | production achieved prior to 1994. |  |              |
|      |                                    | Mining Plan was approved by the          |              |
|      |                                    | Deputy Director, Dept. of Geology &      |              |
|      |                                    | Mining, Krishnagiri vide letter          |              |
|      |                                    | Rc.No.546/2022 Mines dated               |              |
|      |                                    | 29.06.2022.                              |              |
|      |                                    |  |              |
|      |                                    | As area is being exploited for the first |              |
|      |                                    | time hence Year-wise production          |              |
|      |                                    | details since 1994 and before 1994 are   |              |
|      |                                    | not relevant or applicable.              |              |
|      |                                    |  |              |
|      |                                    | Proposed Production of Rough Stone       |              |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough           | stone Quarry   | Over an Extent of 2.0         | 00.00 Ha    |
|----|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
|    |                                       | for five years | is proposed in the            |             |
|    |                                       | EIA/EMP in cl  | hapter no-2.                  |             |
|    |                                       | Year           | Rough stone (m <sup>3</sup> ) |             |
|    |                                       | Ι              | 112935                        |             |
|    |                                       | II             | 74930                         |             |
|    |                                       | III            | 63180                         |             |
|    |                                       | IV             | 95110                         |             |
|    |                                       | V              | 60110                         |             |
|    |                                       | Total          | 406265                        |             |
| 2. | A copy of document in support of      | The mine lease | area of 2.00.0 hectare        |             |
|    | the fact that the Proponent is the    | in Venkatesapu | ram Village for Rough         |             |
|    | rightful lessee of the mine should be | stone quarry   | approved by Deputy            | Annexure -  |
|    | given.                                | Director, Ge   | ology & Mining,               | III         |
|    |                                       | Krishnagiri    | vide letter                   |             |
|    |                                       | Rc.No.546/202  | 2 Mines dated                 |             |
|    |                                       | 04.05.2022     |                               |             |
| 3  | All documents including approved      | All the docur  | ments i.e., Mining            |             |
|    | mine plan, EIA and public hearing     | Plan, EIA a    | nd public hearing are         |             |
|    | should be compatible with one         | compatible wit | h each other in terms         |             |
|    | another in terms of the mine lease    | of ML area pr  | oduction levels, waste        |             |
|    | area, production levels, waste        | generation and | its management and            |             |
|    | generation and its management         | mining techno  | logy are compatible           |             |
|    | and mining technology and should      | with one anoth | er.                           | Annexure-VI |
|    | be in the name of the lessee.         | The mining p   | lan of the project site       | Chapter- II |
|    |                                       | has been subm  | itted to The Assistant        |             |
|    |                                       | Director, Dep  | ot. of Geology &              |             |
|    |                                       | Mining, Krishn | agiri.                        |             |
| 4  | All corner coordinates of the mine    | Details of coo | rdinates of all corners       | Chapter-2,  |
|    | lease area, superimposed on a         | of proposed n  | nining lease area have        | Fig no. 2.2 |
|    | High-Resolution                       | been incorpor  | rated in mining plan          |             |
|    | 1                                     |                |                               | <u> </u>    |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough  | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0  | 0.00 Ha                                   |
|----|--|---|---|
|    | Imagery/toposheet should be<br>provided. Such an Imagery of the<br>proposed area should clearly show<br>the land use and other ecological<br>features of the study area (core and<br>buffer zone)  | and Chapter 2 of EIA/ EMP Report.   | Page. no. 38                              |
| 5  | Information should be provided in<br>Survey of India Topo sheet in<br>1:50,000 scale indicating geological<br>map of the area, important water<br>bodies, streams and rivers and soil<br>characteristics   | Topo map as attached in Chapter-2   | Chapter-2,<br>Fig no. 2.4<br>Page. no. 40 |
| 6. | Details about the land proposed for<br>mining activities should be given<br>with information as to whether<br>conforms to the land use policy of<br>the state; land diversion for mining<br>should have approval from State<br>land use board or the concerned<br>authority  | Details about the land proposed for mining activities given in Chapter 2. | Chapter-2<br>Page 42                      |
| 7  | It should be clearly stated whether<br>the proponent company has a well<br>laid down Environment Policy<br>approved by its Board of Directors?<br>If so, it may be spelt out in the EIA<br>report with description of the<br>prescribed operating<br>process/procedures to bring into<br>focus any infringement/deviation/<br>violation of the environmental or<br>forest norms/ conditions? | Noted.  |   |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough          | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0      | 0.00 Ha       |
|----|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|
|    | The hierarchical system              |   |               |
|    | or administrative order of the       |   |               |
|    | Company to deal with the             |   |               |
|    | environmental issues and for         |   |               |
|    | ensuring compliance with the EC      |   |               |
|    | conditions may also be given. The    |   |               |
|    | system of reporting of non-          |   |               |
|    | compliances / violations of          |   |               |
|    | environmental norms to the Board     |   |               |
|    | of Directors of the Company          |   |               |
|    | and/or shareholders or               |   |               |
|    | stakeholders at large may also be    |   |               |
|    | detailed in the EIA report.          |   |               |
| 8  | Issues relating to Mine              | It is an open cast mining project.      | Chapter-2,    |
|    | Safety, including subsidence study   | Blasting details are incorporated in    |               |
|    | in case of underground mining        | chapter 2.                              | Page no.52    |
|    | and slope study in case of open      |   |               |
|    | cast mining, blasting study etc.     |   |               |
|    | should be detailed. The proposed     |   |               |
|    | safeguard measures in each case      |   |               |
|    | should also be provided.             |   |               |
| 9  | The study area will comprise of      | Study area comprises of 15 km           | Chapter-2     |
|    | 15 km zone around the mine lease     | radius from the mine lease              |               |
|    | from lease periphery and the data    | boundary. Key Plan showing core         | Fig no. 2.5   |
|    | contained in the EIA such as         | zone (ML area).                         |               |
|    | waste generation etc should be for   |   | Page no.41    |
|    | the life of the mine / lease period. |   |               |
| 10 | Land use of the study                | Land Use of the study area              | Chapter-2,    |
|    | area delineating forest area,        | delineating forest area, agricultural   | Table no. 2.4 |
|    | agricultural land, grazing land,     | land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary, | Page no.42    |
|    | wildlife sanctuary, national park,   | National Park, migratory routes of      | 5             |
|    |                                      |   |               |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough            | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0       | 0.00 Ha    |
|----|--|--|------------|
|    | migratory routes of fauna, water       | fauna, water bodies, human               |            |
|    | bodies, human settlements and          | settlement and other ecological          |            |
|    | other ecological features should be    | features has been prepared and           |            |
|    | indicated.                             | incorporated in Chapter-3 of EIA/        |            |
|    | Land use plan of the mine lease        | EMP Report.                              |            |
|    | area should be prepared to             |  |            |
|    | encompass preoperational,              |  |            |
|    | operational and post operational       | There is no wildlife sanctuary and       |            |
|    | phases and submitted. Impact, if       | national park, migratory routes of       |            |
|    | any, of change of land use             | fauna in the study area.                 |            |
|    | should be given.                       |  |            |
| 11 | Details of the land for any Over       | The entire lease area covers 2.0m of     | Chapter-2, |
|    | Burden Dumps outside the mine          | Topsoil and estimated quantity of        |            |
|    | lease, such as extent of land area,    | Topsoil is 38740m <sup>3</sup> . Topsoil | Page no.50 |
|    | distance from mine lease, its land     | formation will be removed and            |            |
|    | use, R&R issues, if any, should be     | transported to the needy users, only     |            |
|    | given.                                 | after obtaining permission and paying    |            |
|    |  | necessary seigniorage fees to the        |            |
|    |  | Government.                              |            |
| 12 | A Certificate from the Competent       | Complied.                                |            |
|    | Authority in the State Forest          | The proposed mining lease area is not    |            |
|    | Department should be provided,         | falling under forest land.               |            |
|    | confirming the involvement of          |  |            |
|    | forest land, if any, in the project    |  |            |
|    | area.                                  |  |            |
|    | In the event of any contrary claim     |  |            |
|    | by the Project Proponent regarding     |  |            |
|    | the status of forests, the site may be |  |            |
|    | inspected by the State Forest          |  |            |
|    | Department along with the              |  |            |
|    | Regional Office of the Ministry to     |  |            |
| L  |  |  |            |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough   | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0  | 00.00 Ha               |
|----|---|---|------------------------|
|    | ascertain the status of forests,<br>based on which, the Certificate in<br>this regard as mentioned above be<br>issued. In all such cases, it would<br>be desirable for representative of<br>the State Forest Department to<br>assist the Expert Appraisal   |   |                        |
| 13 | Committees.<br>Status of forestry clearance for the<br>broken-up area and virgin<br>forestland involved in the Project<br>including deposition of net present<br>value (NPV) and compensatory<br>afforestation (CA) should be<br>indicated. A copy of the forestry<br>clearance should also be furnished. | The proposed mining lease area is not falling under forest land.                  |                        |
| 14 | Implementationstatusofrecognition of forest rightsundertheScheduledTribesandotherTraditionalForestDwellers(Recognition of Forest Rights)Act,2006 should be indicated.   | Not Applicable.<br>There is no involvement of forest land<br>in the project area. |                        |
| 15 | The vegetation in the RF / PF<br>areas in the study area, with<br>necessary details, should be given.   | Details of flora have been discussed<br>in Chapter-3 of the EIA/EMP<br>Report.    | Chapter-3<br>Pg No. 93 |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough           | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.00.00 Ha |  |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 16 | A study shall be got done to          | There is a relatively poor sighting of    |  |
|    | ascertain the impact of the Mining    | animals in the core and buffer areas      |  |
|    | Project on wildlife of the study      | of the mining lease.                      |  |
|    | area and details furnished. Impact    | No significant impact is anticipated      |  |
|    | of the project on the wildlife in the |   |  |
|    | surrounding and any other             |   |  |
|    | protected area and accordingly        |   |  |
|    | detailed mitigative measures          |   |  |
|    | required, should be worked out        |   |  |
|    | with cost implications and            |   |  |
|    | submitted.                            |   |  |
| 17 | Location of National Parks,           | There is no National Parks,               |  |
|    | Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves,      | Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves,          |  |
|    | Wildlife Corridors, Tiger/Elephant    | Wildlife Corridors, Tiger / Elephant      |  |
|    | Reserves/ (existing as well as        | Reserves / Critically Polluted areas      |  |
|    | proposed), if any, within 10km of     | within 10 km radius of the mining         |  |
|    | the mine lease should be clearly      | lease area.                               |  |
|    | indicated, supported by a location    |   |  |
|    | map duly authenticated by Chief       |   |  |
|    | Wildlife Warden. Necessary            |   |  |
|    | clearance, as may be applicable to    |   |  |
|    | such projects due to proximity of     |   |  |
|    | the ecologically sensitive areas as   |   |  |
|    | mentioned above, should be            |   |  |
|    | obtained from the Standing            |   |  |
|    | Committee of National Board of        |   |  |
|    | Wildlife and copy furnished           |   |  |
| 18 | A detailed biological study of the    | Details biological study (flora &         |  |
|    | study area [core zone and buffer      | fauna) within 10 km radius of the         |  |
|    | zone (10 km radius of the             | project site have been incorporated       |  |
|    | periphery of the mine lease)] shall   | in Chapter-3 of EIA/ EMP Report.          |  |
|    | 1                                     |   |  |

| be carried out. Details of flora and<br>fauna, duly authenticated,<br>separately for core and buffer zone<br>should be furnished based on such<br>primary field survey, clearly<br>indicating the Schedule of the<br>fauna present. In case of any<br>scheduled-I fauna found in the<br>study area, the necessary plan for<br>their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.       The proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted<br>area.         19       Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravafi Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be considered.       There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>ratius of the project site.         20       Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated by       There is no Coastal Zone within 15km |    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough          | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0     | 0.00 Ha     |
|---|----|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| fauna, duly authenticated,<br>separately for core and buffer zone<br>should be furnished based on such<br>primary field survey, clearly<br>indicating the Schedule of the<br>fauna present. In case of any<br>scheduled-I fauna found in the<br>study area, the necessary plan for<br>their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.No flora & fauna,<br>if any in the lease hold area.Pg No. 10119Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km                             |    | be carried out. Details of flora and |  | Chapter – 3 |
| separately for core and buffer zone<br>should be furnished based on such<br>primary field survey, clearly<br>indicating the Schedule of the<br>fauna present. In case of any<br>scheduled-I fauna found in the<br>study area, the necessary plan for<br>their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.The proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted<br>area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.   |    | fauna, duly authenticated,           | No flora & fauna listed in scheduled   | Pg No. 101  |
| should be furnished based on such<br>primary field survey, clearly<br>indicating the Schedule of the<br>fauna present. In case of any<br>scheduled-1 fauna found in the<br>study area, the necessary plan for<br>their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.there is no need of conservation<br>plan. However, all care will be<br>taken for protection of flora & fauna,<br>if any in the lease hold area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.   |    | separately for core and buffer zone  | I have been found in study area so     |             |
| <ul> <li>primary field survey, clearly<br/>indicating the Schedule of the<br/>fauna present. In case of any<br/>scheduled-I fauna found in the<br/>study area, the necessary plan for<br/>their conservation should be<br/>prepared in consultation with State<br/>Forest and Wildlife Department<br/>and details furnished. Necessary<br/>allocation of funds for<br/>implementing the same should be<br/>made as part of the project cost.</li> <li>Proximity to Areas declared<br/>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br/>Project areas likely to come under<br/>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br/>court restrictions for mining<br/>operations), should also be<br/>indicated and where so required,<br/>clearance certifications from the<br/>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br/>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br/>Should be secured and furnished to<br/>the effect that the proposed mining<br/>activities could be considered.</li> <li>Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br/>CRZ map duly authenticated by</li> </ul>   |    | should be furnished based on such    | there is no need of conservation       |             |
| <ul> <li>indicating the Schedule of the<br/>fauna present. In case of any<br/>scheduled-I fauna found in the<br/>study area, the necessary plan for<br/>their conservation should be<br/>prepared in consultation with State<br/>Forest and Wildlife Department<br/>and details furnished. Necessary<br/>allocation of funds for<br/>implementing the same should be<br/>made as part of the project cost.</li> <li>Proximity to Areas declared<br/>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br/>Project areas likely to come under<br/>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br/>court restrictions for mining<br/>operations), should also be<br/>indicated and where so required,<br/>clearance certifications from the<br/>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br/>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br/>Should be secured and furnished to<br/>the effect that the proposed mining<br/>activities could be considered.</li> <li>Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br/>CRZ map duly authenticated by</li> </ul>   |    | primary field survey, clearly        | plan. However, all care will be        |             |
| fauna present. In case of any<br>scheduled-I fauna found in the<br>study area, the necessary plan for<br>their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.if any in the lease hold area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km   |    | indicating the Schedule of the       | taken for protection of flora & fauna, |             |
| <ul> <li>scheduled-I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.</li> <li>Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically Polluted' or the Project areas likely to come under the 'Aravali Range', (attracting court restrictions for mining operations), should also be indicated and where so required, clearance certifications from the prescribed Authorities, such as the SPCB or State Mining Dept. Should be secured and furnished to the effect that the proposed mining activities could be considered.</li> <li>Similarly, for coastal projects, A There is no Coastal Zone within 15km CRZ map duly authenticated by</li> </ul>   |    | fauna present. In case of any        | if any in the lease hold area.         |             |
| study area, the necessary plan for<br>their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.The proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted<br>area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no the project site.  |    | scheduled-I fauna found in the       |  |             |
| their conservation should be<br>prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>   |    | study area, the necessary plan for   |  |             |
| prepared in consultation with State<br>Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.Image: Construct of the project cost.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km   |    | their conservation should be         |  |             |
| Forest and Wildlife Department<br>and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.Image: Content of the project cost.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km  |    | prepared in consultation with State  |  |             |
| and details furnished. Necessary<br>allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.Image: Should be<br>made as part of the project cost.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km  |    | Forest and Wildlife Department       |  |             |
| allocation of funds for<br>implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.The proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted<br>area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km  |    | and details furnished. Necessary     |  |             |
| implementing the same should be<br>made as part of the project cost.The proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted<br>area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km   |    | allocation of funds for              |  |             |
| made as part of the project cost.The proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted<br>area.19Proximity to Areas declared<br>as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.   |    | implementing the same should be      |  |             |
| 19Proximity to Areas declaredThe proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted19Proximity to Areas declaredThe proposed mining lease area is<br>not falling under critically polluted19Proximity Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.Here is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km  |    | made as part of the project cost.    |  |             |
| as 'Critically Polluted' or the<br>Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.not falling under critically polluted<br>area.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.   | 19 | Proximity to Areas declared          | The proposed mining lease area is      |             |
| Project areas likely to come under<br>the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.area.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.   |    | as 'Critically Polluted' or the      | not falling under critically polluted  |             |
| the 'Aravali Range', (attracting<br>court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no the project site.   |    | Project areas likely to come under   | area.                                  |             |
| court restrictions for mining<br>operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no the project site.   |    | the 'Aravali Range', (attracting     |  |             |
| operations), should also be<br>indicated and where so required,<br>clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.Image: CRZ map duly authenticated by20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.   |    | court restrictions for mining        |  |             |
| <ul> <li>indicated and where so required,<br/>clearance certifications from the<br/>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br/>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br/>Should be secured and furnished to<br/>the effect that the proposed mining<br/>activities could be considered.</li> <li>20 Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br/>CRZ map duly authenticated by radius of the project site.</li> </ul>   |    | operations), should also be          |  |             |
| clearance certifications from the<br>prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.Image: CRZ map duly authenticated by<br>radius of the project site.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated by<br>radius of the project site.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km   |    | indicated and where so required,     |  |             |
| prescribed Authorities, such as the<br>SPCB or State Mining Dept.<br>Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated by<br>radius of the project site.There is no Coastal Zone within 15km<br>radius of the project site.  |    | clearance certifications from the    |  |             |
| SPCB or State Mining Dept.Should be secured and furnished tothe effect that the proposed miningactivities could be considered.20Similarly, for coastal projects, ACRZ map duly authenticated byradius of the project site.  |    | prescribed Authorities, such as the  |  |             |
| Should be secured and furnished to<br>the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.Image: CRZ map duly authenticated by<br>radius of the project site.   |    | SPCB or State Mining Dept.           |  |             |
| the effect that the proposed mining<br>activities could be considered.Image: CRZ map duly authenticated by<br>radius of the project site.   |    | Should be secured and furnished to   |  |             |
| activities could be considered.       20         Similarly, for coastal projects, A       There is no Coastal Zone within 15km         CRZ map duly authenticated by       radius of the project site.  |    | the effect that the proposed mining  |  |             |
| 20Similarly, for coastal projects, A<br>CRZ map duly authenticated byThere is no Coastal Zone within 15km20CRZ map duly authenticated by<br>radius of the project site.   |    | activities could be considered.      |  |             |
| CRZ map duly authenticated by radius of the project site.   | 20 | Similarly, for coastal projects, A   | There is no Coastal Zone within 15km   |             |
|   |    | CRZ map duly authenticated by        | radius of the project site.            |             |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough         | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.00.00 Ha |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|
|    | one of the authorized agencies      |   |
|    | Similarly, for coastal projects, A  |   |
|    | CRZ map duly authenticated by       |   |
|    | one of the authorized agencies      |   |
|    | demarcating LTL, HTL, CRZ area,     |   |
|    | location of the mine lease w.r.t    |   |
|    | CRZ, coastal features such as       |   |
|    | mangroves, if any, should be        |   |
|    | furnished. (Note: The Mining        |   |
|    | Projects falling under CRZ would    |   |
|    | also need to obtain approval of the |   |
|    | concerned Coastal Zone              |   |
|    | Management Authority)               |   |
| 21 | R&R Plan/compensation details       | There is no Rehabilitation and            |
|    | for the Project Affected People     | resettlement is involved. Land            |
|    | (PAP) should be furnished. While    | classified as Patta land                  |
|    | preparing the R&R Plan, the         |   |
|    | relevant State/National             |   |
|    | Rehabilitation & Resettlement       |   |
|    | Policy should be kept in view. In   |   |
|    | respect of SCs /STs and other       |   |
|    | weaker sections of the society in   |   |
|    | the study area, a need based        |   |
|    | sample survey, family wise, should  |   |
|    | be undertaken to assess their       |   |
|    | requirements, and action            |   |
|    | programmes prepared and             |   |
|    | submitted accordingly, integrating  |   |
|    | the sectoral programmes of line     |   |
|    | departments of the State            |   |
|    | Government. It may be clearly       |   |
|    |                                     |   |

| TOR Reply of Proposed Re   | ough stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.00.00 Ha   |
|--|--|
| brought out whether the vill<br>located in the mine lease area<br>be shifted or not. The iss<br>relating to shifting of Vill<br>including their R&R and so<br>economic aspects should<br>discussed in the report.<br>22 One season (non-monsoon)   | age<br>will<br>ues<br>age<br>cio-<br>be<br>and Baseline data collected during Pre-<br>Chapter 3  |
| (Summer Season), (F<br>monsoon) primary baseline of<br>on ambient air quality CP<br>Notification of 2009 wa<br>quality, noise level, soil and ff<br>and fauna shall be collected a<br>the AAQ and other data<br>compiled presented date-wise<br>the EIA and EMP Report.<br>Site-specific meteorological of<br>should also be collected.<br>location of the monitor<br>stations should be such as<br>represent whole of the study a<br>and justified keeping in view<br>pre- dominant downw<br>direction and location of sensi<br>receptors. There should be at le<br>one monitoring station wit<br>500m of the mine lease in the p<br>dominant downwind direction<br>The mineralogical composition | Post       Monsoon Season and Monsoon         (Dec 2022 to Feb 2023) has been         incorporated in EIA/EMP report.         atter         ora         The key plan of monitoring station         has been discussed in Chapter-4.         so         Locations of the monitoring stations         have been selected keeping in view         the pre- dominant downwind         direction and location of the         sensitive receptors and also that they         represent whole of the study area.         ind         the         ind         ind         ind         direction         and location         ing         to         represent whole of the study area.         ind         < |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough  | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0  | 00.00 Ha                   |
|----|--|---|----------------------------|
|    | PM10, particularly for free silica, should be given.   |   |                            |
| 23 | Air quality modelling should<br>be carried out for prediction of<br>impact of the project on the air<br>quality of the area. It should also  | Air quality modelling & Impact of<br>Air quality will be furnished in Final<br>EIA report.  | Chapter-4                  |
|    | take into account the impact of<br>movement of vehicles for<br>transportation of mineral. The<br>details of the model used and<br>input parameters used for<br>modelling should be provided.   | Transportation of mineral during<br>operation of mines will be done by<br>road & MDR 422 through dumpers<br>and the impact of movement of<br>vehicles are incorporated in<br>EIA/EMP report.                            | Page No.109                |
|    | The air quality contours may be<br>shown on a location map clearly<br>indicating the location of the site,<br>location of sensitive receptors, if<br>any, and the habitation. The wind<br>roses showing predominant wind<br>direction may also be indicated<br>on the map. | Air quality modelling & Impact of<br>Air quality will be furnished in Final<br>EIA report.  |                            |
| 24 | The water requirement for the<br>Project, its availability and source<br>should be furnished. A detailed<br>water balance should also be<br>provided. Fresh water requirement<br>for the Project should be indicated.  | Total water requirement: 2.0 KLD<br>Dust Suppression: 0.5 KLD<br>Domestic Purpose: 1 KLD<br>Plantation :0.5 KLD<br>Domestic Water will be sourced<br>from nearby Venkatesapuram which<br>is about 0.82Km from the site. | Chapter-2<br>Page<br>no.53 |
| 25 | Necessary clearance from<br>the Competent Authority for<br>drawl of requisite quantity of  | Not Applicable<br>Water will be taken from nearby<br>villages   |                            |

|    | water for the Project should be      |  |             |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|
|    | provided.                            |  |             |
| 26 | Description of water conservation    | At the last stage of mining operation, |             |
|    | measures proposed to be adopted in   | almost complete area will be worked    |             |
|    | the Project should be given. Details | to restore the land to its optimum     |             |
|    | of rainwater harvesting proposed in  | reclamation for future use as water    |             |
|    | the Project, if any, should be       | reservoir.                             |             |
|    | provided.                            |  |             |
| 27 | Impact of the project on the         | Impact of the project on the water     | Chapter-4   |
|    | water quality, both surface and      | quality & its mitigation measures has  | Page No.108 |
|    | groundwater should be assessed       | been incorporated in Chapter-4 of      |             |
|    | and necessary safeguard              | EIA/EMP report.                        |             |
|    | measures, if any required,           |  |             |
|    | should be provided.                  |  |             |
| 28 | Based on actual monitored data, it   | Maximum working depth: 42m (2m         | Chapter-2   |
|    | may clearly be shown whether         | Topsoil + 40m Rough stone) 10m         |             |
|    | working will intersect               | AGL + 32m BGL                          |             |
|    | groundwater. Necessary data and      |  | Page no. 36 |
|    | documentation in this regard may     | The ground Water Level is noticed at   |             |
|    | be provided. In case the working     | the depth of 70m below Ground Level    |             |
|    | will intersect groundwater table, a  | by monitoring nearby bore hole,        |             |
|    | detailed Hydro Geological Study      | Mining depth taken as 42m (Surface     |             |
|    | should be undertaken and Report      | Ground Level Above Height 10m &        |             |
|    | furnished. Necessary permission      | Surface Ground Level Below Depth       |             |
|    | from Central Ground Water            | 32m). Now, the proposed quarry         |             |
|    | Authority for working below          | depth is above the water table. Hence, |             |
|    | ground water and for pumping of      | quarrying may not affect the ground    |             |
|    | ground water should also be          | water.                                 |             |
|    | obtained and copy furnished.         |  |             |
| 29 | Details of any stream, seasonal or   | There is no any stream crossing in     | Executive   |
|    | otherwise, passing through the lease | the proposed quarry.                   | Summary     |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough           | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0  | 0.00 Ha       |
|----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
|    | area and modification / diversion     |                                     |               |
|    | proposed, if any, and the impact      |                                     |               |
|    | of the same on the                    |                                     |               |
|    | hydrology should be brought out.      |                                     |               |
| 30 | Information on site                   | The altitude of the area is Maximum | Chapter-2     |
|    | elevation, working depth,             | 868m and Minimum 858m above         | Table no. 2.2 |
|    | groundwater table etc. Should be      | MSL. The ground Water Level is      | Page no. 35   |
|    | provided both in AMSL and BGL.        | noticed at the depth of 70m BGL.    |               |
|    | A schematic diagram may also be       |                                     |               |
|    | provided for the same.                |                                     |               |
| 31 | A time bound                          | Green Belt Development plan is      | Chapter-2     |
|    | Progressive Greenbelt Development     | proved given in Chapter 2.          |               |
|    | Plan shall be prepared in a tabular   |                                     |               |
|    | form (indicating the linear and       |                                     |               |
|    | quantitative coverage, plant species  |                                     |               |
|    | and time frame) and submitted,        |                                     |               |
|    | keeping in mind, the same will have   |                                     |               |
|    | to be executed up front on            |                                     |               |
|    | commencement of the project.          |                                     |               |
|    | Phase-wise plan of plantation and     |                                     |               |
|    | compensatory afforestation should     |                                     |               |
|    | be charted clearly indicating the     |                                     |               |
|    | area to be covered under plantation   |                                     |               |
|    | and the species to be planted. The    |                                     |               |
|    | plant species selected for green belt |                                     |               |
|    | should have greater ecological        |                                     |               |
|    | value and should be of good utility   |                                     |               |
|    | value to the local population with    |                                     |               |
|    | emphasis on local and native          |                                     |               |
|    | species and the species which are     |                                     |               |
|    | tolerant pollution                    |                                     |               |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.00.00 Ha |  |               |
|----|---|--|---------------|
| 32 | Impact on local transport   | Impact on local transport                | Chapter-3     |
|    | infrastructure due to the Project                                     | infrastructure due to the project has    |               |
|    | should be indicated. Projected  | been assessed. There shall not be        |               |
|    | increase in truck traffic as a result                                 | much impact on local transport.          |               |
|    | of the Project in the present road                                    | Traffic density from the proposed        | Page No.103   |
|    | network (including those outside                                      | mining activity has been incorporated    | U             |
|    | the Project area) should be worked                                    | in EIA/EMP report.                       |               |
|    | out, indicating whether it is   |  |               |
|    | capable of handling the   |  |               |
|    | incremental load. Arrangement for                                     |  |               |
|    | improving the infrastructure, if                                      |  |               |
|    | contemplated (including action to                                     |  |               |
|    | be taken by other agencies such as                                    |  |               |
|    | State Government) should be   |  |               |
|    | covered. Project proponent shall                                      |  |               |
|    | conduct impact of Transportation                                      |  |               |
|    | study as per Indian Road Congress                                     |  |               |
|    | Guidelines  |  |               |
| 33 | Details of the onsite shelter and                                     | Adequate infrastructure & other          | Chapter-2     |
|    | facilities to be provided to the mine                                 | facilities shall be provided to the mine |               |
|    | workers should be included in the                                     | workers.                                 |               |
|    | EIA report.   | Details are given in chapter-2 of        |               |
|    |   | EIA/EMP                                  |               |
| 34 | Conceptual post mining land use                                       | Conceptual post mining land use and      | Mining plates |
|    | and Reclamation and Restoration                                       | Reclamation and restoration sectional    | Annexure VII  |
|    | of mined out areas (with plans and                                    | plates are given in Mining Plan          |               |
|    | with adequate number of sections)                                     | followed by Scheme of mining.            |               |
|    | should be given in the EIA report.                                    |  |               |
| 35 | Occupational Health impacts of the                                    | Suitable measure will be adopted to      | Chapter-10    |
|    | Project should be anticipated and                                     | minimize occupational health             | Pg No. 139    |
|    | the proposed preventive measures                                      | impacts of the project. The project      |               |
| L  | 1   | 1  |               |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough            | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0    | 0.00 Ha    |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|------------|
|    | spelt out in detail. Details of pre-   | shall have positive impact on local   |            |
|    | placement medical examination          | environment. Details are given in     |            |
|    | and periodical medical examination     | chapter-10 of EIA/EMP.                |            |
|    | schedules should be incorporated in    |                                       |            |
|    | the EMP. The project in the mining     |                                       |            |
|    | area may be detailed                   |                                       |            |
| 36 | Public health implications of the      | Suitable measure will be adopted to   | Chapter-10 |
|    | Project and related activities for the | minimize occupational health impacts  |            |
|    | population in the impact zone          | of the project.                       | Pg No. 139 |
|    | should be systematically evaluated     |                                       |            |
|    | and the proposed remedial              |                                       |            |
|    | measures should be detailed along      |                                       |            |
|    | with budgetary allocations.            |                                       |            |
| 37 | Measures of socio-economic             | Suitable measures have been           | Chapter-4  |
|    | significance and influence to the      | discussed in Chapter 3                |            |
|    | local community proposed to be         |                                       | Pg No. 101 |
|    | provided by the Project Proponent      |                                       |            |
|    | should be indicated. As far as         |                                       |            |
|    | possible, quantitative dimensions      |                                       |            |
|    | may be given with time frames for      |                                       |            |
|    | implementation.                        |                                       |            |
| 38 | Detailed environmental                 | Environment Management Plan has       | Chapter-9  |
|    | management plan to mitigate the        | been described in detail in Chapter-9 | Pg No. 134 |
|    | environmental impacts which,           | of the EIA/EMP Report.                |            |
|    | should inter-alia include the          |                                       |            |
|    | impacts of change of land use, loss    |                                       |            |
|    | of agricultural and grazing land, if   |                                       |            |
|    | any, occupational health impacts       |                                       |            |
|    | besides other impacts specific to the  |                                       |            |
|    | proposed Project.                      |                                       |            |
| 39 | Public hearing points raised and       | Public Hearing proceedings will be    |            |

|    | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough   | stone                                 | Quarry Over   | an Extent of 2.0   | 00.00 Ha                |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
|    | commitment of the project<br>proponent on the same along with<br>time bound action plan to<br>implement the same should be<br>provided and incorporated in the<br>final EIA/EMP Report of the<br>Project. | furnis                                | hed in Final EI   | A report   |                         |
| 40 | Details of litigation pending<br>against the project, if any, with<br>direction /order passed by any<br>Court of Law against the project<br>should be given.  | Not a<br>No. 1<br>projec              | pplicable<br>itigation is per<br>t in any court.                          | nding against the  |                         |
| 41 | The cost of the project (capital cost<br>and recurring cost) as well as the<br>cost towards implementation of<br>EMP should clearly be spelt out.   | <b>S.</b><br><b>No</b><br>1<br>2<br>3 | Description<br>Fixed Asset<br>Cost<br>Operational<br>Cost<br>EMP<br>Total | Cost<br>3,25,90,000/-<br>30,00,000/-<br>81,41,002/-<br>4,37,31,002/- | Chapter-8<br>Pg No. 132 |
| 42 | Disaster Management Plan  | Disast<br>Assest<br>in Cha            | ter Manageme<br>sment has beer<br>apter-7                                 | ent and Risk<br>n incorporated                                       | Chapter-7<br>Pg No. 125 |
| 43 | Benefits of the project if the projectis implemented should be spelt out.The benefits of the project shallclearly indicate environmental,social economic, employmentpotential etc.                        | Benef                                 | its of the<br>porated   | project has  | Chapter-8<br>Pg No. 132 |
| 44 | Besides the above, the below  |                                       |   |  |                         |

|     | mentioned general points are also      |                                  |  |
|-----|--|----------------------------------|--|
|     | to be followed:                        |                                  |  |
| (a) | Executive Summary of the               | Executive Summary of EIA         |  |
|     | EIA/EMP report                         | Report is given from page No.10- |  |
|     |  | 25                               |  |
| (b) | All documents to be properly           | Complied                         |  |
|     | referenced with index and              |                                  |  |
|     | continuous page numbering.             |                                  |  |
| (c) | Where data are presented in the        | Complied                         |  |
|     | report especially in tables, the       |                                  |  |
|     | period in which the data were          |                                  |  |
|     | collected and the sources should be    |                                  |  |
|     | indicated.                             |                                  |  |
| (d) | Project Proponent shall enclose all    | Complied                         |  |
|     | the analysis/testing reports of        |                                  |  |
|     | water, air, soil, noise etc. using the |                                  |  |
|     | MoEF & CC NABL accredited              |                                  |  |
|     | laboratories. All the original         |                                  |  |
|     | analysis/testing reports should be     |                                  |  |
|     | available during appraisal of the      |                                  |  |
|     | project.                               |                                  |  |
| (e) | Where the documents provided are       | Complied                         |  |
|     | in a language other than English,      |                                  |  |
|     | an English translation should be       |                                  |  |
|     | provided.                              |                                  |  |
| (f) | The Questionnaire for                  | The complete questionnaire has   |  |
|     | environmental appraisal of mining      | been prepared                    |  |
|     | projects as devised earlier by the     |                                  |  |
|     | Ministry shall also be filled and      |                                  |  |
|     | submitted.                             |                                  |  |
| (g) | While preparing the EIA report,        | The EIA report has been          |  |

|     | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough           | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0  | 0.00 Ha |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
|     | the instructions for the              | prepared and complying with the     |         |
|     | proponents and instructions for the   | circular issued by MoEF vide O.M.   |         |
|     | consultants issued by MoEF vide       | No. J-11013/41/2006-IA. II(I) dated |         |
|     | O.M. No. J-                           | 4th August 2009.                    |         |
|     | 11013/41/2006-IA. II(I) dated4th      |                                     |         |
|     | August 2009, which are available      |                                     |         |
|     | on the website of this Ministry,      |                                     |         |
|     | should also be followed.              |                                     |         |
| (h) | Changes, if any made in the basic     | There are no changes in prepared    |         |
|     | scope and project parameters (as      | EIA as per submitted Form-1 & PFR   |         |
|     | submitted in Form-I and the PFR       |                                     |         |
|     | for securing the TOR) should be       |                                     |         |
|     | brought to the attention of MoEF      |                                     |         |
|     | with reasons for such changes and     |                                     |         |
|     | permission should be sought, as       |                                     |         |
|     | the TOR may also have to be           |                                     |         |
|     | altered. Post Public Hearing          |                                     |         |
|     | changes in structure and content of   |                                     |         |
|     | the draft EIA/EMP (other than         |                                     |         |
|     | modifications arising out of the      |                                     |         |
|     | P.H. process) will entail             |                                     |         |
|     | conducting the PH again with the      |                                     |         |
|     | revised documentation                 |                                     |         |
| (i) | As per the circular no. J-            | Will be complied after grant        |         |
|     | 11011/618/2010-IA. II(I) dated        | environment clearance from SEIAA,   |         |
|     | 30.5.2012, report on the              | Tamilnadu                           |         |
|     | status of compliance of the           |                                     |         |
|     | conditions stipulated in the          |                                     |         |
|     | environment clearance for the         |                                     |         |
|     | existing operations of the project by |                                     |         |
|     | the Regional Office of Ministry of    |                                     |         |

|     | TOR Reply of Proposed Rough             | stone Quarry Over an Extent of 2.0 | 0.00 Ha |
|-----|---|------------------------------------|---------|
|     | Environment & Forests, if               |                                    |         |
|     | applicable.                             |                                    |         |
| (j) | The EIA report should also include      | All Sectional Plates of Quarry is  |         |
|     | (i) surface plan of the area            | enclosed in Mining Plan.           |         |
|     | indicating contours of main             |                                    |         |
|     | topographic features, drainage and      |                                    |         |
|     | mining area, (ii) geological maps       |                                    |         |
|     | and sections (iii) sections of mine pit |                                    |         |
|     | and external dumps, if any clearly      |                                    |         |
|     | showing the features of the             |                                    |         |
|     | adjoining area.                         |                                    |         |

