

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

THIRU.V.CHANDRAN ROUGH STONE AND GRAVEL QUARRY

Project Proponent	Proposed Project	Extent
Thiru.V.Chandran, S/O.V.Venkatesan, No.5/68, VLS Office, Thalapathi Nagar,Venjambakkam, SP Koil, Chengelpet.	480/1A, 1B,2,3,484/3, 490/1A,1B,2B, 491/1A,3A,3B,3C,4D of Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk, Chengelpet Dt.	3.76.0 ha
ToR obtained vide Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9519/SEAC/ToR-1357/2023 Dated:09.02.2023		

“B1” CATEGORY/ MINOR MINERAL / NON-FOREST LAND/ PATTALAND

* **CLUSTER EXTENT = 9.34.50 ha**

* Cluster Calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269(E) Dated: 01.07.2016

Environmental Consultant

GEO EXPLORATION AND MINING SOLUTIONS



Old No. 260-B, New No. 17,
Advaitha Ashram Road, Alagapuram,
Salem – 636 004, Tamil Nadu, India

Accredited for sector 1 Category ‘A’ & 31 & 38 Category ‘B’

Certificate No: NABET/EIA/2225/RA 0276

Phone: 0427-2431989,

Email: ifthiahmed@gmail.com, geothangam@gmail.com

Web: www.gemssalem.com



Baseline Monitoring Period - December 2022-February 2023

Environmental Lab

EHS 360 LABS PRIVATE LIMITED,
10/2 Ground floor, 50th street, 7th Avenue,
Ashok Nagar, Chennai – 600 083.

April 2023

1. INTRODUCTION

Rough Stone and Gravel are the major requirements for construction industry. This EIA report is prepared by considering Cumulative load of all proposed & existing quarries of Pakkam Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster Quarry consisting of one Proposed and two Existing Quarries with total an extent of Cluster of 9.34.50 Ha in Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Taluk, Chengalpattu District and Tamil Nadu State, cluster area calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 2269(E) Dated 1st July 2016.

The proponent has obtained necessary statutory clearances from the Department of Geology and Mining, Chengalpattu District, Tamil Nadu (Statutory Clearance Documents are enclosed along with Mining plan as Annexure No III). The total Extent of the quarries within the radius of 500m from this proposal is > 5Ha, hence the proposal falls under “B1” Category project as per the EIA notification, 2006 (As amended timely).

Proponent applied for Environmental Clearance to SEIAA, Tamil Nadu and obtained

CODE	Name of the proponent	Extent (Ha)	Terms of Reference (ToR)
P1	Thiru.V.Chandran,	3.76.0	Lr.No. SEIAA- TN/F.No.9519/SEAC/ToR-1357/2023 Dated:09.02.2023
	Total	3.76.0 Ha	

for carrying out EIA and EMP studies for the rough stone and gravel quarry.

To carry out the EIA studies and to prepare EIA/ EMP studies the proposed & existing quarries of Pakkam Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster Quarries have engaged a consultant M/s. Geo Exploration and Mining Solutions, Salem, Tamil Nadu. The Baseline Monitoring study has been carried out during post monsoon season (December 2022-February 2023) considering the provisions of MoEF & CC Office Memorandum Dated: 29.08.2017 and MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 996 (E) Dated: 10.04.2015.

“Draft EIA report prepared on the basis of ToR Issued for carrying out public hearing for the grant of Environmental Clearance from SEIAA, Tamil Nadu”

1.1 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT

Name of the Project	Thiru.V.Chandran, Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry Project
S.F. No.	480/1A, 1B,2,3,484/3, 490/1A,1B,2B, 491/1A,3A,3B,3C,4D
Extent	3.76.0 ha
Land Type	Patta Land
Village Taluk and District	Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Taluk, Chengalpattu District, Tamil Nadu State

The project proponent is an individual.

1.2 QUARRY DETAILS WITHIN 500 M RADIUS

PROPOSED QUARRIES				
CODE	Name of the Proponent and Address	S.F. Nos, Village & Taluk	Extent in Ha	Status
P1	Thiru.V.Chandran, S/O.V.Venkatesan, No.5/68, VLS Office, Thalapathi Nagar,Venjambakkam, SP Koil, Chengalpattu.	480/1A, 1B,2,3,484/3, 490/1A,1B,2B, 491/1A,3A,3B,3C,4D of Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	3.76.0	Obtained ToR vide, Lr.No. SEIAA- TN/F.No.9519/SEAC/ToR-1357/2023 Dated:09.02.2023
EXISTING QUARRIES				
CODE	Name of the Proponent and Address	S.F.Nos , Village & Taluk	Extent in Ha	Lease Period
E1	Thiru.V.Chandran, S/O.V.Venkatesan, No.5/68, VLS Office, Thalapathi Nagar,Venjambakkam, SP Koil, Chengalpattu.	491/6A, 482/2A, 483/2A,2B, 482/2B, 480/4C2, 4E, 481/2, 491/6D, 6B Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	3.23.0	17.10.2018 to 16.10.2023
E2	Thiru.R.Anugraha Prasath S/O.Ramadoss, No.7,Thirumanjana Veethi, Seerkazhi, Nagapattinam District.	491/2,495/1,495/2,494/1 Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	2.35.50	08.11.2018 to 07.11.2023
Total			5.58.5 Ha	
ABANDONED QUARRIES				
CODE	Name of the Proponent and Address	S.F. Nos, Village & Taluk	Extent in Ha	Lease Period
A-1	Thiru.A.Tikkaraman, Melavalampattu Village, Karunkuzhi Post, Madurantakam Tk.	486/1,2, 487/1A Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	1.21.5	30.10.2002 to 29.10.20047
A-2	Thiru.S.Sugumar, No.47, Meenakshiamman Koil street, Madurantakam Tk.	479/1,2A,2B, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	0.66.5	23.11.2004 to 22.11.2009
A-3	Thiru.T.Ravi, No.135, Marapakkam Village & Post, Madurantakam Tk.	508(P),Q.No.2, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	3.00.0	05.01.2005 to 04.01.2010
A-4	Thiru.S A.Gopinathan, No.22, Amman Koil Street, Kadaperi, Chennai-45	511/1A, 2A, 1B, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	1.88.5	03.10.2005 to 02.10.2010
A-5	Thiru.S.Sugumar, No.47, Meenakshiamman Koil street, Madurantakam Tk.	479/1A,2A,2B, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	0.66.5	08.07.2010 to 07.7.2015
A-6	Thiru.V.Chandran, S/O.V.Venkatesan, No.5/68, VLS Office, Thalapathi Nagar,Venjambakkam, SP Koil, Chengalpattu.	509,510,511/1A,1B,2A, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	2.45.0	09.08.2010 to 08.08.2017
A-7	Thiru.J.Saravanan, S/o.Jeevanantham, Old No.12, New No.27, Sampanthan St, Bharathipuram, chrompet, Chennai-44	480/4A, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	1.87.5	01.09.2012 to 31.08.2017
A-8	Thiru.V.Chandran, S/O.V.Venkatesan, No.5/68, VLS Office, Thalapathi Nagar,Venjambakkam, SP Koil, Chengelpet.	511/2B,512,514,515, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	3.25.0	01.09.2012 to 31.08.2017
A-9	Thiru.P.Thiruvengadam, S/O.Parthasarathy, No.5/70, Istasithi Vinayagar Koil St, Venkateswara Nagar, Pozhichalur, Chennai-74	496/1A, 1B,2,3,4,5, 497, 506,507/1,2, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	3.23.0	01.09.2012 to 31.08.2017
A-10	Thiru.C.Kanniappan, S/O.K.Sokkalingam, No.66/74, Senkazhanyamman Koil St, Puzuthivakkam, Chennai-91	483/1B,1C,490/3B, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	0.94.5	30.07.2013 to 29.07.2018
A-11	Thiru.S.Surendiran S/o.Sugumar No.47, Meenatchiamman Koil St, Madurantakam	489,491/1B, 4A,4C,4E,4F,5A,5B,5C,5D, Pakkam Village, Maduranthagam Tk	1.68.0	03.03.2014 to 02.03.2019
TOTAL CLUSTER EXTENT			9.34.50	

TABLE 1.3 SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROPOSAL

Name of the Quarry	Thiru.V.Chandran, Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry		
Toposheet No	57-P/15		
Latitude between	12°28'33.23"N to 12°28'43.08"N		
Longitude between	79°50'52.12"E to 79°51'07.58"E		
Highest Elevation	66m AMSL		
Proposed Depth of Mining	43m (1m Gravel + 2m Weathered Rock + 40m Rough stone) below ground level		
Lease Period	10 Years		
Geological Resources	Rough Stone in m ³	Weathered Rock m ³	Gravel m ³
	15,04,000m ³	75,200m ³	37,600m ³
Mineable Reserves	Rough Stone in m ³	Weathered Rock m ³	Gravel m ³
	8,81,720m ³	57,846m ³	29,613m ³
Ultimate Pit Dimension	Pit-1 108m (L) x 100m (W) x 43m Pit-II 148m (L) x 51m (W) x 43m Pit-III 158m (L) x 71m (W) x 43m Pit-IV 36m (L) x 68m (W) x 18m		
Water Level in the surrounds area	70m Summer - 65m Rainy bgl		
Method of Mining	Opencast Mechanized Mining Method involving drilling and blasting		
Topography	The lease applied area exhibits plain topography. The area has gentle sloping towards Northeast side. The altitude of the area is 66m (max) above Mean Sea level. The area is covered by 1m thickness of Gravel and 2m of Weathered Rock formation. Massive Charnockite is found after 3m (1m Gravel + 2m Weathered Rock) which is clearly inferred from the nearby existing quarry pits.		
Machinery proposed	Jack Hammer	11Nos	
	Compressor	3Nos	
	Excavator with bucket and rock breaker	2 Nos	
	Tipper	6 Nos	
Blasting Method	Controlled Blasting Method by shot hole drilling and small dia of 25mm slurry explosive are proposed to be used for shattering and heaving effect for removal and winning of Rough Stone. No deep hole drilling is proposed.		
Proposed Manpower Deployment	50 Nos		
Project Cost	Rs.1,50,54,000/-		
EMP Cost	Rs. 7,60,000/-		
Total	Rs.1,58,14,000/-		
CER Cost @ 2% of Project Cost	Rs 5,00,000/-		
Nearby Water Bodies	Kiliyar River	4.5Km & NW	
	Edandhur Lake	6Km & SE	
	Madhuranthagam Lake	4.4Km & N	
	Tank	830m & SE	
	Tank	6.5Km & NE	
Greenbelt Development Plan	Proposed to plant 1880 trees in 3000 Sq.m area in the 7.5m Safety Zone and panchayat roads.		
Proposed Water Requirement	7.5 KLD		
Nearest Habitation	Pakkam – 660m- NE		

1.3 STATUTORY DETAILS

Project – P1 – Thiru.V.Chandran,

- The proponent applied for Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry Lease Dated: 22.05.2019
- Precise Area Communication Letter was issued by the Deputy Director / Assistant Director(i/c), Department of Geology and Mining, Chengalpattu District vide letter Rc.No.227/Q2/2019, Dated: 23.08.2021
- The Mining Plan was prepared by Qualified Person and approved by Deputy Director / Assistant Director(i/c), Department of Geology and Mining, Chengalpattu District vide letter Rc.No.227/Q2/2019, Dated: 14.09.2021
- The proposed project falls under “B1” Category as per Order Dated: 04.09.2018 & 13.09.2018 passed by Hon'ble National Green tribunal, New Delhi in O.A. No. 173 of 2018 & O.A. No, 186 of 2016 and MoEF & CC Office Memorandum F. No. L-11011/175/2018-IA-II (M) Dated: 12.12.2018
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vide online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/73759/2022, Dated: 16.03.2022

1.4 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is site specific and there is no additional area required for this project. There is no effluent generation/discharge from the proposed quarries. Rough Stone is proposed to be excavated by opencast mechanized method involving splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass by jackhammer drilling and blasting, hydraulic excavators are used for loading the Rough Stone from pithead to the needy crushers and rock breakers to avoid secondary blasting.