#### Additional ToR Compliance

| S.No. | Condition                                       | Compliance                                |
|-------|---|---|
| 1.    | The Proponent shall submit the 'Production      | The Proponent will submit the 'Production |
|       | & Development Plan' prepared as a part of       | & Development Plan' prepared as a part of |
|       | the approved Mining Plan, duly signed by the    | the approved Mining Plan, duly signed by  |
|       | concerned QP & approved by the concerned        | the concerned QP & approved by the        |
|       | AD (Geology & Mining) during the EIA            | concerned AD (Geology & Mining)           |
|       | appraisal.                                      | during the EIA appraisal.                 |
| 2.    | The Proponent shall develop greenbelt and       | The Proponent will develop greenbelt and  |
|       | construct the garland drainage around the       | construct the garland drainage around the |
|       | boundary of the proposed quarry and th          | boundary of the proposed quarry and th    |
|       | photographs/videography indicating the same     | photographs/videography indicating the    |
|       | shall be shown during the EIA appraisal.        | same shall be shown during the EIA        |
|       |   | appraisal.                                |
| 3.    | The proponent shall furnish a revised EMP       | Revised EMP budget for 10 Years will be   |
|       | budget for entire life of proposed mining i.e., | submitted in Final EIA.                   |
|       | for 10 years of mining lease period as per the  |   |
|       | format prescribed.                              |   |
| 4.    | The Proponent shall carry out Bio diversity     | Ecology and Biodiversity is studied for   |
|       | study through reputed Institution and the       | 10km radius around the project site and   |
|       | same shall be included in EIA Report            | incorporated in chapter 3.                |
| 5.    | The structure within the radius of (i) 100m,    | Noted.                                    |
|       | (ii) 300m & (iii) 500m shall be enumerated      | Enumerated study report will be submit    |
|       | with details such as dwelling houses with       | on Final EIA report.                      |
|       | number of occupants, whether it belongs to      |   |
|       | the owner (or) not, places of worship,          |   |
|       | industries, factories, sheds, etc.,             |   |

| 6.  | In the case of proposed lease in an existing (or | It is an existing quarry.                   |
|-----|--|---|
|     | old) quarry where the benches are not formed     | The Proposed project total extent area is   |
|     | (or) partially formed as per the approved        | 2.00.00 Ha, It is a Government              |
|     | Mining Plan, the Project Proponent (PP) shall    | Poramboke land.                             |
|     | prepare and submit an 'Action Plan' for          | Existing quarry operation made by           |
|     | carrying out the realignment of the benches in   | different person.                           |
|     | the proposed quarry lease after it is approved   |   |
|     | by the concerned Asst. Director of Geology       |   |
|     | and Mining during the time of appraisal for      |   |
|     | obtaining the EC.                                |   |
| 7.  | The Proponent shall submit a conceptual          | The depth of the proposed quarry is 42.0m   |
|     | 'Slope Stability Plan' for the proposed quarry   | (2m Topsoil + 40m Rough stone) 10m          |
|     | during the appraisal while obtaining the EC,     | AGL + 32m BGL. The slope stability          |
|     | when the depth of the working is extended        | report will be submitted in Final EIA.      |
|     | beyond 30m below ground level.                   |   |
| 8.  | The PP shall furnish the affidavit stating that  | The PP will furnish the affidavit stating   |
|     | the blasting operation in the proposed quarry is | that the blasting operation in the proposed |
|     | carried out by the statutory competent person    | quarry is carried out by the statutory      |
|     | as per the MMR 1961 such as blaster, mining      | competent person as per the MMR 1961        |
|     | mate, mine foreman, II/I Class mines             | such as blaster, mining mate, mine          |
|     | manager appointed by the proponent.              | foreman, II/I Class mines manager           |
|     |  | appointed by the proponent                  |
| 9.  | The PP shall present a conceptual design for     | Noted.                                      |
|     | carrying out only controlled blasting operation  | Agree to comply.                            |
|     | involving line drilling and muffle blasting in   |   |
|     | the proposed quarry such that the blast-         |   |
|     | induced ground vibrations are controlled as      |   |
|     | well as no fly rock travel beyond 30m from the   |   |
|     | blast site.                                      |   |
| 10. | The EIA Coordinator shall obtain and furnish     | It is an existing quarry and earlier        |

|     | the details of quarry/quarries operated by the   | operation done by the different person.  |
|-----|--|--|
|     | proponent in the past, either in the same  | The proposed quarry operation is to be   |
|     | location or elsewhere in the State with video  | newly operate by the proponent.  |
|     | and Photographic evidence.   |  |
| 11. | If the proponent has already carried out the mining activity in the proposed mining lease area after 15.01.2016, then the proponent shall furnish the following details from AD/DD                                   | It is an Existing quarry.  |
|     | mines,   |  |
|     | a. What was the period of the operation and stoppage of the earlier mines with the last  | Earlier quarry operation done by different persons.  |
|     | work permit issued by the AD/DD mines?   | Existing Pit dimension letter attached as Annexure.  |
|     | <ul><li>b. Quantity of minerals mines out.</li><li>c. Highest production achieved in any one year.</li></ul>   | 28300m <sup>3</sup>  |
|     | <ul> <li>d. Details of approved depth of mining.</li> <li>e. Actual depth of the mining achieved earlier.</li> </ul>   | 20.0m  |
|     | f. Name of the person already mined in that leases area.   | Thiru.Gopal  |
|     | <ul><li>g. If EC and CTO already obtained, the copy of the same shall be submitted.</li><li>h. Whether the mining was carried out as per the approved mine plan (or EC if issued) with stipulated benches.</li></ul> | As per available records the pit is age old<br>pit quarried illicitly and action has already<br>been initiated to take action against Thiru.<br>Gopal for the illicit quarrying carried out<br>earlier in Govt land S.F.No 86 (part-3) of<br>Venkatesapuram village. |
| 12. | All corner coordinates of the mine lease area,   | Complied.  |

|     | superimposed on a High-Resolution               | All corners with coordinates of the mine   |
|-----|---|--|
|     | Imagery/Topo sheet, topographic sheet,          | lease area have attached with EIA report   |
|     | geomorphology, lithology and geology of the     | in chapter 2                               |
|     | mining lease area should be provided. Such an   |  |
|     | Imagery of the proposed area should clearly     |  |
|     | show the land use and other ecological feature  |  |
|     | of the study area (core and buffer zone)        |  |
| 13  | The Project Proponent shall carry out Drone     | Drone video survey will be submitted in    |
| 10. | video survey covering survey covering the       | final FIA report                           |
|     | cluster green helt fencing etc                  | iniai Liri report.                         |
| 14  | The Project Proponent shall furnish             | The photographs of fearing and green halt  |
| 14. | nhotographs of adequate fencing green belt      | along parinhary will be submitted in final |
|     | along periphery including replantation of       | along periphery will be submitted in final |
|     | avisting trace & sofaty distance between the    | EIA report.                                |
|     | existing frees & safety distance between the    |  |
|     | adjacent quarries & water bodies nearby         |  |
|     | provided as per the approved mining plan.       |  |
| 15. | The Project Proponent shall provide the details | The details of Geological reserves,        |
|     | of mineral reserves and mineable reserves,      | Mineable reserves and Yearwise             |
|     | planned production capacity, proposed           | production reserves are tabulated in       |
|     | working methodology with justification, the     | Chapter 2. The mining methodology and      |
|     | anticipated impacts of the mining operations    | impacts are followed as on prescribed      |
|     | on the surrounding environment and the          | norms by Government.                       |
|     | remedial measures for the same                  |  |
| 16. | The PP shall provide the Organization chart     | Complied.                                  |
|     | indicating the appointment of various statutory | Manpower requirements table attached in    |
|     | officials and other competent persons to be     | EIA report chapter 2                       |
|     | appointed as per the provisions of Mines        |  |
|     | Act'1952 and the MMR, 1961 for carrying out     |  |
|     | the quarrying operations scientifically and     |  |
|     | systematically in order to ensure safety and to |  |
|     | protect the environment.                        |  |
|     |   |  |

| 17. | The PP shall conduct the hydro-geological        | Hydro geological study report will be     |
|-----|--|---|
|     | study considering the contour map of the         | submitted along final EIA report.         |
|     | water table detailing the number of ground       |   |
|     | water pumping & open wells, and surface          |   |
|     | Water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals,      |   |
|     | ponds etc., within 1km (radius) along with the   |   |
|     | collected water level data for both monsoon      |   |
|     | and non-monsoon seasons from the                 |   |
|     | PWD/TWAD so as to assess the impacts on          |   |
|     | the wells due to mining activity. Based on       |   |
|     | actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown   |   |
|     | whether working will intersect groundwater.      |   |
|     | Necessary data and documentation in this         |   |
|     | regard may be provided.                          |   |
| 18. | The proponent shall furnish the baseline data    | The proponent has furnished the baseline  |
|     | for the environmental and ecological             | data for the environmental and ecological |
|     | parameters with regard to surface                | parameters with regard to surface         |
|     | water/ground water quality, air quality, soil    | water/ground water quality, air quality,  |
|     | quality & flora/fauna including                  | soil quality & flora/fauna including      |
|     | traffic/vehicular movement study.                | traffic/vehicular movement study details  |
|     |  | attached in EIA report chapter 3          |
| 19. | The Proponent shall carry out the Cumulative     | Noted.                                    |
|     | impact study due to mining operations carried    | Agree to comply.                          |
|     | out in the quarry specifically with reference to |   |
|     | the specific environment in terms of soil        |   |
|     | health, biodiversity, air pollution, water       |   |
|     | pollution, climate change and flood control &    |   |
|     | health impacts. Accordingly, the Environment     |   |
|     | Management plan should be prepared keeping       |   |
|     | the concerned quarry and the surrounding         |   |
|     | habitations in the mind.                         |   |

| 20. | Rainwater harvesting management with            | Noted.   |
|-----|---|--|
|     | recharging details along with water balance     | Agree to comply.                                   |
|     | (both monsoon & non-monsoon) be submitted.      |  |
| 21. | Land use of the study area delineating forest   | Current land use of the study area has             |
|     | area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife | attached in EIA report chapter 3.                  |
|     | sanctuary, national park, migratory routes of   | Operational and post operational land use          |
|     | fauna, water bodies, human settlements and      | will be submitted.                                 |
|     | other ecological features should be indicated.  |  |
|     | Land use plan of the mine lease area should be  |  |
|     | prepared to encompass preoperational,           |  |
|     | operational and post operational phases and     |  |
|     | submitted. Impact, if any, of change of land    |  |
|     | use should be given                             |  |
| 22. | Details of the land for storage of              | The entire lease area is covered 2.0m of           |
|     | Overburden/Waste dumb (or) Rejects outside      | Topsoil and estimated quantity of Topsoil          |
|     | the mine lease, such as extent of land area,    | is 38740m <sup>3</sup> . Topsoil formation will be |
|     | distance from mine lease, its land use, R&R     | removed and transported to the needy               |
|     | issues, if any, should be provided.             | users, only after obtaining permission and         |
|     |   | paying necessary seigniorage fees to the           |
|     |   | Government.  |
| 23. | Proximity to Areas declared as 'Critically      | The proposed mining lease area is not              |
|     | Polluted' (or) the Project areas which attracts | falling under critically polluted area.            |
|     | the court restrictions for mining operations,   |  |
|     | should also be indicated and where so           |  |
|     | required, clearance certifications from the     |  |
|     | prescribed Authorities, such as the TNPCB       |  |
|     | (or) Dept. of Geology and Mining should be      |  |
|     | secured and furnished to the effect that the    |  |
|     | proposed mining activities could be considered  |  |
| 24. | Description of water conservation measures      | The ultimate pit at the end of the mining          |

|            | proposed to be adopted in the Project should  | operation will be used for rainwater   |
|------------|---|--|
|            | be given. Details of rainwater harvesting   | storage, the stored water will be used for   |
|            | proposed in the Project, if any, should be  | green belt development and further the   |
|            | provided.   | stored water will be used for domestic   |
|            |   | purposes (other than drinking) after proper  |
|            |   | treatment.   |
| 25.        | Impact on local transport infrastructure due to   | Traffic impact assessment has given in   |
|            | the Project should be indicated.  | EIA report chapter 3.  |
| 26.        | A tree survey study shall be carried out (nos.,   | No tree species were found inside the  |
|            | name of the species, diameter, etc.,) both  | project site. only few shrubs and thorny   |
|            | within the mining lease applied area & 300m   | bushes were present. Tree survey study   |
|            | buffer zone and its management during mining  | details given in EIA report chapter 3.   |
|            | activity.   |  |
| 27.        | A detailed mine closure plan for the proposed   | Noted. The mining plan and mine closure  |
|            | project shall be included in EIA/EMP report   | plan has been approved by the Assistant  |
|            | which should be site-specific.  | Director, Department of Mining and   |
|            |   | Geology, Krishnagiri District  |
| 28.        | Public hearing points raised and commitments  | Noted and will be complied in Final EIA  |
|            | of the PP on the same along with time bound   | report.  |
|            | Action Plan with budgetary provisions to  |  |
|            | implement the same should be provided and   |  |
|            | also incorporated in the final EIA/EMP  |  |
|            | Report of the Project and to be submitted to  |  |
|            | SEIAA/SEAC with regard to the Office  |  |
|            |   |  |
|            | Memorandum of MoEF & CC accordingly.  |  |
| 29.        | Memorandum of MoEF & CC accordingly.<br>The Public hearing advertisement shall be   | The Public hearing advertisement will be   |
| 29.        | Memorandum of MoEF & CC accordingly.<br>The Public hearing advertisement shall be<br>published in on major National daily and one   | The Public hearing advertisement will be<br>published in one major National daily and  |
| 29.        | Memorandum of MoEF & CC accordingly.<br>The Public hearing advertisement shall be<br>published in on major National daily and one<br>most circulated vernacular daily   | The Public hearing advertisement will be<br>published in one major National daily and<br>one most circulated vernacular daily.           |
| 29.<br>30. | Memorandum of MoEF & CC accordingly.<br>The Public hearing advertisement shall be<br>published in on major National daily and one<br>most circulated vernacular daily<br>The PP shall produce/display the EIA report, | The Public hearing advertisement will be<br>published in one major National daily and<br>one most circulated vernacular daily.<br>Noted. |

|     | information with respect to public hearing     |   |
|-----|--|---|
|     | Tamil Language also.                           |   |
| 31. | As a part of the study of flora and fauna      | Noted.                                      |
|     | around the vicinity of the proposed site, the  | Agree to comply                             |
|     | EIA coordinator shall strive to educate the    |   |
|     | local students on the importance of preserving |   |
|     | local flora and fauna by involving them in the |   |
|     | study, wherever possible.                      |   |
| 32. | The purpose of Green belt around the project   | Around 1000 (200 per year) tress will be    |
|     | is to capture the fugitive emissions, carbon   | planted in and around the site. The list of |
|     | sequestration and to attenuate the noise       | trees to be planted are given below:        |
|     | generated, in addition to improving the        |   |
|     | aesthetics. A wide range of indigenous plant   | Neem, Pungam, Poovarasu, Naval,             |
|     | species should be planted as given in the      | Mantharai, Arasa Maram, Magizham,           |
|     | appendix-I in consultation with the DFO,       | Vilvam, vaagai, Marudha maram,              |
|     | State Agriculture University and local         | Thandri, Poovarasu, Quaker buttons,         |
|     | school/college authorities. The plant species  | Thethankottai maram, Manjadi, Usil,         |
|     | with dense/moderate canopy of native origin    | Aathi, Panai, Uzha, Illuppai, Eachai,       |
|     | should be chosen. Species of                   | Vanni Maram                                 |
|     | small/medium/tall trees alternating with       |   |
|     | shrubs should be planted in a mixed manner.    |   |
| 33. | Taller/one year old Saplings raised in         | The green belt plan enclosed with mining    |
|     | appropriate size of bags, preferably eco-      | plates in Annexure VII                      |
|     | friendly bags should be planted as per the     |   |
|     | advice of local forest authorities/            |   |
|     | botanist/Horticulturist with regard to site    |   |
|     | specific choices. The proponent shall earmark  |   |
|     | the greenbelt arca with GPS coordinates all    |   |
|     | along the boundary of the project site with at |   |
|     | least 3 meter wide and in between blocks in an |   |
|     | organized manner.                              |   |

| 34. | A Disaster management Plan shall be prepared     | Disaster management plan has prepared        |
|-----|--|--|
|     | and included in the EIA/EMP Report for the       | and enclosed in Chapter 7.                   |
|     | complete life of the proposed quarry (or) till   |  |
|     | the end of the lease period.                     |  |
| 35. | A Risk Assessment and management Plan            | Risk assessment and management plan          |
|     | shall be prepared and included in the            | has prepared and enclosed in chapter 7.      |
|     | EIA/EMP Report fir the complete life of the      |  |
|     | proposed quarry (or) till the end of the lease   |  |
|     | period.  |  |
| 36. | Occupational Health impacts of the Project       | Suitable measure will be adopted to          |
|     | should be anticipated and the proposed           | minimize occupational health impacts of      |
|     | preventive measures spelt out in detail. Details | the project. The project shall have positive |
|     | of pre-placement medical examination and         | impact on local environment. Details are     |
|     | periodical medical examination schedules         | given in chapter-10 of EIA/EMP.              |
|     | should be incorporated in the EMP. The           |  |
|     | project specific occupational health mitigation  |  |
|     | measures with required facilities proposed in    |  |
|     | the mining area may be detailed.                 |  |
| 37. | Public health implications of the Project and    | Public health implication and remedial       |
|     | related activities for the population in the     | measures is given in EIA/EMP report.         |
|     | impact zone should be systematically             |  |
|     | evaluated and the proposed remedial measures     |  |
|     | should be detailed along with budgetary          |  |
|     | allocations.                                     |  |
| 38. | The Socio-economic studies should be carried     | The socio-economic study has been            |
|     | out within a 5km buffer zone from the mining     | discussed in chapter 3.                      |
|     | activity. Measures of socio-economic             |  |
|     | significance and influence to the local          |  |
|     | community proposed to be provided by the         |  |
|     | Project Proponent should be indicated. As far    |  |
|     | as possible, quantitative dimensions may be      |  |
|     |  |  |

|     | given with time frames for implementation.       |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 39. | Details of litigation pending against the        | No litigation is pending against the project |
|     | project, if any, with direction /order passed by | in any court.                                |
|     | any Court of Law against the Project should be   |  |
|     | given  |  |
| 40. | Benefits of the Project if the Project is        | Benefits of the project has incorporated in  |
|     | implemented should be spelt out. The benefits    | EIA report chapter 8                         |
|     | of the Project shall clearly indicate            |  |
|     | environmental, social, economic, employment      |  |
|     | potential, etc.,                                 |  |
| 41. | If any quarrying operations were caried out in   | It is an existing quarry.                    |
|     | the proposed quarrying site for which now the    | Government Poramboke Land.                   |
|     | EC is sought, the Project Proponent shall        | Earlier operation done by different          |
|     | furnish the detailed compliance to EC            | persons.                                     |
|     | conditions given in the previous EC with the     |  |
|     | site photographs which shall duly be certified   |  |
|     | by MoEF&CC, Regional Office, Chennai (or)        |  |
|     | the concerned DEE/TNPCB                          |  |
| 42. | The PP shall prepare the EMP for the entire      | Noted.                                       |
|     | life of mine and also furnish the sworn          | Agree to comply.                             |
|     | affidavit stating to abide the EMP for the       |  |
|     | entire life of mine.                             |  |
| 43. | concealing any factual information or            | Noted.                                       |
|     | submission of false/fabricated data and failure  |  |
|     | to comply with any of the Condition              |  |
|     | mentioned above may result in withdrawal of      |  |
|     | this Terms of conditions besides attracting      |  |
|     | penal provisions in the Environment              |  |
|     | (Protection) Act, 1986                           |  |

| Additional ToR by SEIAA |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| 1.                      | Restricting the ultimate depth of mining up to   | Complied                               |
|                         | 42m BGL (2m Topsoil + 40m Rough stone) and       | The revised mining plates are attached |
|                         | quantity of 4,06,265 cu.m of Rough Stone are     | with EIA/EMP report.                   |
|                         | permitted for mining over a period of five years |  |
|                         | considering the environmental impacts due to the |  |
|                         | mining. safety precautionary measures of the     |  |
|                         | working personnel and following the principle of |  |
|                         | the sustainable mining.                          |  |

# **ANNEXURE-II**

## **PRECISE AREA COMMUNICATION LETTER**

ANNEXURE -T

பவியியல் உசுரங்கத் துறை,

2 9 JUN 2022

unon le uniterinante,

क्षित्रकेंक्षासीती

\*

#### நக.எண். 546/2022/களிமம் நாள்: 04.05.2022

#### குறிப்பாணை

பொருள்

சாதாரண சிறுகளிமம் கனிமங்களும் குவாரிகளும் -வகை கற்கள் - கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட் மீர அரசு புறம்கினங்கு புலங்களில் அமைந்துள்ள கற்குவாரிகள் பெண்டர் கர்களி முறையில் குத்தகை வழங்குவது தொடார்க அரசிதழ் வெளியீடு - சூளகிரி வட்டம் - வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம் - புல எண்.86(பகுதி-3) 2.00.0 ஹெக்டோ் பரப்பில் 05.04.2022 அன்று டெண்டருடன் இணைந்த ஏலம் நடத்தப்பட்டது -குறிப்பிட்ட ஏலத்தில் அதிகபட்ச குத்தகை கொகை தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் என்கிற நிறுவனம் ஏலம் உறுதி செய்யப்பட்டது - விதிகளின்படி குத்தகை தொகை முழுவதும் செலுத்தப்பட்டது - குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கிட வேண்டி ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத் திட்டம் மற்றும் சுற்றுச் சூழல் ஆணைய முன் அனுமதி பெற்று சமாப்பிக்கக் கோருதல் - தொடர்பாக,

பார்வை:

- 1. வட்டாட்சியர், சூளகிரி கடிதம் ந.க.எண்.51/2022/அ2 நாள்:21.01.2022.
- 2. வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர் ஒசூர் அறிக்கை ந.க.எண். 103/2022/பி2 நாள்:04.02.2022.
- வன உயிரின காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் கடிதம் ந.க.எண்.261/ 2022/எல் நாள்:10.02.2022.
- கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத் துறை நில அளவர், தனி வருவாய் ஆய்வாளர் மற்றும் உதவி புவியியலாளர் (கனிமம்) புலதணிக்கை அறிக்கை நாள்:11.02.2022.
- கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் சிறப்பு வெளியீடு எண்.15 நாள்:14.03.2022 மற்றும் எண்.20 நாள்:28.03.2022.
- 6. தி இந்து செய்தி நாளிதழில் விளம்பரம் நாள்:17.03.2022.
- தி இந்து, தினகரன், தினமலர் மற்றும் காலைக்கதிர் ஆகிய செய்தி நாளிதழ்களில் 29.03.2022 அன்று வெளியிடப்பட்ட மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரின் அறிவிக்கை.
- திரு. ஸ்ரீகர் மற்றும் சுமுகா புளு மெட்டல்ஸ் என்பவர் டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பம் நாள்:04.04.2022.
- தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் மற்றும் ஐந்து நபர்களின் ஏல விண்ணப்பங்கள் நாள்:05.04.2022.
- 10. தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் என்பவரது கடிதம் நாள்:19.04.2022.
- 11. தொடர்புடைய ஆவணங்கள்.

பார்வையில் காணும் கடிதங்களின்பால் கனிவான கவனம் வேண்டப்படுகிறது.

PUGE

் 2. கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், சூளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம் அரசு புல அமைந்துள்ள சாதாரண பரப்பில் ஹெக்டேர் எண.86(பகுதி-3) விஸ்.2.00.0 கந்குவாரியை இடண்டர் / பொது ஏலத்திற்கு கொண்டு வர உரிய நில இருப்பு அறிக்கை வருண்டி கோட்டா வேரிடம் கோரம்பட்டதல், குனகிலி வட்டாட்கியர், ஒகுர் வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர் மற்றும் கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத் துறை நில அளவர், தனி வருவாய் ஆய்வாளர் மற்றும் உதவி புவியியலாளர் (கனிமம்) ஆகியோர் தணிக்கை மேற்கொண்டு கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், சூளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம் அரசு புறம்போக்கு தீ.ஏ.த.கரடு புல எண.86(பகுதி-3) விஸ்.2.00.0 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பு பூமியினை குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கிட விதிகளின்படி மேற்கண்ட புலம் தகுதி வாய்ந்தது என்பதால் டெண்டருடன் இணைந்த ஏலத்தின் மூலம் உரிமம் வழங்கிட பரிந்துரை செய்துள்ளனர். வன உயிரின காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் மேற்கண்ட புலங்கள் விதிகளின்படி அருகில் உள்ள காப்பு காடுகளுக்கு வரையறுக்கப்பட்ட பாதுகாப்பு தொலைவிற்கு அப்பால் அமைந்துள்ளதாக அறிக்கை அளித்துள்ளார்.

3. அதன் அடிப்படையில், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டத்தில் அரசு புறம்போக்கு நிலங்களில் உள்ள சாதாரண கற்களை வெட்டியெடுத்துச் செல்ல உரிமம் வழங்க ஏதுவாக கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் சிறப்பு வெளியீடு எண்.15 நாள்:14.03.2022 மற்றும் எண்.20 நாள்:28.03.2022-ன்படி பிரசுரம் செய்யப்பட்டது. அதன்படி 04.04.2022-ம் நாள் பிற்பகல் 05.00 மணிக்குள் மூடி முத்திரை இடப்பட்ட டெண்டர் மனுக்களை அளிக்க இறுதி நாளாக அறிவித்து, 05.04.2022 அன்று பொது ஏலம் நடத்தப்பட்டு டெண்டர் மனுக்கள் ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொண்டவர்கள் முன்னிலையில் திறக்கப்பட்டன.

4. மேற்கண்ட அரசிதழில் விளம்பரம் செய்யப்பட்டிருந்த குவாரிப்பட்டியலில் வரிசை எண்.(18), சூளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம், அரசு புறம்போக்கு (தீ.ஏ.த.கரடு) புல எண்.86(பகுதி-3)-ல் 2.00.0 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பில் உள்ள கற்குவாரிக்கு டெண்டர் / பொது ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொண்டவர்களில் தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் எலத்தில் கோரிய தொகை ரூ.3,23,00,000/- மாவட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர் அவர்களால் நிர்ணயம் செய்யப்பட்டிருந்த ஏலத் தொகையை விட அதிகமாக இருந்ததால் அவருக்கு ஏலம் ஊர்ஜிதம் செய்யப்பட்டது. மேற்கண்ட ஏலதாரர் மொத்த குத்தகை தொகையையும் விதிகளின்படி 19.04.2022-க்குள் செலுத்தியுள்ளார்.

5. எனவே, ஏலதாரர் குத்தகை தொகை முழுவதும் செலுத்திவிட்டபடியால், மேற்படி கற்குவாரி ஏலமானது விதிகளின்படி உயர்ந்தபட்ச ஏலம் கோரிய தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் என்பவருக்கு உறுதி செய்யப்படுகிறது. மேலும், மேற்படி நபருக்கு குளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம், அரசு புறம்போக்கு (தீ.ஏ.த.கரடு) புல எண்.86(பகுதி-3)-ல் 2.00.0 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பு புலத்தில் ஐந்து (05) ஆண்டுகளுக்கு

RIGE

குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்க ஏதுவாக 1959ம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாகு கிறுக்கிய அலு விதிகள், விதி எண்.41-ன்படி கீழ்க்கண்ட நிபந்தனைகளுடன் குற்றீளிக்கப்பட்ட திட்டத்தினை 90 தினங்களுக்குள் சமர்பிக்கவும், அதன் தாடர்க்சியா 2009590 எண்.42-ன்படி வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகள், விதி 1-15 60 6001 d and in later in சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணைய இசைவு பெற்று மூலம் உரிமம் சாகாாண கற்குவாரி விவாம் என்ற 9 850 வமங்கப்படும் தெரிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

#### நிபந்தனைகள்:

- a. 1959ம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறு கனிம சலுகை விதிகள், அட்டவணை-II-ல் கண்டுள்ளபடி குவாரி செய்யப்படும் கனிமங்களுக்குரிய சீனியரேஜ் தொகை அவ்வப்போது செலுத்தி கனிமம் கொண்டு செல்லப்பட வேண்டும்.
- b. அருகிலுள்ள பட்டா நிலங்களுக்கு 7.5 மீட்டர், அரசு புறம்போக்கு புலங்களுக்கு 10 மீட்டர் மற்றும் இதர நிலையான அமைப்புகளுக்கு 50 மீட்டர் பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி விட்டு குவாரிப் பணி மேற்கொள்ள வேண்டும்.
- விதிகளின் படி ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டத்தினை உரிய காலத்திற்குள் சமர்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
- d. குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்க உள்ள பகுதிக்கு சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின் முன் அனுமதி பெற்று சமர்பிக்கும் பட்சத்தில் மட்டுமே குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்கப்படும்.

இணைப்பு: குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்க பரிந்துரைக்கப்பட்ட புல வரைபடம்.

> ஒம்/- வி.ஜெய சந்திர பானு ரெட்டி மாவட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி.

// உண்மை நகல்// உத்தரவுபடி//

மாவட்ட ஆட்சியருக்காக, கிருஷ்ணகிரி

2. தமிழ்நாடு மாநில சுற்றுச்சூழல் மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையம், சென்னை.

பெறுநர்:

தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ், குக் எண்.25, சாந்தி நகர் - மேற்கு, 2வது கிராஸ், ஒசூர், ஒசூர் வட்டம், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம். நகல்: 1. இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத் துறை, சென்னை

S. MATHAR PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.

ROP/CNN/270/2016/A

PLICIR

# ANNEXURE-III MINING PLAN APPROVED LETTER

#### From

Dr.S.Vediappan,M.Sc.,Ph.D., Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri.

#### То

M/s. S.R.Enterprises, D.No. 25, Shanthi nagar, West, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109.

#### <u>Rc.No.546/2022/Mines</u> Dated: 29.06.2022.

#### Sir,

0

0

- Sub: Mines and Minerals Rough stone Krishnagiri District – Shoolagiri Taluk – Venkatesapuram Village- Govt Poramboke land in S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) Over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects – Tender Cum Auction conducted – M/s. S.R.Enterprises declared as highest bidder – Precise area communicated – Draft Mining Plan submitted for approval - Approved - reg.
- **Ref:** 1. Krishnagiri District, Extraordinary Gazette notification No. 15 & 20, dated 14.03.2022 & 28.03.2022.
  - 2. This Office Letter No.546/2022/Mines dated: 04.05.2022.
  - 3. Draft Mining plan submitted by M/s. S.R.Enterprises, dated: 13.06.2022.

\*\*\*\*

Kind attention is invited to the references cited above.

2. Tender Cum Auction has been conducted on 05.04.2022 for the grant of quarry lease to quarry rough stone in government lands situated in Krishnagiri district including S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) Over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, M/s. S.R.Enterprises has quoted highest lease amount and hence he has been declared as successful bidder.

3. Accordingly, M/s. S.R.Enterprises has been directed to submit the mining plan for approval and to obtain Environmental Clearance for quarrying Rough stone over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects of Government Poramboke land in S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) in Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishangiri District for a
period of 5 (Five) years under the provisions of Rule 8 of Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959.

4. In this regard, the bidder M/s. S.R.Enterprises had submitted 03 copies of draft Mining Plan vide letter dated: 13.06.2022 and the same has been examined in detail and it is found correct.

5. As per the mining plan the year wise production for the proposed five years are as follows.

|            | Year                 | Recoverable Reserves<br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) @ 100% | Top Soil (Gravel)in<br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|------------|----------------------|--|--|
|            | 1st Year             | 188958   | 38740                                    |
|            | 2 <sup>nd</sup> year | 100457   | 0  |
| Five Years | 3rd year             | 84357  | 0  |
|            | 4 <sup>th</sup> year | 69657  | 0  |
|            | 5 <sup>th</sup> year | 100814   | 0  |
|            | Total                | 544243   | 38740                                    |

6. Hence, as per the powers delegated under Rule 42 of TNMMCR, 1959 and also as per the guidelines/instructions issued by the Commissioner of Geology and Mining, vide letter Rc.No.3868/LC/2012 dated:19.11.2012, the said mining plan submitted by the M/s. S.R.Enterprises is here by approved subject to the following conditions.

- That the mining plan is approved without prejudice to any other law applicable to the quarry lease from time to time whether such laws are made by the Central Government, State Government or any other authority.
- ii. This approval of the mining plan does not in any way imply the approval of the Government in terms of any other provisions of Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation) Act 1957, or any other connected laws including Forest (Conservation) Act 1957, or any other connected Laws industry Forest (Conservation) Act 1980,

Forest Conservation Rules 1981 Environment protection Act 1980, Indian Explosive Act 1884 (Central Act IV of 1884) and the rules made there under, Minor Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, and The Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession rules, 1959.

iii. That the mining plan is approved without prejudice to any other order or directions from any court of competent jurisdiction.

O

C

١

0.3

- iv. All the conditions mentioned in the precise area letter should be followed during quarry operation as per rules.
- v. The applicant should get prior Environmental clearance from the appropriate authority and should submit it to the District Collector, Krishnagiri.
- vi. Provisions of the Mines Act 1952 and the rules and regulation made there under including submission of notice of opening, appointment of manager and other statutory officials has required under Mines Act 1952 shall be complied with.
- vii. Provisions made under the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Acts 1957, amended Act 2015 made there under shall be complied with.
- viii. This approval of Mining Plan is restricted to the mining lease area only as shown in the plan.
  - The earlier instances of irregular / illegal quarrying, if any shall not be regularized through the approval of this document.
  - x. The applicant shall remit penalty /cost of the mineral /other dues if any.
- xi. Every Mining Plan duly approved under rule 41(9) of TNMMCR, 1959 shall be valid for a period of five years. Further, the applicant shall submit modification in the mining plan if any, review the mining plan and submit scheme of mining plan for the next five years of the lease if any as per TNMMCR 1959.

xii. Non adherence to any condition set out above, the approval shall be deemed to have been withdrawn with immediate effect.

2501. 29.06.22

Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri.

6(N

Copy submitted to

3

: 1. The Commissioner, Dept of Geology and Mining, Guindy, Chennai -32. Ű

C+

( a

(Ē.

# ANNEXURE-IV 500M Radius letter

#### From

Dr. S.Vediappan, M.Sc.,Ph.d., Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri. То

M/s. S.R.Enterprises, D.No. 25, Shanthi nagar, West, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri – 635 109.

# Roc.No.546/2022/Mines Dated: 23.06.2022

Sir,

- Sub: Mines and Minerals Rough stone Krishnagiri District – Shoolagiri Taluk – Venkatesapuram Village-Govt Poramboke land in S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) Over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects – Tender Cum Auction conducted – M/s. S.R.Enterprises declared as highest bidder - Mining Plan approved – Other quarry situated in 500 mtrs radial distance – Details furnished - reg.
- Ref: 1. Krishnagiri District, Extraordinary Gazette notification No. 15 & 20, dated 14.03.2022 & 28.03.2022.
  - 2. This Office Letter No.546/2022/Mines dated: 04.05.2022.
  - 3. Draft Mining plan submitted by M/s. S.R.Enterprises, dated: 13.06.2022
  - 4. This Office Letter No.546/2022/Mines dated:
     27.06.2022

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Kind attention is invited to the references cited above.

2. Tender Cum Auction has been conducted on 05.04.2022 for the grant of quarry lease to quarry rough stone in government lands situated in Krishnagiri district including S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk.

3. M/s. S.R.Enterprises has quoted highest lease amount and hence he has been declared as highest bidder for the grant of quarry lease for quarrying Rough stone over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects of government lands in S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) in Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishangiri District for a period of 5 year under the provisions of Rule 8 of Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959. In this regard, precise area communication has been issued to the applicant vide letter dated: 04.05.2022 with a direction to submit approved mining plan and Environment Clearance.

4. Accordingly, M/s. S.R.Enterprises had submitted 03 copies of draft Mining Plan vide letter dated: 13.06.2022 and the same has been approved vide this office letter dated: .06.2022. In addition to that the details of other quarries situated within 500 mts radial distance from the subject quarry is furnished as follows.

# I. Details of Existing quarries.

| Sl<br>No | Name of the lessee | Village<br>Taluk | 85 | Miner<br>al | S.F No. | Extent<br>in Het | GO No.&<br>Date | Lease<br>period. |
|----------|--------------------|------------------|----|-------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|          |                    |                  |    | ]           | Nil     |                  |                 |                  |

## II. Details of abandoned/Old quarries.

| S1.<br>No. | Name of the lessee  | Village  | S.F No.         | Extent in<br>Het | GO No.& Date                                     | Lease<br>period.                |
|------------|---|--|-----------------|------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 1.8        | M/s. R.A.Blue<br>Metals, No. 50,<br>Radhalakshmi<br>Nilaya, Devasandra<br>Main Road,<br>Bangalore -560036   | Venkatesap<br>uram<br>Village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk. | 86 (Part<br>-4) | 4.00.0           | Rc.No.<br>68/2016/Mines<br>Dated:<br>10.08.2016  | 22.08.2016<br>to<br>21.08.2021. |
| 2.         | Thiru.P.Selvaraju,<br>S/o. Periyasamy,<br>No. 57 – B-1,<br>Kalliyannan Nagar,<br>Kumarapalayam,<br>Thiruchengodu,<br>Namakkal District.                                       | Venkatesap<br>uram<br>Village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk. | 86 (Part-<br>6) | 2.50.0           | Rc.No.<br>69/2016/Mines<br>Dated:<br>13.10.2016  | 17.10.2016<br>to<br>16.10.2021. |
| 3.         | Thiru.<br>J.Shanmugam,<br>S/o. Jaganathan,<br>M/s. S.S.Blue<br>metals, No.4,<br>Pillaiyar Koil<br>Street,<br>Marandahalli Post,<br>Palacode taluk,<br>Dharmapuri<br>District. | Venkatesap<br>uram<br>Village,<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk. | 86 (Part-<br>7) | 2.50.0           | Rc.No.<br>70/2016/Mines<br>dated:<br>28.09.2016. | 03.10.2016<br>to<br>02.10.2021  |

## III. Details of Proposed quarries

| S1               | Name of the lesses                | Villege 0. | 3.00  | O D M    |        |              | 1          |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|----------|--------|--------------|------------|
| Ma               | name of the lessee                | vinage &   | Miner | S.F No.  | Extent | GO No.&      | Lease      |
| ON NO            |                                   | Taluk      | al    |          | in Het | Date         | period.    |
| -                |                                   |            |       |          |        |              | -          |
| $1_{\mathbf{X}}$ | S.R.Enterprises, No.              | Venkatesa  | Rough | 86       | 2.00.0 | Rc.No.       | Instant    |
|                  | 25, Shanthi nagar,                | puram      | Stone | (Part-3) |        | 546/2022/    | Proposal   |
|                  | west 2 <sup>nd</sup> cross, Hosur | Village,   |       |          |        | Mines        | (Precise   |
|                  | Taluk, Krishnagiri                | Shoolagiri |       |          |        | Dated        | (i i cetse |
|                  | District.                         | Taluk      |       |          |        | Dalla.       | area       |
|                  |                                   |            |       |          | 10     | 04.05.2022   | givenj     |
| 2.               | Thiru. B.Elavarasan.              | Venkatesa  | Rough | 86       | 4 20 0 | Do No        |            |
|                  | S/o Baskaran D No                 | nuram      | Stone |          | 4.20.0 | RC.NO.       | Precise    |
|                  | 3/83 T Thuriniih alli             |            | Stone | (Part-5) |        | 1260/2018/   | area       |
|                  | of 00, 1. Hunningmain             | village,   |       |          |        | Mines        | given      |
| 1                | village,                          | Shoolagiri |       |          | )      | Dated:       |            |
|                  | Thenkaraikottai post,             | Taluk,     |       |          | 1      | 02.01.2018   |            |
|                  | Pappireddipatti taluk,            |            | 1 0   | 0        |        |              |            |
|                  | Dharmapuri District.              |            |       |          |        |              |            |
|                  | •                                 |            |       |          | 1      |              |            |
| 3.               | Thiru.A.Brian                     | Venkatesa  | Rough | 86       | 2 50 0 |              | Duration   |
|                  | Balachander                       | Duram      | Stone | (Dowt 1) | 2,00.0 |              | Precise    |
|                  | S/o Antony Dishard                |            | stone | (Part-1) |        | Po No        | area       |
|                  | S/0. Antony Richard               | village,   |       |          |        | RC.NO.       | given      |
|                  | Bhaskar,                          | Shoolagiri |       |          |        | 544/2022/    |            |
|                  | D.No. 2/29, 1 <sup>st</sup> main  | Taluk.     |       |          |        | Mines dated: |            |
|                  | road,                             |            |       |          |        | 04.05.2022   |            |
|                  | padi, Thiruvallur,                |            |       |          |        |              |            |
|                  | Chennai 600 050                   |            |       |          |        |              |            |
| _                |                                   |            |       |          |        |              |            |

256.22 S. a

Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri.