2.1 SITE CONNECTIVITY TO THE PROJECT AREA

Nearest Roadway	NH – 45- Chennai – Trichy -1.0km-SE SH-117- Madurantakam – Thenpakkam (Vennangupattu)-4.0km-E MD-518-Uthiramerur-Acharapakkam Road -7.0km-W
Nearest Village	Pakkam – 1.0km-SE
Nearest Town	Madurantakam – 5.0km-SE
Nearest Railway	Madhurantagam – 5.0km-NE
Nearest Airport	Chennai Airport – -81.0km-NE
Seaport	Ennore Port - 101km – NE

2.2 LAND USE PATTERN OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Description	Present area in (ha)
Area under Quarrying	Nil
Infrastructure	Nil
Roads	Nil
Green Belt	Nil
Unutilized Area	3.76.0
Grand Total	3.76.0

2.3 OPERATIONAL DETAILS OF LEASE APPLIED AREA

PARTICULARS	DETAILS
-------------	---------

	Rough Stone (5Year Plan period)	Weathered Rock m³ (3 Years Plan period)	Gravel (3 Years Plan period)
Geological Resources in m ³	15,04,000m ³	75,200m ³	37,600m ³
Mineable Reserves in m ³	8,81,720m ³	57,846m ³	29,613m ³
Production in m ³	8,81,720m ³	57,846m ³	29,613m ³
Mining Plan Period	10 Years		
Number of Working Days	300 Days		
Production per day in m ³	588	64	33
No of Lorry loads (12m ³ per load)	49	5	3
Total Depth of Mining	43m (1m Gravel + 2m Weathered Rock + 40m Rough stone) below ground level		

FIGURE – 1: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING PROJECT AREA



FIGURE – 2: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING CLUSTER (500 m QUARRIES)




FIGURE – 3: TOPOSHEET MAP COVERING 10 KM RADIUS





FIGURE – 4: QUARRY LEASE PLAN & SURFACE PLAN

P1- Thiru. V. Chandran



2.4 METHOD OF MINING

Opencast Mechanized Mining Method is being proposed by formation of 5.0-meter height bench with a bench width not less than the bench height. However, as far as the quarrying of Rough Stone is concerned, observance of the provisions of Regulation 106 (2) (b) as above is seldom possible due to various inherent petro genetic factors coupled with mining difficulties. Hence it is proposed to obtain relaxation to the provisions of the above regulation from the Director of Mines Safety for which necessary provision is available with the Regulation 106 (2) (b) of MMR-1961, under Mine Act – 1952.

The top layer of Topsoil will be Excavate directly by Hydraulic Excavators and preserved all along the safety barrier to facilitate greenbelt development during Mine Closure Stage. The Rough Stone is a batholith formation and the splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass will be carried out by deploying jackhammer drilling and Slurry Explosives will be used for blasting. Hydraulic Excavators attached with Rock Breakers unit will be deployed for breaking large boulders to required fragmented sizes to avoid secondary blasting and hydraulic excavators attached with bucket unit will be deployed for loading the Rough Stone into the tippers and then the stone is transported from pithead to the nearby crushers.

2.5 PROPOSED MACHINERY DEPLOYMENT

PROPOSAL – P1				
S.NO.	TYPE	NOS	SIZE/CAPACITY	MOTIVE POWER
1	Jack hammers	11	1.2m to 2.0m	Compressed air
2	Compressor	3	400psi	Diesel Drive
3	Excavator with Bucket / Rock Breaker	2	300 HP	Diesel Drive
4	Tippers	6	20 Tonnes	Diesel Drive

2.6 CONCEPTUAL MINING PLAN/ FINAL MINE CLOSURE PLAN

The ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practical parameters such as economical depth of mining, safety zones, permissible area, etc.,

2.7 ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION

PROPOSAL – P1			
Pit	Length (Max) (m)	Width (Max) (m)	Depth (Max)
I	108	100	43m below ground level
II	148	51	43m below ground level
III	158	71	43m below ground level
IV	36	68	18m below ground level

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

The baseline status of the project environment is described section wise for better understanding of the broad-spectrum conditions. The baseline environment quality represents the background environmental scenario of various environmental components such as Land, Water, Air, Noise, Biological and Socio-economic status of the study area. Field monitoring

studies to evaluate the base line status of the project site were carried out covering December 2022-February 2023 as per CPCB & MoEF & CC guidelines.

3.1 ENVIRONMENT MONITORING ATTRIBUTES

ATTRIBUTE	PARAMETERS	FREQUENCY OF MONITORING	NO. OF LOCATIONS	PROTOCOL
Land-use Land cover	Land-use Pattern within 10 km radius of the study area	Data's from census handbook 2011 and from the satellite imagery	Study Area	Satellite Imagery Primary Survey
Soil	Physio - Chemical Characteristics	Once during the study period	6 (1 core & 5 buffer zone)	IS 2720 Agriculture Handbook - Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi
Water quality	Physical, Chemical and Bacteriological Parameters	Once during the study period	6 (2 surface water & 4 ground water)	IS 10500& CPCB Standards
Meteorology	Wind Speed Wind Direction Temperature Cloud cover Dry bulb temperature Rainfall	1 Hourly Continuous Mechanical/Automatic Weather Station	1	Site specific primary data& Secondary Data from IMD Station
Ambient Air Quality	PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} SO ₂ , NO _x CO Fugitive Dust	24 hourly twice a week (March 2021 – May 2021)	8 (2 core & 6 buffer)	IS 5182 Part 1-23 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, CPCB
Noise Levels	Ambient Noise	Hourly observation for 24 Hours per location	8 (2core & 6 buffer zone)	IS 9989 As per CPCB Guidelines
Ecology	Existing Flora and Fauna	Through field visit during the study period	Study Area	Primary Survey by Quadrate & Transect Study & Secondary Data
Socio Economic Aspects	Socio-Economic Characteristics, Population Statistics and Existing Infrastructure in the study area	Site Visit & Census Handbook, 2011	Study Area	Primary Survey, census handbook & need based assessments.

3.2 LAND ENVIRONMENT

To study the land use pattern of the core as well as a buffer zone, land use/land cover details have been identified/ maps have been prepared in accordance with the Standard ToR point. A visual interpretation technique has been adopted for land use supervised classification based on training site by Level III classification with 1:50,000 scale for the preparation of land use mapping. Land use pattern of the area was studied through **LISSIII, Bhuvan, NRSC**. The 10 km radius map of study area was taken for analysis of **Land use/Landcover**.

TABLE 3.1: LAND USE / LAND COVER TABLE 10 KM RADIUS

S.No	Classification	Area Ha	Area %
BUILTUP			
1	Builtup Urban	491.56	1.54
2	Builtup Rural	1636.16	5.12

3	Builtup Mining	60.27	0.19
AGRICULTURAL LAND			
4	Crop Land	18193.70	56.90
5	Agricultural Plantation	917.93	2.87
6	Fallow Land	925.45	2.89
FOREST			
7	Evergreen/Semievergreen Forest	726.86	2.27
8	Decidious Forest	897.28	2.81
9	Forest Plantation	564.45	1.77
BARREN/WASTELAND			
10	Salt Affected Land	659.34	2.06
11	Scrub Land	766.06	2.40
12	Barren Rocky	36.60	0.11
WATERBODIES			
13	Waterbodies	6101.61	19.08
		31977.28	100.00

LU/LC Interpretation:

- ∞ The 10 km radius study area mainly comprises of Agricultural land & open Scrub land accounting of 62.66 % & 2.4% of the total study area. The study area also consists of vegetation/plantation of 2.87%.
- ∞ The buffer zone studied has no ecological sensitive area (National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve/ etc.). 5.701 % of the total project area is occupied by the Reserve Forest land such as Kattugudalur RF (6 Km in SW direction) and Gudalur PF (5 Km in SW direction)
- ∞ Water Bodies such as ponds/ lakes comprises of 19.08% of the total buffer area. The two seasonal rivers such as Kiliyar River at 4.5 Km in NW direction and Edandur Lake at 6 Km in SE direction of the total study area.
- ∞ The Scrub land accounts of 2.40%. As per the primary survey, it was observed the scrub land is mainly occupied by the stony waste and left-over domestic waste generated by the nearby areas.
- ∞ 0.19% of the total study area is occupied by the mine industries of captive mines. The area occupied by Mainly Crusher and Rough stone, gravel of the total buffer area. As also observed within the primary survey, the 10 km buffer area is also occupied by the medium scaled granite industries also located in the study area.
- ∞ 6.66% of the area is covered under the human Settlement. The nearest village within the 3 km radius from the project site boundary is observed to be villages Pakkam, Silavattam and Morepakkam etc.,

3.3 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

The samples were analysed as per the standard methods prescribed in “Soil Chemical Analysis (M.L. Jackson, 1967) & Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India”. The important properties analysed for soil are bulk density, porosity, infiltration rate, pH and Organic matter, kjeldahi Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium

Interpretation & Conclusion

- Variation in pH of the soil in the study area was found to be 8.41 to 8.93
- Mostly the soils collected from different location in the study area are clay loam soil in texture.
- The bulk density of the soil in the study area ranged between 0.67 – 1.38g/cc.
- Available Nitrogen between 320 to 546 kg/ha and phosphorous content 1.09 to 2.51 kg/ha and potassium content 27.6 to 250 mg/kg

3.4 WATER ENVIRONMENT

The study area is studded with few tanks that serve as the source of drinking water and also their surplus feeds adjoining tanks. The rainfall over the area is moderate, the rainwater storage in open wells and trenches are in practice over the area and the stored water acts as source of freshwater for couple of months after rainy season.

Surface Water

Ph:

The pH of surface 7.51-7.76 while turbidity found within the standards (Optimal pH range for sustainable aquatic life is 6.5 to 8.5 pH).

Total Dissolved Solids:

Total Dissolved Solid 675-739 mg/l, the TDS mainly composed of carbonates, bicarbonates, Chlorides, phosphates and nitrates of calcium, magnesium, sodium and other organic matter.

Other parameters:

Chloride 171-194 mg/l. Nitrates 6.1-12.6 mg/l, while sulphates 85.1-97.6 mg/l.

Ground Water

The pH of the water samples collected ranged from 6.98 to 7.68 and within the acceptable limit of 6.5 to 8.5. pH, Sulphates and Chlorides of water samples from all the sources are within the limits as per the Standard. on Turbidity, the water samples meet the requirement. The Total Dissolved Solids were found in the range of 521- 738mg/l in all samples. The Total hardness varied between 180.28 mg/l – 267.15 mg/l mg/l for all samples.

On Microbiological parameters, the water samples from all the locations meet the requirement. The parameters thus analysed were compared with IS 10500:2012 and are well within the prescribed limits.

3.5 AIR ENVIRONMENT

The baseline studies on air environment include identification of specific air pollution parameters and their existing levels in ambient air. The ambient air quality with respect to the study zone of 10 km radius around the proposed quarry forms the baseline information.