122

Copy to :-

The Chairman, Tamil Nadu State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Panakal Maligai, No. 1 Jeenes Road, Saidapet, Chennai -15.

# **ANNEXURE - V**

# EXISTING PIT DIMENSION LETTER & REVISED MINING PLATES

From

C

0

Dr. S.Vediappan, M.Sc.,Phd., Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri. To

M/s. S.R.Enterprises, D.No. 25, Shanthi nagar, West, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri - 635 109.

### <u>Roc.No.546/2022/Mines</u> dated: 24.06.2022.

Sir,

4

- Sub: Mines and Minerals Rough stone Krishnagiri District – Shoolagiri Taluk – Venkatesapuram Village-Govt Poramboke land in S.F.No. 86 (Part-3) Over an extent of 2.00.0 Hects – Rough Stone quarry lease granted to M/s. S.R.Enterprises – Quarry pit dimension details – Furnished - reg.
- Ref: 1. The District Collector Krishnagiri Roc.No.546/2022/ Mines dated: 04.05.2022.
  - M/s. S.R.Enterprises, D.No. 25, Shanthi nagar, West, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur, Krishnagiri District . letter dated :13.06.2022.

Kind attention is invited to the reference cited above.

2. M/s. S.R.Enterprises had been applied for quarry lease for the Rough Stone over an extent of 2.00.0 Hect in Patta land in 86 (Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District for a period of 5 years under the provisions of Rule 8 of Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rule 1959.

3. In this regard, it is informed that, as per the available records the pit is age old pit quarried illicitity and action has already been initiated to take action against Thiru. Gopal for the illicit quarrying carried out earlier in Govt land S.F.No. 86 (part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village.

4. The pit dimension of the subject quarry to furnish the same before SEIAA in order to get Environmental Clearance as per mining plan is given as under.

| Area (sq.m) | Depth (m) | Volume(cbm) |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| 1415        | 20        | 28300       |

612

Deputy Director, Dept of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri.

To,

The Chairman, Tamil Nadu State Environment Impact Assessment Authority, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Panakal Maligai, No. 1 Jeenes Road, Saidapet, Chennai -15.



| PLATE NO:III   |
|--|
| DATE OF SURVEY: 06-05-2022   |
| APPLICANT ADDRESS:   |
| M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES,<br>D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR,<br>WEST, 2nd CROSS,<br>HOSUR TALUK,<br>KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT-635 109.  |
| LOCATION OF QUARRY:  |
| EXTENT : 2.00.00 Ha,<br>S.F.NO : 86 (Part-3)<br>VILLAGE : VENKATESAPURAM,<br>TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI,<br>DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI.  |
| INDEX  |
| QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY  |
| 7.5m & 10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE   |
| TEMPORARY BENCH MARK   |
| TOP SOIL (GRAVEL)  |
| ROUGH STONE  |
| OLD PIT  |
| CONTOUR LINE   |
| STRIKE & DIP   |
| QUARRY ROAD  |
| SHRUB  |
| SURFACE AND GEOLOGICAL<br>PLAN   |
| SCALE 1:1000   |
| Prepared By:<br>I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE<br>HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT<br>TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE<br>S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.,<br>RECOGNIZED QUALIFIED PERSON<br>RQP/CNN/270/2016/A |





|         |       |                  | GEO             | LOGICAL         | RESERVES             |   |                                 |
|---------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Section | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu.m(100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |
|         | 1     | 81               | 104             | 2               |                      |   | 16848                           |
|         | Ш     | 81               | 26              | 5               | 10530                | 10530                                   |                                 |
|         | Ш     | 81               | 78              | 5               | 31590                | 31590                                   |                                 |
|         | IV    | 81               | 104             | 5               | 42120                | 42120                                   |                                 |
| XY-AB   | V     | 81               | 104             | 5               | 42120                | 42120                                   |                                 |
|         | VI    | 81               | 104             | 5               | 42120                | 42120                                   |                                 |
|         | VII   | 81               | 104             | 5               | 42120                | 42120                                   |                                 |
|         | VIII  | 81               | 104             | 5               | 42120                | 42120                                   |                                 |
|         | IX    | 81               | 104             | 5               | 42120                | 42120                                   |                                 |
|         |       | Total=           |                 |                 | 294840               | 294840                                  | 16848                           |
| 1       | 1     | 81               | 144             | 2               | Ĵ                    |   | 23328                           |
|         | 11    | 19               | 36              | 5               | 3420                 | 3420                                    |                                 |
|         | Ш     | 81               | 108             | 5               | 43740                | 43740                                   |                                 |
|         | IV    | 81               | 144             | 5               | 58320                | 58320                                   |                                 |
| XY-AB   | V     | 81               | 144             | 5               | 58320                | 58320                                   |                                 |
|         | VI    | 81               | 144             | 5               | 58320                | 58320                                   |                                 |
|         | VII   | 81               | 144             | 5               | 58320                | 58320                                   |                                 |
|         | VIII  | 81               | 144             | 5               | 58320                | 58320                                   |                                 |
|         | IX    | 81               | 144             | 5               | 58320                | 58320                                   |                                 |
|         |       | Total=           |                 |                 | 397080               | 397080                                  | 23328                           |
|         |       | GRAND To         | tal=            |                 | 691920               | 691920                                  | 40176                           |



## SECTION ALONG C-D

| C                      |             |          |              |              |                  |                     |        |          |        |        |    |              |        | J                      |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|----|--------------|--------|------------------------|
| RL<br>868.0m<br>866.0m | - 35-       | V        |              |              |                  | —144m-              |        |          |        |        |    | +            | Q      | RL<br>868.0m<br>866.0m |
| 861.0m - ±             | <u>-36m</u> | <u>1</u> |              | ¥<br>1(      |                  | <u> </u>            |        |          |        |        |    |              |        | — 861.0m               |
| 856.0m                 | _~          | ~_       | ~~~          |              |                  | _~                  | ~_~_   | ~_       | _~_    |        |    | : <u>-</u> - |        | - 856.0m               |
| 851.0m                 | _±          | +        | ±            |              | _±_              | $-\pm$ 144m -       | +      | +        | _±_    | _±_    |    | ± -          | . +    | - 851.0m               |
| 846.0m                 | _~          | ~        | ~_           | ~            | _~_              | $-\sim 144m$        | ~_~_   | ~_       | _~~_   | _~_    | _~ | ~ _          | ~      | - 846.0m               |
| 841.0m                 | _±          | +        | ±            | <del>t</del> | _ <del>+</del> _ | $\frac{144m}{144m}$ | +      | ŧ        | _±_    | _±_    | +  | · _ ± -      | . +    | - 841.0m               |
| 836.0m - ~             | ~           | ~        | $\sim$       | ~            | ~                | ~144m-              | ~      | ~        | ~      | ~      | ~  | ~            | $\sim$ | - 836.0m               |
| 831.0m                 | _±          | +        | <del>±</del> | <u>+</u>     | _ ± _            | $-\pm$ 144m -       | +      | <u>+</u> | _±_    | _±_    | +  | ± _          | . +    | - 831.0m               |
| 826.0m                 | ~           | ~        | $\sim$       | $\sim$       | ~                | $\sim$ 144m –       | $\sim$ | $\sim$   | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~  | ~            | $\sim$ | L 826.0m               |

## Surface Ground Level Above Height - 10m Surface Ground Level Below Depth - 32m





## PLATE NO:IV

DATE OF SURVEY: 06-05-2022

#### APPLICANT ADDRESS:

M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR, WEST, 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT-635 109.

#### LOCATION OF QUARRY:

|          |   | 2 22 22 11      |
|----------|---|-----------------|
| EXTENT   |   | 2.00.00 Ha,     |
| S.F.NO   | : | 86 (Part-3)     |
| VILLAGE  | : | VENKATESAPURAM, |
| TALUK    | : | SHOOLAGIRI,     |
| DISTRICT | : | KRISHNAGIRI.    |
|          |   |                 |

## <u>INDEX</u>

TBM 868.0M

 $| \vee \vee \vee$ 

====

QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY

7.5m & 10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE

TEMPORARY BENCH MARK

TOP SOIL (GRAVEL)

ROUGH STONE

QUARRY PIT

CONTOUR LINE

QUARRY ROAD

#### YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION PLAN

## SCALE 1:1000

Prepared By:



S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., RECOGNIZED QUALIFIED PERSON RQP/CNN/270/2016/A









|          | YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION |       |                  |                 |                 |                   |   |                               |  |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| YEAR     | Section                             | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (m3) | Recoverable<br>Reserves<br>in m3 (100%) | Top Soil<br>(Gravel) in<br>m3 |  |
|          |                                     | I.    | 149              | 130             | 2               |                   |   | 38740                         |  |
| I-YEAR   |                                     |       | 147              | 53              | 5               | 38955             | 38955                                   |                               |  |
|          |                                     | Ξ     | 137              | 108             | 5               | 73980             | 73980                                   |                               |  |
| II-YEAR  |                                     | IV    | 127              | 118             | 5               | 74930             | 74930                                   |                               |  |
| III-YEAR | XY-AB                               | V     | 117              | 108             | 5               | 63180             | 63180                                   |                               |  |
|          |                                     | VI    | 107              | 98              | 5               | 52430             | 52430                                   |                               |  |
| TV-TLAN  |                                     | VII   | 97               | 88              | 5               | 42680             | 42680                                   | 0                             |  |
|          |                                     | VIII  | 87               | 78              | 5               | 33930             | 33930                                   |                               |  |
| V-TEAK   |                                     | IX    | 77               | 68              | 5               | 26180             | 26180                                   |                               |  |
|          | Total= 406265 406265 38740          |       |                  |                 |                 |                   |   |                               |  |

# Surface Ground Level Above Height - 10m Surface Ground Level Below Depth - 32m





# PLATE NO:VII DATE OF SURVEY: 06-05-2022 **APPLICANT ADDRESS:** M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES, D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR, WEST, 2nd CROSS, HOSUR TALUK, KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT-635 109. LOCATION OF QUARRY: EXTENT 2.00.00 Ha, : 86 (Part-3) S.F.NO VILLAGE : VENKATESAPURAM, : SHOOLAGIRI, TALUK DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. INDEX QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY 7.5m & 10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE TBM 868.0M TEMPORARY BENCH MARK TOP SOIL (GRAVEL) $| \vee \vee \vee$ ROUGH STONE QUARRY PIT CONTOUR LINE TRUCK ROAD (QUARRY ROAD) ==== FENCING PARAPET WALL ULTIMATE PIT LIMIT PROPOSED WATER STORAGE CONCEPTUAL & FINAL MINE **CLOSURE PLAN** SCALE 1:1000 Prepared By: I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., RECOGNIZED QUALIFIED PERSON

RQP/CNN/270/2016/A









|         |       |                  | М               | INABLE RI       | ESERVES              |   |                                 |
|---------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Section | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu.m(100%) | ⊺opsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |
|         | Ĩ     | 149              | 130             | 2               |                      |   | 38740                           |
|         | 11    | 147              | 53              | 5               | 38955                | 38955                                   |                                 |
|         | Ш     | 137              | 108             | 5               | 73980                | 73980                                   |                                 |
|         | IV    | 127              | 118             | 5               | 74930                | 74930                                   |                                 |
| XY-AB   | V     | 117              | 108             | 5               | 63180                | 63180                                   |                                 |
|         | VI    | 107              | 98              | 5               | 52430                | 52430                                   |                                 |
|         | VII   | 97               | 88              | 5               | 42680                | 42680                                   |                                 |
|         | VIII  | 87               | 78              | 5               | 33930                | 33930                                   |                                 |
|         | IX    | 77               | 68              | 5               | 26180                | 26180                                   |                                 |
|         |       | Total=           |                 |                 | 406265               | 406265                                  | 38740                           |

# Surface Ground Level Above Height - 10m Surface Ground Level Below Depth - 32m

| PLATE NO:VII-A   |
|--|
| DATE OF SURVEY: 06-05-2022   |
| APPLICANT ADDRESS:   |
| M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES,<br>D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR,<br>WEST, 2nd CROSS,<br>HOSUR TALUK,<br>KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT-635 109.  |
| LOCATION OF QUARRY:  |
| EXTENT : 2.00.00 Ha,<br>S.F.NO : 86 (Part-3)<br>VILLAGE : VENKATESAPURAM,<br>TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI,<br>DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI.  |
| INDEX  |
| QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY  |
| 7.5m & 10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE   |
| TOP SOIL (GRAVEL)  |
| ROUGH STONE  |
| QUARRY PIT   |
| ULTIMATE PIT SLOPE   |
| PROPOSED WATER STORAGE   |
| CONCEPTUAL & FINAL<br>MINE CLOSURE SECTIONS<br>SCALE 1:1000  |
|  |
| Prepared By:<br>I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE<br>HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT<br>TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE<br>S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.,<br>RECOGNIZED OUAL FLED PERSON |
| RQP/CNN/270/2016/A   |

# ANNEXURE-VI MINING PLAN REPORT & PLATES



பக்குநா

**UN** 7022

கிருஷ்ணகிரி

# **GRANT OF ROUGH STONE QUARRY LEASE** IN

**GOVERNMENT PORAMBOKE LAND** 

#### **TOTAL LEASE GRANTED PERIOD 5 YEARS**

#### **PERIOD OF MINING 5 YEARS**

(Prepared Under Rule 8(6)(b) Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 & As Per Amendment Under Rule 41 & 42)

#### LOCATION OF THE APPLIED AREA

| EXTENT    | : 2.00.00 HA.     |
|-----------|-------------------|
| S. F. No. | : 86(PART-3).     |
| VILLAGE   | : VENKATESAPURAM. |
| TALUK     | : SHOOLAGIRI.     |
| DISTRICT  | : KRISHNAGIRI.    |
| STATE     | : TAMIL NADU.     |

## **APPLICANT**

#### M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES.

D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR, WEST, 2<sup>nd</sup> CROSS, HOSUR TALUK. **KRISHNAGIRI - 635 109.** 

#### PREPARED BY

#### S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.PHIL.,

RQP/CNN/270/2016/A,

No.2/274, EAST STREET,

**KULASEKARANALLUR POST,** 

**OTTAPIDARAM TALUK,** 

THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT - 628 401.

Email: geomathanprakash@gmail.com CELL: 8668020217.

- plap



# **CONTENTS**

0

6.5

(2)

1

. 5

0

| SL No. | Description  | Page No. |
|--------|--|----------|
| 1.0    | Introduction   | 8        |
| 2.0    | Executive Summary                                    | 10       |
| 3.0    | General Information                                  | 11       |
| 4.0    | Location   | 11       |
| 5.0    | Geology and Mineral Reserves                         | 12       |
| 6.0    | Mining   | 15       |
| 7.0    | Blasting   | 19       |
| 8.0    | Mine Drainage  | 21       |
| 9.0    | Other Permanent Structures                           | 22       |
| 10.0   | Employment Potentials & Welfare Measures             | 23       |
| 11.0   | Environment Management Plan                          | 24       |
| 12.0   | Mine Closure Plan                                    | 27       |
| 13.0   | Any Other Details Intend to furnish by the Applicant | 28       |

2 PEPGR

226



ANNEXURES

 $\bigcirc$ 

Ç

C.

6

(

C -

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

- )

|                                      | and the second se |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Description                          | Annexure No.  |
| Precise Area Communication letter    | Ι   |
| Copy of Krishnagiri District Gazette | II  |
| Copy of DFO letter                   | III   |
| Copy of FMB & Combined Sketch        | IV-A & B  |
| Copy of Adangal & 'A' Register       | v   |
| Copy of Partnership Deed             | VI  |
| Copy of Partners ID Proof            | VII   |
| Copy of RQP Certificate              | VIII  |
| Copy of Applied Lease Area Photos    | IX  |
|                                      | DescriptionPrecise Area Communication letterCopy of Krishnagiri District GazetteCopy of DFO letterCopy of FMB & Combined SketchCopy of Adangal & 'A' RegisterCopy of Partnership DeedCopy of Partners ID ProofCopy of RQP CertificateCopy of Applied Lease Area Photos  |

E PLICE

|         | LIST OF P                              | LATES  | பிக்குநர் அலுவு   |
|---------|--|--|-------------------|
|         |  | and the second s | 020 By 15         |
| Sl. No. | Description                            | Plate No.  | 9 100 2022 *      |
| 1,      | Location Plan                          | 1 Sugar  | C. C. O. C. Seale |
| 2.      | Route Map                              | IA   | Not to Scale      |
| 3.      | Topo Sheet Map                         | ÎB   | 1:50,000          |
| 4.      | Satellite Image (500m Radius)          | IC   | 1:5000            |
| 5.      | Mine Lease Plan                        | II   | 1:1000            |
| 6.      | Surface & Geological Plan              | III  | 1:1000            |
| 7.      | Geological Sections                    | III-A  | 1:1000            |
| 8.      | Year Wise Development And Production   | IV   | 1:1000            |
|         | Plan                                   |  |                   |
| 9.      | Year Wise Development And Production   | IV- A  | 1:1000            |
|         | Sections                               |  |                   |
| 10.     | Mine Layout, Land Use Pattern and      | V  | 1:1000            |
|         | Afforestation Plan                     |  |                   |
| 11.     | Environment Plan                       | VI   | 1:5000            |
| 12.     | Conceptual/Final Mine Closure Plan     | VII  | 1:1000            |
| 13.     | Conceptual/Final Mine Closure Sections | VII- A   | 1:1000            |
| 14.     | Conceptual Plan Common Boundary        | VIII   | 1:1000            |
| 15.     | Conceptual Sections Common Boundary    | VIII- A  | 1:1000            |
| 16.     | Progressive Mine Closure Plan          | IX   | 1:1000            |

to pular

M/s. S.R. ENTERPRISES. D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar, West, 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District - 635 109.



#### **CONSENT LETTER FROM THE APPLICANT**

I hereby give my consent for preparing the Mining Plan in respect of Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Hectares of Government Poramboke Land in S.F.No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State has been prepared by Shri. S. Mathan Prakash, Recognized Qualified Person.

I request the Deputy Director, Department of Geology and Mining, KRISHNAGIRI District to make further correspondence regarding the Mining Plan with the said Recognized Oualified Person on this following address.

## S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.,

RQP/CNN/270/2016/A No.2/274, East Street, Kulasekaranallur Post, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District - 628 401. E-Mail: geomathanprakash@gmail.com Cell: 86680-20217

I hereby undertake that all modifications so made in the Mining Plan by the Recognized Qualified Person may be deemed to have been made with my knowledge and consent and shall be acceptable to me and binding on me in all respects.

For M/s. S.R. Enterprises,

PLIGE

Signature of the Applicant

PULGE

Place: Krishnagiri

Date:

M/s. S.R. ENTERPRISES, D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar, West, 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District - 635 109.



### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the Mining Plan in respect of **Rough Stone** quarry over an extent 2.00.00 Hectares of Government Poramboke Land in S.F.No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, and Tamil Nadu State has been prepared with my consultation and I have understood the contents and agree to implement the same in accordance with the Mining Laws.

For M/s. S.R. Enterprises,

PUGE Signature of the Applicant

Place: Krishnagiri

Date:

PUGR

# S.MATHAN PRAKASH,M.Sc.,M.Phil., RQP/CNN/270/2016/A

#### **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that, the provisions of Minor Minerals Conservation and Development Rules, 2010 (MMCDR) have been observed in the Mining Plan for the grant of **Rough Stone** quarry lease over an extent of **2.00.00Hectares** of **Government Poramboke** Land in S.F.No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State obtained by M/s. S.R. Enterprises for applied quarry lease.

Wherever specific permission / exemptions / relaxations or approvals are required, the applicant will approach the concerned authorities of State and Central Governments for granting such permissions etc.

Certified

No.2/274, East Street,

EtHasekaranallur Post,

Ottapidaram Taluk

2h8otheru 2022628 4

60,6001

Rujai colum

Signature of Recognized Qualified Person.

S. MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., RQP/CNN/270/2016/A

Place: Thoothukudi

Date:

PULLE

6

# S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., RQP/CNN/270/2016/A

Place: Thoothukudi

Date:



This is to certify that during preparation of Mining Plan for Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Hectares of Government Poramboke Land in S.F.No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu State for M/s. S.R. Enterprises covers all the provisions of Mines Act, Rules, and Regulations etc made there under and whenever specific permission are required, the applicant will approach the Director General of Mines Safety, Chennai. The standards prescribed by DGMS in respect of Mines Health will be strictly implemented.

Signature of Recognized Qualified Person.

Certified

East Street,

க்குநா அலு

Ottapidaram Talı

CELLE SEASS

En Low

Blood

\*

S. MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., ROP/CNN/270/2016/A

PLIGE

MINING PLAN FOR MINOR MINERAL

**<u>ROUGH STONE QUARRY</u>** 

PROPOSED PERIOD OF MINING 5 YEARS

இயக்குநர் அலுல

2 9 JUN 2022

Over an extent of 2.00.00 Hectares of Government Poramboke Land in

S.F. No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamilnadu State.

(Prepared Under Rule 8(6)(b) Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 & As Per Amendment Under Rule 41 & 42)

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

- M/s. S.R. ENTERPRISES, office at D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar, West, 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District- 635 109 has applied for the grant of quarry lease to quarry Rough Stone over an extent of 2.00.00 Hectares of Government Poramboke Land in S.F.No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District of Tamil Nadu State for a period of Five Years under Tender cum Auction.
- 2. The Applicant has been the Successful HIGHEST BIDDER for an Amount Rs.3,23,00,000/- in a tender cum Auction conducted by the Government of Tamilnadu notified vide Gazette No.15 dated 14.03.2022 and Precise area had been given for the proposed grant of Rough Stone quarry lease to M/s. S.R. Enterprises over an extent of 2.00.00 hectares in Government Poramboke land in S.F.No.86(Part-3) of Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District of Tamil Nadu State for a period of Five Years Vide Letter Rc.No.546/2022/Mines dated 04.05.2022 and directed to submit the approved Mining Plan and Environmental Clearance certificate from the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) for the grant of quarry lease for the applied area.
- 3. Accordingly, Mining Plan is prepared under Rule 8(6)(b) Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 & As per Amendment under Rule 41 & 42 by incorporating the conditions imposed in the precise area communication letter and by incorporating all the details proposed in the letter to obtain Environmental clearance from State Environment Impact Assessment Authority.
- 4. In the above circumstances the Mining Plan has been prepared for the Applicant M/s. S.R.Enterprises for approval and subsequent submission of Form-I and pre Feasibility report to obtain environmental clearance from the SEIAA of Tamil Nadu.

S. MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., NOPICHN/278/2016/A

ell-he

- 5. This Mining Plan is prepared for the applied Rough Stone Quarry for the period of Five years by considering the TNMMCR 1959 and as per the EIA Notification 2000 and subsequent amendments and judgements.
- 6. The Geological Reserves is estimated as 893592M<sup>3</sup>★and Mineable Reserves and recoverable reserves is estimated as 544243M<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone after the recoverable reserves is estimated as 544243M<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone after the recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves is estimated as indicated in the presise after the recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves is estimated as indicated in the presise after the recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves is estimated as 544243M<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone after the recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves is estimated as 544243M<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone after the recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves and recoverable reserves are stored as the recoverable recoverable reserves are stored as the recoverable recoverable reserves are stored as the recoverable reco
- The proposed production scheduled for the five years about 544243M<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone.
   Proposed average annual production of Rough stone 108849M<sup>3</sup>.
- 8. Estimated Life of the Quarry

| Total Mineable ROM           | $= 544243 \text{ m}^3$       |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mineable Reserves @ 100%     | = 544243 m <sup>3</sup>      |
| Average production per year  | $=108849 m^{3}$              |
| Estimated Life of the Quarry | = 544243/ 108849 = 5.0 years |

#### Life = 5.0 years

The Life of mine may change depend upon the prospecting results, rate of production and the extent of mechanization done by the applicant in near future.

- 9. Environmental measures to be adopted shall be,
  - i) Dust Control at source while drilling and Proposed Control Blasting,
  - ii) Dust suppression at loading point and transport haul roads,
  - iii) Noise Control in Proposed Control Blasting, control of fly rock missiles and vibration by doing peak particle velocity within standard as prescribed by the DGMS and MoEF.
  - iv) Unnecessary land degradation should be avoided or damaged land should be reclaimed or rehabilitated.
  - v) Avoid uneven rat hole mining and follow scientific and systematic mining by safe bench system of open cast mining.
  - vi) Mining near major fracture zones if any should be avoided to control ground water fluctuation in the adjacent agricultural lands.
  - vii) Emission test of vehicles should be in stack to maintain minimum emission level of flue gases.
  - viii) Noise level should not exceed 80db and the vehicles should use only permitted Air Horn while on road near residential areas.

234

PULLER

- ix) Safety zones as prescribed by the Department of Geology and Mining from adjacent infrastructures should be strictly adhered to.
   x) And any other we find
- x) And any other conditions as stipulated by the followed to protect the environment.
   EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

# 2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

| a.                               | Name of the Village   |      | Venkatesapuram  |
|----------------------------------|---|------|---|
| b.                               | Name of the Panchayat / Union   | 2000 | Venkatesapuram / Shoolagiri   |
| c.                               | The proposed total Mineable   | •    | 544243M <sup>3</sup>  |
|                                  | Reserves  |      |   |
| d.                               | The proposed quantity of reserves   | :    | 544243M <sup>3</sup>  |
|                                  | (level of production) for Five  |      |   |
|                                  | Years to be mined is  |      |   |
|                                  | (Recoverable reserves)  |      |   |
| e.                               | Total extent of the area  | 4    | 2.00.00 На.   |
| f.                               | Proposed Period of mining   |      | Five years  |
| g.                               | Proposed Depth of mining  | 8    | Mining Reserves Calculated upto 51m - Top Soil  |
|                                  |   |      | 2.0m + Rough stone 49m. (Surface Ground Level   |
|                                  |   |      | Above height is 10m and Surface Ground Level  |
|                                  |   |      | Below Depth is 41m).  |
|                                  |   |      |   |
| h.                               | Existing Pit Dimension  |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m = 28300 Cbm   |
| h.<br>i.                         | Existing Pit Dimension Average production per year  |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m = 28300 Cbm<br>108849M <sup>3</sup>   |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.                   | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of  |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench  |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.                   | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization   |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.  |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.             | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the   | :    | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.         i) Compressor with jack hammer.  |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.             | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry   |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.         i) Compressor with jack hammer.       ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.  |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.             | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry<br>Cost of the Project  |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.         i) Compressor with jack hammer.       ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.  |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.             | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry<br>Cost of the Project<br>a. Fixed Cost   |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench         height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.       i) Compressor with jack hammer.         ii) Compressor with jack hammer.       ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.         Rs.3,25,90,000/-       Rs.3,25,90,000/-   |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.             | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry<br>Cost of the Project<br>a. Fixed Cost<br>b. Operational Cost  |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench         height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.       i) Compressor with jack hammer.         ii) Compressor with jack hammer.       ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.         Rs.3,25,90,000/-       Rs.30,00,000/-   |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.             | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry<br>Cost of the Project<br>a. Fixed Cost<br>b. Operational Cost<br>c. EMP Cost   |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m       = 28300 Cbm         108849M <sup>3</sup> Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench         height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.       i) Compressor with jack hammer.         ii) Compressor with jack hammer.       ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.         Rs.3,25,90,000/-       Rs.30,00,000/-         Rs.3,45,000/-       Rs.3,45,000/- |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.<br>1.       | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry<br>Cost of the Project<br>a. Fixed Cost<br>b. Operational Cost<br>c. EMP Cost<br>The area applied for lease is                                    |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m= 28300 Cbm108849M³Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench<br>height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.i) Compressor with jack hammer.ii) Compressor with jack hammer.ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.Rs.3,25,90,000/-<br>Rs.30,00,000/-<br>Rs.3,45,000/-Toposheet No. 57 – H/14  |
| h.<br>i.<br>j.<br>k.<br>1.<br>m. | Existing Pit Dimension<br>Average production per year<br>Method of mining / level of<br>mechanization<br>Types of Machineries used in the<br>quarry<br>Cost of the Project<br>a. Fixed Cost<br>b. Operational Cost<br>c. EMP Cost<br>The area applied for lease is<br>bounded by four corners and the |      | 1415 Sq.mts X 20m= 28300 Cbm108849M³Opencast, Semi-mechanized Mining with a bench<br>height of 7m and bench width of 5m is proposed.i) Compressor with jack hammer.ii) Excavator of 0.90Cbm bucket Capacity.Rs.3,25,90,000/-<br>Rs.30,00,000/-<br>Rs.3,45,000/-Toposheet No. 57 – H/14  |



10

PUGR 235

| Latitude   | : 12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N           |
|------------|--|
| Longitude  | : 77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56 34.69"E            |
| North East | : 12° 45' 19.41" N 77856' 40.17"E              |
| South East | : 12° 45' 15.83" N 7 56' 46. PO 2022           |
| North West | : 12° 45' 18.14" N 256 3050 50 000 811         |
| South West | : 12° 45' 15.08" N 77° 50 34 90 EID & AUTAL 50 |

# 3.0 GENERAL INFORMATION:

| 3.1 | a.         | Name of the Applicant                       | : | M/s. S.R. Enterprises,                 |
|-----|------------|---|---|--|
|     | b.         | Address of the Applicant with phone No      | : | M/s. S.R. Enterprises,                 |
|     |            | and e-mail id if any                        |   | D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar,                |
|     |            | 0   |   | West, 2nd Cross,                       |
|     |            |   |   | Hosur Taluk,                           |
|     |            |   |   | Krishnagiri District - 635 109.        |
|     | c.         | Status of the Applicant                     | : | Partnership firm                       |
| 3.2 | a.         | Mineral Which the applicant intends to mine | : | Rough Stone                            |
|     | b,         | Precise area communication letter No.       | * | Rc. No.546/2022/Mines dated 04.05.2022 |
|     | <b>c</b> , | Period of permission                        | : | 5 Years                                |
|     | d.         | Name and Address of the Recognized          | : | S.Mathan Prakash,                      |
|     |            | Qualified Person preparing the Mining Plan  | 6 | RQP/CNN/270/2016/A                     |
|     |            |   |   | No.2/274, East Street,                 |
|     |            |   |   | Kulasekaranallur Post,                 |
|     |            | -   |   | Ottapidaram Taluk,                     |
|     |            |   |   | Thoothukudi District - 628 401.        |
|     |            |   |   | Email: geomathanraj@gmail.com          |
|     | e.         | RQP Regn. No.                               | : | RQP/CNN/270/2016/A                     |
|     |            |   |   | Valid up to 09.02.2026.                |

# 4.0 LOCATION:

# a. Details of the Area:

| State     | District    | Panchat / Union                | Taluk      | Village        | S.F.No.        | Extent<br>in Ha. |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Tamilnadu | Krishnagiri | Venkatesapuram /<br>Shoolagiri | Shoolagiri | Venkatesapuram | 86<br>(Part-3) | 2.00.00          |
|           |             | ΤΟΤΑΙ                          | [] =       |                |                | 2.00.00          |

11 PUIGE 236

| b, | Classification of the Area<br>(Ryotwari / poramboke /<br>others)                              | : | It is a Government Porandoke land, which is not fit<br>for vegetation/cultivation  |
|----|---|---|--|
| c. | Ownership / Occupancy of the<br>Applied Lease area (Surface<br>rights)                        |   | It is a Government Borambeke land The opplicant had<br>been given precise area for the opposed erent of<br>Rough Stone Quarry Lease winnib #07508                            |
| d. | Toposheet No. with<br>Latitude and<br>Longitude   | : | Toposheet No. 57 – H/14<br>12° 45' 19.41"N to 12° 45' 14.07"N<br>77° 56' 40.17"E to 77° 56' 34.69"E  |
| e. | Existence of Public Road /<br>Railway line if any nearby the<br>area and approximate distance |   | Krishnagiri - Shoolagiri = 28.0 Kms<br>Shoolagiri - Settipalli = 8.6 Kms<br>Quarry site is located in Northwestern side at a distance<br>of 4.8 km. from Settipalli village. |

<u> PART - A</u>

# 5.0 GEOLOGY AND MINERAL RESERVES:

| 5.1 | a. | Topography:   |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----|----|---|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|     |    | 1. The area applied for quarry lease is almost hilly terrain area sloping towards |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | Southeast covered v   | Southeast covered with Rough Stone which does not sustain any type of vegetation |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | The altitude of the a   | rea  | is Maximum 868n     | n and Minimum 858m above MSL              |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 2. No major river is  | fou  | nd nearby the lease | e area                                    |  |  |  |  |
|     |    |   |  | ind neuroy the reas |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 3. Water table is no  | tice   | ed at a depth of 9: | 5m from the below surface in the adjacent |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | open wells and bore   | we   | lls of the area.    |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 4. Temperature of t   | he   | area is reported t  | o be 18°C to a maximum of 38°C during     |  |  |  |  |
|     | -  | summer.   |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 5. Rainfall of this are   | ea i   | s about 800mm to    | 900 mm during the monsoons in a year.     |  |  |  |  |
|     | b. | Infrastructures   |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | nearby the applied  |  |                     |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | Lease area.   |  | 1                   |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 1. Post Office  | 3  | Shoolagiri          | – 18.5 Kms                                |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 2. Police Station   | 3  | Shoolagiri          | – 18.9 Kms                                |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 3. G.H  | 3  | Shoolagiri          | – 17.3 Kms                                |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 4. Fire service   |  | Hosur               | – 21.6 Kms                                |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 5. Railway Station  | 3  | Hosur               | – 17.0 Kms                                |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 6. School   | :  | Venkatesapuram      | – 1.0 Kms                                 |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 7. Airport  | :  | Bangalore           | – 45.0 Kms                                |  |  |  |  |
|     |    | 8. Seaport  | 2  | Chennai             | – 306.0 Kms                               |  |  |  |  |



12 PH GR<sup>237</sup>

|     | с. | Regional Geology                   | 2 | KRISHNAGIRI District is underlined by the wide range of<br>metamorphic rocks of peninsular greissic complete These<br>rocks are extensively weathered and overlain by the recent<br>valley fills and alluvium * at places. The geological<br>formations found in the Datrict are formations are Quartz veins and<br>calc-<br>gneisses. The younger formations are Quartz veins and<br>pegmatite. The generalized stratigraphic succession of the<br>geological formations met within this District is as follows.AgeRock Formation<br>Soil, Alluvium<br>recent1.Recent to Sub<br>Soil, Alluvium<br>recent2.ArchaeanGranites, basic<br>Granites, basic<br>Granites, basic<br>Granites, Calc |
|-----|----|------------------------------------|---|--|
|     | d. | Geology of the<br>Lease Area       |   | <ol> <li>The area is mainly composed of Archaean crystalline metamorphic complex.</li> <li>The rock type noticed in the area for lease is Granite Gneiss which contains mostly Quartz and Feldspar with some ferromagnesian minerals. The Granite Gneiss is part of peninsular Gneisses, a high grade metamorphic rock.</li> <li>The general trend of formation is N50°E - S50°W and dip towards SE-70°.</li> <li>The general geological succession of the area is given as under.</li> </ol>  |
|     |    |                                    |   | Age Rock Formation   |
|     |    |                                    |   | 1. Recent to Sub Soil, Alluvium<br>recent  |
|     |    |                                    |   | 2. Archaean Charnockites   |
|     |    |                                    |   | 3. Archaean Peninsular Gneiss, and Calc<br>Gneiss  |
| 5.2 |    | Details of                         | : | Since the Rough Stone is seen from the Surface itself no   |
| 5   |    | Exploration<br>already carried out |   | exploration is needed. However, the area was personally<br>examined by the Geologist who prepared the Mining Plan  |
|     |    | if any                             |   | the property into property into mining i fall.   |



Ö

C

13

PHGR<sup>238</sup>

|  | a. | Already of   | excavated   |         | 1415 Sq  | .mts X        | 20m(Depth)           | =28300 Cbm                           |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----|--|-------------|---------|----------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |    | pit dimens   | sions       |         | •        |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | h  | GEOLOCICAL RESERVES  |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0. | Ton Soil (Crevel):   |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | The This has a of Ten anil (Gravel) in this is a final state of the second state of th |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2  | The Thickness of Top soil(Gravel) in this area is 2.0m and the total volume of topsoil   |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | (gravel) will be 40176m <sup>3</sup> .   |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | Rough Stone :  |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | The Geold  | ogical Re   | serve i | s estim: | ated as       | 893592m <sup>3</sup> | respectively at th                   | e rate of 1000               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | Deserver   |             |         | :1.1. 1  |               | 0.1.1.1              | copectively, at up                   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | Recovery upto the permissible depth. The Geological reserve of Rough stone and Top   |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | soil(Grave   | el) is calc | ulated  | upto 51  | <b>m(2m</b> t | op soil(grave        | el) + <b>49m</b> Rough \$            | Stone). Surfac               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | Ground Level Above height is 10m and Surface Ground Level Below depth is 41m.  |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             |         | GEC      | LOGIC         | AL RESERVE           | S                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             |         |          |               |                      | 0                                    |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | Section  | Bench       | L       | w        | D             | Volume               | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>Cu.m(100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel)<br>Cu.m. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             | (m)     | (m)      | (m)           | (Cu.m.)              |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             | 81      | 104      | 2             |                      |                                      | 16848                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             | 81      | 39       | 7             | 22113                | 22113                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             | 81      | 104      | /             | 58968                | 58968                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | XY-AB  |             | 81      | 104      | /             | 58968                | 58968                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | V           | 81      | 104      |               | 58968                | 58968                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | VI          | 81      | 104      | 7             | 58968                | 58968                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | VII         | 81      | 104      | 7             | 58968                | 58968                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | VIII        | 81      | 104      | 7             | 58968                | 58968                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | Total= 375921 375921 16848   |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             | 81      | 144      | 2             |                      |                                      | 23328                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | 11          | 81      | 49       | 7             | 27783                | 27783                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             | 81      | 144      | 7             | 81648                | 81648                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | VV CD  | IV          | 81      | 144      | 7             | 81648                | 81648                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    | AT-CD  | V           | 81      | 144      | 7             | 81648                | 81648                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | VI          | 81      | 144      | 7             | 81648                | 81648                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | VII         | 81      | 144      | 7             | 81648                | 81648                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | VIII        | 81      | 144      | 7             | 81648                | 81648                                |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  | То          | tal=    |          |               | 517671               | 517671                               | 23328                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |    |  |             |         |          |               |                      |                                      | 60060                        |  |  |  |  |  |

Æ

6

PHAR

|  |  | MINEABL | E RESERVES: |
|--|--|---------|-------------|
|--|--|---------|-------------|

The Mineable reserves are calculated by deducting 7 one with the algorithm a

(111)3 of MMR.1961. **Top Soil (Gravel):** The Thickness of Top soil in the area is 2 (thorse the solution of topsoil(gravel) will be 38740m<sup>3</sup>.

## **Rough Stone :**

c.

D

O

Ø

0

0

0

0

Ŭ

O

0

 $\odot$ 

O

 $\leq 1$ 

 $(\Box)$ 

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

0

 $\odot$ 

( )

0

10

 $(\Box)$ 

10)

1.0

The mineable reserves and the recoverable reserves are  $544243m^3$  respectively, at the rate of 100% Recovery upto the permissible depth. The Mineable reserve of Rough stone and Top soil(Gravel) is calculated upto 51m(2m top soil(gravel) + 49m Rough Stone). Surface Ground Level Above height is 10m and Surface Ground Level Below depth is 41m.

|         |       |       | MI    | NEABLE   | RESERVES          |                                      |                              |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Section | Bench | L (m) | W (m) | D<br>(m) | Volume<br>(Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>Cu.m(100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel)<br>Cu.m. |
| -       | 1     | 149   | 130   | 2        |                   |                                      | 38740                        |
|         | - 11  | 147   | 69    | 7        | 71001             | 71001                                |                              |
|         | 111   | 137   | 123   | 7        | 117957            | 117957                               |                              |
|         | IV    | 127   | 113   | 7        | 100457            | 100457                               |                              |
| ХҮ-АВ   | V     | 117   | 103   | 7        | 84357             | 84357                                |                              |
|         | VI    | 107   | 93    | 7        | 69657             | 69657                                |                              |
|         | VII   | 97    | 83    | 7        | 56357             | 56357                                |                              |
|         | VIII  | 87    | 73    | 7        | 44457             | 44457                                |                              |
|         | Ť     | otal= | b:    |          | 544243            | 544243                               | 38740                        |

# 6.0 <u>MINING</u>:

| 6.1 | Method of Mining | : | 1. Opencast method of semi mechanized mining is adopted to       |
|-----|------------------|---|--|
|     |                  |   | extract Rough Stone.   |
|     |                  |   | 2. Machineries like Tractor mounted compressor attached          |
|     |                  |   | with Jack hammers is being used to drilling and Proposed         |
| 0   |                  |   | Control Blasting. Excavators are operated for quarrying of       |
|     |                  |   | Rough Stone and Tippers / Lorries are used for                   |
|     |                  |   | transportation of Rough Stone to the destination.                |
| 6.2 | Mode of Working  | : | It is a semi mechanized quarrying operation using shot hole      |
|     |                  |   | drilling with the help of compressor and jack hammers, smooth    |
|     |                  |   | blasting. Rough Stone are removed using Hydraulic excavator      |
|     |                  |   | and loaded directly to the tippers and transported to the nearby |
|     |                  |   | end users.   |



15

pubr

| 6.3 | Proposed bench  | ĺ. | Bench height = 7mts.   |
|-----|---|----|--|
|     | height & Width  |    | Bench width = 5mts.  |
| 6.4 | Details of  | 3  | Top Soil(Gravel)/ Overburgen production details follows:   |
|     | Overburden /<br>Mineral Production<br>proposed for Five |    | The entire lease area is covered 2 0m of Topostell Gravel and<br>the estimated quantity of Top soil Gravel us 58 <sup>3</sup> 10m <sup>3</sup> . Top |
|     | year  |    | soli(Gravel) formation will be removed and transported to the  |
|     |   |    | needy end user, only after obtaining permission and paying   |
|     |   |    | necessary seigniorage fees to the Government.  |

#### Year wise reserves calculations :

#### Rough stone production details as follows:

The proposed rate of production of **Rough Stone** is about  $544243m^3$  for five years. The average proposed rate of production of **Rough Stone** is about  $108849m^3$  per year at the rate of 100% recovery upto the permissible depth. Reserves Calculated upto 51m(2mtop soil(gravel) + 49m Rough Stone). Surface Ground Level Above height is 10m and Surface Ground Level Below depth is 41m.

Proposed Production of five Years.

|          |         | YEARWI | SE DE\   | /ELOPN   | VIENT A  | ND PROD        | UCTION                               |                            |
|----------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| YEAR     | Section | Bench  | L<br>(m) | W<br>(m) | D<br>(m) | Volume<br>(m3) | Recoverable<br>Reserves<br>m3 (100%) | Top Soil<br>(Gravel)<br>m3 |
|          |         | I      | 149      | 130      | × 2      |                |                                      | 38740                      |
| I-YEAR   |         | I      | 147      | 69       | 7        | 71001          | 71001                                |                            |
|          |         | IH     | 137      | 123      | 7        | 117957         | 117957                               |                            |
| II-YEAR  |         | IV     | 127      | 113      | 7        | 100457         | 100457                               |                            |
| III-YEAR | AI-AD   | V      | 117      | 103      | 7        | 84357          | 84357                                |                            |
| IV-YEAR  |         | VI     | 107      | 93       | 7        | 69657          | 69657                                |                            |
| VEAD     |         | VII    | 97       | 83       | 7        | 56357          | 56357                                |                            |
| VEILAN   |         | VIII   | 87       | 73       | 7        | 44457          | 44457                                |                            |
|          |         | Total= |          |          |          | 544243         | 544243                               | 38740                      |

16

PHUR

| ••• | a.  | Mining  | •  | Drilling of  | f shot h   | oles will be c  | arried out  | using com  | presso   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|     |     |   |  | and jack r   | ammer.   | . Depth of ho   | les shall b   | e 1 to 2m  | bencl  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | from the preface. Detaile of the and fuir den shall be 0.60n   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     | ×   |  | below.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Туре   | Nos I  | Dia of 🗶 (Size  | 9 Make  | 022Motive  | H.P.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | ₹1_  |  | hole Capaci   | ty  | power  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Jack<br>Hammer   | 4  | mm ned  | Dip ppicon<br>2Nos  | J his base   | 60   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | b   | Loading   | :  | Loadi  | ing of w   | aste and rough  | stone shall   | be carried   | out by   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 2   |   |  | 10 tonne ca  | upacity t  | ippers from th  | e working p   | place period   | lically  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Details of le  | oading e   | equipment are   | given as un   | der.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Туре   | Nos  | Bucket  | Make  | Motive   | H.P  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Undraulic  |  | Capacity (MI  | )   | power  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | excavator  |  | 1.2 141   | Ex200   | Diesei   | 120  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  |  | <u> </u>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | С.  | Transportation  | :  | Transport o  | f raw m  | aterials and wa   | ste shall be  | • done by I  | inner  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  | 11  |   |  | of 10 M.T. capacity  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | 5 B | `   | · 1  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Туре   | Nos  | Size /  | Make  | Motive   | H.P.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |   |  | Туре   | Nos  | Size /<br>Capacity  | Make  | Motive<br>power  | H.P.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     | -1<br>  |  | Type<br>Tipper   | Nos<br>2   | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T  | Make<br>Ashok<br>Levland  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel  | H.P.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:   |  | Type<br>Tipper   | Nos<br>2   | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T  | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel  | H.P.<br>110  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | <b>Energy:</b><br>Electricity for min   | es ar  | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl  | Nos<br>2<br>y at nigl  | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working i:   | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel  | H.P.<br>110  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | <b>Energy:</b><br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 5   | es ar  | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl  | Nos<br>2<br>y at nigl<br>SD) wil   | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is   | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim  | H.P.<br>110<br>le only                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 5<br>441848 litres of H  | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>SD  | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl<br>Diesel (Hi<br>will be used  | Nos<br>2<br>y at nigl<br>SD) wil   | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for   | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>restricted<br>quarrying   | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a  | H.P.<br>110<br>e only<br>tround                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 5<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese   | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>ISD   | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl<br>Diesel (H<br>will be used<br>mps. No po   | Nos<br>2<br>y at nigl<br>SD) will<br>l for the<br>wer is t                                     | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for th  | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b   | H.P.<br>110<br>e only<br>around<br>rough                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 4<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take   | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>ISD<br>1 pur<br>en fr   | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl<br>Diesel (Hi<br>will be used<br>mps. No po  | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is the<br>electric                         | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for the<br>project after  | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>le project.   | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings  | H.P.<br>110<br>e only<br>around<br>rough<br>on the         |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 4<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti  | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>ISD<br>1 pur<br>en fr<br>ies.   | Type<br>Tipper<br>d lights onl<br>Diesel (H<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby  | Nos<br>2<br>y at nigi<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is the<br>electric                        | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for the<br>c poles after  | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>he project.<br>obtaining  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission                            | H.P.<br>110<br>e only<br>around<br>rough<br>on the<br>from |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 3<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti<br>For Top soil(Gray   | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>ISD<br>1 pus<br>en fi<br>ies.<br>rel):  | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl<br>Diesel (Hi<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby  | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is t<br>electric                           | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for th<br>c poles after   | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>le project.<br>obtaining  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission                            | H.P.<br>110<br>around<br>rough<br>on the                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d . | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 3<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti<br>For Top soil(Gray<br>Per hour excavator   | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>[SD<br>1 pur<br>en fr<br>ies.<br>rel):<br>will                                  | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl<br>). Diesel (Hi<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby<br>consume                                    | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is n<br>electric                           | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for the<br>poles after<br>= 10 lit                                  | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>le project.<br>obtaining  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission                            | H.P.<br>110<br>around<br>rough<br>on the                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 3<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti<br>For Top soil(Gray<br>Per hour excavator<br>Per hour excavator   | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>ISD<br>1 pur<br>en fi<br>ies.<br>rel):<br>will<br>will                          | Type<br>Tipper<br>Id lights onl<br>). Diesel (Hi<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby<br>consume<br>excavate                        | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is n<br>electric                           | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for th<br>c poles after<br>= 10 lift<br>= 60m <sup>3</sup>          | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>le project.<br>obtaining<br>res / hour<br>of Top soi  | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission                            | H.P.<br>110<br>around<br>rough<br>on the                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to :<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti<br>For Top soil(Grav<br>Per hour excavator<br>Per hour excavator<br>For 38740m <sup>3</sup>  | es at<br>5Pm)<br>ISD<br>1 put<br>en fi<br>ies.<br><b>rel):</b><br>will<br>will                   | Type<br>Tipper<br>I lights onl<br>Diesel (H<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby<br>consume<br>excavate                             | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is n<br>electric                           | Size /<br>Capacity<br>10 M.T<br>hts (working is<br>ll be used for<br>entire project<br>required for th<br>c poles after<br>= 10 lit<br>= 60m <sup>3</sup><br>= 3874 | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>le project.<br>obtaining<br>res / hour<br>of Top soi<br>D/60 = 6                              | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission                            | H.P.<br>110<br>around<br>rough<br>on the                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 4<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti<br>For Top soil(Grav<br>Per hour excavator<br>Per hour excavator<br>For 38740m <sup>3</sup><br>Diesel consumption                        | es ar<br>5Pm)<br>ISD<br>1 pur<br>en fi<br>ies.<br><b>rel):</b><br>will<br>will<br>a 645          | Type<br>Tipper<br>Tipper<br>ad lights only<br>Diesel (Hi<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby<br>consume<br>excavate<br>5.6 working | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is n<br>electric                           | Size /<br>Capacity10 M.Thts (working isll be used forentire projectrequired for thec poles after=10 lite=60m <sup>3</sup> =3874=645.6                               | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>le project.<br>obtaining<br>res / hour<br>of Top soi<br>D/60 = 6<br>x 10 litres               | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission                            | H.P.<br>110<br>around<br>rough<br>on the                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | d   | Energy:<br>Electricity for min<br>between 9Am to 3<br>441848 litres of H<br>from nearby diese<br>night will be take<br>concerned authoriti<br>For Top soil(Grav<br>Per hour excavator<br>Per hour excavator<br>For 38740m <sup>3</sup><br>Diesel consumption<br>Total diesel consum | es ai<br>5Pm)<br>[SD<br>1 pui<br>en fi<br>ies.<br><b>rel):</b><br>will<br>will<br>n 645<br>nptio | Type<br>Tipper<br>Diesel (Hi<br>will be used<br>mps. No po<br>rom nearby<br>consume<br>excavate<br>5.6 working<br>m = 6456                 | Nos<br>2<br>y at nig<br>SD) wil<br>l for the<br>wer is n<br>electric<br>hours<br><b>litres</b> | Size /<br>Capacity10 M.Thts (working isll be used forentire projectrequired for thc poles after=10 lit= $60m^3$ = $3874$ = $645.6$ of HSD w                         | Make<br>Ashok<br>Leyland<br>s restricted<br>quarrying<br>life. Diese<br>ne project.<br>obtaining<br>res / hour<br>of Top soi<br>D/60 = 6<br>x 10 litres<br>ill be uti | Motive<br>power<br>Diesel<br>on day tim<br>machines a<br>l will be b<br>Lightings<br>permission<br>l(Gravel)<br>45.6 hours | H.P.<br>110<br>e only<br>around<br>rough<br>on the<br>from |  |  |  |  |  |



| 1   | For Rough stone                        |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|     | Per hour excevator will or             | ~***C   | - 161:4   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Der hour excevator will ex             | Per hour excavator will excavate = 200000000000000000000000000000000000 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | For 544243 $m^3$ = 200 to trough stone |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Dissel consume 27212 uv                | 1-  | 37344243/20 = 27213  Powers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Total diesel consumetion               |   | 125202 Name Filters   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Stone                                  |   | 435392 ntres of HISD will abcountingen for Rough                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Total dissal computer                  |   | DUID BUT  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Store A25202 L Kurry                   | Dn  | is around (1op soil (Gravel) 6456 Litres + Rough                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.6 | Stone 435392 Litres $) = 4$            | <b>14</b> ]   | 848 litres of HSD for the entire period of life                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 | Disposal of Overburden                 | 8   | The estimated quantity of Top soil(Gravel) is 38740m <sup>3</sup> . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | Top Soil(Gravel) formation will be removed and                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | transported to the needy end user, only after obtaining             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | permission and paying necessary seigniorage fees to                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.7 |  |   | the Government.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.7 | Brief Note on                          | 2000  | Conceptual Mining Plan is prepared with an object                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Conceptual Mining Plan                 |   | of systematic development of bench lay outs, selection              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | for the entire lease                   |   | of ultimate pit limit, depth of quarrying, ultimate pit             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | period                                 |   | slope, etc., Average Ultimate Pit dimension in given as             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | Under,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSIONS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | Ultimate pit size is designed based on certain                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | practical factors such as the economical depth of                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | mining, safety zones, permissible areas etc.                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | Aftorestation has been proposed on the boundary                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | barrier by planting trees. All the baseline information             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | studies like Air Quality monitoring, Noise and                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | Vibration monitoring, Water Analysis studies will be                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |   | carried out every year as per the MOEF norms.                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

BA.

PLICE
|  | Proposed Control Blasting | : The massive formation                             | P atio | I be broken into bieces                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Pattern                   | portable size by arithing and Proposed Control Blas |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | using jack hammers and shot hole Blasting           |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | factor of explosives to                             | brea   | ting such hand tock shall t                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | in the order of 6 to 7 to                           | nnes   | per K.g of explosives.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Proposed Control Blas                               | sting  | parameters are as follows.                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Diameter of the hole                                | 3      | 32-36 mm   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Spacing   |        | 60 Cms   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Depth   |        | 1 to 1.5m  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Charge / Hole                                       | 1      | D.Cord with water or 70<br>gms of gun powder or<br>Gelatine. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Pattern of hole                                     | :      | Zig Zag  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Inclination of hole                                 | :      | 70° from the horizontal                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Quantity of rock<br>broken                          | :      | 0.45 MT x 2.6 = 1.17 M                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Control Blasting<br>efficiency @ 90%                |        | 1.17 x 90% = 1.05MT /<br>hole                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Charge per hole                                     | 1      | 140 gms of 25mm dia<br>cartridge                             |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | Quantity of rock                                    | :      | 362.8M <sup>3</sup> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | broken per day                                      |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | ROCK BLASTING                                       | 2      | drilling the shot holes                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | 3 checking the holes                                | 4      | charging with explosives &                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           | 5 detonating the explosives                         | 6      | shotpile ready for loading                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           |   |        | ę.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           |   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           |   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           |   |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                           |   |        | 19   |  |  |  |  |  |

B A

| 7.2 | Types of Explosives         | ]: | Follow | wing explosives are recommended for efficient      |
|-----|-----------------------------|----|--------|--|
|     |                             |    | Propo  | sed Control Blasting with safe practice.           |
|     |                             |    | S.     | Description Class / Type 0, Size                   |
|     |                             |    | 1.     | Slumy 62 Class Hin Ming 25 x                       |
|     |                             |    | 2      | Detailing Class - 3 Ordingrow and 65 x             |
| ř.  |                             |    |        | Betomation and and and and and and and and and an  |
|     |                             |    | 3.     | Safety fuse Class - 6 Blue sum                     |
|     | 1                           |    | 11 /   | fuse coils of                                      |
| 7.3 | Measures proposed to        | -  | The f  | I Turnis each                                      |
|     | minimize ground vibration   | •  | vibrat | ion due to Proposed Control Blasting.              |
|     | due to Proposed Control     | '  | 1.     | The minimum recommended delay time of 8ms          |
|     | Riesting                    |    |        | was introduced to minimize ground vibration to     |
|     | Blasting                    |    | · ·    | avoid constructive interference of blast vibration |
|     |                             |    |        | waves and hence its impact or amplitude.           |
|     |                             |    | 2.     | In case of electronic detonators, which are        |
|     | ľ                           |    | ľ      | inherently much more accurate delays (+/- 0.2      |
|     |                             |    | l      | milliseconds delay) to minimizes the ground        |
|     |                             |    | l      | vibration.   |
|     |                             |    | 3.     | Use of Ammonium nitrate fuel oil mixture for       |
|     |                             |    |        | shot holes may be avoided because which cause      |
|     | [                           |    | l      | for high fly of rocks in view critical diameter    |
|     |                             |    |        | problem. Only high strength explosives like        |
|     |                             |    |        | slurry will be used in the form of cartridge.      |
|     |                             |    | 4.     | Charge per hole should exceed the powder factor    |
|     |                             |    |        | designed for each hole based on the quantum of     |
|     | i l                         |    |        | Proposed Control Blasting, strength of rocks,      |
|     |                             |    |        | fracture pattern etc.                              |
| 7.4 | Storage of Explosives and   | :  | 1.     | The Applicant stores the explosives as per the     |
|     | safety measures to be taken |    |        | Indian Explosives Act, 1958.                       |
|     | while Proposed Control      |    | 2.     | The explosives to be used in mines being a small   |
|     | Blasting.                   |    |        | quantity, the District collector may be            |
|     |                             |    |        | 5kgs at time or any other quantity permitted by    |
|     |                             |    |        | the concerned authorities in a portable magazine   |
|     |                             |    |        | of S & B types.                                    |

)

the

20

PHOR

|    | 3 An authorized availating analysis analysis              |
|----|---|
|    | carry our blasting.                                       |
| 24 | 4. The blasting time in a day is between 5 PM to<br>6 PMc |
|    | 5. First Aid Box is kept read with the time.              |
|    | 6. Necessary precautionary announcement is being          |
|    | carried out before the blasting operation. operation.     |

### 8.0 MINE DRAINAGE:

Abs

| 8.1 | Depth of Water table                 |   | The ground water table is reported as 95m       |
|-----|--------------------------------------|---|---|
|     |                                      |   | below ground level in nearby open wells and     |
|     |                                      |   | bore wells of this area. Mining reserves        |
|     |                                      |   | calculated taken upto 51m(Surface Ground        |
|     |                                      |   | Level Above Height 10m & Surface Ground         |
|     |                                      |   | Level Below Depth 41m). Now, proposed           |
|     |                                      |   | quarry depth is above the water table. Hence,   |
|     |                                      |   | quarrying may not affect the ground water.      |
| 8.2 | Arrangement and Places where the     | 3 | The ground water may not rise immediately in    |
|     | mine water is finally proposed to be |   | this type of mining. However, the rain water    |
|     | discharged                           |   | percolation and collection of water from the    |
| 8   |                                      |   | seepage shall be less than 300 lpm and it shall |
|     |                                      |   | be pumped out periodically by a stand by diesel |
|     |                                      |   | powered Centrifugal pump motivated with 7.5     |
|     |                                      |   | H.P. Motor. The quality of water is potable and |
|     |                                      |   | it is not contaminated with any hazardous       |
|     |                                      |   | things.   |

| 9.1 | Habitations / Village                       |   | There are   | There are no villages within a radius of 50 mg. The nearest |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|     |   |   | habitations v   | habitations with the population is given as under           |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | Direction   | Village   | Distance            | Population   |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | North   | Alnatham  | 20kms.              | 200          |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | East  | Athimugam   | 10 m iskan          | 370          |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | South   | Bukkasagaram  | 3.2kms              | 510 🦼        |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | West  | Thattiganapalli   | 5.7kms              | 320          |  |  |  |  |
| 9.2 | Power lines (HT/LT)                         |   | No power line is located in the lease area.             |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3 | Water bodies (River,                        | : | There is No   | Water bodies (Rive  | r, Pond, Lake, (    | Odai, Channe |  |  |  |  |
|     | Pond, Lake, Odai,<br>Channel etc)           |   | etc) located within a radius of 500m.                   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9.4 | Archeological /                             | : | There are no  | Archeological / Hi  | istorical Monun     | nents within |  |  |  |  |
|     | Historical Monuments                        |   | radius of 500   | radius of 500m.   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 | Road (NH, SH, Village                       | : | Krishnagiri - Shoolagiri = 28.0 Kms                     |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | Road etc)                                   |   | s   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | Ouarry site i   | western side at   | n distance c        |              |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | 4.01 6  |   | WOSTOIN SING U.     | a ulstance o |  |  |  |  |
| ~ / |   |   | 4.0 km. rom Settipalli village.                         |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9.6 | Places of Worship                           |   | There are no  | Places of Worship   | within a radius     | of 500m.     |  |  |  |  |
| 9.7 | Reserved Forest /                           | 8 | Distance bet  | tween Reserve For   | rest Athimugar      | n II and th  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Forest / Social Forest /                    |   | applied area  | = 1.04kms   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | Wild Life Sanctuary                         |   | Distance fr   | om Canvery No   | -th Wild life       | Ganatuan     |  |  |  |  |
|     | etc.,                                       |   |   |   | rtn who me          | e Sanctuary  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   | Udedurgam =   | = 23.8 kms.   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9.8 | Any Interstate Border,                      |   | There are No  | interstate borders v  | vithin a radius o   | of 10 kms.   |  |  |  |  |
|     | Protected areas under                       |   | Cauvery North Wild life Sanctuary. Udedurgam located    |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | the Wild Life                               |   | within the distance of about 22.9 kmg from the loss are |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | (Protection) Act, 1972,                     |   |   | stance of about 25.0  | · KIIIS HOIII UIC I | ease area.   |  |  |  |  |
|     | Critically Pollutea                         |   |   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | Areas as identified by<br>Central Pollution |   |   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | Control Roard and                           |   |   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | Notified Eco sensitive                      |   |   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
|     | areas                                       |   |   |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 | A my Oth on Stan strange                    |   | NU  |   |                     |              |  |  |  |  |

Ō

H

Ų

22

PMAR

| 10.1 |          | Employment   |   | : 1. As per Mines safety under the order store of MMI  |
|------|----------|--|---|--|
|      |          | Potential  |   | 1961 under the Mines Act 1952 whenever   |
|      |          | (Management &  |   | workers are employed more that 2022  |
|      |          | Supervisory  |   | to have a qualified to inice mature, for preserve  |
|      |          | nersonal)  |   | to have a quannet arthing Mate to the at the   |
|      |          | personary  |   | workers directly under als control and supervision.  |
|      | 1        |  |   | 2. The following man power is proposed for quarryin  |
|      |          |  |   | Rough Stone during the five years period to achiev   |
|      |          |  |   | the proposed production to the provisions of th  |
|      |          |  |   | Government norms.  |
|      |          |  |   | 1. Skilled Operator 2 No   |
|      |          |  |   | Mechanic 1 No.   |
|      |          |  |   | Blaster/Mat 1 No.  |
|      |          |  |   | 2. Semi-skilled Driver 2 Nos   |
|      |          |  |   | 3. Unskilled Musdoor / 5 Nos   |
|      |          |  |   | Labours  |
|      |          |  | 1 | Office Port 1N-  |
|      |          |  |   | 4. Management & Supervisory 2No  |
|      |          |  |   | staff  |
|      | 1        |  |   | <b>T</b> 1   |
| _    |          |  |   | 10tal = 18Nos  |
| 0.2  |          | Welfare Measures   | - | 10tal = 18Nos  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water   |   | Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water   | * | Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water   | * | Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be<br>provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water   |   | Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be<br>provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to<br>make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of   |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water   | * | Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be<br>provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to<br>make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of<br>drinking water and other utilities.  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water   |   | Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be<br>provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to<br>make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of<br>drinking water and other utilities.  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water<br>Sanitary facilities                                      | : | Initial =       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water<br>Sanitary facilities                                      | 1 | I otal =       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures Drinking Water Sanitary facilities  | 1 | Iteration       Iteration         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water<br>Sanitary facilities                                      | : | Iterate       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for males and females. Washing facilities are also at the second s |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures Drinking Water Sanitary facilities  | : | Iteration       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for males and females. Washing facilities are also arranged  |
| 0.2  | a.       | Welfare Measures<br>Drinking Water<br>Sanitary facilities                                      | : | Iterate       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for males and females. Washing facilities are also arranged as per rule (36) of the Mines Rules, 1960.   |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures Drinking Water Sanitary facilities First Aid Facility                         | 3 | Iterate       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for males and females. Washing facilities are also arranged as per rule (36) of the Mines Rules, 1960.         Being a small mine First Aid station as per provisions  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures         Drinking Water         Sanitary facilities         First Aid Facility | : | Itel =18NosDrinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be<br>provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to<br>make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of<br>drinking water and other utilities.Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at<br>convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions<br>of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for<br>males and females. Washing facilities are also arranged<br>as per rule (36) of the Mines Rules, 1960.Being a small mine First Aid station as per provisions<br>under Rule (44) of the Mines Rules 1960 with her  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures Drinking Water Sanitary facilities First Aid Facility                         | 3 | Iteration       18Nos         Iteration       18Nos         Drinking water at the rate of 2Ltrs per person shall be provided as per the Mines Rules, 1960. It is proposed to make a borehole for providing uninterrupted supply of drinking water and other utilities.         Semi permanent latrines & urinals shall be maintained at convenient places for use of labours as per the provisions of Rule (33) of the Mines Rules, 1960 separately for males and females. Washing facilities are also arranged as per rule (36) of the Mines Rules, 1960.         Being a small mine First Aid station as per provisions under Rule (44) of the Mines Rules 1960 will be provided with facilities.  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures         Drinking Water         Sanitary facilities         First Aid Facility | : | IterationItera  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures Drinking Water Sanitary facilities First Aid Facility                         | : | Iteration       Iteration         Iteration  |
| 0.2  | a.<br>b. | Welfare Measures Drinking Water Sanitary facilities First Aid Facility                         | : | Iteration       Iteration         Iteration  |



PHGR

| l. Labour Health  | : | As per Mines Rule, Periodic medical examination has<br>been arranged for occupational health once in a year in<br>addition to attending medical greatment of occupational<br>injuries under the Rule 45 (A) MIR, 1000 JUN 2022  |
|---|---|---|
| <br>. Precautionary<br>safety measures to<br>the Laborers |   | Safety provisions like helmet, goggles safety shoess<br>Dust mask, Ear muffs etc have been provided as neither<br>circulars and amendments made for Mine fabours under<br>the guidance of DGMS being a semi-mechanized<br>operation. Necessary training will be conducted once in a<br>year to all the employees with the help of qualified and<br>experienced officers to train about the safe and system at<br>quarrying operation. |

### <u> PART – B</u>

# 11.0 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN:

O.

Ò

| 11.1 | Existing Land Use   | :           | The existing land use pattern is given as under.   |   |  |   |               |  |  |
|------|---------------------|-------------|--|---|--|---|---------------|--|--|
|      | Pattern             |             | Sl.<br>No.   | Land Use  | Present<br>Area (Hect)                 | Area in use<br>during the<br>quarrying<br>period (Hect) |               |  |  |
|      |                     |             | 1.   | Area under<br>quarrying                                       | 0.14.0                                 | 1.51.0  |               |  |  |
|      |                     |             | 2.   | Infrastructure  | Nil                                    | 0.01.0  | i l           |  |  |
|      | 10                  |             | 3.   | Roads   | 0.01.0                                 | 0.01.0  |               |  |  |
|      | 1.0                 |             | 4.   | Green Belt  | Nil                                    | 0.47.0  |               |  |  |
|      |                     |             | 5.   | Unutilized Area   | 1.85.0                                 | Nil   |               |  |  |
|      |                     |             |  | Total =   | 2.00.0Ha                               | 2.00.0Ha  |               |  |  |
|      |                     |             | surface ground level and presently, the quarrying of Rough<br>Stone is proposed upto 51m (Surface Ground Level Above<br>Height 10m & Surface Ground Level Below Depth 41m). It<br>will not affect the ground water depletion of this area. |   |  |   |               |  |  |
| 11.3 | Flora and Fauna     | 30 <b>.</b> | Except acacia bushes, no other valuable trees are noticed<br>in the applied lease area. Further, neither flora of botanical<br>interest nor fauna of zoological interest is noticed in this area.  |   |  |   |               |  |  |
| 11.4 | Climatic conditions |             | Ger<br>through<br>South  | nerally sub tropi<br>hout the year and<br>west and North east | cal climatic<br>this District monsoon. | condition pre<br>receives rain bot                      | vails<br>h in |  |  |

Æ

24 PLIGR

249

| ſ    | -1                   | - |  |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
|      |                      |   | The average  | rainfall is about 800    | 0mm to 900          | mm and the     |  |  |
|      |                      |   | temperature  | ranges from 1800         | uring will          | g and to a     |  |  |
|      |                      |   | maximum of   | 38°C during the summe    | er.                 | Dog Li         |  |  |
| 11.5 | Human Settlement     |   | The nearest h  | abitations with the pop  | ulation is giv      | en 🗙           |  |  |
|      |                      |   | Direction  | Village                  | Distance<br>in Kens | Population     |  |  |
|      |                      |   | North  | Alnatham                 | DELORIFIST          | 220            |  |  |
|      |                      |   | East   | Athimugam                | 3.5kms              | 370            |  |  |
|      |                      |   | South  | Bukkasagaram             | 3.2kms              | 510            |  |  |
| 11.6 | Dian for Air Dout    | - | west   | Inattiganapalli          | 5./kms              | 320            |  |  |
| 11.0 | Plan for Air, Dust   | : | Air or dus   | t expected to be genera  | ited from dri       | lling process, |  |  |
|      | Suppression          |   | hauling roads, places of excavation etc, will be suppressed by |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | periodical wetting of land by water spraying. For the          |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | sampling of air, high volume air sampler (Model VFC-PM10)      |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | was used (10 meter above and 5 meter away from road) and       |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | the particulates were collected on what man GFA glass fiber    |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | filters dried in a hot air oven at 105°C for 1hr and weighed.  |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | The average flow rate was about 1.1 cubic meters.              |                          |                     |                |  |  |
| 11.7 | Plan for Noise       | • | Quarrying of Rough Stone will be carried out by drilling and   |                          |                     |                |  |  |
|      | Control              |   | Proposed Con   | ntrol Blasting by usin   | g low powe          | r explosives,  |  |  |
|      |                      |   | and hence, no  | ise will be very Minin   | num. Howev          | er, periodical |  |  |
|      |                      |   | noise level m  | onitoring will be carrie | ed out to che       | ck the noise   |  |  |
|      |                      |   | level in and   | around the quarry site   | e. In order t       | to assess the  |  |  |
|      |                      |   | extent of noi  | se pollution due to v    | vehicular trat      | ffic different |  |  |
|      |                      |   | zones viz., Sil  | lence zone, Residential  | Zone, Com           | nercial zone,  |  |  |
|      |                      |   | Traffic signal   | s and Industrial zones   | were identif        | fied in urban  |  |  |
|      |                      |   | and suburban   | areas of Krishnagir      | i. Adequate         | Number of      |  |  |
|      |                      |   | observations   | were made in all the se  | elected sites       | by using the   |  |  |
|      |                      |   | sound level m  | eter (LT Lutron SL-400   | 01).                | of woring and  |  |  |
| 11.8 | Environmental        | : | Factors to be o  | considered for EIA are,  | /                   |                |  |  |
|      | Impact Assessment    |   | 1. Dust g  | eneration,               |                     |                |  |  |
|      | Statement Describing |   | 2. Land d  | legradation              |                     |                |  |  |
|      | Impact on mining on  |   | 3. Stabili   | zation and vegetation o  | f dumps             |                |  |  |
|      | the next five years  |   | 4. Advers  | se effect on water regin | ne                  |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   | 5. Socio e   | economic benefits arisi  | ng out of Mir       | ning.          |  |  |
|      |                      |   | 6. Noise   | and Vibration.           |                     |                |  |  |
|      |                      |   |  |                          |                     |                |  |  |



25

PHGR

1.

| í     | 1                     |     |   |
|-------|-----------------------|-----|---|
|       | a. Dust               |     | Dust is expected to be generated from drilling, hauling roads;      |
|       |                       |     | place of excavation etc and it will be suppressed by periodical     |
|       |                       |     | wetting of lands.   |
|       | b. Land degradation   | :   | Land degradation is by means of cutting the trees and removal       |
|       |                       |     | of fertile soil does not arise. Proposed isage of land the          |
|       |                       |     | next five years shall be less than 2 00 00 0 for the formation will |
|       |                       |     | be started during the first year of mining operation itself         |
|       | c. Stabilization and  |     | The topsoil will be spread over the part active denses all          |
|       | vegetation of         |     | the slope and edges to plant tree conlines to form autoral          |
|       | dumna                 |     | cover over the dumps. Such vegetal cover will account vegetal       |
|       | dumps                 |     | of dumps during rainy seasons                                       |
|       |                       |     | st campo during ranky seasons.                                      |
|       | d. Socio economic     | 1   | 1. To provide Employment opportunities of the nearby                |
|       | benefits arising      |     | villagers.  |
|       | out of mining         |     | 2. For the cultural development of the nearby villagers.            |
| 1     | e. Noise and          | :   | Since, no deep hole blasting is proposed, small dia explosives      |
|       | vibration             |     | are used for breaking the hard rock and boulders, the noise and     |
|       |                       |     | vibration will be very minimum and are within the permissible       |
|       |                       |     | limits.   |
|       |                       |     |   |
| 11.9  | Proposal for Waste    | :   | There is no requirement for waste management as there is            |
|       | Management            |     | 100% recovery percentage.   |
| 11.10 | Proposal of           | :   | The present mining is proposed to a depth of <b>51m</b> (Surface    |
|       | Reclamation of Land   |     | Ground Level Above height is 10m and Surface                        |
|       | affected during       |     | Belaw double in AL > 77 - 1 - 1                                     |
|       | ancolou dunng         |     | Below depth is 41m). The mined out area will be fenced on           |
|       | mining activities and |     | top of open cast working with S1 fencing. Low lying areas           |
|       | at the end of mining. |     | with water logging shall be used for fish culture. No               |
|       |                       |     | immediate proposals for closure of pit as the rough stone           |
|       |                       |     | persist still at deeper level.                                      |
| 11.11 | Program for           | :   | Trees like tamarind, casuarinas etc will be planted along the       |
|       | Afforestation         | 113 | lease boundary and avenues as well as avenues as the                |
|       |                       |     | at a rote 60 trace nor avenues as well as over non active dumps     |
|       |                       |     | at a rate of trees per annum with an interval of 5m. The rate of    |
|       |                       |     | survival expected to be 80% in this area.                           |
|       |                       |     |   |
|       |                       |     |   |
|       |                       |     |   |
|       |                       |     |   |

BS

26

PLIGR

251 251

| 11.12 | Proposed Financial Estimate / Dudant | <u> </u> |   |
|-------|--------------------------------------|----------|---|
| 11.14 | Froposed Financial Estimate / Budget |          | BUSBER HELE                               |
|       | for (EMP) Environment Management     |          | See See                                   |
|       | A. Fixed Asset Cost:                 |          | (* 2 9 JUN 2022 )*)                       |
|       | Land Cost                            | :        | Rs. 3,23,00,000 (Leased tender amount for |
|       |                                      |          | Government Poramboke Land                 |
|       | Labour Shed                          | :        | Rs. 1,40,000/-                            |
|       | Sanitary Facility                    | 3        | Rs. 70,000/-                              |
|       | Fencing cost                         |          | Rs. 80,000/-                              |
|       | Total=                               | :        | Rs.3,25,90,000/-                          |
|       | B. Operational Cost:                 |          |   |
|       | Machinery cost                       | *        | Rs.30,00,000/-                            |
|       | C. EMP Cost:                         |          |   |
|       | 1. Drinking water facility           |          | Rs. 1,10,000/-                            |
|       | 2. Safety kits                       |          | Rs. 70,000/-                              |
|       | 3. Water sprinkling                  | :        | Rs. 50,000/-                              |
|       | 4. Afforestation                     | 1        | Rs. 25,000/-                              |
|       | 5. Water quality test                | ŝ        | Rs. 30,000/-                              |
|       | 6. Air quality test                  | •        | Rs. 30,000/-                              |
|       | 7. Noise/vibration test              |          | Rs. 30,000/-                              |
|       | Total=                               | :        | Rs. 3,45,000/-                            |
|       |                                      |          |   |

### 12.0 MINE CLOSURE PLAN:

| 12.1 | Steps proposed for phased       | : | The present mining is proposed to a calculated     |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|
|      | restoration, reclamation of     |   | of 51m(Surface Ground Level Above Height           |
|      | already mined out area.         |   | 10m & Surface Ground Level Below Depth             |
|      |                                 |   | 41m). The mined out area will be fenced on top     |
|      |                                 |   | of open cast working with S1 fencing to arrest     |
|      |                                 |   | the entry of cattle's and public in to the quarry  |
|      |                                 |   | site.  |
| 12.2 | Measures to be under taken on   |   | Measures will be taken as per the Acts and         |
|      | mine closure as per Act & Rules |   | Rules. The quarried pit will be fenced by using    |
|      |                                 |   | Barbed wire fencing. Green belt development        |
|      |                                 |   | at the rate of 60 trees per year will be proposed. |

BS

PHAR

27

| 12.3 | Mitigation measures to be       | : | The pits were already opened by earlier          |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|
|      | undertaken for safety and       |   | Quarrying. Hence the united bying operation will |
|      | restoration/ reclamation of the |   | be continued in the existing pit after making    |
|      | already mined out area          |   | proper benches within the the 2022a.             |

### 13.0 ANY OTHER DETAILS INTEND TO FURNISH BY THE APPLICANTS

- (i) Permission will be obtained from the Director of Mines Safety for the extracting the Rough Stone from the Boundary barriers and from slopes.
- (ii) Care and precautionary measures will be taken for the safety of workers as per Rules and Acts.
- (iii)The applicant will endeavour every attempt to quarry the Rough Stone economically without any wastage and to improve the environment and ecology.
- (iv)Accordingly, Mining Plan is prepared under Rule 8(6)(b) Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 & As per Amendment under Rule 41 & 42 by incorporating the conditions imposed in the precise area communication letter and by incorporating all the details proposed in the letter to obtain environment clearance from State Level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority.
- (v) This Mining Plan is prepared for the Applied Rough Stone Quarry for a period of Five Years.