FIGURE – 6: WIND ROSE DIAGRAM

3.6 SUMMARY OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

As per monitoring data, PM_{10} ranges from $19.1 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to $48.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, $PM_{2.5}$ data ranges from $19.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to $43.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, SO_2 ranges from $5.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to $9.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ and NO_2 data ranges from $21.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to $25.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The concentration levels of the above criteria pollutants were observed to be well within the limits of NAAQS prescribed by CPCB.

The minimum & maximum concentrations of PM_{10} were found to be $19.1 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in Morekuppam village & $48.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in Sendivakkam village respectively. The minimum & maximum concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ were found to be $19.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in Core zone & $43.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in Morekuppam village area respectively. The maximum concentration in the core zone is due to the cluster of quarries situated within 500m radius.

3.7 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

Ambient noise levels were measured at 8 (eight) locations around the project area considering cluster quarries. Noise levels recorded in core zone during day time were from 46.8 – 47.8 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 37.6 – 39.4 dB (A) Leq. Noise levels recorded in buffer zone during day time were from 40.9 – 48.1dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 37.3 – 40.7 dB (A) Leq.

The values of noise observed in some of the areas are primarily owing to quarrying activities due to cluster of quarries within 500m radius, movement of vehicles and other anthropogenic activities.

3.8 ECOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

The study involved in the collection of primary data by conducting a survey in the field, examination of floral and faunal records in previously published reports and records. Analysis of the information is the view of the possible alteration in the environment of the project site. For the survey of fauna, both direct and indirect observation methods were used.

There is no schedule I species of animals observed within study area as per Wildlife Protection Act 1972 as well as no species is in vulnerable, endangered or threatened category as per IUCN. There is no endangered red list species found in the study area. Hence this small operation over short period of time will not have any significant impact on the surrounding flora and fauna.

3.9 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

It includes demographic structure of the area, provision of basic amenities viz., housing, education, health and medical services, occupation, water supply, sanitation, communication, transportation, prevailing diseases pattern as well as feature like temples, historical monuments etc., at the baseline level. This will help in visualizing and predicting the possible impact depending upon the nature and magnitude of the project.

The socio-economic study of surveyed villages gives a clear picture of its population, average household size, literacy rate and sex ratio etc. It is also found that a part of population is suffering from lack of permanent job to run their day-to-day life. Their expectation is to earn some income for their sustainability on a long-term basis.

The proposed project will aim to provide preferential 50 persons to the local people there by improving the indirect employment opportunity for 50 persons and in turn the social standards will improve.

4. ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

In order to maintain the environmental commensuration with the mining operation, it is essential to undertake studies on the existing environmental scenario and assess the impact on different environmental components. This would help in formulating suitable management plans sustainable resource extraction.

4.1 LAND ENVIRONMENT:

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- Permanent or temporary change on land use and land cover.
- Change in Topography: Topography of the ML area will change at the end of the life of the mine.
- Movement of heavy vehicles sometimes cause problems to agricultural land, human habitations due to dust, noise and it also causes traffic hazards.
- Due to degradation of land by pitting the aesthetic environment of the core zone may be affected.
- Earthworks during the rainy season increase the potential for soil erosion and sediment laden water entering the water ways.
- If no due care is taken wash off from the exposed working area may choke the water course & can also causes the siltation of water course.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- The mining activity will be gradual confined in blocks and excavation will be undertaken progressively along with other mitigative measures like phase wise development of greenbelt etc.,
- Construction of garland drains all around the quarry pits and construction of check dam at strategic location in lower elevations to prevent erosion due to surface runoff during rainfall and also to collect the storm water for various uses within the proposed area
- Green belt development along the boundary within safety zone. The small quantity of water stored in the mined-out pit will be used for greenbelt.
- Thick plantation will be carried out on unutilized area, top benches of mined out pits, on safety barrier, etc.,
- At conceptual stage, the land use pattern of the quarry will be changed into Greenbelt area and temporary reservoir.
- In terms of aesthetics, natural vegetation surrounding the quarry will be retained (such as in a buffer area i.e., 7.5 m safety barrier and other safety provided) so as to help minimise dust emissions.
- Proper fencing will be carried out at the conceptual stage, Security will be posted round the clock, to prevent inherent entry of the public and cattle.

4.2 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

IMPACT ON SOIL ENVIRONMENT

Erosion and Sedimentation (Removal of protective vegetation cover; Exposure of underlying soil horizons that may be less pervious, or more erodible than the surface layers; Reduced capacity of soils to absorb rainfall; Increased energy in storm-water runoff due to concentration and velocity; and Exposure of subsurface materials which are unsuitable for vegetation establishment).

MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SOIL CONSERVATION

- Run-off diversion – Garland drains will be constructed all around the project boundary to prevent surface flows from entering the quarry works areas. And will be discharged into vegetated natural drainage lines, or as distributed flow across an area stabilised against erosion.
- Sedimentation ponds - Run-off from working areas will be routed towards sedimentation ponds. These trap sediment and reduce suspended sediment loads before runoff is discharged from the quarry site. Sedimentation ponds should be designed based on runoff, retention times, and soil characteristics. There may be a need to provide a series of sedimentation ponds to achieve the desired outcome.
- Retain vegetation – Retain existing or re-plant the vegetation at the site wherever possible.