This Mining Plan is approved based on guidelines / instruction issued and in corporation of the particulars specified in the letter Roc. No. 546 202 Dated Duputy Director of Geology and Mining, Krishnagiri and subject to further fulfiliment of the conditions laid down under Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 and Minor Mineral Conservation end

DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Geology and Mining,

Collectorate, Krishnagiri.

Development Rule 2010.

This Mining Plan is approved subject to the conditions / Stipulation Indicated in the Mining Plan Approval

ATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., ROP/CHN/270/2015/A

Letter Roc. No. 526/2622 Dated 06-20

28

PUGR

ANNEXURE -T

பவியியல் உசுரங்கத் துறை,

2 9 JUN 2022

unon le uniterinante,

क्षित्रकेंक्षासीती

\*

#### ந.க.எண். 546/2022/களிமம் நாள்: 04.05.2022

#### குறிப்பாணை

பொருள்

சாதாரண சிறுகளிமம் கனிமங்களும் குவாரிகளும் -வகை கற்கள் - கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட் மீர அரசு புறம்கினங்கு புலங்களில் அமைந்துள்ள கற்குவாரிகள் பெண்டர் கர்களி முறையில் குத்தகை வழங்குவது தொடார்க அரசிதழ் வெளியீடு - சூளகிரி வட்டம் - வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம் - புல எண்.86(பகுதி-3) 2.00.0 ஹெக்டோ் பரப்பில் 05.04.2022 அன்று டெண்டருடன் இணைந்த ஏலம் நடத்தப்பட்டது -குறிப்பிட்ட ஏலத்தில் அதிகபட்ச குத்தகை கொகை தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் என்கிற நிறுவனம் ஏலம் உறுதி செய்யப்பட்டது - விதிகளின்படி குத்தகை தொகை முழுவதும் செலுத்தப்பட்டது - குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கிட வேண்டி ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத் திட்டம் மற்றும் சுற்றுச் சூழல் ஆணைய முன் அனுமதி பெற்று சமாப்பிக்கக் கோருதல் - தொடர்பாக,

பார்வை:

- 1. வட்டாட்சியர், சூளகிரி கடிதம் ந.க.எண்.51/2022/அ2 நாள்:21.01.2022.
- 2. வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர் ஒசூர் அறிக்கை ந.க.எண். 103/2022/பி2 நாள்:04.02.2022.
- வன உயிரின காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் கடிதம் ந.க.எண்.261/ 2022/எல் நாள்:10.02.2022.
- கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத் துறை நில அளவர், தனி வருவாய் ஆய்வாளர் மற்றும் உதவி புவியியலாளர் (கனிமம்) புலதணிக்கை அறிக்கை நாள்:11.02.2022.
- கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் சிறப்பு வெளியீடு எண்.15 நாள்:14.03.2022 மற்றும் எண்.20 நாள்:28.03.2022.
- 6. தி இந்து செய்தி நாளிதழில் விளம்பரம் நாள்:17.03.2022.
- தி இந்து, தினகரன், தினமலர் மற்றும் காலைக்கதிர் ஆகிய செய்தி நாளிதழ்களில் 29.03.2022 அன்று வெளியிடப்பட்ட மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரின் அறிவிக்கை.
- திரு. ஸ்ரீகர் மற்றும் சுமுகா புளு மெட்டல்ஸ் என்பவர் டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பம் நாள்:04.04.2022.
- தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் மற்றும் ஐந்து நபர்களின் ஏல விண்ணப்பங்கள் நாள்:05.04.2022.
- 10. தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் என்பவரது கடிதம் நாள்:19.04.2022.
- 11. தொடர்புடைய ஆவணங்கள்.

பார்வையில் காணும் கடிதங்களின்பால் கனிவான கவனம் வேண்டப்படுகிறது.

PUGE

் 2. கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், சூளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம் அரசு புல அமைந்துள்ள சாதாரண பரப்பில் ஹெக்டேர் எண.86(பகுதி-3) விஸ்.2.00.0 கந்குவாரியை இடண்டர் / பொது ஏலத்திற்கு கொண்டு வர உரிய நில இருப்பு அறிக்கை வருண்டி கோட்டா வேரிடம் கோரம்பட்டதல், குனகிலி வட்டாட்கியர், ஒகுர் வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர் மற்றும் கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத் துறை நில அளவர், தனி வருவாய் ஆய்வாளர் மற்றும் உதவி புவியியலாளர் (கனிமம்) ஆகியோர் தணிக்கை மேற்கொண்டு கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், சூளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம் அரசு புறம்போக்கு தீ.ஏ.த.கரடு புல எண.86(பகுதி-3) விஸ்.2.00.0 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பு பூமியினை குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கிட விதிகளின்படி மேற்கண்ட புலம் தகுதி வாய்ந்தது என்பதால் டெண்டருடன் இணைந்த ஏலத்தின் மூலம் உரிமம் வழங்கிட பரிந்துரை செய்துள்ளனர். வன உயிரின காப்பாளர், ஒசூர் மேற்கண்ட புலங்கள் விதிகளின்படி அருகில் உள்ள காப்பு காடுகளுக்கு வரையறுக்கப்பட்ட பாதுகாப்பு தொலைவிற்கு அப்பால் அமைந்துள்ளதாக அறிக்கை அளித்துள்ளார்.

3. அதன் அடிப்படையில், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டத்தில் அரசு புறம்போக்கு நிலங்களில் உள்ள சாதாரண கற்களை வெட்டியெடுத்துச் செல்ல உரிமம் வழங்க ஏதுவாக கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் சிறப்பு வெளியீடு எண்.15 நாள்:14.03.2022 மற்றும் எண்.20 நாள்:28.03.2022-ன்படி பிரசுரம் செய்யப்பட்டது. அதன்படி 04.04.2022-ம் நாள் பிற்பகல் 05.00 மணிக்குள் மூடி முத்திரை இடப்பட்ட டெண்டர் மனுக்களை அளிக்க இறுதி நாளாக அறிவித்து, 05.04.2022 அன்று பொது ஏலம் நடத்தப்பட்டு டெண்டர் மனுக்கள் ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொண்டவர்கள் முன்னிலையில் திறக்கப்பட்டன.

4. மேற்கண்ட அரசிதழில் விளம்பரம் செய்யப்பட்டிருந்த குவாரிப்பட்டியலில் வரிசை எண்.(18), சூளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம், அரசு புறம்போக்கு (தீ.ஏ.த.கரடு) புல எண்.86(பகுதி-3)-ல் 2.00.0 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பில் உள்ள கற்குவாரிக்கு டெண்டர் / பொது ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொண்டவர்களில் தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் எலத்தில் கோரிய தொகை ரூ.3,23,00,000/- மாவட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர் அவர்களால் நிர்ணயம் செய்யப்பட்டிருந்த ஏலத் தொகையை விட அதிகமாக இருந்ததால் அவருக்கு ஏலம் ஊர்ஜிதம் செய்யப்பட்டது. மேற்கண்ட ஏலதாரர் மொத்த குத்தகை தொகையையும் விதிகளின்படி 19.04.2022-க்குள் செலுத்தியுள்ளார்.

5. எனவே, ஏலதாரர் குத்தகை தொகை முழுவதும் செலுத்திவிட்டபடியால், மேற்படி கற்குவாரி ஏலமானது விதிகளின்படி உயர்ந்தபட்ச ஏலம் கோரிய தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ் என்பவருக்கு உறுதி செய்யப்படுகிறது. மேலும், மேற்படி நபருக்கு குளகிரி வட்டம், வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராமம், அரசு புறம்போக்கு (தீ.ஏ.த.கரடு) புல எண்.86(பகுதி-3)-ல் 2.00.0 ஹெக்டேர் பரப்பு புலத்தில் ஐந்து (05) ஆண்டுகளுக்கு

RIGE

குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்க ஏதுவாக 1959ம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாகு கிறுக்கிய அலு விதிகள், விதி எண்.41-ன்படி கீழ்க்கண்ட நிபந்தனைகளுடன் குற்றீளிக்கப்பட்ட திட்டத்தினை 90 தினங்களுக்குள் சமர்பிக்கவும், அதன் தாடர்க்சியா 2009590 எண்.42-ன்படி வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகள், விதி 1-15 60 6001 d and in later in சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணைய இசைவு பெற்று மூலம் உரிமம் சாகாாண கற்குவாரி விவாம் என்ற 9 850 வமங்கப்படும் தெரிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

#### நிபந்தனைகள்:

- a. 1959ம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறு கனிம சலுகை விதிகள், அட்டவணை-II-ல் கண்டுள்ளபடி குவாரி செய்யப்படும் கனிமங்களுக்குரிய சீனியரேஜ் தொகை அவ்வப்போது செலுத்தி கனிமம் கொண்டு செல்லப்பட வேண்டும்.
- b. அருகிலுள்ள பட்டா நிலங்களுக்கு 7.5 மீட்டர், அரசு புறம்போக்கு புலங்களுக்கு 10 மீட்டர் மற்றும் இதர நிலையான அமைப்புகளுக்கு 50 மீட்டர் பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி விட்டு குவாரிப் பணி மேற்கொள்ள வேண்டும்.
- விதிகளின் படி ஏற்பளிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டத்தினை உரிய காலத்திற்குள் சமர்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
- d. குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்க உள்ள பகுதிக்கு சுற்றுச்சூழல் தாக்க மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின் முன் அனுமதி பெற்று சமர்பிக்கும் பட்சத்தில் மட்டுமே குவாரி உரிமம் வழங்கப்படும்.

இணைப்பு: குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்க பரிந்துரைக்கப்பட்ட புல வரைபடம்.

> ஒம்/- வி.ஜெய சந்திர பானு ரெட்டி மாவட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி.

// உண்மை நகல்// உத்தரவுபடி//

மாவட்ட ஆட்சியருக்காக, கிருஷ்ணகிரி

பெறுநர்:

தி/ள்.எஸ்.ஆர்.எண்டர்பிரைசஸ், கு எண்.25, சாந்தி நகர் - மேற்கு, 2வது கிராஸ், ஒசூர், ஒசூர் வட்டம், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம்.

S. MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.

ROP/CNN/270/2016/A

நகல்: 1. இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத் துறை, சென்னை 2. தமிழ்நாடு மாநில சுற்றுச்சூழல் மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையம், சென்னை.

PLICIR

| ©<br>தமிழ்நாடு அரசு<br>2022                                      | ののの日日の日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日   |
|--|--|
|  | கிருஷ்ணகிரீ மாவட்ட அரசிதும்  |
| Priling & Gui Gala al al al                                      | <b>சிறப்பு வெளியீடு</b><br>ஆணையின்படி வெளியிடப்பட்டது  |
| கி<br>[ பிலவ, மால  | ரஷ்ணகிரி, மார்ச் 14, 2022<br>சி 30 – திருவள்ளுவர் ஆண்டு 2053 ] [எண் 15   |
| IDIT <b>OR</b> L   | ஆட்சியர் <b>அறிவிக்கை</b>  |
| மு. கூல<br>சாதாரண கற்குவாரி ஒப்பு<br>டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பங்கள் பெற க | ணு 190720227(கனாமம்), நாள்: 10.03.2022)<br>நீதப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) மற்றும் ஏலம் குறித்த அறிவிப்பு<br>கடைசி நாள் : 30.03.2022 |

பொது ஏலம் நடைபெறும் நாள்

31.03.2022 முற்பகல் 10.30 மணி முதல்

பிற்பகல் 05.00 மணி வரை

 கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டத்தில் அரசு புறம்போக்கு நிலங்களில் அமைந்துள்ள சாதாரண கற்குவாரிகளிலிருந்து பொது உபயோக பயன்பாட்டிற்காக சாதாரண கற்களை வெட்டியெடுத்துச் செல்வதற்கு தனிநபர் மற்றும் தனியார் நிறுவனங்களுக்கு குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்க மூடி முத்திரையிடப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்கள் வரவேற்கும் மற்றும் ஏல அறிவிப்பு.

:

- 2. 1959 ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிமச் சலுகை விதிகளின் விதி 8 உள்விதி (1)-ன்படி கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டத்தில் இவ்வறிக்கையுடன் இணைக்கப்பட்ட அட்டவணையில் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள அரசு புறம்போக்கு நிலங்களில் அமைந்துள்ள சாதாரண கற்குவாரிகளிலிருந்து சாதாரணகற்களை குவாரி செய்து எடுத்துச் செல்ல டெண்டருடன் இணைந்த ஏல முறையில் குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்க மூடி முத்திரையிடப்பட்ட 03 பிரதிகள் கொண்ட டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பங்கள் கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரால் வரவேற்கப்படுகின்றன.
- 3. இந்த அறிவிக்கையின்படி விண்ணப்பிக்கப்படும் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பம் 1959 ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிமச் சலுகை விதிகளின் பின் இணைப்பு VI-ல் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள படிவத்தில் இருக்க வேண்டும் மாதிரி விண்ணப்பப்படிவம் இந்த மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் சிறப்பு வெளியீட்டின் இணைப்பில் பிரசுரிக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. இணைப்பில் பிரசுரிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள படிவம் VI-ன்படி பூர்த்தி செய்து அனுப்பப்படாத விண்ணப்பங்கள் ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளப்படமாட்டாது.
- 4. ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்களுடன் இணைத்து அனுப்பப்பட வேண்டிய இணைப்புகளின் விவரங்கள் மற்றும் குத்தகை நிபந்தனைகள் பற்றிய விவரங்கள் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள அரசிதழ், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர் அலுவலகம், கிருஷ்ணகிரி புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை துணை இயக்குநர் அலுவலகம், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டத்திலுள்ள அனைத்து சார் ஆட்சியர்/ வருவாய் கோட்டாட்சியர், வட்டாட்சியர் மற்றும் ஊராட்சி ஒன்றிய ஆணையர் அலுவலகங்களின் தகவல் பலகையில் விளம்பரம் தெற்றப்பட்டும்.

138C/3 (B) A. Gal. 15-1.

PULCIE

5. அட்டவணையில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள குவாரிகளின் குத்தகை காலமானது குத்தகை ஒப்பந்த பத்திரம் நிறைவேற்றபட்ட நாளிலிருந்து ஏற்கனவே குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்டு குத்தகை காலம் முடிவற்ற சாதாரண கற்குவாரி இனங்குளுக்கு 05 ஆண்டுகளும், புதியதாக சேர்க்கப்பட்டுள்ள (virgin) ஏற்கனவே குவாரி பணி நடைபெறாத சாதாரண கற்குவாரி இனங்களுக்கு 10 ஆண்டுகளும் ஆகும்.

2

- ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பதாரர் தனது விண்ணப்பத்தில் குவாரியின் மொத்த குத்தகை காலத்திற்குமான ஒரே தவணையில் செலுத்தத்தக்க குத்தகை தொகையை உரிய இடத்தில் எண்ணிலும் எழுத்திலும் தெளிவாக குறிப்பிட வேண்டும்.
- 7. மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் சிறப்பு வெளியீட்டின்படி அரசிதழில் கண்டுள்ள நிபந்தனைகளின்படி பூர்த்தி செய்யப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்களை அனைத்து இணைப்புகளுடன் கவரில் வைத்து மூடி முத்திரையிட்டு துணை இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி என்ற விலாசமிட்டு நேரிலோ அல்லது ஒப்பகை பெறத்தக்க பதிவஞ்சல் மூலமாகவோ மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர் அலுவலக வளாக தரைதளத்தில் அறை எண்.30ல் உள்ள புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, துணை இயக்குநர் அலுவலகத்தில் 2022ம் ஆண்டு மார்ச் திங்கள் 30-ம் நாள் மாலை 5.00 மணிக்குள் கிடைக்கும்படி அனுப்பப்பட வேண்டும். கவரின் மீது விண்ணப்பிக்கும் குவாரியின் விவரம் மற்றும் அட்டவணையில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள குவாரியின் வரிசை எண் போன்றவற்றை தவறாமல் குறிப்பேட வேண்டும்.
- 8. மேலே குறிப்பிட்ட காலக்கெடுவிற்குள் வரப்பெற்ற விண்ணப்பங்கள் மட்டும் ஏலம் நடைபெறும் நானன்று ஆஜராகிமிருக்கும் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட குவாரிக்கு விண்ணப்பித்துள்ள விண்ணப்பதாரர்கள் மற்றும் பொது ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொள்பவர்கள் முன்னிலையில் அட்டவணைகளில் உள்ள குவாரிகளின் வரிசைகளின் முறையே முதலில் பொது ஏலமும் பின்னர் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்கள் திறப்பும் மேற்கொள்ளப்படும்.
- 9. மேலே குறிப்பிட்ட நாளில் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்கள் திறப்பதற்கு முன்னர் ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் தனித்தனியே பொது ஏலம் விடப்படும். ஏல நடவடிக்கை முடிவு பெற்ற பின்பு சப்பந்தப்பட்ட குவாரிக்கு வரப்பெற்ற டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பங்கள் பிரித்து பரிகீலிக்கப்படும். டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பம் மூலம் கோரப்பட்டுள்ள உயர்ந்தபட்ச டெண்டர் தொகை அல்லது ஏலம் மூலம் கோரப்பட்ட உயர்ந்தபட்ச குத்தகை தொகை இதில் எது அதிகமோ அத்தொகையே சப்பந்தப்பட்ட குவாரிக்கான உயர்ந்தபட்ச குத்தகை தொகை வரத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்டு குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்குதல் சய்பத்தமாக நடவடிக்கைகள் மேற்கொள்ளப்படும்.
- 10. மேற்கண்டபடி வரப்பெறும் டெண்டர் / ஏல விண்ணப்பங்கள், 1959ஆம் ஆண்டு தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிமச் சலுகை விதிகள், சுரங்கங்கள் மற்றும் கனிமங்கள் (மேம்படுத்துதல் மற்றும் முறைப்படுத்துதல்) சட்டம் 1957 மற்றும் இந்த ஏல அறிவிப்பில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள முக்கிய நிபந்தனைகளின்படி பரிசீலிக்கப்பட்டு அவற்றின்மீது தக்க ஆணைகள் பிறப்பிக்கப்படும்.
- 11. இந்த மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் அறிவிக்கை பிரசுரிக்கப்பட்ட பின்னரோ, குத்தகை உறுதி ஆணை பிறப்பிப்பதற்கு முன்னரோ, நிபந்தனைகளை மாற்றவோ அல்லது ரத்து செய்யவோ மற்றும் பட்டியலில் கண்டுள்ள எல்லா குவாரிகளின் குத்தகை உரிமம் கோரும் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி மனுக்களை எக்காரணமும் கூறாமல் ரத்து செய்யவோ அல்லது மேற்படி மனுக்களை முடி முத்திரையிடப்பட்ட உறைகளை திறக்கும் நாள் நேரம் மற்றும் ஏலம் நடத்தும் நாள் மற்றும் நேரம் ஆகியவைகளை தள்ளிவைக்கவோ நிறுத்திவைக்கவோ மாவட்ட ஆட்சியருக்கு முழு அதிகாரம் உண்டு. ஏதாவது காரணத்தினால் ஒத்திவைக்க நேர்ந்தால் அதற்கு மனுதாரர்கள் யாருக்கும் நஷ்டஈடு கோர உரிமை இல்லை.
- 12. விண்ணப்பதாரர் ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் தனித்தனியே ஒரு ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி விண்ணப்பத்தை உரிய இணைப்புகளோடு அனுப்ப வேண்டும். ஒரே விண்ணப்பத்தில் ஒரு குவாரிக்கு மேல் பல குவாரிகளை குறிப்பிட்டு அனுப்பும் விண்ணப்பம் நிராகரிக்கப்படும்.

PLICE

Son Gard 2 9 JUN 2022 13. ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி விண்ணப்பம் அனுப்புவதற்கு முன்/ ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொள்வதற்கு முன் இம்மாதிட அரசிதழ் அறிவிக்கையுடன் இணைக்கப்பட்டுள்ள பட்டியலில் கண்ட சம்பந்தப்பட்ட குவாரிலை மகனிதனை திண்ணப்பதாரர் தனது சொந்த செலவிலேயே நேரில் பார்வையிட்டு பாதை வசதி கனிமத்தின் தரம் மற்றும் கனமத்தின் தருப்பு ஆகியவற்றை ஆராப்ந்து பின்னர் குத்தகை உரிமம் கோரி விண்ணப்பிக்க வேண்டும் மற்றும் <del>ஏலத்தில் கலந்</del>து கொள்ளவேண்டும். ஆணை வழங்கப்பட்ட பின் குவாரி அமைந்துள்ள புல எண், பரப்பு, குவாரிகளின் நான்கு எல்லைகள், பாதை வசதி, கனிமத்தின் தரம் கனிமத்தின் இருப்புக்குறித்து எவ்வித தாவாவும் செய்ய குத்தகைதாரருக்கு உரிமை கிடையாது.

3

இயக்குநர் அலுவுல

14. 1959-ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம **சலுகை விதிகளில் க**ண்டுள்ள அனைத்து சாராம்சங்களையும் மாவட்ட அரசிதழில் உள்ள அனைத்து நிபந்தனைகளை**யும் நன்கு தெரிந்து** கொண்டபின் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி விண்ணப்பங்களை உரிய இணைப்புகளோடு அனுப்பவேண்டும். விண்ணப்பம் அனுப்பிய பிறகு விதிகள் மற்றும் குத்தகை நிபந்தனைகள் பற்றி சரியாக தெரியாது என மனுதாரர் வாதிட்டால் அது ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்பட மாட்டாது.

# 15. ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) மற்றும் ஏல நிபந்தனைகள் :

- ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் இந்த அரசிதழின் பிற்சேர்க்கையில் பிரசுரிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள இணைப்பு VI-ல் காணும் ഗ്നുളിന് ഖിഞ്ഞാല്ല വഴച്ചെട്ട്രിങ്പഴ ട്രണിട്ടുടങ്ങി ഖിങ്ങങ്ങല്ലങ്കുണ്ടിർ ഖിങ്ങങ്ങല്ലിക്ക ഖേങ്ങ്ക്രിൾ.
- 2) நடப்பில் மாநில அளவில் ஒரு நபருக்கு அதிகபட்சம் இரண்டு குவாரிகளுக்கு மட்டுமே குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கப்படும்.
- 3) இந்த அரசிதழின் அட்டவணையில் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள குவாரிகளின் குத்தகை காலமானது, குத்தகை ஒப்பந்த பத்திரம் நிறைவேற்றப்பட்ட நாளிலிருந்து ஏற்கனவே குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்டு குத்தகை காலம் முடிவுற்ற சாதாரண கற்குவாரி இனங்களுக்கு O5 ஆண்டுகளும் புதியதாக சேர்க்கப்பட்டுள்ள சாதாரண ்கற்குவாரி இனங்களுக்கு (Virgin quarry) 10 ஆ**ண்டுகளும் ஆகும். குத்தகை** ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திரத்தில் குறிப்பிடப்படும் இறுதி நாளில் **குத்தகை காலம் முடிவடையும், குத்தகை காலம்** எக்காரணத்தைக்கொண்டும் நீட்டிக்கப்பட மாட்டாது.
- ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பத்துடன் கீழ்க்கண்டவற்றை இணைத்து அனுப்ப வேண்டும். 4)
  - திரும்ப வழங்க இயலாத விண்ணப்பக் கட்டணமாக ரூ.1500/-க்கான கேட்பு (அ) வரைவோலையை (டிமாண்ட் டிராப்ட்) ஏதேனும் ஒரு தேசிய மயமாக்கப்பட்ட வங்கியில் துணை இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி அவர்களின் பதவியின் பெயரில் பெற்று அல்லது அரசு கருவூலத்தில் செலுத்திய அசல் சலான் இணைக்க வேண்டும்.
  - பிணை வைப்புத்தொகை (Earnest money deposit) ரூ.25000/- (ரூபாய் இருபத்தைந்தாயிரம் (ച്ല) மட்டும்)க்கான கேட்பு வரைவோலை ஏதேனும் ஒரு தேசியமயமாக்கப்பட்ட வங்கியில் துணை இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிரு**ஷ்ண**கிரி அவர்களின் பதவியின் டெயரில் டெற்று இணைக்க வேண்டும். தனிநபர் பெயருக்கு எடுத்து கொடுக்கப்படும் வங்கி வரைவோலை ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளப்படமாட்டாது குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கப்படுபவர் செலுத்த வேண்டிய டெண்டர்/ ஏலத் தொகையில் இந்த தொகை பின்னர் சரி செய்து கொள்ளப்படும்.
  - ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பத்தில் குறித்துள்ள பொத்த குத்தகை தொகையில் **(@**) 10 சதவீதத் தொகைக்கான கேட்பு வரைவோலை (டிமாண்ட் டிராய்ட்டை) துணை இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி அவர்களின் பதவியின் பெயரில் ஏதேனும் ஒரு தேசியமயமாக்கப்பட்ட வங்கியில் பெற்று இணைக்க வேண்டும்.

PLIGE

மாவட்ட வாரியாக கனிம வாரியாக விண்ணப்பதாரர் / ஏலதாரர் நேரடியாகவோ அல்லது பங்குதாரராகவோ தொடர்புள்ள குவாரிகள் பற்றிய கீழ்க்கண்ட விவரங்கள் அல்லது ஆணைபறுதி ஆவணம் (அபிடவிட்) மூலம் தெரிவிக்க வேண்டும்.

> விண்ணப்பதாரருக்கு கனிம குத்தகையுள்ள மாலட்ட ஆட்சியரால் வழங்கப்பட்ட செல்லத்தக்க சுரங்கவரி நிலுவை இல்லா சான்றிதழ் அல்லது சுரங்கவரி நிலுவை இல்லை என்பதற்கான ஆணையுறுதி வாக்குமூலம் இணைக்கப்படவேண்டும்.

 வருமான வரி செலுத்திய சான்றிதழ் அல்லது வருமானவரி பாக்கியில்லை என்பதற்கான ஆணையுறுதி வாக்குமூலம் இணைக்கப்படவேண்டும்.

3. **ບກຼັກ**ຼາມ,

1.

- 教教

- அனுபவத்திலிருக்கும் குவாரி குத்தகை அனுமதி பற்றி விவரம்
- ii) ஏற்கனவே விண்ணப்பித்து இதுவரை அனுமதி வழங்கப்படாத குவாரி குத்தகை அனுமதி பற்றி விவரம்.
- iii) தற்போது உடனிகழ்வாக விண்ணப்பிக்கும் குவாரி குத்தகை அனுமதி விவரம்.
- மேற்கண்ட ஆணையறுதி ஆவணங்களை ரூ.20/- மதிப்புள்ள முத்திரைத்தாளில் சான்று உறுதி அலுவலரிடம் (Notary Public) கையொப்பம் பெற்று பூர்த்தி செய்யப்பட்ட விண்ணப்பத்துடன் இணைத்து சமர்ப்பிக்கப்பட வேண்டும்.
- 5) ஏலத்தில் நேரடியாக கலந்து கொள்பவர்கள் பூர்த்தி செய்யப்பட்ட விண்ணப்பப்படிவம், திருப்பித்தரப்படாத விண்ணப்பக்கட்டணம் ரூ.1500/- மற்றும் பிணை வைப்புத்தொகை ரூ.25000/- ஆகியவற்றிற்கான கேட்பு வரைவோலைகள் (டிமாண்ட் டிராப்ட்) துணை இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி அவர்களின் பதவியின் பெயரில் ஏதேனும் ஒரு தேசியமயமாக்கப்பட்ட வங்கியில் பெற்று ஏலத்தில் நேரடியாக கலந்து கொள்வதற்கு முன்னர் ஏலம் நடத்தும் அறுவலரிடம் சமர்ப்பிக்க வேண்டும் மேலும் ஏலம் மூலம் கேரைட்டட்ட உயர்ந்தபட்ச தொகை டெண்டர் மூலம் கோரப்பட்ட உயர்ந்த பட்ச தொகையைவிட அதிகமாக இருந்தால் ஏல முடில் அறிவிப்பு செய்யப்பட்டவுடன் ஏலத்தொகையில் 10 சதலத்த் தொகையை உடன் ஏலம் நடத்தும் அலுவலரிடம் தேசிய மயமாக்கப்பட்ட ஏதேனும் ஒரு வங்கியில் பெறப்பட்ட கேட்பு வரைவோலையாகவோ அல்லது ரொக்க தொகையாகவோ செலுத்தி தக்க இரசீதுகள் பெற்றுக் கொள்ள வேண்டும்.
- 6) நேரில் விண்ணப்பங்கள் அளித்தால் அதைப்பெற்றுக் கொண்டதற்கான ஒப்புதல் கடிதம் அன்றைய தினமே வழங்கப்படும். தபால் மூலம் பெறப்படும் விண்ணப்பத்திற்கு ஒப்புதல் கடிதம் மூன்று தினங்களுக்குள் தபாலில் அனுப்பி வைக்கப்படும். டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பங்கள் மூடி முத்திரையிடப்பட்ட கவர்களில் மட்டுமே அனுப்பி வைக்கப்பட வேண்டும். கவரின் மேல்புறத்தில் விண்ணப்பதாரரின் பெயர் மற்றும் விலாசம் தெளிவாக குறிப்பிடப்பட வேண்டும். கவரின் இடது மூலையில் கனிமத்தின் பெயர், குவாரி அமைந்துள்ள கிராமம், புல எண், பரப்பு அரசிதழின் இணைப்பில் பிரசுரிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள குவாரிகளின் பட்டியலில் உள்ள வரிசை எண் ஆகியவற்றை தவறாமல் குறிப்பிடவேண்டும்.

PUCIP

7) மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரால் அல்லது அவரால் அங்கீகாரம் வழங்கப்பட்ட அலுவலரிடம் உள்ள வககை பதிவேட்டில் விண்ணப்பதாரர்கள் / ஏலதாரர்கள் கையொப்பமிட்ட பின்னரே ஏல அறைக்குள் அனுமதிக்கப்படுவரர்கள்.

5

இயக்குநர் ஆ

சிகுவிலாகிரி

60,6001

- 8) ஏலம் மற்றும் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளியில் (டெண்டர்) கலந்து கொள்பவர் செலுத்துல் வண்ணர்பக்கட்டன் தொகை ரூ.1500/- திருப்பித்தரப்படமாட்டாது. ஏலத்தில் நேரிடையாக பங்குபெறுபவர்கள் கொடுத்தவர்கள் எனத்தில் குத்தகை தொகையை குறிப்பிட தேவையில்லை. ஏற்கனவே டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பம் கொடுத்தவர்கள் ஏனத்தில் கலந்துகொள்ள முடியாவிடில் அவருக்குப்பதிலாக அவரால் நியமிக்கப்பட்ட வேறு ஒரு நபர் மட்டுமே நோட்டரிபப்ளிக் முன்பு விண்ணப்பதாரர் மற்றும் நியமிக்கப்பட்ட நபர் கையெழுத்துக்கள் சான்றுபெறப்பட்ட உறுதியொழி ஆவணம் (அபிடவிட்) தாக்கல் செய்வதின் பேரில் ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொள்ள அனுமதிக்கப்படுவார்கள்.
- 9) ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி விண்ணப்படிவத்தில் மனு செய்யும் நபர்கள் தாங்கள் மனு செய்யும் குவாரிக்கு குத்தகை தொகையாக செலுத்த விரும்பும் தொகையை விண்ணப்பத்தில் குறிப்பிடமல் இருந்தாலோ அல்லது விண்ணப்ப கட்டணம், பிணைவைப்புத் தொகை, அதிகபட்சமாக குறிப்பிடும் குத்தகை தொகையின் 10% தொகை ஆகியவற்றிற்கான வங்கி வரைவோலைகளை விண்ணப்பத்துடன் இணைக்காமல் இருந்தாலோ, விண்ணப்பத்தாளில் விண்ணப்பதாரர் தன் கையொப்பம் செய்யாமல் இருந்தாலோ 1959ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம் சலுகை விதிகளில் கூறப்பட்ட சுரங்கவரி பாக்கியின்மை சான்றிதழ், வருமானவரி பாக்கியின்மை சான்றிதழ் அல்லது இவைகளுக்காக வழங்கப்படும் ஆணை உறுதி ஆவணம் மற்றும் ஏற்கனவே மனுதாரர் நேரடியாகவோ பங்குதாரராகவோ உள்ள குவாரிகள் தொடர்பான உறுதிமொழி ஆவணம் ஆகியவற்றை இணைக்கப்படாமல் இருந்தாலோ மேற்படி ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி விண்ணப்பதாரர்களுக்கு ஒப்பந்தபுள்ளிகள் திறக்கும் சமயத்தில் விண்ணப்பதாரர் ஆனரில் இருந்தால் மட்டும் விண்ணப்பதாரர்களுக்கு ஒப்பந்தபுள்ளிகள் திறக்கும் சமயத்தில் விண்ணப்பதாரர் ஆனரில் இருந்தால் மட்டும் விண்ணப்பதாரரிடம் தக்க ஒப்புதல் பெற்று வங்கிவரைவோலை திருப்பி வழங்கப்படும். ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி தின்னைப்பதாரரிடம் தக்க ஒப்புதல் பெற்று வங்கிவரைவோலை திருப்பி வழங்கப்படுக் ஒன்தோல் மட்டும் விண்ணப்பதாகைக்கு ஒல்லாத நபருக்கு பதிவஞ்சல் மூலம் வங்கி வரைவோலைகள் தனியே அனுப்பி வைக்கப்படும்.

10) ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் பொது ஏலம் நடத்தி முடித்த பின்னர் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட குவாரிக்கான டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பங்கள் வருகை தந்திருக்கும் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட டெண்டர் விண்ணப்பதாரர்கள் மற்றும் ஏலதாரர்கள் அல்லது அவர்களது ஆதிகாரம் பெற்ற நபர்கள் முன்னிலையில் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட அதிகாரிகளால் திறக்கப்படும் ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) திறக்கும் நேரத்தில் விண்ணப்பதாரர் அல்லது ஏலதாரர் அல்லது அங்கீகாரம் பெற்ற நபர் ஆலூரில் இல்லாததற்கு மரவிட்ட நிர்வாகம் பொறுப்பு அல்ல. இதன்பொருட்டு ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பம் திறப்பதோ எல்ம் நடத்துவதோ நிறுத்தி வைக்கப்படமாட்டாது.