- Monitoring and maintenance – Weekly monitoring and daily maintenance of erosion control systems so that they perform as specified specially during rainy season

4.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- The major sources of water pollution normally associated due to mining and allied operations are:
 - Generation of waste water from vehicle washing.
 - Washouts from surface exposure or working areas
 - Domestic sewage
 - Disturbance to drainage course in the project area
 - Mine Pit water discharge
- Increase in sediment load during monsoon in downstream of lease area
- This being a mining project, there will be no process effluent. Waste from washing of machinery may result in discharge of Oil & grease, suspended solids.
- The sewage from soak pit may percolate to the ground water table and contaminate it.
- Surface drainage may be affected due to Mining
- Abstraction of water may lead to depletion of water table

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Garland drain, settling tank will be constructed along the project area. The Garland drain will be connected to settling tank and sediments will be trapped in the settling traps and only clear water will be discharged out to the natural drainage
1. **Rainwater will be collected in sump in the mining pits and will be allowed to store and pumped out to surface settling tank of 15 m x 10m x 3m to remove suspended solids if any. This collected water will be judiciously used for dust suppression and such sites where dust likely to be generated and for developing green belt. The proponent will collect and judiciously utilize the rainwater as part of rainwater harvesting system.**
 - Providing benches with inner slopes and through a system of drains and channels, allowing rain water to descent into surrounding drains, so as to minimize the effects of erosion & water logging arising out of uncontrolled descent of water
 - Reuse the water collected during storm for dust suppression and greenbelt development within the mines
 - Installing interceptor traps/oil separators to remove oils and greases. Water from the tipper wash-down facility and machinery maintenance yard will pass through interceptor traps/oil separators prior to its reuse;
 - Using flocculating or coagulating agents to assist in the settling of suspended solids during monsoon seasons;
 1. **Periodic (every 6 month once) analysis of quarry pit water and ground water quality in nearby villages.**

2. **Domestic sewage from site office & urinals/latrines provided in ML is discharged in septic tank followed by soak pits.**
3. **Waste water discharge from mine will be treated in settling tanks before using for dust suppression and tree plantation purposes.**
 - **De-silting will be carried out before and immediately after the monsoon season.**
 - **Regular monitoring (every 6 month once) and analysing the quality of water in open well, bore wells and surface water.**

4.4 AIR ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- During mining, at various stages activities such as excavation, drilling, blasting, and transportation of materials, particular matter (PM), gases such as Sulphur dioxide, oxides of Nitrogen from vehicular exhaust are the main air pollutants.
- Emissions of noxious gases due to incomplete detonation of explosive may sometimes pollute the air.
- The fugitive dust released from the mining operations may cause effect on the mine workers who are directly exposed to the fugitive dust.
- Simultaneously, the air-borne dust may travel to longer distances and settle in the villages located near the mine lease area.

MITIGATION MEASURES

Drilling – To control dust at source, wet drilling will be practiced. Where there is a scarcity of water, suitably designed dust extractor will be provided for dry drilling along with dust hood at the mouth of the drill-hole collar.

Advantages of Wet Drilling:-

- In this system dust gets suppressed close to its formation. Dust suppression become very effective and the work environment will be improved from the point of occupational comfort and health.
- Due to dust free atmosphere, the life of engine, compressor etc., will be increased.
- The life of drill bit will be increased.
- The rate of penetration of drill will be increased.
- Due to the dust free atmosphere visibility will be improved resulting in safer working conditions.

Blasting –

- Establish time of blasting to suit the local conditions and water sprinkling on blasting face
- Avoid blasting i.e., when temperature inversion is likely to occur and strong wind blows towards residential areas
- Controlled blasting includes Adoption of suitable explosive charge and short delay detonators, adequate stemming of holes at collar zone and restricting blasting to a

particular time of the day i.e., at the time lunch hours, controlled charge per hole as well as charge per round of hole

- Before loading of material water will be sprayed on blasted material
- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored

Haul Road & Transportation –

- Water will be sprinkled on haul roads twice a day to avoid dust generation during transportation.
- Transportation of material will be carried out during day time and material will be covered with tarpaulin.
- The speed of tippers plying on the haul road will be limited below 20 km/hr to avoid generation of dust.
- Water sprinkling on haul roads & loading points will be carried out twice a day.
- Main source of gaseous pollution will be from vehicle used for transportation of mineral; therefore, weekly maintenance of machines improves combustion process & makes reduction in the pollution.
- The un-metalled haul roads will be compacted weekly before being put into use.
- Over loading of tippers will be avoided to prevent spillage.
- It will be ensured that all transportation vehicles carry a valid PUC certificate
- Grading of haul roads and service roads to clear accumulation of loose materials.

Green Belt –

- Planting of trees all along main mine haul roads and regular grading of haul roads will be practiced to prevent the generation of dust due to movement of dumpers/trucks
- Green belt of adequate width will be developed around the project areas.

Occupational Health –

- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored.
- Annual medical check-ups, trainings and campaigns will be arranged to ensure awareness about importance of wearing dust masks among all mine workers & tipper drivers.
- **Ambient Air Quality Monitoring will be conducted** six months once to assess effectiveness of mitigation measures proposed.

4.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

Noise pollution poses a major health risk to the mine workers. Following are the sources of noise in the existing open cast mine project are being observed such as Drilling, & Blasting, Loading and during movement of vehicles.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Usage of sharp drill bits while drilling which will help in reducing noise;
- Secondary blasting will be totally avoided and hydraulic rock breaker will be used for breaking boulders;

- Controlled blasting with proper spacing, burden, stemming and optimum charge/delay will be maintained;
- The blasting will be carried out during favourable atmospheric condition and less human activity timings by using nonelectrical initiation system;
- Proper maintenance, oiling and greasing of machines will be done every week to reduce generation of noise;
- Provision of sound insulated chambers for the workers working on machines (HEMM) producing higher levels of noise;
- Silencers / mufflers will be installed in all machineries;
- Green Belt/Plantation will be developed around the project area and along the haul roads. The plantation minimizes propagation of noise;
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) like ear muffs/ear plugs will be provided to the operators of HEMM and persons working near HEMM and their use will be ensured through training and awareness.
- **Regular medical check-up and proper training to personnel to create awareness about adverse noise level effects.**

4.6 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

The developmental programs, policies, and projects operated or managed by government or private bodies can cause potentially significant changes in the physical, biological, and socio-economic environment. In some cases, the changes may be beneficial while in others it may be detrimental to the environment. Accordingly, environmental impact studies are required for systematic identification, qualification, and interpretation of the anticipated changes. The main environmental problems associated with mining activities are deforestation, land degradation (change in topography, soil erosion), visual intrusion, disturbance to the hydrological system, and water, air, and noise pollution which ultimately impact upon the floral and faunal status of the project area.