11) அட்டவணையில் கண்ட ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் வரப்பெற்ற மொத்த செல்லத்தக்க விண்ணப்பங்கள், விண்ணப்பதாரர்களின் பெயர்கள் ஒவ்வொரு விண்ணப்பதாரராலும் குறிப்பிடப்பட அதிகபட்ச டெண்டர் தொகை ஆகியவற்றையும் அதிகபட்ச தொகைக்கு ஏலம் கேட்ட நபர் பெயர் மற்றும் அதிகபட்ச ஏலத்தொகை ஆகியவற்றையும் ஏலம் முடிவடைந்தவுடன் அறிவிக்கப்படும். ஏலத்தொகை, ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பத்தில் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள குத்தகை (டெண்டர்) தொகையை விடகுறைவாக இருந்து ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்புதர்கள் மூலமாக கோரப்படும் குத்தகை தொகைகள் ஒன்றுக்கும் மேற்பட்ட விண்ணப்பதாரர்களால் ஒரே மாதிரியாக குறிப்பிடப்பட்டிருந்தால் சம்பந்தப்பட்ட விண்ணப்பதாரர்களை மட்டும் அழைத்து சம்பந்தப்பட்ட குவாரிக்கு மட்டும் மறுகேட்டி மூலம் உயர் குத்தகை தொகை பெற நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்படும். அதிகபட்ச குத்தகைத் தொகை கோரும் நபர் அதிகபட்ச ஏலத்தொகை கோரிய நபராக அறிவிக்கப்படுவார். ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் பெறப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்களில் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள அதிகபட்ச குத்தகைத்தொகை கொரும் நபர் அதிகபட்ச ஏலத்தொகை கோரிய நபராக அறிவிக்கப்படுவார். ஒவ்வொரு குவாரிக்கும் பெறப்பட்ட ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி (டெண்டர்) விண்ணப்பங்களில் குத்தகைத் தொகை இவற்றில் எது அதிகமோ அந்த தொகை மேற்கண்ட குவாரிக்கு கோரப்பட்ட அதிகப்பட்ச குத்தகைகத் தொகை கன அற்குக்கு வறைகைக்கு தொகை தேதகை கேன் குன் கைக்கு பெறவார். அதிகப்பட்சத் தொகைக்கு டெண்டர்/ஏலம் மூலம் கேட்ட நபர் என உறுதி செய்யப்பட்டவராக அறிவிக்கப்படுவார்.

138C/3 (B) A. Ga. 15-2

261

pular

கேட்ட நபர் அவரால் அதிகபட்சமாக கோரப்பட்ட தொகையில் பத்து சதவிகித தொகையினை கேட்பு வரைவேரஸ்யாகவோ / பணமாகவோ உடனடியாக செலுத்திடவேண்டும். அவ்வாறு செலுத்தத் தவறும் பட்சத்தில் அவரது ஏலம் / டெண்டர் ரத்து செய்யப்பட்டு அவருக்கு அடுத்தபடியாக அதிகபட்சத்தொகை கேட்ட நபருக்கு வாய்ப்பளிக்கப்படும். அவரும் பத்து சதவீதத் தொகையினை செலுத்த தவறும் பட்சத்தில் இதே நடைமுறையை தொடர்ந்து நடத்துவது அல்லது மறு ஏலம் விட ஆணையிடுவது போன்றவை மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரின் இறுதி முடிவு மற்றும் அதிகார வரம்பிற்கு உட்பட்டதாகும். அதிகபட்ச ஏலம் / டெண்டர் கேட்ட நபரை தவிர மற்றவர்களுக்கு அவர் தாம் செலுத்திய பிணைவைப்புத்தொகை திரும்ப தரப்படும். ஏலம் / டெண்டர் உறுதி செய்யப்பட்ட நபர் மீதமுள்ள 90 சதவீத தொகையினை பதினைந்து (15) தினங்களுக்குள் செலுத்திவிட வேண்டும், தவறும் பட்சத்தில் ஏலம் / டெண்டர் ரத்து செய்யப்பட்டு அவர் செலுத்திய அனைத்து தொகைகளும் பறிமுதல் செய்து அரசு கணக்கில் சேர்க்கப்படும்.

- 12) (அ) சிறப்பு நிபந்தனைகள்:
  - (i) இந்த டெண்டர் மற்றும் ஏலமுறையில் கலந்து கொள்ளும் விண்ணப்பதாரர்கள் அனைவரும் இந்திய அரசின் வருமான வரிக்குமையினாரல் வரங்கப்படும் நிரந்தா கணக்கு என் (BAN – CABD)
    - ைகள்கு மன்றும் கலையில் குறையினரால் வழங்கப்படும் நிரந்தர கணக்கு எண் (PAN CARD) அரசின் வருமான வரித்துறையினரால் வழங்கப்படும் நிரந்தர கணக்கு எண் (PAN - CARD) அட்டையை பெற்றிருக்க வேண்டும் அல்லது வருமான வரி துறையினரிடமிருந்து பெற்று சமர்ப்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
    - (ii) இந்த நிரந்தர கணக்கு எண்ணை சமர்ப்பித்து டெண்டர் மற்றும் ஏலம் கோரும் தொகைக்கு 2% வருமான வரியை கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, துணை இயக்குநர் அவர்களுக்கு வருமான வரித்துறையினரால் அளிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள TAN.No.CHED05905E-ன் கீழ் உரிய வருமானவரித்துறை செலுத்துச்சீட்டின் மூலம் செலுத்த வேண்டும்.
    - (iii) மேலும் குத்தகை உரிமம் பெற்ற பின்னர் கனிமங்களை எடுத்துச் செல்ல போக்குவரத்து அனுமதி சீட்டுபெற ஒவ்வொரு முறையும் செலுத்துகின்ற சீனியரேஜ் தொகையின் மீது 2% வருமான வரி தொகை செலுத்தவேண்டும்.
    - (iv) மேலும் குத்தகை உரிமம் பெற்ற பின்னர் களியங்களை எடுத்துச் செல்ல போக்குவரத்து அனுமதி சீட்டு பெற ஒவ்வொருமுறையும் செலுத்துகின்ற சீனியரிஜே தொகையின் மீது 10 சதவீத தொகையை கிருஷ்ணிகிரி மாவட்ட கனிம அறக்கட்டனை நிதியாக கிருஷ்ணகிரி பாரத மாநில வங்கி (State Bank of India) கணக்கு என்,37243080996-ல் செலான மூலம் செலுத்த வேண்டும்.
    - (v) அரசாணை எனர்.23 தொழில் (எம்.எம்.சி.1) துறை நாள்:23.02.2022-ன்படி பசுமை வரியாக உள்மாநிலங்களில் கனிமம் கொண்டு செல்வதற்கு சீனியேரேஜ் தொகைக்கு 10 சதவீதம் அல்லது வெளி மாநிலங்களுக்கு கனிமம் கொண்டு செல்வதற்கு சீனியேரேஜ் தொகைக்கு 20 சதவீதம் உரிய அரசு கணக்கில் செலுத்தி கனிமம் கொண்டு செல்லப்பட வேண்டும்.
- 13). குவாரி குத்தகை கோரி ஒரே ஒரு மறைமுக டெண்டர் மனு கொடுக்கப்பட்டு திறந்த முறை பொது ஏலத்தில் கலந்து கொள்ள யாரும் முன்வரவில்லையெனில், டெண்டர் தொகை அரசுக்கு ஆதாயமானது என்று உதவி / துணை இயக்குநர் (புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை) கருதினால், அந்த டெண்டர் மனுதாரருக்கு குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்க உதவி / துணை இயக்குநர் (புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை) ஒப்புதல் அளிக்கலாம். டெண்டர் தொகை அரசுக்கு ஆதாயமானதல்ல என்று உதவி / துணை இயக்குநர் (புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை) ஒப்புதல் அளிக்கலாம். டெண்டர் தொகை அரசுக்கு ஆதாயமானதல்ல என்று உதவி / துணை இயக்குநர் (புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை) கருதும் பட்சத்தில், மனுவைத் தள்ளுபடி செய்து ஆணையிடப்பட்டு மறு ஏலத்தின் மூலம் குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்க மேல்நடவடிக்கை எடுக்க மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர்க்கு அதிகாரம் உண்டு.

RIGR

14) மாண்புமிகு இந்திய உச்சநீதிமன்றம் வழக்கு எண் ஐ.ஏ 12-13/2012 எம்.ஏவ்.கியவற்றின் மீது 27.02.2012 அன்று வழங்கியுள்ள ஆணை கவின்ஷியம், இந்திய அரச் சுற்றுச் சூழல் மற்றும் வனத்துறை குறிப்பாணை எண். எல்.11011/47/2011 - IA. II(M) நான் 1905-2012னபடியும், அரசாணை எண். (எம்எம்சி1) துறை நாள்: 06.04.2015ன்படி 1959ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகளில் திருத்தம் செய்யப்பட்டு சேர்க்கப்பட்ட விதிகள் எண். 41 மற்றும் 42-ன் படியும் அனைத்து சிறுகனிம் குவளிகளுக்கும் குவளிகளுக்கும் குவளிக்கும் குவளிக்கும் குவளிகளுக்கும் குவளி குத்தகை வழங்கும் முன்பு புலியியல் மற்றும் சரங்கத் துறை துணை இயக்குநரால் அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்ட சரங்கத்திட்டம் மற்றும் இந்திய அரசின் சுற்றுச்சூழல், வனம் மற்றும் பருவநிலை மாற்றம் அமைச்சுகத்தால் வழங்கப்படும், மாநில சுற்றுகுமுல் பாதிப்பு மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின் / இசைவு ஆகியவற்றை மெற்று சமர்ப்பித்த பின்பு மட்டுமே குவளரி குத்தகை வழங்கு முடியும். குவளி பணி தொடங்குவதற்கு முன்பாக தமிழ்நாடு மாக கட்டுபாட்டு வாரியத்தின் இசைவினை பெற்று சமர்ப்பிக்கும் பட்சத்தில் மட்டுமே குவாரி பணி தொடங்க அனுமதிக்கப்படும்.

50 60

இயக்குநர் அலு

2 9 JUN 2022

- 15) அதிகபட்சத் தொகை கேட்ட நபருக்கு குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் உறுதி செய்யப்படுமாயின் அவருக்கு குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கப்படவுள்ள குவாரியின் புல எண், பரப்பளவு, ஆகிய விவரங்கள் அடங்கிய அறிவிக்கை வழங்கப்பட்டு அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டம், தமிழ்நாடு மாநில சுற்றுகுழல் பாதிப்பு மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின்/ இந்திய அரசு சுற்றுச்சூழல் மற்றும் வனத்துறையின் தடையின்மை சான்று ஆகியவற்றை விதிகளின்படி உரிய காலத்திற்குள் சயர்ப்பிக்குமாறு தெரிவிக்கப்படும்.
  - (அ) மேற்கண்ட அறிவிக்கை பெற்றுக்கொண்ட மனுதாரர் சுரங்கத்திட்டத்தை தகுதி வாய்ந்த நபர் (QP) மூலம் அரசு தெரிவித்துள்ள விதிகள் மற்றும் வழிகாட்டுதலின்படி தயாரித்து அறிவிக்கை பெறப்பட்ட நாளிலிருந்து மூன்று மாத காலத்திற்குள் கிருஷ்ணகிரி புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை துணை இயக்குநரிடம் அங்கீகாரம் பெற சமர்ப்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
  - (ஆ) மேற்கண்ட மனுதாரர் கிருஷ்ணகிரி புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை துணை இயக்குநரால் அங்கீகாரம் வழங்கப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டத்தை இந்திய அரசு சுற்றுச்சூழல், வனம் மற்றும் பருவநிலை மாற்றம் அமைச்சகத்தின் மாநில சுற்றுசூழல் பாதிப்பு மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின் முன்பு சமர்பித்து தடையின்மை சான்று கோரி விண்ணப்பித்து தடையின்மை சான்றினை பெற்று சமர்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
  - (இ) காவேரி வடக்கு வனவிலங்கு சரணாலயம், தேசிய பூங்கா, யானைகளின் வலசை பாதை மற்றும் காப்பு காடுகளிலிருந்து பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி தூரத்திற்கு அப்பால் மட்டுமே குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்க நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. எனினும், அரசால் மாற்றி அமைக்கப்படும் பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி தூரத்திற்குள் குவாரி பகுதி வருவதாக பிற்காலத்தில் தெரியவந்தால் குத்தகை உரிமம் ரத்து செய்ய மேல்நடவடிக்கை தொடரப்படும்.
  - (ஈ) அங்கீகரித்தப்பட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டம் முதல் ஐந்து ஆண்டு காலத்திற்கு மட்டுமே செல்லத்தக்கதாகும்.
  - (உ) மேற்கண்ட ஆவணங்களை சமர்பித்த பின்பு விதிகளின்படி மனுதாரருக்கு குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்கி ஆணையிடப்படும். அங்கீகரிக்கபட்ட சுரங்கத்திட்டம் மற்றும் தமிழ்நாடு மாநில சுற்றுகுழல் பாதிப்பு மதிப்பீட்டு ஆணையத்தின்/ இந்திய அரசு சுற்றுச்சூழல், வனம் மற்றும் பருவநிலை மாற்றம் அமைச்சகத்தின் தடையின்மை சான்று ஆகியவற்றை குறிப்பிட்ட காலக்கெடுவிற்குள் சமர்பிக்க தவறினால் மனுதாரருக்கு மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர் முன்பு விசாரணைக்கு ஆஜராக வாய்ப்பளித்து விசாரணை நடத்தப்பட்டு ஏற்கனவே வழங்கப்பட்ட உத்தரவு ரத்து செய்ய நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்படும்.

RUGR

- 16) மேற்கூறிய உத்தரவு கிடைக்கப் பெற்றவுடன் விண்ணப்பதாரர், ஆணையில் குறிப்பிடப்பட்ட காலக்கெடுவிற்குள் கீழ்க்கண்ட ஆவணங்களை குத்தகை ஒப்பந்த ஆவணம் நிறைவேற்றுவது தொடர்பாக துணை இயக்குநர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி அவர்களிடம் சமர்ப்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
  - (அ) விண்ணப்பதாரரின் கையொப்பமிட்ட வரைவு குத்தகை ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திரம் மற்றும் வரைபடம்.

- (ஆ) அசல் குத்தகை ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திரம் தயார் செய்வதற்கு தேவையான நீதித்துறை சாரா முத்திரைத்தாள்.
- (இ) காப்புத் தொகைக்கான ஏலம் / டெண்டர் தொகையில் இருபது சதவீதம் (20%) அல்லது ரூ.10,000/-ம் இதில் எது அதிகமோ அதை செலுத்தியதற்கான அசல் செலுத்துச்சீட்டு (சலான்).
- (ஈ) பொத்த குத்தகை பரப்பிற்கான பரப்புவரி செலுத்தியதற்கான அசல் சலான்.

8

- 17) அவ்வாறு குறிப்பிட்ட காலத்திற்குள் மேற்கண்ட ஆவணங்களை சமர்ப்பிக்க தவறினால் வழங்கப்பட்ட குத்தகை உரிமம் ரத்து செய்யப்பட்டு அவர் செலுத்திய அனைத்து தொகைகளும் விதிகளின்படி அரசுக்கு ஆதாயம் செய்து அரசு கணக்கில் சேர்க்கப்படும்.
- 18) மேற்கண்ட ஆவணங்களை ஒப்படைத்து குவாரி குத்தகை ஒப்பந்த ஆவணம் நிறைவேற்றிய பின்பே குவாரிப்பணியை தொடங்க வேண்டும். குவாரி குத்தகை ஆவணம் நிறைவேற்றுமுன் குவாரிப்பணி செய்வது கண்டறியப்பட்டால் அது அனுமதியின்றி கனிமம் வெட்டியெடுத்ததாக கருதப்பட்டு தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகள் 1959ன் விதி 36-அ -ண்படி உரிய நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்படுவதுடன் குற்றவியல் நடவடிக்கையும் எடுக்கப்படும்.
- 19) குவாரி குத்தகைக்காக கோரப்பட்ட மொத்த குத்தகை காலத்திற்குமான ஒரே தடவையில் மொத்தமாக செலுத்தப்படும் குத்தகைத் தொகை நீங்கலாக குத்தகைதாரர் மேற்படி குவாரியில் இருந்து எடுத்துச்செல்ல உத்தேசிக்கும் சிறுகளிமத்திற்கு 1959ம் ஆண்டைய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம சலுகை விதிகளின் அட்டவணை 2ல் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள விகிதாச்சாரப்படி சீனியரேஜ் கட்டணத்தை செலுத்தி மொத்த இசைவாணைச்சீட்டு மற்றும் அனுப்புகைச் சீட்டு பெற்றுதான் சிறுகளிமத்தினை எடுத்துச் செல்ல வேண்டும். மேலும் அரசால் அவ்வப்போது திருத்தி நிர்ணமிக்கப்படும் சீனியரேஜ் தொகையை செலுத்தி அனுமதிச்சீட்டுப்பெற வேண்டும். மேலும் கனிமங்களை வெளியில் எடுத்துச் செல்ல போக்குவரத்து அனுமதிச்சீட்டுப்புற வேண்டும். மேலும் கனிமங்களை வெளியில் எடுத்துச் செல்ல போக்குவரத்து அனுமதிசீட்டு பெற ஒவ்வொரு முறையும் செலுத்துகின்ற சீனியரிஜே தொகையின் மீது 10 சதவீத தொகையை கிருஷ்ணிகிரி மாவட்ட களிம அறக்கட்டளை நிதியாக கிருஷ்ணகிரி பாரத மாநில வங்கி (State Bank of India) கணக்கு என்.37243080996-ல் செலான் மூலம் செலுத்தி அசல் சலான் சுயர்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 20) குத்தகைதாரர் ஒவ்வொரு மாதமும் குவாரிப்பணி செய்த தொழிலாளர்கள், குவாரி செய்த களிமத்தின் அளவிற்குரிய கணத்குகளை பிரதி மாதம் ஐந்தாம் நாளுக்குள் துணை இயக்குநர் புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி அவர்களுக்கு தணிக்கைக்கு ஆஜர் செய்ய வேண்டும்.
- 21) குவாரிகளுக்கு அருகில் உள்ள போக்குவரத்து சாலைகள், கிராம சாலைகள் குடியிருப்பு பகுதிகள் வீடுகள், வண்டிப்பாதைகள், மின் மற்றும் தொலைபேசி கம்பிகள், டிரான்ஸ்பார்மர்கள், ரயில்பாதைகள் பொதுப்பணித்துறை, வாய்க்கால், மதசம்பந்தமான வழியாட்டுத்தலங்கள் மற்றும் இதர நிலையான அமைப்புகள் இவற்றிலிருந்து 1959ஆம் ஆண்டைய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகளிம் சலுகை விதிகளின்படி பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி விட்டு மீதமுள்ள இடத்திற்குள் தான் குவாரிப்பணி செய்யவேண்டும். பொதுமக்கள் உபயோகிக்கும் இடங்கள் குடியிருப்புக்கள் பட்டா நிலங்கள் அல்லது பொதுச் சொத்துக்கள் ஆகியவற்றிற்கு சேதம் ஏதும் ஏற்படாமல் குவாரிப்பணி செய்ய வேண்டும். குவாரி பணியால் சேதம் ஏதும் ஏற்பட்டால் அதற்கு குத்தகைதாரரே முழு பொறுப்பேற்று அதில் ஏற்படும் நட்டத்தை ஈடு செய்து தரவேண்டும்.
- 22) குத்ததைதாரரை மேற்குறிப்பிட்ட நிபந்தனைகள் அல்லாமல் 1959ஆம் ஆண்டைய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிமச் சலுகை விதிகள், கனிமங்கள் மற்றும் சுரங்கங்கள் (மேம்படுத்துதல் மற்றும் முறைப்படுத்துதல்) சட்டம் 1957 மற்றும் இந்த அரசிதழில் குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள சிறப்பு நிபந்தனைகள் மற்றும் அரசால் அவ்வப்போது கொண்டுவரப்படும் ஆணைகளும் விதிகளும் கட்டுப்படுத்தும்.

PUGR

- 9 23) இவ்விதிகளின்கீழ் வழங்கப்படும் குவாரிகளின் குத்தகை காலம் எக்காரணத்தைக் கொண்டும் குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்ட காலத்திற்கு மேல் நீட்டிக்கப்படவோ அல்லது குத்தகை காலம் எக்காரணத்தைக் கொண்டும் குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்ட காலத்திற்கு மேல் நீட்டிக்கப்படவோ அல்லது குத்தகை காலம் தருப்பித்தப்பித்தப்பித்தப்பின் குத்தகை காலம் முடிந்தபின் குத்தகைதாரர்கள் குத்தகைக்கு விடப்பட்ட பகுதிகளில் எவ்விதுமான உரிஷன்றி கொண்டாடக் கூடாது மேலும், குத்தகை காலம் முடிந்தபின் மேற்கண்ட பலத்தை அரசுக்கு திரும்ப தப்படைத்து அதற்கான சான்றிதழை கிராம நிர்வாக அலுவலரிடம் பெற்று வட்டாட்சியர் வாயிலாக மாவட்ட ஆட்சியருக்கு தெரிவிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 24) 14 வயதுக்குட்பட்ட குழந்தை தொழிலாளர்களை குவாரிப்பணியில் ஈடுபடுத்தக்கூடாது.
- 25) இந்த அரசிதழில் குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமத்திற்காக அறிவிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கும் பட்டியலில் உள்ள குத்தகை விடப்படும் குவாரிகளை டெண்டர் / ஏலம் நடைபெறுவதற்கு முன்பாக நிறுத்தி வைக்கவோ, நீக்கவோ, புதியதாக சேர்க்கவோ குவாரி பரப்பளவை மாற்றவோ, மாவட்ட ஆட்சியருக்கு அதிகாரம் உண்டு.
- 26) நிர்வாக சூழல் காரணமாக டெண்டர் மற்றும் ஏலத்தை ரத்து செய்ய மாவட்ட ஆட்சியருக்கு அதிகாரம் உண்டு.
- 27) செய்தித்தாள் மூலமாகவோ, மாவட்ட அரசிதழ் மூலமாகவோ, அறிவிப்பு செய்யப்படாத குவாரிகளுக்கு ஏதாவது ஒப்பந்தப்புள்ளி விண்ணப்பங்கள் கிடைக்கப் பெற்றால் அவையாவும் முதிர்ச்சி அடையாத விண்ணப்பமாக கருதப்பட்டு உடனடியாக நிராகரிக்கப்படும். குறித்த காலக்கெடுவிற்குள் வந்து சேராத விண்ணப்பங்கள் காலவரையறை கடந்த விண்ணப்பமாக கருதப்பட்டு அவையாவும் நிராகரிக்கப்படும், நிராகரிக்கப்பட்ட விண்ணப்பங்களின் விண்ணப்ப கட்டணம் தவிர பிற வங்கி வரைவோலைகள் மட்டும் விண்ணப்பதாரருக்கு திரும்ப அனுப்பி வைக்கப்படும்.
- 28) 1959-ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகளிம் சலுகை விதிகள் அட்டவணைப் படிவம் 1ல் கண்ட ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திரத்தில் தேவையான அளவிற்கு நிபந்தனைகளை புதியதாக சேர்க்கவோ, நீக்கவோ மாற்றி அமைக்கவோ அரசுக்கு அதிகளும் உண்டு, குத்ததை பத்திரம் ஏற்படுத்தியபின்பு புல எண் மற்றும் குவாரி செய்ய ஒதுக்கப்பட்ட பரப்புக்குறித்து எவ்வித தாவாவும் செய்ய குத்ததைதாரருக்கு உரிமை கிடையாது.
- 29) குத்தகை ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திரத்தை புலவரைபடத்துடன் சொத்து மாற்றுகைச் சட்டம் 1882-ன் பிரிவு 107ன் கீழ் குத்ததைதரார் தனது தொந்த செலவில் பதிவுசெய்து பதிவு செய்த ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திரத்தினை கிருஷ்ணகிரி புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை துணை இயக்குநர் அலுவலகத்தில் உடன் ஒப்படைக்க வேண்டும்.
- 30) தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம் சலுகை விதிகள் 1959-ன் விதி 36(1)ல் வரையறுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளவாறு அருகிலுள்ள குடியிருப்புகளுக்கு பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளியாக 300 மீட்டரும் கிராம சாலைகளுக்கு 10 மீட்டரும் இதர சாலைகள் கட்டிடங்கள், வழிபாட்டு தலங்கள், மின்கம்பி பாதைகள், தொலைபேசி பாதைகள், புகைவண்டிப்பாதைகள், டிரான்ஸ்பார்மர்கள், ஆறு, ஏரி, குளம், குட்டை மற்றும் இதர பொது சொத்துக்கள் ஆகியவற்றிற்கு பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளியாக 50 மீட்டரும் விட்டு மீதமுள்ள இடத்திற்குள்தான் குவாரிப்பணி செய்யப்படவேண்டும். புராதன சின்னங்களுக்கு தொல்லியல் துறையால் வரையறுக்கப்பட்டுள்ள பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி விட்டும் குவாரிப்பணி செய்ய வேண்டும். விதிகளின்படி தொல்லியல் சின்னங்களுக்கு 500 மீட்டர் பாதுகாப்பு இடைவெளி விட்டும், வனவிலங்கு சரணாலயம், தேசிய பூங்கா, யானைகளின் வலசை பாதை மற்றும் காப்புக்காடுகளுக்கு ஒரு கிலோ மீட்டர் பாதுதாப்பு இடைவெளிவிட்டும் குவாரி பணி செய்ய வேண்டும். பொதுமக்கள் உபயோகிக்கும் இடங்களான குடியிருப்புக்கள் பட்டா நிலங்கள் மற்றும் இதர பொதுசொத்துக்கள் ஆகியவற்றிற்கு சேதம் ஏதும் நேரிட்டால் அதற்கு குத்தகைதராரே முழுடொறுப்பேற்று அதில் ஏற்படும் நட்டத்தை ஈடுசெய்து தரவேண்டும்.
- 31) நிர்வாக காரணம் மற்றும் பொது நலனை கருத்தில் கொண்டு குத்தகைக்கு விடப்பட்ட பரப்பினை பின்னர் குறைத்து நிர்ணயிக்கவும், குவாரி குத்தகையை ரத்து செய்யவும் அரசுக்கு அதிகாரம் உண்டு.

138C/3 (8) 8. Gas. 15-3.

PUCIP

- 32) குத்தகைதாரர் 1959ஆம் வருடத்திய தமிழ்நாடு சிறுகனிம் சலுகை விதிகளின்படியும் மாவட்ட அரசிதழில் கண்டுள்ள நிபந்தனைகளின்படியும் ஒப்பந்தப்பத்திர நிபந்தனைகளின்படியும் நடந்து கொள்ள கடமைப்பட்டவராவார். குத்தகைகாலத்தில் சட்டதிட்டங்கள் மற்றும் குவாரி குத்தகை நிபந்தனைகளுக்கு ஒப்பந்த லிதிகளுக்கு முரண்பட்டு குத்தகைகாலத்தில் சட்டதிட்டங்கள் மற்றும் குவாரி குத்தகை நிபந்தனைகளுக்கு ஒப்பந்த லிதிகளுக்கு முரண்பட்டு குத்தகைகாலத்தில் சட்டதிட்டங்கள் மற்றும் குவாரி குத்தகை நிபந்தனைகளுக்கு ஒப்பந்த லிதிகளுக்கு முரண்பட்டு குத்தகைதாரர் நடந்து கொண்டால் குத்தகை ரத்துச் செய்யப்படுவதுடன் காப்புத்தொகை மற்றும் அவர் செலுத்திய அனைத்து தொகைகளும் அரசுக்கு பறிமுதல் செய்யப்படும். அக்குவாரிக்கு மீண்டும் குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்க நடவடிக்கை மேற்கொள்ளப்படும்.
- 33) குவாரி குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்ட இடத்தில் சாதாரண கற்களை குவாரி செய்வதில் ஏற்படக்கூடிய நஷ்டங்களுக்கு அரசால் எவ்வித நஷ்டஈடும் வழங்கப்பட மாட்டாது.
- 34) வழங்கப்பட்ட குத்தகை உரிமத்திற்கு பொதுமக்கள் மற்றும் அரசு துறை மூலம் கடுமையான ஆட்சேபம் இருப்பின் பொது நன்மையை கருதி குத்தகையை ரத்துச் செய்ய நேரிட்டால் அதனால் ஏற்படும் இழப்பிற்கு ஈடுகோர குத்தகைதாரருக்கு உரிமை இல்லை.
- 35) குத்தகைதாரர் குவாரியை வேறு யாருக்கும் மாற்றவோ உள்குத்தகைக்கு விடவோ கூடாது. அப்படி ஏதாவது செய்திருப்பது தெரிய வந்தால் மேற்படி குத்தகை ரத்துச்செய்யப்படுவதுடன் குத்தகைதாரர் செலுத்திய தொகையும் அரசுக்கு ஆதாயம் செய்யப்படும்.
- 36) குத்தகைதாரர், புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, துணை இயக்குநர் அலுவலகத்தில் அரசு குறிப்பிட்ட படிவத்தில் அனுப்புகைச் சீட்டுக்களை அச்சிட்டு சமர்ப்பிக்க வேண்டும். குத்தகைதாரர் சிறுகனிமம் எடுத்து செல்லும் வாகனத்துடன் அனுப்புகைச் சீட்டு கொடுத்து அனுப்ப வேண்டும். இந்நடைச்சீட்டை இரு பிரதிகள் அச்சிட்டு வரிசை எண்ணிட்டு தாங்கள் உத்தேசமாக எடுக்க இருக்கும் லோடுகளுக்கு லோடு ஒன்றுக்கு ஒரு சீட்டு வீதம் கணக்கிட்டு அதற்குரிய சீனியரேஜ் தொகையினை செலுத்திய பின்னர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி புவிபியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை, துணை இயக்குநரிடம் அனுப்புகைச்சீட்டு மற்றும் வொத்த இசைவாணைச் சீட்டு ஆகியவற்றில் உரிய முத்திரையும் கையொப்பமும் பெற்றபின்பே பயன்படுத்த வேண்டும்.
- 37) ஒப்பதல் பெறப்படாத அனுப்புகைச்சீட்டுடன் கனிமம் கொண்டு செல்லும் வாகனங்கள் அதிலுள்ள கிறுகனிமத்தை ு ூழுறையற்ற வகையில் எடுத்துச்செல்வதாக கருதப்பட்டு உரிய சட்டத்தின்படி உரிய அலுவலர்களால் கைப்பற்றப்பட்டு அபராதம் விதிக்கப்படும்.
- 38) புவியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை அலுவலர்கள், காவல் துறையினர் அல்லது வருவாய்த்துறை அலுவலர்கள் முதலானோர் தணிக்கை செய்யும்போது உரிய கணக்குகள் மற்றும் அனுப்புகைச் சீட்டு முதலானவைகளை குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் பெற்ற குத்தகைதாரர் காண்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 39) அரசு அலுவலாகள் தணிக்கை செய்யும் போது சிறுகனியங்கள் கொண்டு செல்லும் வாகனங்களை தணிக்கைக்கு உட்படுத்த வாகன ஒட்டுனர்களை குத்தகைதாரர்கள் அறிவறுத்த வேண்டும்.
- 40) அனுப்புகைச்சீட்டில் உள்ள கலங்கள் பூர்த்தி செய்யப்படாமலோ அல்லது தவறாக எழுதப்பட்டு வாகனங்களுக்கு கொடுக்கப்பட்டிருந்தாலோ சிறுகனிமம் கொண்டு செல்லும் வாகன உரிமையாளருக்கு அபராதம் மற்றும் குற்றவியல் நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்படும். மேலும், குவாரி குத்தகையை ரத்து செய்ய நடவடிக்கை மேற்கொள்ளப்படும்.
- 41) குத்தகைதாரர் ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் குவாரியில் எவ்வளவு சிறுகனிமங்கள் வெட்டி எடுக்கப்பட்டது என்பதையும் எந்த அளவு கனிமங்கள் லாரி, வண்டி மூலம் வெளியே அனுப்பட்டது என்ற விவரத்தையும் காட்டும் பதிவேடு பராமரிக்க வேண்டும். குவாரி குத்தகை சம்பந்தயான இதர பதிவேடுகளை பராமரிக்க வேண்டும்.

PILLE

42) அரசு மற்றும் மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரால் குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் சம்பந்தமாக எதப்டுத்தப்படும் குற்றும் அவ்வப்போது ஏற்படுத்தப்படும் சட்ட திட்டங்களுக்கும், நிபந்தனைகளுக்கும் குத்தகைதாரா கட்டுப்பட்டு நடக்க மேன்டும். குத்தகை காலத்திலோ அல்லது அதற்குபின்னரோ கிராமம் தவறி குத்தகையை பயன்படுத்தியதினால் ஏற்படும் சகல நஷ்டங்களுக்கும் குத்தகைதாரர்கள் பொறுப்பேற்க வேண்டும். இதற்காக விதிக்கப்படும் அபராதம் மற்றும் குற்றுவியல் நடவடிக்கைக்கு கட்டுப்பட்டு நடக்க வேண்டும்.

11

இயக்குநர் ஆலுவிலு இ

2 9 JUN LULL

E TE OLOUT BI

Ser Cont

- 43) குத்தகை நிபந்தனை மீறப்பட்டால் குத்தகையை ரத்துச் செய்யவோ செய்யப்பட்ட தவறுகளுக்கு குத்தகைதாரருக்கு தண்டனை விதிக்கவோ கிரிமினல் வழக்குதொடரவோ அரசுக்கு அதிகாரம் உண்டு குத்தகை ரத்துச் செய்யப்பட்டால் காப்புத் தொகை உள் பட அனைத் து தொகைகளும் அரசுக்கு ஆதாயம் செய்யப்படும். வழங்கப்பட்ட குத்தகை உரிமத்தை எக்காரணத்திற்காவது ரத்துச்செய்யும் பட்சத்தில் அதனால் ஏற்படும் எவ்விட நஷ்டங்களுக்கும் அரசு பொறுப்பல்ல. குத்தகை எடுத்தவர் எந்த காரணத்தைகை முன்னிட்டும் தனக்கு இழப்பு ஏற்பட்டால் நஷ்டசுடு கேட்கக்கூடாது.
- 44) குத்தகை எடுத்தவர் குத்தகையை அனுபவிக்காமல் விட்டாலும், செலுத்தப்பட்ட குத்தகை தொகை எக்காரணத்தை முன்னிட்டும் திரும்ப வழங்கப்படமாட்டாது.

45) குவாரிகளின் எல்லைகள் பற்றி பிரச்சினைகள் ஏற்பட்டால் மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரின் தீர்ப்பே இறுதியானது.

- 46) கற்குவாரி குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்கப்பட்ட பின்னர் அக்கற்குவாரியின் ஏதாவது ஒரு பகுதியில் வரலாற்று முக்கியத்துவம் வாய்ந்த புரதானக்கால கல்வெட்டுக்கள், சிற்ப வடிவமைப்புகள் போன்றவைகள் காணப்பட்டால் அது குறித்து அரசுக்கு தகவல் தரவேண்டும். மேலும், அப்பகுதியில் கற்கள் உடைப்பது நிறுத்தப்பட்டு அப்புராதன சின்னங்கள் பாதுகாக்கப்பட வேண்டும்.
- 47) டெண்டரில் கோரப்படும் புல எண்களின் பேரில் எவையேனும் நீதிமன்றத்தின் ஆணை / தடையாணை முதலானவை நீதிமன்றத்தில் பெறப்பட்டதாக தெரியவந்தால் அவைகள் மீது குத்தகை உரிமம் வழங்குவதில் மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரின் முடிவே இறுதியானது.
- 48) குத்தகைதாரர் குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்ட குவாரி முகப்பில் குவாரியின் புல எண் பரப்பு குத்தகைதாரர் பெயர் குத்தகை வழங்கப்பட்ட செயல்முறை ஆணை எண் குத்தகை தொகை, குத்தகை காலம் போன்ற விவரங்கள் குறிக்கப்பட்ட தகவல் பலகையை தனது சொந்த செலவில் வைத்து குத்தகை காலம் முழுதும் பராமரிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 49) குத்தகைதாரர் குவாரியின் எல்லைகளை தெளிவாக தெரியம்படி வண்ணமிட்ட எல்லைக் கற்களை (DGPS) முறையில் அளவீடு செய்து ஊன்றி அடையாளமிட்ட பின்பே குவாரி செய்ய வேண்டும். எல்லை கற்களை குத்தகை காலம் முழுவதும் தனது சொந்த செலவில் நன்கு பராமரிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 50) குத்தகைக்கு வழங்கப்பட்ட கல்குவாரிகளில் சாதாரண கற்கள், கட்டுக்கல், சக்கை கற்கள், ஜல்லி கற்கள் ஆகியவைகளை மட்டுமே குவாரி செய்ய வேண்டும் அயல் நாட்டிற்கு ஏற்றுமதி செய்வதற்கும் மெருகு ஏற்றுவதற்கும் பயன்படும் வடிவமைக்கப்பட்ட கற்களை உற்பத்தி செய்யக் கூடாது.
- 51) குவாரியில் வெடி வைத்து கற்களை உடைக்க அங்கீகாரம் பெற்ற வெடிபொருள் விற்பனையாளரிடம் (Licenced Explosive Dealer) வெடிபொருட்களை கொள்முதல் செய்து சான்று பெற்ற வெடி வெடிப்பவரைக்(Licenced shot Firer ) கொண்டு அனைத்து பாதுகாப்பு நிபந்தனைகளையும் கடைபிடித்து வெடிகளை வெடிக்க வைக்க வேண்டும்.
- 52) குவாரியில் சாதாரண ஏர் கம்ப்ரசர்களை கொண்டு துளையிட்டு வெடிவைக்க வேண்டும். ஆழ்துளை கிணறு உபகரணங்களை (Rig Bore) கொண்டு துளையிட்டு வெடிவைக்ககூடாது. அருகிலுள்ள விவசாய நிலங்கள், பொதுச்சொத்துக்கள் மற்றும் பொதுமக்கள் ஆகியோருக்கு எவ்வித பாதிப்பும் ஏற்படாமல் குவாரி பணி செய்ய வேண்டும்.

pular

12

- 53) அரசு / ஆணையர் புலியியல் மற்றும் சுரங்கத்துறை மற்றும் மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரால் இது தொடர்பாக ஏற்படுத்தப்பட்டுள்ள மற்றும் அவ்வப்போது ஏற்படுத்தப்படும் சட்டதிட்டங்களுக்கும் நிபந்தனைகளுக்கும் குத்தகைதாரர் கட்டுப்பட்டு நடக்க வேண்டும்.
- 54) 1961ஆம் ஆண்டின் மெட்டாலிபெரஸ் மைன்ஸ் ரெகுலேஷன்ஸ், 1936 ஆம் ஆண்டின் சம்பளம் வழங்குதல் சட்டம், 1884 ஆம் ஆண்டின் இந்திய வெடிபொருட்கள் சட்டம், 1864 ஆம் அண்டு குறைந்தபட்ச ஊதியச்சட்டம் ஆகியவற்றிற்கு உட்பட்டு குத்தகைதாரர் கனிமங்கள் வெட்டி எடுத்து வெளியேற்ற வேண்டும்.
- 55) குவாரியில் வேலை செய்யும் தொழிலாளர்கள் மற்றும் இதர நபர்களுக்கு விபத்து ஏற்படின் அதற்கான முழுப் பொறுப்பையும் குத்தகைதாரரே ஏற்க வேண்டும். அதற்கு எவ்வகையிலும் அரசு பொறுப்பாகாது. மேலும், குவாரி தொழிலாளர்களை அரசின் காப்பீட்டு திட்டத்திலும் தொழிலாளர் நல வாரியத்தில் பதிவு செய்திடல் வேண்டும்.
- 56) குவாரி தொடர்பான அனைத்து பணிகளும் சுற்றுச்சூழல் இசைவானையில் தெரிவிக்கப்பட்ட காலத்தில் மட்டுமே செயல்படுத்தப்பட வேண்டும்.
- 57) சாதாரண கற்குவாரி உரிமம் தொடர்பான டெண்டர் / ஏலம் உறுதி செய்யப்பட்ட விண்ணப்பதாரர் உரிய குவாரி குத்தகை பகுதிக்கு மாவட்ட வன அலுவலர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி / ஒசூர் அவர்களிடமிருந்து தடையின்மை சான்று பெற்று சமர்ப்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 58) அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்க திட்டத்தின்படி குவாரி பணி செய்யப்பட வேண்டும். குத்தகை காலத்தில் அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்ட சுரங்க திட்டத்தில் குறிப்பிட்ட அளவை விட அதிகமான கனிமத்தை குவாரி செய்ய வேண்டியிருப்பின், திருத்தப்பட்ட சுரங்க திட்டம் சமர்பித்து அங்கீகாரம் பெற்று அதற்கான சுற்றுச் சூழல் தடையின்மை சான்று சமர்பித்த பின்பே அதனை செய்ய வேண்டும்.
- 59) குவாரி ஆரம்பிப்பது தொடர்பான அறிவிப்பை (Notice of opening) இந்திய அரசு பெங்களூரு மண்டல சுரங்க பாதுகாப்பு துறை இயக்குநர் அவர்களுக்கு சமர்பிக்க வேண்டும்.
- 60) குவாரியில் அங்கீகாரம் பெற்ற பைன்ஸ் மேனேஜர்/மைன்ஸ் மேட்/பிளாஸ்டர் ஆகியோர்களை பணியமர்த்திய பின்பே குவாரிப் பணியை தொடங்க வேண்டும்,
- 61) குவாரிப் பகுதியில் மைன்ஸ் மேட் கண்காணிப்பிலேயே வெடிவைத்து வெடிக்கும் பணியை செய்ய வேண்டும்.
- 62) குவாரிப் பகுதியில் விபத்து ஏதும் ஏற்பட்டால் அதனை உடனடியாக இந்திய அரசு பெங்களூரு மண்டல சுரங்க பாதுகாப்பு துறை இயக்குநர் அவர்களுக்கும் கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர் அவர்களுக்கும் தெரிவிக்க வேண்டும்.

அட்டவணை - சாதாரண கற்குவாரி பட்டியல்

(i.) கிருஷ்ணகிரி வருவாய் கோட்டம்

கிருஷ்ணகிரி வட்டம்

| ณ.<br>लक्षां | а<br>2 | கிராமம்       | ् புல<br>எலர் கள் | மொத்த<br>பரப்பு               | குவாரி<br>குத்தகை<br>வழங்கும்<br>பரப்பு | வகைப்பாடு    | குத்தகை<br>உரிமம்<br>காலம் |
|--------------|--------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|----------------------------|
| (1)          |        | (2)           | (3)               | (4)<br>(Q <u>an</u> rai CLit) | (5)<br>(ஹெக்டேர்)                       | (6)          | (7)                        |
| 1            | î.     | ஜீஞ்சுப்பள்ளி | 169(பகுதி)        | 8.56.00                       | 2.00.00                                 | தீ.ஏ.த.பாறை  | 10                         |
| 2            |        | ஜீஞ்சுப்பள்ளி | 197/2(பகுதி)      | 1.77.00                       | 1.20.00                                 | தீ.ஏ.த தரிசு | 10                         |

PUGR

· . .

| 240 | ( <b>a</b> )                            | 3 ° 16                         | × · · ·              |                | and a                     |   |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---|
|     |   |                                |                      | Sean BUL       | BOILD REAL                | a la  |
|     |   |                                | а                    | ( × 29         | JUN LUCK                  | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 |
|     |   |                                | 13                   | 122 8          | நஷ்ணகிரி                  | S)  |
| (1) | (2)                                     | (3)                            | (4)<br>(Dan d (4: d) | 150 LD         | pinie antinia             | (7)   |
| -   |   | х                              | (ଜ୍ୟାରେ ଜନ୍ମୀ)       | ( जह्या क करना |                           |   |
| 3   | பல்லனகுப்பம்                            | 278                            | 2.08.50              | 2.08.50        | தீ.ஏ.த பாறை               | 10  |
|     | 8                                       | 8 8-25<br>11 8-25              | பர்கூர் வட்டம்       |                | 140                       | <u>a</u> 190  |
| 4   | குலாமலை                                 | 54 (பகுதி-3)                   | 16.45.0              | 1.40.00        | திர.த பாறை                | 10  |
|     |   | (ii) G                         | கூர் வருவாய் கே      | ு<br>எட்டம்.   |                           | 蕴   |
|     | 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | i i i                          | ைகர் வட்டம்          | 2°             | 1                         | e ar  |
| 5   | ്<br>് പടക്കേ കിന്ന്                    | 603/1                          | 21 20 50             | 1 20 00        | ि <u>स</u> ्तर्भिक        | a<br>E  |
| 5   |   | (பகுதி-சி)                     | 21.20.00             | 1-30-00        | ට.•).න රා <b>ා</b> ං<br>- | 9   |
| 6   | பஞ்சாட்சிபுரம்                          | 603/1                          | 21.20.50             | 2.00.00        | கீ.எ.க கிக                | 89 9 <b>5</b>   |
|     |   | (பகுதி-டி)                     |                      |                |                           | -   |
| 7   | கோபனப்பள்ளி                             | 220/1                          | 16.76.00             | 3.00.00        | தீ.ஏ.த தரிசு              | 10  |
|     |   | (பகுதி-1)                      |                      |                |                           |   |
| 8   | கோபனப்பள்ளி                             | 220/1                          | 16.76.00             | 3.00.00        | தீ.ஏ.த தரிசு              | 10  |
|     |   | (പക്രളി-2)                     |                      |                |                           | 5   |
| 9   | ക്സേങ്ങപ്പണ്ണി                          | 220/1                          | 16.76.00             | 3.00.00        | தீ.ஏ.த தரிசு <sub>்</sub> | 10  |
|     | a <sup>10</sup> <sup>2</sup> a 11       | பகுத-3)                        |                      |                |                           |   |
| 10  |   | 220/1<br>(u/##-#-4)            | 16.76.00             | 2.00.00        | தி.ஏ.த தரிசு              | · 10  |
| 11  | கோ மாப் மாரி                            | 391                            | 4 61 50              | 1 20 00        | <i>f.a.a.</i> afta        | 10  |
|     |   | (പര്യക്രി-1)                   | 4.01.30              | 1.30.00        | Drol+D Dillot             | 10  |
| 12  | கோபனப்பன்ளி                             | 3815****                       | 4.61.50              | 1.50.00        | தீ.ஏ.த தரிசு              | 10  |
|     | j.                                      | a 8 <b>(⊎@∯-2)</b> ∂ 93        | 8                    | 5              |                           |   |
|     |   |                                | குளகிரி வட்டம்       |                |                           |   |
| 13  | காமன்தொட்டி                             | 616/3                          | 7.66.50              | 2.75.00        | தீ.ஏ.த தரிக               | 5   |
|     | 10                                      | (പ <b>ക്രളി</b> -2)            |                      |                |                           |   |
| 14  | காமன்தொட்டி                             | 653/1(பகுதி)                   | 7.56.00              | 3.35.00        | தி.ஏ.த தரிசு              | 5   |
| 15  | காமன்தொட்டி                             | 754 <i>&amp;</i>               | 36.46.50             | 4.00.00        | தீ.ஏ.த மலை                | 10  |
|     |   | 760 (பகுதி-6)                  |                      |                |                           |   |
| 16  | வெங்கடேசபுரம்                           | 86-(பகுதி-1)                   | 60.80.00             | 2.50.00        | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு               | 5   |
| 17  | வெங்கடேசபுரம்                           | 86 <b>-</b> (பகு <b>தி-</b> 2) | 60.80.00             | 2.00.00        | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு               | 10  |
| 18  | வெங்கடேசபுரம்                           | 86-(பகுதி-3)                   | 60.80.00             | 2.00.00        | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு               | 5   |
| 19  | பி.எஸ்.திம்மசந்திரம்                    | 88/1                           | 12.79.00             | 4.50.00        | தீ.ஏ.த பாறை               | 10  |
|     | 1                                       | (പക്രളി-3)                     | 10 <b>8</b> 2        |                |                           |   |

138C/3 (ඹ) හි. බබා. 15—4.

PUGR

| 11-5    |  |                          | 14                            | 5                     |                          |                 |
|---------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| (1)     | (2)  | (3)                      | (4)<br>(G <u>o</u> nei Cu.it) | (5)<br>(Goonsi Gliri) | (6)<br>)                 | (7)             |
| and the | Selection and a selection of the selecti | ( 72(പക്രകി)             | 9.71.00                       | 0.65.00               | தீ.ஏ.த பாறை              | J               |
| 20      | தோரியன்ளி  | < 87/1(uළුණි)            | 8.77.00                       | 0.95.00               | தீ.ஏ.த பாறை              | > 10            |
| 1000    |  | ê wer in the             | மொத்தம்                       | 1.60.00               | 174                      | J               |
| 21      | துப்புகானப்பள்ளி   | 420-(പക്രളി-1)           | 46.61.00                      | 4.00.00               | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு              | 10              |
| 22      | துப்புகானப்பள்ளி   | 420-(பகுதி-3)            | 46.61.00                      | 4.60.00               | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு              | 10              |
| 23      | <mark>ஆப்புகானப்பள்</mark> ளி  | 420-(പ <b>്രക്കി-4</b> ) | 46.61.00                      | 4.50.00               | தீஏ.த காடு               | 10              |
| 24      | சென்னப்பள்ளி   | 327/1 (பகுதி-1)          | 38.78.00                      | 2.45.00               | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு              | 10              |
| 25      | சென்னப்புள்ளி  | 327/1 (பகுதி-2)          | 38.78:00                      | 2.45.00               | தீ.ஏ.த கரடு              | 10              |
| 25      |  | a Cgata                  | ளிக்கோட்டை                    | வட்டம்                | 14 <sub>18</sub>         | 1. P            |
| 26      | தாரவேந்திரம்   | 320/1 (பகுதி)            | 2.23.00                       | 1.70.50               | தி.ஏ.த தரிசு             | <sup>=</sup> 10 |
| 27      | நாகமங்கலம்   | 629 (பகுதி)              | 188.50.00                     | 3.20.50               | தி.ஏ.த கல்லாங்<br>குத்து | 10<br>5         |

கிருஷ்ணகிரி,

10-03-2022

வி. ஜெய சந்திர பானுரெட்டி,

மாவட்ட ஆட்சியர், கிரு**ஷ்ணா**கிரி மாவட்டம்.

S. MATHAR PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., RQP/CNU/270/2016/A

தமிழ்நாடு எழுதுபொருள் மற்றும் அச்சுத்துறை ஆணையரால் சேலம் அரசினர் கிளை அச்சகத்தில் அச்சிடப்பட்டு மாவட்ட ஆட்சியரால் வெளியிடப்பட்டது.

PUGR

வனம் காப்போம்



# <u>தமிழ்நாடு வனத்துறை</u>

### அலுப்புதல்

செல்வி. க. கார்த்திகேயனி, இ.வ.ப., வனடியிரினகாப்பாளர், ஒசூர் வனக்கோட்டம், மத்திகிரி, ஒசூர் – 635 110. தொலைபேசி எண். 04344 296600.

### பொதல்

மாவட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், கிருஷ்ணகிரி.

### நூன். 10.02.2022 ஸ்ரீ பிலவ வருடம், தை மாதம் 28, திருவள்ளுவர் ஆண்டு 2052)

### अपंधन,

பொருள்

கனிமங்களும் குவாரிகளும் – கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம் – அரசு புலங்களில் உரிமம் முடிவடைந்த குவாரிகள் மற்றும் புதிய குவாரிகளை டெண்டர் மற்றும் பொது ஏலத்தில் கொண்டுவர வனப்பகுதி மற்றும் சரணாலயத்திற்கு உள்ள தொலைவு விவரம் மற்றும் இதர விவரங்கள் கோரியது – தொடர்பாக.

ປແມ່ສອຍ

- 1. அரசு ஆணை (நிலை) எண். 295 தொழிற் (எம்எம்சி.1) துறை நாள். 03.11.2021
- துணை இயக்குநர், புலியியல் மற்றும் கூங்கத்துறை, கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம் ந.க.எண்.817/2020/கனிமம் நாள். 31.12.2021 மற்றும் 04.02.2022.
- 3. மாவட்ட ஆட்சித் தலைவர், கிருஷ்ணகிரி ந.க.எண்.817/2020/கனிறம் நாள். 04.02.2022.
- இவ்வலுவலக ந.க.எண். 261/2022/எல், நாள்.10.02.2022

பார்வையின் கடிதங்களில் தெரிவிக்கப்பட்ட அரசு புலங்களில் உரிமம் முடிவற்ற குவாரிகள் மற்றும் புதிய குவாரிகளுக்கு டென்டர் மற்றும் பொது ஏலத்தில் கொண்டுவர, வனப்பகுதி மற்றும் சரணாலயப் பகுதியிலிருந்து உள்ள தொலைவு விவரம் கோரப்பட்டுள்ளது. இது தொடர்பான விவரம் பின்வருமாறு தெரிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

குவாரி அனுமதிக்கான வனத்துறையின் குறிப்புரையை முறையே வனப்பாதுகாவலர் மற்றும் முதன்மை தலைமை வனப்பாதுகாவலர் அவர்களின் அங்கீகாரத்தின்படியே, வனஉயிரின காப்பாளரால் வலங்கப்படுகிறது. எனவே, இவ்வரைவு வனத்துறையின் தடையின்மை ஆவணமாக கருதி\_லாகாது. மேலும், பார்வையின் கடிதத்தில் கேட்டவாறு வனத்துறையின் குறிப்புரையளிப்பது குறித்து முன்மொழிவு / பரிந்துரை கடிதம் பார்வை 4ல் கண்ட இவ்வலுவலக கடிதத்தில் வனப்பாதுகாவலர், தருமபுரி மூலமாக முதன்மை தலைமை வனப்பாதுகாவலர் அவர்களுக்கு சமர்ப்பிக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. அதன்படி, அரசு புலங்களில் ஆவரரி அமைக்க அனுமதி கோரப்பட்ட இடத்தின் தூரம் தகவலின்பொருட்டு பின்வருமாறு தெரிவிக்கப்படுகிறது.

pulat

# அட்டவனை 1

|            | N                 | Classification of the proposed        | S.E. No.                | Extent<br>Proposed<br>for | GPS coordinates of the proposed sites |                       | Distance from<br>nearest Reserved | Distance<br>from<br>CNWL5  |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 51.<br>10. | Village           | site (As per<br>Revenue Record)       | Juri Hilli              | Quarry<br>Lease           | Latitude                              | Longitude             | Forest (km)                       | (km)                       |
| 1          | Krishnagiri Taluk | basessee                              |                         | 2 00 00                   | 13 64916                              | 78 15410              | 3.4                               | 20<br>Lidedurgan           |
| 1          | Jinjupalli        | waste - Paral                         | 169 (Part)              | 2,00.00                   | 12.04910                              |                       | Petnatnalapalin                   | 20.4                       |
| 2          | Jinjupalli        | Un-assessed<br>waste - Tharisu        | 197/2<br>(Part)         | 1.20.00                   | 12.55956                              | 78.15585              | Pethathalapall                    | Udedurgan                  |
| 3          | Billanakuppam     | Un-assessed<br>waste - Paral          | 278                     | 2.08.50                   | 12.59999                              | 78.1 <del>6</del> 812 | 3.2<br>Naralapalli Extn.          | Udedurgan                  |
|            | Bargur Taluk      |                                       |                         |                           |                                       |                       |                                   | 24.2                       |
| 4          | Shoolamalai       | Un-assessed<br>waste - Parai          | 54-Part-3               | 1.40.00                   | 12.51168                              | 78.25921              | 7.4<br>Pethathalapalli            | Udedurgam                  |
|            | Shoolagiri Taluk  |                                       |                         | *                         |                                       |                       |                                   | 14.7                       |
| 5          | Kamandoddi        | Un-assessed<br>waste - Tharisu        | 615/3<br>(Part-2)       | 2.75.00                   | 12.66910                              | 77.94928              | 2.4<br>Settipalli                 | Udedurgan                  |
| 6 ·        | Kamandoddi        | Un-assessed<br>waste - Tharisu        | 653/1<br>(Part)         | 3.35.00                   | 12.66448                              | 77.94973              | 2.8<br>Settipalli                 | 13.7<br>Udedurgan          |
| 7          | Kamandoddi        | tin-assessed<br>waste-Malal           | 754 & 760<br>(Part-VI)  | 4.00.00                   | 12.65973                              | 77.96080              | 2.7<br>Settipalli                 | 13.3<br>Udedurgar          |
| 8          | Kamandoddi        | Un-assessed<br>waste - Tharisu -      | 1276<br>(Part)          | 2.00.00                   | * <b>12.66421</b>                     | 77.96741              | 2.2<br>Séttipalli                 | 1 <u>3</u> .9<br>Udedurgar |
| 9          | Venkatesapuram    | Un-assessed<br>waste-Karadu           | 86-Part-1               | 2.50.00                   | 12.75552                              | 77.94513              | 1.05<br>Athimugam II              | 24<br>Udedurgar            |
| 10         | Venkatesapuram    | Un-assessed<br>waste-Karadu           | 86-Part-2               | 2.00.00                   | 12.75586                              | 77,94660              | 1.05<br>Athimugam II              | 24.1<br>Udedurgar          |
| 11         | Venkatesapuram    | Un-assessed<br>waste-Karadu           | 86-Part-3               | 2.00.00                   | 12.75397                              | 77.94352              | 1.04<br>Athimugam II              | 23.8<br>Udeđurgar          |
| 12         | B.S.              | Un-assessed<br>waste-Parai            | 88/1<br>(Part-3)        | 4.50.00                   | 12.84070                              | 77,95736              | 1.01<br>Amuthugondapalli          | 33.5<br>Udedurgar          |
| 13         | Doripalli         | Un-assessed                           | 72(Part)<br>87/1(Part)  | 0.65.00<br>0.95.00        | 12.71262                              | 77.95474              | 2.2<br>Settipall                  | 19.3<br>Udedurgar          |
| 14         | Thuppuganapalli   | Un-assessed<br>waste-Karadu           | Total<br>420-<br>Part-1 | 4.00.00                   | 12.62856                              | 77.95266              | 4.5<br>Sanamavu                   | 9.9<br>Udedurga            |
| 15         | Thuppuganapalli-  | Un-assessed<br>waste-Karadu<br>malai  | 420-<br>Part-3          | 4.60.00                   | 12.62604                              | 77.95370              | 4.8<br>Sanamavu                   | 9.7<br>Udedurg             |
| 16         | Thuppuganapalli   | Un-assessed-<br>waste-Karadu<br>malai | 420-<br>Part-4          | 4.50.00                   | 12.62499                              | 77.95265              | 4.7<br>Sanamavu                   | 9.6<br>Udedurş             |

2

\$,

PULAR

272

Ĉ,

|     |                   |                                       | α.                              |  | ii.                  | all | இயக்குநர் அலு     | AND |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| •   | 12                |                                       |                                 |  |                      | 1                                       | 2 9 JUN 2022      | )+1                                     |
| 51. | Village           | Classification of the proposed        | S.F. No.                        | Extent<br>Proposed<br>for  | GPS coor<br>the prop | dinates of<br>osed sizes w              | Distance from     | Distance<br>from                        |
| No. |                   | site (As per<br>Revenue Record)       |                                 | Quarry<br>Lease  | Latitude             | Longitude                               | Forest (km)       | (km) <sup>i</sup> ,                     |
| 17  | Chennapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste - Karadu         | 327/1 -<br>Part-1               | 2.45.00  | 12.62504             | 78.05404                                | 2<br>Errandapalli | 14.3<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 18  | Chennapaili       | Un-assessed<br>waste - Karadu         | 327/1 -<br>Part-2               | 2.45.00  | 12.62400             | 78.05477                                | 2<br>Errandapalli | 14,3<br>Udedurgam                       |
|     | Hosur Taluk       |                                       |                                 | and a second |                      |   |                   |   |
| .19 | Mugalur           | Un-assassed<br>waste                  | 232/2<br>(Part-2)               | 4.85.00  | 12.62273             | 77.81719                                | 5.6<br>Sanamavu   | 11.6<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 20  | Panchakshipuram   | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 603/1<br>(Part-C)               | 1,30.00  | 12.59781             | 77.79278                                | 8.6<br>Sanamavu   | 11.6<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 21  | Panchakshipuram   | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 603/1<br>(Part-D)               | 2.00.00  | 12.59668             | 77.79277                                | 8.6<br>Sanamavu   | 11.5<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 22  | Gobanapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 220/1<br>(Part-1)               | 3,00.00  | 12.63255             | 77.81140                                | 6.4<br>Sanamavu   | 13<br>Udedurgam                         |
| 23  | Gobanapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 220/1<br>(Part-2)               | 3.00.00  | 12.63169             | 77.81128                                | 6.4<br>Sanamavu   | 12.8<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 24  | Gobanapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 220/1<br>(Part-3)               | 3.00.00  | 12.63221             | 77.81357                                | 6.2<br>Sanamavu   | 12.8<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 25  | Gobanapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 220/1<br>(Pari-4)               | 2.69.50  | 12.63109             | 77.81268                                | 6.3<br>Sanamayu   | 12.7<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 26  | Gobanapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 381<br>(Part-1)                 | 1.30.00  | 12.63489             | 77.81198                                | 6.4<br>Sanamavu   | 13.2<br>Udedurgam                       |
| 27  | Gobanapalli       | Un-assessed<br>waste                  | 381<br>(Part-2)                 | 1.50,00  | 12.63391             | 77.81214                                | 6.4<br>Sanamavu   | 13.1<br>Udedurgam                       |
|     | Denkanikottai Tal | uk                                    | 1                               |  |                      |   |                   |   |
| 28  | Hosapuram         | On essessed waste                     | 346<br>(Part),<br>353,<br>354/2 | 1.97.50  | 12.64563             | 77.81959                                | 6.1<br>Sanamavu   | 13.8<br>Udedurgam                       |
|     | Daravendiram      | diram Un-assessed<br>waste - Podu     | 320/1<br>(Part)                 | 1.70.50  | 12.56214             |   | C E               | 6.5<br>Jawalagiri                       |
| 29  |                   |                                       | 320/2                           | 0.29.50  |                      | 77.68326                                | 6.5<br>Jawalagiri |   |
|     |                   |                                       | Total                           | 2.00.00  |                      |   |                   |   |
| 30  | Nagamangalam      | Un-assessed<br>waste -<br>Kailankuthu | 629 (Part)                      | 3.20.50  | 12.57400             | 77.91418                                | 3.9<br>Udedurgam  | 3.9<br>Udedurgam                        |

மேற்கண்ட அட்டவணை 1ல் உள்ள குவாரி பகுதிகள், காவேரி வடக்கு வனஉயிரின சரணாலயத்திற்கான சூழல் உயர்திரன் மண்டலத்திற்குள் (Eco-Sensitive Zone) வருவதில்லை. PULLER

273

#### ച്ചപ്പങ്ങ

| 102  | நிறுத்திவைக      | हक गांगीएकाकार लिव                             | արութա            | குவாரிகளி              | ன் விவரப் ப          | ட்டியல்                  | - 20  |                      |
|------|------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 51.  | Village          | Village Site (As per S.F.I<br>Revenue Percent) |                   | Extent<br>Proposed     | GPS coordi<br>propos | nates of the<br>ed sites | Distance from<br>nearest<br>Reserved<br>Forest (km) | Distance             |
| No.  |                  |  | S.F.No.           | for<br>Quarry<br>Lease | Latitude             | Longitude                |   | (km)                 |
| 1    | Krishnagiri Talu | ik   |                   |                        |                      |                          | aliyaa ahaar oo in ar                               |                      |
| 1    | Kallukurukki     | Govt.<br>Poramboke –<br>Ko Malai               | 701<br>(Part-II)  | 1.00.00                | 12.55536             | 78.22426                 | 3.2<br>Kundarapalli II                              | 27.7<br>Udedurgam    |
| 2    | Kallukurukki     | Govt.<br>Poramboke –<br>Ko Malai               | 701<br>(Part-III) | 1.00.00                | 12.55541             | 78.22483                 | 3.2<br>Kundarapalli II                              | 27.8<br>Udedurgam    |
| 3    | Kallukurukki     | Govt.<br>Poramboke –<br>Ko Malai               | 701<br>(Part-I∨)  | 0.90.00                | 12.55463             | 78.22316                 | 3.2<br>Kundarapalli II                              | 27.6<br>Udedurgam    |
| 4    | Kallukurukki     | Govt.<br>Poramboke –<br>Ko Malai               | 701<br>(Part-V)   | 3,50.00                | 12.55034             | -<br>78.22850            | 3.9<br>Kundarapalli II                              | 28.05<br>Udedurgam   |
| 5    | Kallukurukki     | Govt.<br>Poramboke –<br>Ko Malai               | 701<br>(Part-Vi)  | 1.00.00                | 12.54704             | 78.22598                 | 3.7<br>Pethathalzpalli                              | 27.8<br>Udedurgam    |
|      | Uthangarai Ta    | luk  |                   |                        |                      | 1                        |   |                      |
| ō    | Kettori          | Govt. Punjai -<br>Fociugal                     | 17/1              | 1.25.00                | 12.19712             | 78.53751                 | 1.6<br>Onnakarzi                                    | 65.4<br>Marandahalli |
| 7    | Thathanur        |  | 10//2             | 1.61.00                | 12.21405             | 78.53459                 | 0.5<br>Onnəkarai                                    | 64.6<br>Marandohalli |
| 12.3 | Shoolagiri Talı  | jk .   |                   |                        |                      |                          |   | 1                    |
| 8    | Mattampalli      | Un-assessed<br>waste-Karadu                    | 53/1<br>(Part-1)  | 3.00.00                | 12,69400             | 78.06509                 | 0.53<br>Kumbalam I                                  | 21<br>Udedurgam      |
| 9    | Mattampalli      | Un-assessed<br>Waste-Karadu                    | 53/1<br>(Part-2)  | 1.90,00                | 12.69279             | 78.06464                 | 0.64<br>Kumbalam I                                  | 20.9<br>Udedurgam    |
| 10   | Marandapalli     | Un-assessed<br>waste-Parai                     | 71/2              | 1.15.0                 | 12.67734             | 78.05708                 | 1.4<br>Thekkalapalli                                | 19.1<br>Udedurgam    |

வழங்குவதை தற்காலிகமாக பொது ஏலம் மூலம் குத்தகை அமைதி

மேற்கண்ட அட்டவணை 2ல் குறிப்பிட்டுள்ள இடங்கள் குறித்து வனம் மற்றும் வனஉயிரின் பாதுகாப்பு தொடர்பான கூடுகல் கள ஆய்பு பேற்கொள்ள வேண்டி உள்ளதாலும், வேறும் கால அவ்வாசம் தேவைப்படுவதால் அப்பகுதியை ஒட்டி அமைந்துள்ள இடங்களில் காப்பு நிலம் தொடர்பான முன்மொழிவுகள், பரிசீலனையில் உள்ளதாலும் இதுகுறித்த மேல் நடவடிக்கையினை துரிதப்படுத்திட இயலாத சூழ்நிலையில் உள்ளது. எனவே, அட்டவணை 2ல் உள்ள இவ்விடங்களின் டெண்டர் மற்றும் பொது ஏலத்தில் விடுவதை தற்சமயம் நிறுத்தி வைக்க பரிந்துரைக்கப்படுவதுடன், இவ்விவரம் தூரத்தை கணக்கிடும் பொருட்டே அனுப்பப்படுகிறது. மேற்கொள்ள தனியாக துறைத்தலைவரிடமிருந்து, குவாரி பணிகள் வனத்துறையின் தடைகுறித்த குறிப்புரை வழங்கப்படும் என ஆன்புடன் தெரிவித்துக்கொள்கிறேன்.

> தங்கள் அன்புள்ள, ஒம்/– க. கார்த்திகேயனி, வன உயிரின் காப்பாளர். ஒரூர் வனக்கோட்டம்.

.**6.9**.U//

J.Sc., M.Phil., LATHAE PRAKASH. ROP/CHR/270/2016/A

tion of the second





ANNEXNRE IV-B 日本 日本の日本 ショション 2 9 JUN 2022 சுநல்ணகிரி गांक संग्रातांक nues ining No. 49 ATHIMUGAN 276



W.C.C.C.

10 8 இயக்குநர் அலுவ đ ano oritori 5 () anu S 6 2-4 JUN 2022 80.0 60 A.F. 58. ரிருஷ்ண்கிரி • • • 40.04 8.0.4 3 4 \$6 ыĔ ல் மற்றும் சுரங்க 33 As à ... 4,0 11.5 •14 1 . 4 jus. \$7 3 400 Un Brenuder .41 \$ ... சிங் மேற்றம் ஒருவர் 34 83 6.5.2 01.0. 3 2 \$8 0 91 2014 : 8 (9) 8-4 ...; 11 3 <u>5</u>9 SF 409 பா. கோவித்த 4 23.5 / 3 85 கிங்(1), ராம்கிய 0 91 8 8-4 म (2). ş 90 (07) .... 07.0 1 ... ... ÷.. . ... 5.0.0 •• 01 ð 01 2.4 9 RL 33.0 i • • • ••• .... 07 ЧÐ .... 괜 97 ...... 38.5 1 .... - 25-5-93 50 ð ųø ... ..... 65 பா கோவிந்தசில் 1 35:0-23 2.8 91 1 8-4 0  $\odot$ 94 Ţ .... ч 36 பா கிருஷ்ண்சிய 71 0 ,91 8-4 8 0 78.0 0 95 35 Ŧ Ч. .... ... 233 ஹி. முள்ளாசிய 53.0 8-4 0 91 0 48 95 1 96 -1 8 0 þ 41. ... 2 ÷ -51 39.0 Цŋ) 1. .... 0 1.88 and a 3 3 8-4 8 0 91 U ... 0 96.0 0 87 36 பா. கிருஷ்ணசி , \$2 1 88.0 1 35 **9**7 <sup>1</sup> -1 97-1 -24 6.0.2 ... ... 0 04-0 ..... 2A 8.4 8 0 91 0 29.5 28 0 27 239 ៤០. ប្រភពិលប់បា 8-4 8 ΰŤ 91 0 38.5 0 3 35 66 Gu Garur \$ 51 ถมันสะ ... ... ... I. 57.0 ... 44 2 54 29.0 • 0 62 \$9 1 ... 8.6 t 10.0 24 .. .... ... 0 10.0 8 4 8 ÷., 0 91 28 0 91-0 2 0 83 240 5000000000 H 4 2C k - 2 மனைவிழனி Û 91 1 Q1-5 M.Phil. N 1.8 C., 21) 0 8 93 0 91 342 Q. A. A. J. M. M. M. 1927 1201 HA 0 สายออมเป็นส 95 0 0 Zonal Deputy Tanan x 86 The 1 C Op 0 - 91 0 12.5 ול הודוי נובים השני נוורם ב המונ Ö 342 Ga Shoolag 11 G ige Administrative Officer 278 T LO GOT LIL ERAPU PU UR

# पाच सो रुपये Rs. 500 सत्यमेव जरा **INDIA NON JUDICIAL** கழிழ்நாடு तमिलनाडु TAMILNADU R5500/-04/04/2022 SR Enderprises Lingur

### **PARTNERSHIP DEED**

THIS DEED OF PARTENRSHIP made and executed on this the Fourth Day of April, Two Thousand and Twenty Two by and among:

1) Sri. Srikar Bharathy Son of Bharathy aged about 29 years residing at No.25, Santinagar West 2nd Cross, Hosur Krishnagiri District Tamil Nadu, Pin-635109 (Hereinafter to be called the First Party which term shall mean and include his heirs and successors); and

2) Sri. Rahul G R Son of Ramakrishna Reddy aged about 26 years residing at No.35, Gulakamale, Bengaluru-560082 (Hereinafter to be called the Second Party which term shall mean and include his heirs and successors)

WHEREAS the parties to this deed are desirous of forming themselves into Partnership for the purpose of carrying on the business of quarrying, mining, excavation, extraction, manufacture, process, purchase, sell, and deal in all kinds of stones, granite, lime stone, rocks and boulders and allied materials, under the name and style of M/s. S R Enterprises, upon the terms and conditions hereinafter contained.

PUGP

க்குநா ஆல

K.P. MUR

L 970647

S V.L.C. 12/2008/KGI KRISHNAGIRI. TAMIL NADU.
NOW THIS DEED OF PARTNERSHIP WITNESSETH AS FOLLOWS

1. NAME : The name of the Firm shall be M/s. S R Encerprises having its office at No.25, Santinagar West 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur Krishnagini Diretrici 2 Tamil Nadu, Pin-635109 and such other place or places as may be mutually decided by the partners.

ப்ககுமா க

- 2. OBJECTS: The object of the partnership firm shall be to carry on business of quarrying, mining, excavation, extraction, manufacture, process, purchase, sell, and deal in all kinds of stones, granite, lime stone, rocks and boulders and allied materials.
- 3. DATE OF COMMENCEMENT: This Partnership is deemed to have been constituted on and from 4<sup>th</sup> April 2022.
- 4. CAPITAL: The capital of the partnership shall be Rs. 200,000.00 (Rupees Two Lacs Only ) which shall be contributed by the partners in the following proportions :

| Party        | % of Share<br>in Profits<br>and Losses<br>of the firm | Capital Contribution |  |
|--------------|---|----------------------|--|
| First Party  | 50%   | Rs 100 000 00        |  |
| Second Party | 50%   | Rs. 100,000,00       |  |
| Total        | 100%  | Rs. 200,000.00       |  |

Additional capital as and when required by the partnership shall be brought in by all the Partners as mutually agreed. This additional capital shall not have any impact on the share holding pattern of any of the Partners.

- 5. FURTHER CAPITAL : Further Capital for the Partnership Business, as and when required, shall be contributed by both the partners in equal shares or as may be agreed by the Partners mutually.
- 6. ACCOUNTS AND PROFITS: That the accounts of the firm shall be closed for the first time on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2023 and thereafter on 31<sup>st</sup> day of March of every year. The Partners shall cause a statement of Assets and Liabilities and Profit and Loss Accounts to be prepared as on the last working day of the year to ascertain the business results for the year and the financial condition of the firm as on the last day of the year.
- 7. MANAGING PARTNERS AND POWERS: All the parties shall be the working partners, responsible for the day to day affairs and administration of the firm and shall be vested with powers as may be required for the said purposes.

PUGP

8. REMUNERATION : The firm shall pay remuneration to n to the working partners as mentioned below : (alabain

- a) The amount of remuneration payable to working partners shall be the amount of total remuneration deductible income of the firm as provided in clause (V) of the Section 40 (b) of the Income Tax, Act 1961
- இயியல் மற்றும் b) The working partners shall be paid such remuneration for the service rendered subject to the limitation laid down in clause 8 (a) above.
- c) The total remuneration calculated as per the clause 8(a) above, shall be paid equally to all the two partners.
- d) The remuneration to which the partners are entitled may be credited to their respective current accounts at the end of the accounting period. All the four partners are however entitled to draw amounts against these anticipated credits at any time during the year, without being liable for the payment of any interest, provided such drawal does not exceed the final credit in the said account at the end of the accounting period. Any excess drawn should be paid back within reasonable time after the accounts are determined.
- 9. PROFIT AND LOSS : The Profits or Losses as computed in the manner prescribed in clause (6) shall be shared as per the ratios mentioned in clause (4) above.
- 10. BRANCH : Branch or branches may be opened anywhere in the world either in the name of the firm or under any other name or names as the partners may from time to time mutually agree upon and the branches so opened may be closed by mutual consent.
- 11. DURATION: The duration of the Partnership shall be one at WILL. In the event of any of the parties desiring to dissolve the firm, they shall give six months notice in writing on his intention to so dissolve the firm.
- 12. INTEREST : Capital account of the partners shall first be drawn up as at 4th day of April 2022 and on the first day of April every year thereafter. Each partner shall be entitled to interest at 12% p. a. or as may be decided mutually by both the partners.
- 13. LOANS: The partnership firm may borrow money from banks, financial institutions or others similar sources, on such terms and conditions as may be agreed and for this purpose partners are authorized to pledge, mortgage and hypothecate the assets of the firm and to sign all such documents as may be required from time to time.
- 14. BANK ACCOUNT OPENING AND OPERATION : The Bank Account or accounts may be opened in the name of the firm with any Bank of good

PULAR

repute and same shall be operated, singly without any limit, by the Party of the Fourth Part.

15. DRAWINGS: Drawings shall be made by the partnal consent of the Partners and as far as possible by crossed account payee cheques, and the drawings made by the partners shall not carry any interest.