MITIGATION MEASURES

Keeping all this in mind the mitigations have been suggested under environmental management plan. With the understanding of the role of plant species as bio-filter to control air pollution, appropriate plant species (mainly tree species) have been suggested conceding the area/site requirements and needed performance of specific species. The details of year wise proposed plantation program are given in Table 4.13.

The main objective of the green belt is to provide a barrier between the source of pollution and the surrounding areas

In order to compensate the loss of vegetation cover, it is suggested to carry out afforestation program mainly in proposed areas falls in the cluster earmarked for plantation program as per Approved Mining Plan in different phases. This habitat improvement program would ensure the faunal species to re-colonize and improve the abundance status in the core zone.

The objectives of the green belt cover will cover the following:

- Noise abatement

- Ecological restoration
- Aesthetic, biological and visual improvement of area due to improved vegetative and plantations cover.

GREENBELT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PROPOSAL FOR P1 – Thiru. V.Chandran				
Year	No. of trees proposed to be planted	Survial %	Area to be planted	Name of the species
I	It is proposed to plant 1880 Nos of trees in the 1 st year	80%	Safety barrier, Un utilized areas and nearby village roads	Neem, Pongamia pinnata, Casuarina, etc

4.7 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- ⊗ No. of people will get employment during the construction stage resulting in the ancillary development and growth. Nearby Local people will be given preference for employment on the basis of their skill and experience.
- ⊗ Further due to proposed project, influx of working community will also generate an indirect employment through development of nearby market/ shops, trade centers, activities, transportation etc.
- ⊗ Population influx during the construction phase can introduce various water and vector borne diseases which can lead to various unhygienic health problems in the area by disturbing the existing sanitation infrastructure.
- ⊗ Rapid diverse population influx at the project site can create unusual behavioural activity such as worker-community conflicts, increase violence such as theft/stabbing, and increased consumption of drugs/alcohol within the area.
- ⊗ Impacts on the health of nearby villagers can be envisaged due to the transportation activities leading to short term exposure of fugitive dust, resulting in various acute diseases such as increased eye irritation, nausea, headache etc.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Good maintenance practices will be adopted for all machinery and equipment, which will help to avert potential noise problems.
- Green belt will be developed in and around the project site as per Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) guidelines.
- Air pollution control measure will be taken to minimize the environmental impact within the core zone.
- For the safety of workers, personal protective appliances like hand gloves, helmets, safety shoes, goggles, aprons, nose masks and ear protecting devices will be provided as per mines act and rules.
- Benefit to the State and the Central governments through financial revenues by way of royalty, tax, duties, etc., from this project directly and indirectly.
- From above details, the quarry operations will have highly beneficial positive impact in the area.

Table 4.6.3 Impact Evaluation Impact evaluation is given in table below.

Impact Evaluation Element	Impact on socio economics due to the applied for rough stone and Gravel quarry over an extent of 3.76.0ha of Patta lands in S.F.Nos.480/1A, 1B, 2, 3, 484/3, 490/1A, 1B, 2B, 491/1A, 3A, 3B, 3C & 4D of Pakkam Village, Madhuranthagam Taluk, Chengalpattu District, Tamil Nadu State.			
Potential Effect/ Concern	Proposed project will provide direct & indirect employment opportunities to the local residents, which will help to increase their earning and better living standard as well as further up-liftment of socio-economic status of the area.			
Characteristics of Impacts				
Nature	Positive		Negative	Netural
	✓			
Type	Direct	Indirect	Cumulative	
			✓	
Extent	Project area	Local	Zonal	Regional
	✓			
Duration	Short time		Long term	
			✓	
Intensity	Low		Medium	High
			✓	
Frequency	Remote (R)	Occasional (O)	Periodic (P)	Continuous (C)
			✓	
Significance of Impact				
Significance	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major
			✓	

5. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES (TECHNOLOGY AND SITE)

No alternatives are suggested as all the mine sites are mineral specific.


6. ENVIRONMENT MONITORING PROGRAM

An Environment monitoring cell (EMC) will be constituted to monitor the implementation of EMP and other environmental protection measures in all the proposed quarries.

The responsibilities of this cell will be:

- Implementation of pollution control measures
- Monitoring programme implementation
- Post-plantation care
- To check the efficiency of pollution control measures taken
- Any other activity as may be related to environment
- Seeking expert’s advice when needed.

6.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING CELL



6.2 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING SCHEDULE

S. No.	Environment Attributes	Location	Monitoring		Parameters
			Duration	Frequency	
1	Air Quality	8 Locations (2 Core & 6 Buffer)	24 hours	Once in 6 months	Fugitive Dust, PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ ,

					SO ₂ and NO _x .
2	Meteorology	At mine site before start of Air Quality Monitoring & IMD Secondary Data	Hourly / Daily	Continuous online monitoring	Wind speed, Wind direction, Temperature, Relative humidity and Rainfall
3	Water Quality Monitoring	6 Locations (2SW & 4 GW)	-	Once in 6 months	Parameters specified under IS:10500, 1993 & CPCB Norms
4	Hydrology	Water level in open wells in buffer zone around 1 km at specific wells	-	Once in 6 months	Depth in bgl
5	Noise	8 Locations (2 Core & 6 Buffer)	Hourly – 1 Day	Once in 6 months	Leq, Lmax, Lmin, Leq Day & Leq Night
6	Vibration	At the nearest habitation (in case of reporting)	-	During blasting Operation	Peak Particle Velocity
7	Soil	6 Locations (1 Core & 5 Buffer)	-	Once in six months	Physical and Chemical Characteristics
8	Greenbelt	Within the Project Area	Daily	Monthly	Maintenance

7. ADDITIONAL STUDIES

7.1 RISK ASSESSMENT

The methodology for the risk assessment has been based on the specific risk assessment guidance issued by the Directorate General of Mine Safety (DGMS), Dhanbad, vide Circular No.13 of 2002, dated 31st December, 2002. The DGMS risk assessment process is intended to identify existing and probable hazards in the work environment and all operations and assess the risk levels of those hazards in order to prioritize those that need immediate attention. Further, mechanisms responsible for these hazards are identified and their control measures, set to timetable are recorded along with pinpointed responsibilities.

The whole quarry operation will be carried out under the direction of a Qualified Competent Mine Manager holding certificate of competency to manage a metalliferous mine granted by the DGMS, Dhanbad for proposed project. Risk Assessment is all about prevention of accidents and to take necessary steps to prevent it from happening.

7.2 DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN

Natural disasters like Earthquake, Landslides have not been recorded in the past history as the terrain is categorized under seismic zone III. The area is far away from the sea hence the disaster due to heavy floods and tsunamis are not anticipated.

The Disaster Management Plan is aimed to ensure safety of life, protection of environment, protection of installation, restoration of production and salvage operations in this same order of priorities.

The objective of the Disaster Management Plan is to make use of the combined resources of the mine and the outside services to achieve the following:

- Rescue and medical treatment of casualties;
- Safeguard other people;
- Minimize damage to property and the environment;
- Initially contain and ultimately bring the incident under control;
- Secure the safe rehabilitation of affected area; and
- Preserve relevant records and equipment for the subsequent inquiry into the cause and circumstances of the emergency.

7.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACT STUDY

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF ROUGH STONE IN CLUSTER

Proposed Quarry Project -A				
Quarry	Production for five-year plan period considering safety parameters	Per Year Production in m³	Per Day Production in m³	Number of Lorry Load Per Day @ 12m³ per load
P1	8,81,720	1,76,344	588	49Trips /Day
List of Existing Quarries -B				
Quarry	Production for five-year plan period	Per Year Production in m³	Per Day Production in m³	Number of Lorry Load Per Day @ 12m³ per load
E-1	5,37,600	1,07,520	358	30Trips /Day
E-2	5,32,320	1,06,464	355	30Trips/Day
Total	1,069,920	2,13,984	713	60Trips/ Day

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF GRAVEL IN CLUSTER

Proposed Quarry Project -A				
Quarry	Production for three-year plan period considering safety parameters	Per Year Production in m³	Per Day Production in m³	Number of Lorry Load Per Day @ 12m³ per load
P1	37,600	12,533	42	3 trips per day /
List of Existing Quarries -B				
Quarry	Production for three-year plan period	Per Year Production in m³	Per Day Production in m³	Number of Lorry Load Per Day @ 12m³ per load
E-1	61,952	20,650	69	6 Trips /Day
E-2	38,420	12,807	43	4Trips/Day
Total	1,00,372	33,457	112	10Trips/ Day

PREDICTED NOISE INCREMENTAL VALUES FROM CLUSTER

Location ID	Background Value (Day) dB(A)	Incremental Value dB(A)	Total Predicted dB(A)	Residential Area Standards dB(A)
Habitation Near North East from the cluster 660m	47.8	43.7	49.2	55

SOCIO ECONOMIC BENEFITS

PROPOSAL QUARRIES			
Code	Employment	Project Cost	CER
P1	50	Rs.1,50,54,000/-	Rs 3,17,000/-
EXISTING QUARRIES			
Code	Employment	Project Cost	CER
E1	30	Rs.1,53,95,262/-	Rs. 3,16,000/-
E2	30	Rs.1,12,35,037/-	Rs. 5,00,000
Total	60	Rs. 2,66,30,299/-	Rs. 10,00,000/-
Grand Total	110	Rs. 4,16,84,229/-	Rs. 15,00,000/-

A total of 110 people will get employment due to this cluster, in this already 60 people employed in the existing quarries. Allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Government of India, MoEF & CC Office Memorandum F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III, Dated: 01.05.2018 by all the mines.

8. PROJECT BENEFITS

The Proposed Project for Quarrying Rough Stone and Gravel at Pakkam Village aims to produce 8,81,720 m³ Rough Stone Period of 5 years (588 m³ rough stone @ 49 Tipper per day) & 29,613 m³ of Gravel over a period of 3 Years. (33 m³ gravel @3 per load). This will enhance the socio-economic activities in the adjoining areas and will result in the following benefits

- Increase in Employment Potential
- Improvement in Socio-Economic Welfare
- Improvement in Physical Infrastructure
- Improvement in Social infrastructure

9. ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Environment Monitoring cell discussed formed by the mine management will ensure effective implementation of environment management plan and to ensure compliance of environmental statutory guidelines through Mine Management Level.

The said team will be responsible for:

- ✚ Monitoring of the water/ waste water quality, air quality and solid waste generated
- ✚ Analysis of the water and air samples collected through external laboratory
- ✚ Implementation and monitoring of the pollution control and protective measures/ devices which shall include financial estimation, ordering, installation of air pollution control equipment, waste water treatment plant, etc.
- ✚ Co-ordination of the environment related activities within the project as well as with outside agencies.
- ✚ Collection of health statistics of the workers and population of the surrounding villages.
- ✚ Green belt development.
- ✚ Monitoring the progress of implementation of the environmental monitoring programme.
- ✚ Compliance to statutory provisions, norms of State Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment and Forests and the conditions of the environmental clearance as well as the consents to establish and consents to operate.

10. CONCLUSION

Various aspects of mining activities were considered and related impacts were evaluated. Considering environmental impacts are associated, EMP will be under regular review. Senior Management responsible for the project will conduct a review of EMP and its implementation to ensure that the EMP remains effective and appropriate. Thus, the proper steps will be taken to accomplish all the goals mentioned in the EMP and the project will bring the positive impact in the study area. All the possible ways to mitigate the environmental concerns Environmental Management Plan was prepared and fund has been allocated for the same. The EMP is dynamic, flexible and subjected to periodic review.