### **16. DUTIES OF THE PARTNERS :**

a) Work whole heartedly to the greatest advantages and for the benefit of the Partnership and be just, faithfully and honest to the other partner. Each partner shall be diligently and intelligently in the interest of firm.

2 9 **JUN** 2022

හියසමාගහොසිග

- b) Give and render true, proper and satisfactory account and explanation to the other partners and also of all the dealings and transactions in respect of the partnership business and affairs thereof and.
- c) Deposit forthwith all monies, cheques, hundies, negotiable instruments etc. received by him on firms accounts in the Bank of the firm.
- 17. RIGHT OF THE PARTNERS : The partners shall not without the written consent of the other, release compound or abandon any security to the firm without receiving the full amount thereof or lend any money or render services, otherwise than in the ordinary course of business of the firm or made themselves liable as bail or surety of any person or transfer or assign either absolutely or by declaration of trust by mortgage of their interest in the firm or does or knowingly permit anything to be done, whereby the properties of the firm are not exposed to danger.

The partners hereto may severally or in partnership with others carry on any business or businesses and this firm shall not have any right or interest in such business or businesses so carried as aforesaid.

18. PRIVATE DEBTS, COSTS AND ACTIONS : Each partner shall pay and discharge his private debts and engagements, whether present of future and keep indemnified the firm from all actions, costs, claims and demands in respect thereof, partnership property and other partner his estates and effects. That parties hereto also declare and affirm that insofar as the firm is concerned, all the transaction shall be in the name of firm alone, and any private dealing, if indulged in to by anyone of them, shall be at the costs and risk of the said individual in his private capacity, and the firm shall neither e liable nor has it any concern with an illegal and unauthorized commitment.

PULLE

- 19. PERSONAL LIABILITIES : No partners shall be liable for any personal liabilities of the Partners and the partnership properties and the firm shall not be liable for personal debts of any partners.
- 20. DEATH OF A PARTNER: The death of a partner shall not have effect of dissolving the firm. The surviving partners as the case may be reconstitute 22 the firm by taking in one nominee of the deceased partner /or legal heir of the outgoing partner on mutually agreeable terms.
- 21. RETIREMENT OF A PARTNER: That the partner may retire giving three month's notice to the firm of such intention. The retiring partner shall not be entitled to any share in goodwill/appreciation in the value of the assets of the firm. The accounts of the retiring partner shall be settled as per mutual understanding. The retiring partner has the liberty to declare a nominee to work as partner of the firm.
- 22. DISSOLUTION : That In the event of dissolution, the books of account shall be made upto date and including the date of dissolution and all the Partners shall realize the assets of the firm and apply the Proceeds thereof to pay off the liabilities, of the firm as on the date of dissolution, the surplus remaining after such settlement of liabilities shall be distributed among the partners in the proportion mentioned in the proportion mentioned in Clause. 10 above.
- 23. APPLICABILITY OF PARTNERSHIP ACT 1932: Except to the extent specifically stated in the aforesaid clauses, all the other provisions of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 as modified from time to time shall govern the relationship between the partners in respect of this Firm.
- 24. ARBITRATION : In the events of any disputes arising between the parties herein, either with regard to carrying on of the business of the firm or generally arising out of this instrument of partnership either with regard to interpretation or implementation of any of the terms and conditions agreed upon, such dispute shall be settled by the Partners hereto by submitting them to arbitration to be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Indian Arbitration Act, 1940 or any amendments thereof.
- 25. RESIDUARY: The partners hereby reserve the rights to add, alter, modify or delete all or any of the clauses and such alterations, additions, modifications and substitutions, which when reduced to writing and signed by all partners shall be deemed to form part of this deed of partnership and govern the relationship of the Partners in respect of this partnership firm.

PULLE

5

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have hereunto set and subscribed their respective hands the day and year first hereinabove written. தோஷ்ணகிரி ற்றும் சுர

SRIKAR BHARATHY First Party

\*

50 10 10 2022

2.9 JUN 2022

11

PULLE

RAHUL G R Second Party

2.

1.

4 S. MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., ROP/CHH/270/2016/A

284

Ú

PUGE

ANNEXURE -VI) இயக்குநர் அலுவ 2 9 388 2022 - BERNLE MENTERS கிரந்திலாகிரி Big ultrange Ball இந்திய அரசாங்கம் Unique Identification Authority of India அடையான சான்றை இணைபதளம் மூலம் Covernment of India உறுதிப்படுத்திக் கொள்ளவும். upor annument / Enrolment No. : 2007/13215/44532 To Sriker Bherethy Wat unge INFORMATION 1/09/2013 S/O: Bharathy DNO 25 Andhear is proof of identity; not of ditizenship. SANTHINAGAR WEST 2 ND CROSS To establish identity, authenticate online. Hosur Hosur Krishnagin Tamil Nadu - 635109 8903900227 KL267232673FT 26723267 ஆதார் நாடு முழுவற்றும் செல்லுபடியாகும். வருங்காலத்தில் அரசு மற்றும் அரசு சாரா சேவைகளை பயன்படுத்திக் கொள்ள ஆதார் உதவிகரமாக இருக்கும் உங்கள் ஆதார் எண் / Your Aadhaar No. : Adhear is valid throughout the country. 5985 4199 8229 Aadhaar will be helpful in availing Government and Non-Government services in future. ஆதார் - சாதாரண மனிதனின் அதிகாரம் இந்திய அரசாங்கம் Government of India at India dat und Srikar Sharathy SANT ND C 24 St 635109 DOB 1902/1993 na - Male 5985 4199 8229 5985 4199 8229 ஆதார் - சாதாரன மனிதனின் அதிகாரம் X man S. MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil, ROP/CHW/270/2015/A PULCIP 285

भारत सरकार / GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ANVEXURE-11 खान मंत्रालय / MINISTRY OF MINES भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES



### अर्हताप्राप्त व्यक्ति के रूप में मान्यता प्रमाण पत्र (खनिज रियायत नियमावली, 1960 के नियम 22सी के तहत) CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION AS QUALIFIED PERSON (Under Rule 22C of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960)

श्री एस. गाथन प्रकाश , 2/274, ईस्ट स्टीट, कुलरोकरनल्लूर पोरंट, ओटपिडारम तालुक, तूतुकुडी डस्टीक्ट – 628 401, तगिलनाडू , जिनका फोटो और हस्ताक्षर ऊपर दिया हुआ है, तथा जिनहोंने अपनी अर्हता और अनुभव का संतोषजनक साक्ष्य दिया है, को खनन योजना तैयार करने हेतु खनिज रियायत नियमावली 1960 के नियम 22सी के तहत अर्हताप्राप्त व्यक्ति के रूप में मान्यता प्रदान की जाती है ।

Shri S. Mathan Prakash, 2/274, East Street, Kulasekaranallur Post, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District - 628 401, Tamilnadu, whose Photograph and signature is affixed herein above, having given satisfactory evidence of his qualifications & experience hereby RECOGNISED under Rule 22C of the Mineral Concession Rule, 1960 as a Qualified Person to prepare Mining Plans.

उनकी पंजीयन संख्या है His registration number is

RQP /CNN/270/2016/A

यह मान्यता 10 वर्षों की अवधि के लिए मान्यता है जो दिनांक 09.02.2026 को समाप्त होगी। This recognition is valid for a period of 10 years ending on 09.02.2026.

उनके द्वारा प्रस्तुत खनन योजना में गलत जानकारी / दरतावेज पाए जाने की स्थिती में यह प्रमाण पत्र वापस लिया जाएगा / निरस्त किया जाएगा।

This certificate will liable to be withdrawn / cancelled in the event of furnishing the wrong information / documents in the Mining Plan submitted by him.

स्थान/ Place : Chennai दिवाक/ Date : 10.02.2016

PH GR

S. MATHAR PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., REPICHNI270120161A

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक / Regional Controller of Mines भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines



PHOTO SHOWN PROPOSED APPLIED LEASE AREA VIEW-2



S. MATHAR PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil., RQP/CNIH270/2018/A

PU GR









Er



Ν



14.07"N 34.69"E 56'





C

 $\bigcirc$ 

6.0

Cer.

0

(2

 $\odot$ 

1.5

1

 $\bigcirc$ 

1

 $(\mathcal{X})$ 

6.9

14

3

1.2

1

6

3

 $\mathbf{N}$ 

19

(f)

2

|              |                     | க்குருர் ஆ          |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|              | S SU                | and an analogical   |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | 600                 | 199                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | BOUNDARY CO-O       | RDINATES022         |  |  |  |  |  |
| LABEL        | LATHTU DE           | LONGITUDE           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1            | 12° 45 14 1938" N   | 77° 56' 34 6927' 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2            | 12" 45' 15 2045" N  | 097 56 34 9140      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3            | 12° 45' 16.1127"N 4 | min 7 56584 9784" E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4            | 12° 45' 16.5393" N  | 77° 56' 35.1201" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5            | 12° 45' 17.3140" N  | 77° 56' 35.3479" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6            | 12° 45' 17.7308" N  | 77° 56' 35.5794" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7            | 12° 45' 17.6274" N  | 77° 56' 36.3004" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8            | 12° 45' 18.2665" N  | 77° 56' 36.5970" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9            | 12° 45' 19.9777" N  | 77° 56' 37.0259" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10           | 12" 45' 19.5338" N  | 77° 56' 40.1734" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11           | 12° 45' 15.9580" N  | 77° 56' 40.1026" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12           | 12° 45' 14.1717" N  | 77° 56' 36.6800" E  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DT 4         | TTE NO II           |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLA          | I E NU:II           |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | DATE OF SURVEY      | : 06-05-2022        |  |  |  |  |  |
| APP          | LICANT ADDRESS:     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | M/s S R ENTERP      | RICES               |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | D.No.25. SHANTH     | IT NAGAR.           |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | WEST, 2nd CROS      | S.                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | HOSUR TALUK,        | -,                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | KRISHNAGIRI DI      | STRICT-635 109.     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOC          | ATION OF QUARRY:    |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | EXTENT 2.0          | 00.00 Ha,           |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     | (Parc-5)            |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | TALUK SH            | IOOLAGIRI.          |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | DISTRICT : KR       | ISHNAGIRI.          |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | TN                  | DFX                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | QUARRY LEASE BOUN   | IDARY               |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | 7.5m & 10.0m SAFET  |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | TEMPORARY BENCH N   | ARK 1968.0M         |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | APPROACH ROAD       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              |                     | L                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | MUNIC LEASE         | DI ANI              |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | WHINE LEASE         |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCALE 1:1000 |                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre          | pared By:           |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | I DO HEREBY CERTIF  | Y THAT THE PLATE    |  |  |  |  |  |
| н            | AS BEEN CHECKED BY  | ME AND IS CORRECT   |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | N                   | <b>A</b>            |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Var                 |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | S.MATHAN PRAKAS     | H, M.Sc., M.Phil.,  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | RECOGNIZED QUA      | LIFIED PERSON       |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | RQP/CNN/27          | U/2016/A            |  |  |  |  |  |



C

| இயக்குநர் அலு   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| * 2 9 JUN 2022 *  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLATE NO HI DO TO   |  |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANT ADDRESS:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES,<br>D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR,<br>WEST, 2nd CROSS,<br>HOSUR TALUK,<br>KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT-635 109.       |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCATION OF QUARRY :  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTENT : 2.00.00 Ha,<br>S.F.NO : 86 (Part-3)<br>VILLAGE : VENKATESAPURAM,<br>TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI,<br>DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI. |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDEX   |  |  |  |  |  |
| QUARRY LEASE BOUNDARY   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.5m & 10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOP SOIL (GRAVEL)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRIKE & DIP  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| SURFACE AND GEOLOGICAL<br>PLAN  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCALE 1:1000  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepared By:<br>I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE<br>HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT<br>TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.,<br>RECOGNIZED QUALIFIED PERSON<br>RQP/CNN/270/2016/A                                      |  |  |  |  |  |



#### SECTION ALONG A-B B RL RL QLB 868.0m 868.0m 859.0m 859.0m 852,0m 852,0m 104m 845,0m 845,0m 104m 838.0m 838.0m 104m 831.0m 831.0m 104m 824,0m 824,0m -104m-817.0m 817.0m

|         |       |                  | GEC             | LOGICAL         | RESERVES             |  |                                 |
|---------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Section | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu. m(100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |
|         | - F   | 81               | 104             | 2               |                      |  | 16848                           |
|         | H     | 81               | 39              | 7               | 22113                | 22113                                    |                                 |
|         | - 19  | 81               | 104             | 7               | 58968                | 58968                                    |                                 |
|         | - IV  | 81               | 104             | 7               | 58968                | 58968                                    |                                 |
| AT-AB   | V     | 81               | 104             | 7               | 58968                | 58968                                    |                                 |
|         | VI    | 81               | 104             | 7               | 58968                | 58968                                    |                                 |
|         | Vii   | 81               | 104             | 7               | 58968                | 58968                                    |                                 |
|         | VIII  | 81               | 104             | 7               | 58968                | 58968                                    |                                 |
|         |       | Total=           |                 |                 | 375921               | 375921                                   | 16848                           |
|         | - E   | 81               | 144             | 2               |                      |  | 23328                           |
|         | H     | 81               | 49              | 7               | 27783                | 27783                                    |                                 |
|         | III   | 81               | 144             | 7               | 81648                | 81648                                    |                                 |
| W/ 4.D  | IV    | 81               | 144             | 7               | 81648                | 81648                                    |                                 |
| A1-AB   | V     | 81               | 144             | 7               | 81648                | 81648                                    |                                 |
|         | VI    | 81               | 144             | 7               | 81648                | 81648                                    |                                 |
|         | VII   | 81               | 144             | 7               | 81648                | 81648                                    |                                 |
|         | VIII  | 81               | 144             | 7               | 81648                | 81648                                    |                                 |
|         |       | Total=           |                 | 2               | 517671               | 517671                                   | 23328                           |
|         |       | GRAND To         | tal=            |                 | 893592               | 893592                                   | 40176                           |



W.



pular





SECTION ALONG X-Y Х Y RL RL QLB QLB 868.0m 866.0m 868.0m 859.0m 859.0m 852,0m 852,0m 845,0m 845,0m 838.0m 838.0m 107m 831,0m 831.0m 824,0m 824,0m 817.0m 617.Dm

SECTION ALONG A-B A RL RL OLE OLB 868.0m 130m-868.Am 859.0m 859.0m 852,0m 852.0m 845.0m 845.0m 838,0m 838,0m 831,0m 831,0m 824.0m 824.0m 617,0m 817.0m



| YEARWISE DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION |         |       |                  |                 |                 |                   |   |                               |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| YEAR                                | Section | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (m3) | Recoverable<br>Reserves<br>in m3 (100%) | Top Soil<br>(Gravel) in<br>m3 |
|                                     |         | 1     | 149              | 130             | 2               | e1                |   | 38740                         |
| I-YEAR                              |         | 11    | 147              | 69              | 7               | 71001             | 71001                                   |                               |
|                                     |         | 111   | 137              | 123             | 7               | 117957            | 117957                                  |                               |
| II-YEAR                             |         | HV    | 127              | 113             | 7               | 100457            | 100457                                  |                               |
| III-YEAR                            | AT-AD   | V     | 117              | 103             | 7               | 84357             | 84357                                   |                               |
| IV-YEAR                             |         | VI    | 107              | 93              | 7               | 69657             | 69657                                   |                               |
| V-YEAR                              |         | VII   | 97               | 83              | 7               | 56357             | 56357                                   |                               |
|                                     |         | VIII  | 87               | 73              | 7               | 44457             | 44457                                   |                               |
|                                     |         | Т     | otal=            |                 |                 | 544243            | 544243                                  | 38740                         |

6.9

Ar y

14

1.0.

- 32

PLIGE









(

| BUAB BIT 24 BU ALON  |     |
|--|-----|
| 20 JUN 7022  | 5·1 |
| *  |     |
| PLATE NO:VII   |     |
| DATE OF SURVEY: 06 05-2022   |     |
| APPLICANT ADDRESS:   |     |
| M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES,<br>D.No.25, SHANTHI NAGAR,   |     |
| WEST, 2nd CROSS,   |     |
| KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT-635 109.  |     |
| LOCATION OF QUARRY:  |     |
| EXTENT : 2.00.00 Ha,   |     |
| S.F.NO : 86 (Part-3)<br>VILLAGE : VENKATESAPURAM.  |     |
| TALUK : SHOOLAGIRI,  |     |
| DISTRICT : KRISHNAGIRI.  |     |
| INDEX  |     |
|  |     |
| 7.5m & 10.0m SAFETY DISTANCE   |     |
|  |     |
| TOP SOIL (GRAVEL)  |     |
| ROUGH STONE  |     |
| QUARRY PIT   |     |
| CONTOUR LINE   |     |
| TRUCK ROAD (QUARRY ROAD)   |     |
| FENCING  |     |
|  |     |
| ULTIMATE PIT LIMIT   |     |
| PROPOSED WATER STORAGE   |     |
| CONCEPTUAL & FINAL MINE<br>CLOSURE PLAN  |     |
| SCALE 1:1000   |     |
| Prepared By:   |     |
| I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLATE<br>HAS BEEN CHECKED BY ME AND IS CORRECT<br>TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE |     |
| 1000   |     |
| S.MATHAN PRAKASH, M.Sc., M.Phil.,<br>RECOGNIZED QUALIFIED PERSON   |     |
| RQP/CNN/270/2016/A   | 299 |



8

SECTION ALONG A-B A B RL RL OLB QLB 868.0m 866.0m 130m-868.0m 865.0m -69m  $\rightarrow$ 859.0m 859.ûm 123m-852,0m 852,0m 113m 845,0m 845.Dm 103m 838.0m 838.ûm 831.0m 831,0m 83m 824.Dm 824,0m 73m-817.0m 817,0m

ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION  $= 149.0m(L) \times 130.0m(W) \times 41.0m(D)$ 

|         | MINABLE RESERVES |                  |                 |                 |                      |   |                                 |  |  |
|---------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Section | Bench            | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>In (Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu.m(100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |  |  |
|         | 4                | 149              | 130             | 2               |                      |   | 38740                           |  |  |
|         | I                | 147              | 69              | 7               | 71001                | 71001                                   |                                 |  |  |
|         | III              | 137              | 123             | 7               | 117957               | 117957                                  |                                 |  |  |
| VV AD   | IV               | 127              | 113             | 7               | 100457               | 100457                                  |                                 |  |  |
| AT-AD   | V                | 117              | 103             | 7               | 84357                | 84357                                   |                                 |  |  |
|         | VI               | 107              | 93              | 7               | 69657                | 69657                                   |                                 |  |  |
|         | VII              | 97               | 83              | 7               | 56357                | 56357                                   |                                 |  |  |
|         | VIII             | 87               | 73              | 7               | 44457                | 44457                                   |                                 |  |  |
|         |                  | Total=           |                 |                 | 544243               | 544243                                  | 38740                           |  |  |

PLIGR











| MINABLE RESERVES - COMMON BOUNDARY |       |                  |                 |                 |                      |   |                                 |  |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Section                            | Bench | Length<br>in (m) | Width<br>in (m) | Depth<br>in (m) | Volume<br>in (Cu.m.) | Recoverable<br>Reserve<br>in Cu.m(100%) | Topsoil<br>(Gravel) in<br>Cu.m. |  |
|                                    | L     | 149              | 140             | 2               |                      |   | 41720                           |  |
|                                    | 11    | 147              | 69              | 7               | 71001                | 71001                                   |                                 |  |
|                                    | 111   | 137              | 134             | 7               | 128506               | 128506                                  |                                 |  |
| WV AD                              | IV    | 127              | 129             | 7               | 114681               | 114681                                  |                                 |  |
| XY-AD                              | V     | 117              | 124             | 7               | 101556               | 101556                                  |                                 |  |
|                                    | VI    | 107              | 119             | 7               | 89131                | 89131                                   |                                 |  |
|                                    | VII   | 97               | 114             | 7               | 77406                | 77406                                   |                                 |  |
|                                    | VIII  | 87               | 109             | 7               | 66381                | 66381                                   |                                 |  |
|                                    |       | Total=           |                 |                 | 648662               | 648662                                  | 41720                           |  |

RUGR





16.3

1.9

# ANNEXURE-VII VAO CERTIFICATE

M/s. S.R.Enterprises, Rough stone quarry in the S.F.No.86(Part-3) over an extent of 2.00.00ha. in Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District.



GENERAL VIEW OF THE APPLIED LEASE AREA

For S.R.Enterprises,

PLIGE (Deponent)

80

 $\mathbb{C}^{1}$ 

× 3

0269/22 Village Administrative Officer

3, VENKATESAPURAM Shoolagiri Tk, Krishnagiri Dt,

### சான்று

உள்வட்டம், போகை குளகிரி கிருஷ்ணகிரி மாவட்டம், வட்டம், 60.80.00 ஹெக்டேர் கரடு ബിஸ്. **எண்**.86 வெங்கடேசபுரம் கிராம புல புல எண்.86/பகுதி-3 ஆக உள்ள பரப்பு இதில் புறம்போக்கு நிலமாகும். 2.00.00 ஹெக்டேரில் குவாரி அமைய உள்ள M/s. S.R.ENTERPRISES நத்தமோ, சுற்றளவில் கிராம 500 மீட்டர் நிறுவனத்திலிருந்து என்ற குடியிருப்புகளோ, ஏரியோ, தேவாலயமோ, வழிப்பாட்டுத்தளங்களோ, மசூதியோ, புராதன சின்னங்களோ, புதை குழிகளோ, கல்விக் கூடங்களோ, ஆறுகள், அரசு பலத்தணிக்கையின் மூலம் அறிந்து இல்லை என்பதை கட்டிடங்களோ சான்றளிக்கப்படுகிறது.

N Tolegin 2

கிராம இரவாக அலுவலர் Village Administrative Officer , 3, VENKATESAPURAM Shoolagiri Tk, Krishnagiri Dt.

# ANNEXURE-VIII BLASTING CERTIFICATE



Ref :

#### Cell: 98427 44073, 94437 44073

## VISHNU EXPLOSIVES



No.235/9, R.G. Nagar Engineer's Colony Extension, Jagir Reddipatty, Salem - 636 302.

Date :

To

M/S. S.R. Enterprises, D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar, West, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District-635 109.

Sub: Willingness to do Explosives Blasting Works - Reg.

With respect to the above subject, we would like to introduce myself as the Explosives Blasting Contractors, for which our LICENCE NO: E/HQ/TN/22/335(E64278) & E/SC/TN/22/463(E37227) S.F.No.344/3B, Paiyur Village, Krishnagiri Taluk magazine is situated in No.273-A, Keel Paiyur Village, Kaveripattinam, Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu-635 112.

We were engaged in professional blasting contract works with all facilities and License holders to carry out blasting works in specified time and period covered under Explosives Rules, 2008.

We kindly request yourself to engage us to do Explosives Blasting Works in your proposed Rough stone Quarry situated at S.F.No: 86 (Part-3) in Venkatesapuram Village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District over an extent of 2.00.00 hectares.

SERVING BEST AT ALL TIMES

Thanking you.

For VISHNU EXPLOSIVES, 4. V. Com hipsonia

Enclosure: Magazine License Copy.

#### अनुश्चाप्ति प्ररूप एल. ई.-3 (FICENCE FORM 11-3 विस्कारन नियम अंतर को अनुसुधी व के फल । के अनुस्वर, मयन में छा राख्यमा अद्यकांद्रीर अंतरार वच्छा विसी के इतरवंतार 15 की प्रवेक्तर स्वान म्यलस .य. उपराथ के लिए एक समय पर को 1 2.5.4.5 फी वर्ष 7 के विश्लोटवा या किसी मेगतील में वर्ग 4 के विस्फोटक रखने आ लग जगतील Licence to possessince: for use explosives of class 1, 2,5,4,5 % or 7 in a magazing अनुझोप्ते से (Licence No.) : F/HQ/LN/22/335(E64278) अधिक फीस रुपए (Annual Fox Rs), 14000:-1. Effected is hereby stanted to M/s Vishna Explasives (MPPHPII / Occupior : Shri G.V.Sai Supramaninu), Sto V.G. VitshwarathanPlot No. 273-A Keel Paryar Paryar Village, Kawaripatnaan PO, Town Village - Kaveripatnan, District-KRISHNAGIR, State-Tanil Nada, Pinosle 655117 का अनुशादी अनुदत्त की जाती है। ) अनुइत्तिधारी की प्रास्थिति - Status of licensee - Proprietorship Firm ं अनुइन्नि निप्रलिखित प्रयोजनों के लिए विधिमान्य है। , possess for use of Safety Fuse. Defonating Fuse. Nitrate mixture - Shirry war ' Emulsion Explosives. Defonators, - & d'qqq; di letti second is valid only for the following purpose. अनुज्ञीमा विरयगटका के निम्नलिखित किस्मा, प्रकार और मात्रा के लिए विधिगान्य है। the stand in the following kinds and quantity of explosives (its) in the stand of वग ओर प्रभाग उप-चभाग मण्त्रा किसी (75 समय मे Name and Exemption Class & Division Sub-division Quantity at stry one time Nitrase mixture - Sturry and Emplosives 200a) PTF Delonators adar toos $\alpha$ 2 of Mire Detoristing Fuse ने Deconating Fuse (संव, किसी एक करीडर मास में खरीदे जाने वाले विस्फोटक की मात्र (मेंन रहेत प्रतार और (म) के अधीम अनुशति प् (क Quanty of explosives to be parchased in a calondar anoth/inplicable for licence under anoth and SPACE MILES State fitte 20 size et े निम्नलिखित रेशाचित्र। रेशायित्रीं) से अनुबाद परिसर की पूरि सेती है। The hearsed premises shall conform to the following drawing(s) and the state of the state 19.4 (初日年1月) - 4Dawing No.5 ETRO TN 22 535(264278) 公司時間の(Dated) (1010-262) 5 अनुशोधने परिसर निम्नालेखित एतं पर स्थित हैं। The licensed promises are supplied at following address Survey No. 344/3B 304 (Town Village) Prices: Village, Kuveripattinam giern steel (Police Station) : Kavermaninem KRISHNAGIRI जिली (District) 27.341 19.00 Tamil Nada गिनकोड (Encode) 6351-2 दूरभाष (Phone) 9842744073 डे मंत हर्दा । DOT TONE 7 अनुज्ञपित गरिसर में निग्नलिखित सुविधाएं अंतर्विष्ट हैं। a number mugazine room ... lobby and a detonator storage room the licensed premises consist of following facilities 8 अनुकृति समय समय पर ययासंशोधित विरुद्धाटक अधिनियम. 1881 और उनके अधीन विरोधित विरुद्धाटक नियम अपन के जणवंधों, शर्म, और अर्थतिवृत्त क्यों और में जी प्रान्त उपोबादों के अधीन रहते तम अनुदत्त की जाती है। प्रधानकों के अधान रहते हुए अनुदत्त का जाता है। The scence is granted subject to the provision of explosives Act [884 as amended from time to trad and the Explosives Roles, 2003 transel there and in conditions additional conditions and the following Amessicy । - उपदेक्त क्रम से ४ में यथा कथित रेखानज त्याप, संतियाण संतिधी और अन्य दिवरण दर्शियकरते तप्र Analysings (showing site, constructional and many orthogy of smooth and an second solution of Arganet yate and a second substresses implicable referred to in Part 4 of Schedule V or if the incensed premises are not found conforming to the description shown in the plans and Amaculta alwach मुख्य विस्कोटक नियंत्रक<sup>4</sup>। Uniof Controller of Francisco Amendations Change in Postal Address dated 126 04/2017 Amendment of Quantity of Explosives/Monthly Porchase Linna datest / 02/04/2018 Amendment of Quantity of Explosives Monthly Parchase 1 min dated 24/04/2019 Averaging of Quantity of Explosives Monthly Piecease Contr dated - (149/2021 Amendment in Drawings Eacilities/Promises dated 11/10/2021 l'raasfers Change in Licensee Name: Address Status dated #08/10/2021 नवीनीकरण के पृष्ठांकन के लिए स्थान Space for Endorsement of Renavel नवाकंरण को तारीख समाप्ति का तारीख अनुसामन प्राधिकारी के इस्ताधर अंध स्टाम Date of Renewal Date of Express Signature of hearsing author to and since 28502.2020 31.05/2028 Set. Committee of Fighesis - A film कानूनी चंतावनी : विस्फोटका को गलत ढंग से चलाने या उनका टुरूपयांग विधे के अधीन संधीर दांडिक अपराध होगा। Statutors Warning : Mishandling and misuse of explosives shall constitute serious criminal offence under the law

### Note :- This is system generated document does not require physical signature. Applicant may take printout for their records.

http://10.0.56.11/IntExp/ExplosivesLicenceJ.F3Hindi.acn9f.etterGeneratedVNaV

11.15.2001

# ANNEXURE-IX AFFIDAVIT AND CER DETAILS

INDIA NON JUDICIAL

सत्यमेव जयते INDIA

रर'ज्याहि

சுப்ரமணிய நகர் விரிவாக்கம், காமங்கலம். சேலம்-5, தமிழ்நாடு

RUPEES

Rs.50

#### AFFIDAVIT TO SEIAA, TAMIL NADU

<sup>§</sup> We, **M/S. S.R. Enterprises** office at D.No.25, Shanthi Nagar, West, 2nd Cross, Hosur Taluk, Krishgagiri District-635 109, do hereby solemnly declare and sincerely affirm that, We have applied for getting environment clearance to SEIAA, Tamil Nadu for quarry lease for Rough Stone quarry over an extent of 2.00.00 Ha with Survey No. 86 (Part-3), in Venkatesapuram village, Shoolagiri Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu.

- 1. We swear to state and confirm that none of the following is situated within 10km radius of the quarry site for which, We have applied for environmental clearance,
  - a. Notified Protected areas under the wild life (Protection) Act, 1972 (NBWL).
  - b. Critically polluted areas as notified by the central pollution control board constituted under water (Prevention and control of Pollution) Act 1974.
  - g c. Eco sensitive area as notified.

1 23 37

)

5.50

d. Interstate boundaries and international boundaries within 10km radius from the boundary of the proposed quarry site.

~ ANA 2 pille

The following Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) activities will be completed 2. before commencement of the guarrying activities.

| Project cost (Rs) | CER cost (Rs)   |  |
|-------------------|---|--|
|                   |   |  |
|                   | -   |  |
| Rs.3,59,35,000/-  | Rs.8,00,000/-   |  |
|                   |   |  |
| Rs.3,59,39,000/-  | Rs.8,00,000/-   |  |
|                   | Project cost (Rs)<br>Rs.3,59,35,000/-<br>Rs.3,59,39,000/- |  |

### 3. Details of quarry within 500m radius from the applied area:

Z GOVI

U

0

C

6.55

| a. Existing Quarries |                                |                 |        |                      |                 |                 |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| S.No                 | Name and address of the lessee | Village & Taluk | SF.No. | Extent in<br>Hectare | G.O. No. & date | Lease<br>Period |  |  |
|                      |                                | 1               | -Nil-  |                      |                 | 1               |  |  |

| b. Details of Abandoned / Old Quarries |  |  |                |                      |  |                                |  |
|--|--|--|----------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| S.No                                   | Name and address<br>of the lessee  | Village & Taluk                                  | SF.No.         | Extent in<br>Hectare | G.O. No. & date                            | Lease<br>Period                |  |
| 1                                      | M/s. R.A. Blue<br>Metals, No. 50,<br>Radhalakshmi,<br>Nilaya, Devasandra<br>Main Road,<br>Bangalore - 560036                           | Venkatesapuram<br>Village<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | 86<br>(Part-4) | 4.00.0 Ha            | Roc.No.68/2016/<br>Mines<br>dt:10.08.2016  | 22.08.2016<br>To<br>21.08.2021 |  |
| 2                                      | Thiru. P, Selvaraju,<br>S/o. Periyasamy,<br>No.57-B-1,<br>Kalliyannan Nagar,<br>Kumarapalayam,<br>Thiruchengodu,<br>Namakkal District. | Venkatesapuram<br>Village<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | 86<br>(Part-6) | 2.50.0 Ha            | Roc. No.69/2016/<br>Mines<br>dt:13.10.2016 | 17.10.2016<br>To<br>16.10.2021 |  |

2 ABA

| - |                      |                |          |           |                 |            |
|---|----------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| 3 | Thiru.J.Shanmugam,   | Venkatesapuram | 86       | 2.50.0 Ha | Roc.No.70/2016/ | 03.10.2016 |
|   | S/o. Jaganathan,     | Village        | (Part-7) |           | Mines           | То         |
|   | M/S. S.S.Blue        | Shoolagiri     |          |           | dt:28.09.2016   | 02.10.2021 |
|   | metals,              | Taluk          |          |           |                 |            |
|   | No.4, Pillaiyar Koil |                |          |           |                 |            |
|   | Street, Marandahalli |                |          |           |                 |            |
|   | Post, Palacode       |                |          |           |                 |            |
|   | Taluk, Dharmapuri    |                |          |           |                 |            |
|   | District.            |                |          |           |                 |            |
|   |                      |                |          | 1         |                 |            |

| Details of Proposed Quarries |   |  |                |                      |   |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|----------------|----------------------|---|--|
| S.No                         | Name and address of the lessee  | Village & Taluk                                  | SF.No.         | Extent in<br>Hectare | G.O. No. & date                             | Lease<br>Period                                |
| 1                            | M/s. S.R. Enterprises,<br>D.No.25, Shanthi<br>Nagar, West 2nd<br>Cross, Hosur Taluk,<br>Krishnagiri District.   | Venkatesapuram<br>Village<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | 86<br>(Part-3) | 2.00.0 Ha.           | Roc.No.546/2022/<br>Mines<br>dt:04.05.2022  | Instant<br>Proposal<br>(Precise<br>area given) |
| 2                            | Thiru. B.Elavarasan,<br>S/o. Baskaran,<br>D.No.3/83,<br>T.Thurinjihalli Village,<br>Thenkaraikottai post,<br>Pappireddipatti Taluk,<br>Dharmapuri District. | Venkatesapuram<br>Village<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | 86<br>(Part-5) | 4.20.0 Ha.           | Roc.No.1260/2018/<br>Mines<br>dt:02.01.2018 | Precise<br>area given                          |
| 3                            | Thiru. A .Brian<br>Balachander,<br>S/o. Antony Richard<br>Bhaskar, D.No.2/29,<br>1st main road, Padi,<br>Thiruvallur,<br>Chennai-600 050.                   | Venkatesapuram<br>Village<br>Shoolagiri<br>Taluk | 86<br>(Part-1) | 2.50.0 Ha.           | Roc.No.544/2022/<br>Mines<br>dt:04.05.2022  | Precise<br>area given                          |
| * GOV                        |   |  |                | X dest               |   |  |

YPHIAR YPHIAR

. . . 0

0

¢.

• 9

- 4. There will not be hindrance or disturbance to the people living on enrooted/ nearby our quarry site while transporting the mineral and due to quarrying activities.
- 5. There is no approved habitation within 300m radius from the periphery of our applied quarry.
- 6. We swear that afforestation will be carried out during the course of quarrying operation and maintained.
- 7. Insurance coverage will be arranged for the laborers working in our quarry site.
- 8. The existing road from the main road to quarry is in good condition and the same will be maintained and utilized for Transportation of Rough Stone.
- 9. We will not engage any child labor in our quarry site and we aware that engaging child labor is punishable under the law.
- 10. All types of safety / protective equipment will be provided and used by all the laborers working in our quarry.
- 11. No permanent structures, temple etc., are located within 500m radius from the periphery of our quarry.

We ensure to do the social and Environment commitment as mentioned in the Mining plan to the best of our knowledge.



For M/s. S. R. Enterprises,

(Deponent)

59224

Cell:(0)8443286345 M.SARAVANAKUMA GC.B.L ADVGCATE & NOTARY, (G. T. OF INDIA) NO:11,A.V.Mansion, Ist C Sona College, Junction M. JALEM-636 005.

### **ANNEXURE-X NABET CERTIFICATE**




## National Accreditation Board for Education and Training



## **Certificate of Accreditation**

## Eco Tech Labs Pvt Ltd.,

## 48, 2nd Main Road, Ram Nagar South Extension, Pallikaranai, Chennai- 600100, T.N.

The organization is accredited as **Category-A** under the QCI-NABET Scheme for Accreditation of EIA Consultant Organization, Version 3: for preparing EIA-EMP reports in the following Sectors –

| S.<br>No | Sector Description   | Sector (as per) |            | Cat  |
|----------|--|-----------------|------------|------|
|          |  | NABET           | MoEFCC     | Cal. |
| 1        | Mining of minerals - including Open cast only  | 1               | 1 (a ) (i) | В    |
| 2        | Thermal power plants   | 4               | 1(d)       | А    |
| 3        | Coal washeries   | 6               | 2 (a)      | В    |
| 4        | Metallurgical industries - Ferrous only  | 8               | 3 (a)      | В    |
| 5        | Synthetic organic chemicals industry (dyes & dye intermediates; bulk drugs<br>and intermediates excluding drug formulations; synthetic rubbers; basic<br>organic chemicals, other synthetic organic chemicals and chemical<br>intermediates) | 21              | 5 (f)      | A    |
| 6        | Airports   | 29              | 7 (a)      | А    |
| 7        | Industrial estates/ parks/ complexes/areas, export processing Zones (EPZs),<br>Special Economic Zones (SEZs), Biotech Parks, Leather Complexes   | 31              | 7 (c )     | A    |
| 8        | Building and construction projects   | 38              | 8 (a)      | В    |
| 9        | Townships and Area development projects  | 39              | 8 (b)      | В    |

Note: Names of approved EIA Coordinators and Functional Area Experts are mentioned in SAAC minutes dated Apr. 20, 2021 and supplementary minutes dated Oct.19, 2021 posted on QCI-NABET website

The Accreditation shall remain in force subject to continued compliance to the terms and conditions mentioned in QCI-NABET's letter of accreditation bearing no. QCI/NABET/ENV/ACO/22/2217 dated Jan. 19, 2022. The accreditation needs to be renewed before the expiry date by Eco Tech Labs Pvt. Ltd., Chennai following due process of assessment.





Sr. Director, NABET Dated: Jan. 19, 2022 Certificate No. NABET/EIA/2124/SA 0147 Valid up to Sep. 15, 2023

For the updated List of Accredited EIA Consultant Organizations with approved Sectors please refer to QCI-NABET website.